Alma Mater Studiorum \cdot Università di Bologna

DOTTORATO DI RICERCA IN

FISICA

CICLO XXXIII

Settore Concorsuale: 02/A1 - FISICA SPERIMENTALE DELLE INTERAZIONI FONDAMENTALI

Settore Scientifico Disciplinare: FIS/01 - FISICA SPERIMENTALE

Study and Optimization of Particle Track Detection via Hough Transform Hardware Implementation for the ATLAS Phase-II Trigger Upgrade

Presentata da: Fabrizio Alfonsi

COORDINATRICE DOTTORATO: Chiar.mo Prof. Michele Cicoli SUPERVISORE: Chiar.mo Prof. Alessandro Gabrielli

Esame finale anno 2021

"Stiamo vivendo la preistoria di una nuova umanitá."

Abstract

The technological improvement has always been an important milestone in the High Energy Physics (HEP) research. In the CERN of Geneva the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) will undergo several deep upgrades in the next years. Instantaneous and Integrated Luminosity will be increased respectively up to $5-7 \cdot 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and 3000 fb^{-1} . Alongside this collider the experiments exploiting LHC will undergo through upgrades crucial to fulfill the HEP goals. In particular, the ATLAS experiment will continue its path to the physics beyond the Standard Model by overcoming the technological obsolescence and upgrading its sensors and readout capabilities. The ATLAS upgrades are divided into phases, namely Phase-I and Phase-II. The first is going to finish in the next months and the second will start in 2024. Part of the ATLAS upgrade concerns the Trigger and Data Acquisition systems. In particular, for the ATLAS trigger, a big technological update is planned for the Phase-II. In fact, to stand the increase of luminosity and pileup, this up to 200, new hardware architectures are under development.

My contribution to these Phase-I and Phase-II plans has been focused to the Trigger and Data Acquisition system electronic update. In the Phase-I upgrade I worked at the commissioning of the new FELIX readout cards FLX-712 which will be mounted on part of the TDAQ system. The features of these boards will be exploited for the new ATLAS sub-detector New Small Wheel, which is part of the Muon Spectrometer, and for the upgrade of the Liquid Argon Calorimeter readout. These cards are FPGA based with a bandwidth up to 480 Gb/s and exploit PCI Express Generation 3 technology. My work has been focused on the preparation and the follow up of part of the tests of the cards for quality checks and controls.

The ATLAS Phase-II trigger targets to increase its output data stream to the Tier 0 of one order of magnitude. For this increase, new methodologies and a heterogeneous system is under development. For the ATLAS Phase-II upgrade I developed an implementation of a tracking algorithm to fulfill the new trigger requirements. This algorithm, known as Hough Transform, is used to track particle trajectories and it has been already demonstrated to be suited for the ATLAS specifications. In this thesis I present the study, the simulations and the hardware implementation of a preliminary version of the Hough Transform algorithm on a XILINX Ultrascale+FPGA device. This research is now inserted in the official ATLAS upgrade plan and will be better exploited and reviewed in 2021. In fact in 2021 ATLAS will finalize the decision for the implementation of the trigger algorithm within the Phase-II trigger upgrade.

Contents

Introduction 1							
1	LH	3	3				
	1.1	LHC Structure	4				
	1.2	LHC Parameters	6				
	1.3	LHC Physics Achievements and Future Plans	8				
		1.3.1 CMS	9				
		1.3.2 ALICE	9				
		1.3.3 LHCb	9				
2	ATI	LAS	11				
	2.1	ATLAS Overview	11				
	2.2	Inner Detector	13				
	2.3	Calorimeters	16				
		2.3.1 Electromagnetic Calorimeter	16				
		2.3.2 Hadronic Calorimeter	17				
	2.4	Muon Spectrometer	18				
		2.4.1 Magnetic System	20				
		2.4.2 Forward Detectors	20				
	2.5	ATLAS Trigger	21				
Ŧ			~ ~				
I	ΑΊ	LAS Phase-I Upgrade	25				
3	Nev	v Detector Features for Run 3	27				
	3.1	New Small Wheel	27				
	3.2	Liquid Argon Calorimeter	28				
	3.3	TDAQ	30				
	3.4	FELIX Read-Out Upgrade	32				
		3.4.1 FELiX Environment and Architecture	33				
		3.4.2 From on-detector to off-detector	35				

4	FLX-712 Commissioning 4.1 Tests Plans 4.2 Commissioning Status	41 41 51			
Π	ATLAS Phase-II upgrade	57			
5	Next ATLAS detector 5.1 New Goals of the Experiment 5.2 Inner Tracker 5.2.1 Pixel Detector 5.2.2 Strip Detector 5.3 High Granularity Timing Detector 5.4 Calorimeter 5.5 Muon Spectrometer	59 59 60 61 66 66 69 70			
6	TDAQ 6.1 TDAQ Baseline 6.2 HTT Evolved (L1-Track) 6.3 HTT Variant	73 73 80 83			
7	HTT Alternative Solutions 7.1 Hough Transform applied to ATLAS Phase-II	85 90 92 93 102 106			
Co	onclusion	117			
\mathbf{A}	Aurora 64b/66b	121			
в	FPGA	125			
С	Boundary Scan and JTAG	131			
D	D Vivado Eye Diagram 13				
Af	After the PhD period 14				

Introduction

Exploring the laws of particle physics is a science domain with a well determined procedure and general instrumentation known since decades: a particle generator (or accelerator) made by nature or human hands, a set of particle detectors and a system to acquire and analyze the information generated by the detectors. This procedure is forwarded, in the broad range of particle research applications, following the milestones required to extract essential data. In particular the experiments in High Energy Physics create and accelerate subatomic particles, restrict them to chosen range of energy and momentum, "shoot" them into specific locations such as inside a detector or against a target, make them follow a pre-determined path as for example through a natural rock building to absorb the unwanted fragments, extract energy, momentum, position and in the end transduce the information in a more readable way for our eyes and our minds. All of these activities have to follow up with the technological advancement to achieve the physics goals, advancement in terms of new particle sensors and new technology detectors. Moreover, part of the technological advance includes most recent digital devices such as FPGA and CPU, more performing data transmission cables, most recent electronics and latest design methodologies and strategies.

The research area of this thesis covers High Energy Physics (HEP), in particular the upgrade of the experiment known as ATLAS (A Toroidal LHC ApparatuS), placed at the CERN international laboratories, Switzerland, which exploits the vast features and performance of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). Hundreds of activities, researches and developments, commissioning and control operations are necessary to keep the experiment in the forefront physics research and technological advancement worldwide.

From the sensor side, many features are driving the research and development: the radiation damage resistance, the output data rate, the time and spatial resolution. The future challenge scoped by the hardware requires a radiation tolerance to total ionizing dose up to 50 to even 100 MRad in the ATLAS nearest beam pipe zones. Undesired conditions such as a single event effect, causing a Flip Flop upset or a CMOS current vertically increasing because of total ionizing dose, are well known. The detector output bandwidth is another crucial parameter to keep up with the increasing pileup and luminosity of the accelerators. This is obtained by forcing the front-end readout chips to go further the Gb/s. In this case too the new nm technologies are of extreme importance. In particular the research applies the so-called heterogeneity by exploiting many types of hardware devices as CPU, custom ASIC, GPU and FPGA. Commercial hardware has reached now 14 nm of transistor technology, starting also the first steps in the 7 nm field of performances. The new technology allows data stream of Tb/s for readout cards or over 10 Gb/s per data lane.

The field of application of this thesis includes a technological development since it uses FPGA based data acquisition systems. In addition, the field includes quality control for commissioning 20 nm FPGA based card with capability of forefront transmission technologies as optical links over 100 Gb/s and PCI Express Gen 3 bus. Moreover this thesis presents a FPGA based algorithm to compute information generated by several ATLAS sub-detectors, implementing trigger functionalities and increasing the discrimination capabilities. The reward of these are the new detecting and readout capability of the detector becoming able to increase its resolution, its discriminant power and the information related to an event. All these aims are accompanied with data triggering strategies which can manage more data.

The thesis describes part of my three-year experience as PhD student of the Bologna (IT) University, related to this experiment. This thesis is divided in two parts, respectively for the ATLAS Phase-I and Phase-II upgrade. The first two chapters are a description of the current working conditions of LHC and ATLAS, with their achievements and plans for the future. Then the first part starts describing the work done in the Trigger and Data Acquisition (TDAQ) system upgrade during the Long Shutdown 2. In the third chapter there is a description of the upgrades operated in this period at the ATLAS sub-detectors. In chapter 4 there is a description of the Front-End Link eXchange (FELIX) system, its roles in the TDAQ upgrade and my work in it. Then the part 2 starts with a chapter (5) describing the upgrades for which the ATLAS sub-detectors are planned to undergo in the Long Shutdown 3, after Run 3. Chapter 6 describes the planned strategies for the Phase-II TDAQ system and finally Chapter 7 discusses a Phase-II ATLAS TDAQ tracking trigger alternative solution with the work done by me for a proposal of a Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) based solution of a latency controlled trigger system.

Chapter 1 LHC

The Large Hadron Collider (LHC, [1]) is a 27.6 Km two-rings superconducting proton/ion accelerator. It is located in the CERN (Centre Europeén Recherche Nucleáire) institute, crossing the Switzerland/France border (Figure 1.1). Thousands of researchers, engineers, technicians, students and others work to keep it the most powerful particle accelerator in the world. Over the last twelve years this apparatus has made our knowledge of the physics rules deeper and stronger, reinforcing its importance in the history of science. In the next sections the structure, the parameters, the achievements and the road-map of this particle accelerator are described.



Figure 1.1: LHC position between France and Switzerland border.

1.1 LHC Structure

LHC was officially inaugurated on 21 October 2008, after a month and a half from the first proton successfully fired along the entire circuit. It was installed in the pre-existing cave built for the Large Electron-Positron collider (LEP), 100 m underground. Because the particles used in the experiments are charged, a high frequency electromagnetic field is used to accelerate protons or lead ions $(^{208}Pb^{82+})$. These particles are initiated in other apparatuses before reaching the LHC. For the protons these are: the linear accelerator LINAC2 (LINear ACcelerator 2), a Proton Synchrotron Booster (PSB), a Proton Synchrotron (PS) which besides accelerating the particles it also conveys them into packets and in the end a Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS). In the pre-LHC chain after extracting the protons from a small H_2 silos, these are sent to the LINAC2 and speed-up to 50 MeV. Then the beam created is injected in the PSB at 1 Hz and accelerated up to 1.4 GeV/proton. After this the beam enters the PS and is accelerated from 1.4 to 25 GeV/proton and then they are ready to go to the SPS where the proton ray is increased in energy until 450 GeV/proton. For the lead ions [33] instead there are, before entering the LHC, a linear accelerator called Linac3 taking the heavy ions at an energy of 4.5 MeV/nucleon and a Low Energy Ion Ring (LIER) accelerating Pb ion at 72 MeV/nucleon. Then they enter the PS and follow the same path as the proton before entering LHC reaching an initial energy 5.9 GeV/nucleon and then 177 GeV per nucleon. In the last ride, LHC accelerates Pb ions at 1.38 TeV per nucleon. Figure 1.2 shows LHC chain.

The last step of this chain, before the collision, is given by the LHC operations. Two 26,7 km rings form the major structure where the proton packets run in opposite verse each other. The acceleration of the charged particles is fulfilled by a set of Radio Frequency (RF) cavities, which also let to compensate the synchrotron energy loss. This is a phenomenon to be avoided as much as possible because provokes a relevant loss of energy. In fact this was one of the major motive to a proton-proton collider. This emission happens when a charged particle is accelerated in a circular collider, generating an electromagnetic radiation emission. Studying the formula

$$\frac{dE}{dt} \propto \frac{E^4}{m^4 R^2} \tag{1.1}$$

it can be seen that the amount of loss is driven proportionally by the energy of the particle raised to the fourth power, making the loss very important at high energies. Fortunately, because the loss is reduced by the mass of the particle by a four exponential, the use of protons instead of electrons and positrons ($mass_{prot} \sim 2000 \cdot mass_e$) lets to better control and reduce the loss. Besides accelerating them, the packets need to be held in a circular motion and to be focused in all the directions. To keep the packets in the circular track a set of 1232 Niobium-Titanium superconducting dipole magnets is used, each one able to produce a magnetic field of 8.3 T. The superconductivity is essential to reach the magnetic field needed and consequently



Figure 1.2: LHC acceleration chain and experiments.

to achieve the energy required in the center-of-mass of the collision, 14 TeV. To focus the protons perpendicularly to the beam pipe a set of 858 quadrupole magnets, put one after another and perpendicularly with respect to the poles, is used. This setup compresses the packets in the two directions perpendicular to the motion, alternately, because the quadrupole magnets are always in couplet rotated of 90°. Furthermore, other multi-pole magnets are used all over LHC. The RF cavities focus the packets along the beam-pipe. The particles fly in vacuum chambers inserted in a long mechanical tunnel, part of the LEP before LHC. This type of structure wasn't well suited for LHC design because of the one-ring architecture of LEP, especially for the magnets. But this was solved using twin bore magnets, consisting in two sets of coils. The low temperature of 2 K required is given by liquid Helium. Figure 1.3 shows the section of LHC.



Figure 1.3: Section of the LHC beam pipe.

1.2 LHC Parameters

LHC has been designed to feature an Instantaneous Luminosity of $10^{34}cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ and a center of mass energy of 14 TeV. The protons, after all the pre-LHC chain, reach an energy of 450 GeV/proton and are merged in packets not initially focused at the required level. Each packet contains sort of 10^{11} protons. This feature is very important to reach a number of collisions between protons per bunch-crossing (pileup) as high as possible (~ 15 - 50 along Run 1 and 2, ~ 150 - 200 targeted for High Luminosity LHC). The focusing inside LHC, also increasing the number of collisions, allows to establish an important characteristic at which all the detectors using LHC need to conform, the 40 MHz collider frequency. To summarize, two ~ 10^{11} proton packets at the same energy and speed, direction and opposite verse, collide every 25 ns. Each packets is 16 μm squeezed radially and 30 cm long. Because of the accelerator, the energy reached at the collision center-of-mass is given by relativistic formulation, due to the speed gained by the protons in the acceleration chain, 0.999999991 of the speed of light. The Lorentz factor is 7460. Today the energy reached is 13 TeV for the p-p collisions. The Instantaneous Luminosity \mathcal{L} , which expresses the collider performance, is defined as

$$\mathcal{L} = f \frac{n_1 \cdot n_2}{4\pi \cdot \sigma_x \cdot \sigma_y} \tag{1.2}$$

where

- n_i is the number of particles in the accelerator;
- *f* is the revolution frequency of the bunches;
- σ_x, σ_y is related to the transverse dimensions of the beam.

The 1.2 can be also written as

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{N_b^2 \cdot n_b \cdot f_{rev} \cdot \gamma_r}{4\pi \cdot \epsilon_n \cdot \beta^*} F$$
(1.3)

where

- n_b is the number of bunches inside the ring;
- N_b is the number of particles per bunch;
- f_{rev} is the revolution frequency of the bunches in the accelerator;
- γ_r is the relativistic Lorentz factor of the particles;
- ϵ_n is the normalized transverse beam emittance;
- β^* is the beta function of the collision point;
- F is the geometric luminosity reduction factor, due to the crossing angle of the two beams at the interaction point.

Conceptually, L represents the capability of the apparatus to generate physics events, basing on the energy and density of the particles. This parameter, along with the run time and the cross section of the relevant physics event searched for σ , drive the number of searched events produced in a Run. With these ingredients we can calculate the Integrated Luminosity

$$L = \int_{\Delta t} \mathcal{L} \cdot dt \tag{1.4}$$

which coupled with the cross section gives the total number of events in a Run $N_e = L \cdot \sigma_e$.

1.3 LHC Physics Achievements and Future Plans

[1][7][12][34] After having described the structure and primary parameters of LHC we now overview the road-map of this apparatus, including a description of the main experiments, and their relative detectors. The focus will be on the topic of this thesis, the ATLAS experiment. The accelerator timeline is divided in the socalled Runs and Long Shutdowns, where the former are the working periods of the collider and data taking by the experiments, while the latter represents the stop periods due to upgrades required by the accelerator and detectors. In the first period of operation (Run1) LHC instantaneous luminosity reached $7.7 \cdot 10^{33} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and the protons energy ranged from 900 GeV up to 8 TeV. The bunch crossing time was 50 ns, double with respect to the design specifications. Energy and \mathcal{L} were over the half of the target features, very promising at that time. With these parameters the Higgs boson was observed (2012). Run 1 concluded at the beginning of 2013, and was followed by the Long Shutdown 1. This period was characterized by a discrete amount of work on the collider, for example the consolidation of the magnet interconnections. This was worthy because in Run 2 (2015-2019) almost all the design parameters were achieved, with \mathcal{L} of $1.58 \cdot 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$, thanks to a bunch crossing period of 25 ns and an energy reached at the center-of-mass collision of 13 TeV. Today's phase is the Long Shutdown 2, which will go on until 2021. In this period the Linac2 will upgrade to Linac4, to reach a design energy of 14 TeV and \mathcal{L} above design specification, $\sim 2 - 3 \cdot 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$. All these features should bring a 300 fb^{-1} of Integrated Luminosity during Run 3 (2021-2023). After that, the Long Shutdown 3 (2023-2026) will inaugurate the High Luminosity LHC project, with the technological follow up of $5 - 7 \cdot 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ of \mathcal{L} . This could be achieved by enhancing the bending magnetic field from 8.33 to 13 T. The 14 TeV threshold will not be surpassed and HL-LHC project should allow at the end of Run 4 an integrated luminosity of 3000 fb^{-1} . All above is summarized in Figure 1.4 and



Figure 1.4: LHC road map.

Table 1.1

Parameters	Nominal LHC	Nominal HL-LHC
n _b	2808	2748
Number of protons per bunch $[x10^{11}]$	1.15	2.2
Events per proton crossing	27	140
Beam energy at collision	7	7

Table 1.1: Today LHC parameters and future targets.

On the detector side, an overview of three main experiments will be shown in the following lines, while ATLAS will be described in the next chapter.

1.3.1 CMS

Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS) [35] is a general purpose detector designed to study principally the new physics frontiers as physics beyond the Standard Model and some precision measurements as the top and Higgs boson properties. The detector and sub-detector structure is the same as ATLAS, but different technologies are used. The magnets system that curve the charged particle to study their momentum is a 4 T solenoid confined by a steel yoke. In the last decade CMS forwarded its purpose achieving the discovery of the new excited neutral Ξ_b^{0*} baryon in 2011 [36], the Higgs boson in 2012 and its decay in a bottom pair in 2018 [37].

1.3.2 ALICE

A Large Ion Collider Experiment (ALICE) [38] is a specific detector developed to study quark-gloun plasma state of matter. It uses heavy-ion collisions besides proton collisions. Its structure is not symmetric but conveyed to one direction. Together with the measuring of the highest temperature ever produced by humanity, ALICE helped in the advancing of the knowledge of the Quantum Chromo Dynamic (QCD), Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP) and of the rarest states of matter.

1.3.3 LHCb

Large Hadron Collider beauty (LHCb) [39] is a completely asymmetric detector using LHC to study one of the most important phenomena in physics, the Charge-Parity (CP) violation. It is based on target collisions differently from the other major experiments at CERN. In fact, its structure is not an onion-shape as the others but it is made by a series of detectors one after another, completely structured forwardly. LHCb in the last decades, even collaborating with the other experiments, contributed to many important achievements as the discovery of a penta-quark [43], the observation of new Ξ baryons [40] and studied in the Super Symmetry parameter space. 10

Chapter 2 ATLAS

ATLAS [3] is a multi-purpose experiment with the detector situated in a place known as Point 1 at CERN. It has a symmetrical shape onion construction formula tuned for the proton-proton collisions. The today upgrade in the current Long Shutdown 2 is called Phase-I. Its future achievements will be used as case study during the Long Shutdown 3, in the Phase-II upgrade. These will be the second and third upgrades that ATLAS will go through and new sub-detectors will be added to the structure. The detector architecture now is described. The next chapter will be dedicated to the planned Run 3 ATLAS sub-detectors and trigger.

2.1 ATLAS Overview

As mentioned before ATLAS is a symmetric cylindrical detector built around the LHC collision Point 1, where each plane perpendicular to the beam pipe shows the same detecting technologies and configured according to the position. An ATLAS picture is shown in Figure 2.1. The target of the detecting chain is to reveal the time stamp and the spatial position of an event vertex and of the generated particles and to extract their momentum and energy. According to these parameters then it is decided, inside a time-window, if a relevant physics event occurred. The coordinate system used in the experiment has its center "0,0,0", in X,Y and Z axis, in the theoretical Interaction Point (IP) where proton bunches collide. The beam line represents the Z axis. The plane perpendicular to the beam pipe, the X-Y plane, is called "transverse plane" and it's fundamental in the kinetic study due to the conservation law of physics observable such as the transverse momentum p_t and energy E_t . This lets to recover from the data analysis important information such as the missing transverse energy E_t^{miss} . The coordinate formulation used in this case are the polar one with r as the distance to the IP, the azimuth angle ϕ as the one with the X axis and the polar angle θ as the one with the Z axis. Figure 2.2 shows the coordinate system. This system gives us the possibility to define Lorentz-invariant



Figure 2.1: Scheme of the ATLAS experiment detector.



Figure 2.2: Description of the ATLAS coordinate system.

variables as rapidity y (invariant for Z axis transformations)

$$y = \frac{1}{2} ln \left(\frac{E + p_l}{E - p_l} \right) \tag{2.1}$$

where p_l is the particle linear momentum. Others important system units are the angular separation between two particles expressed as rapidity done by

$$\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} \tag{2.2}$$

and the *pseudorapidity*

$$\eta = -\ln\left[\tan\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right] \tag{2.3}$$

. This representation makes possible to identify the particle position using η, ϕ and Z in a new Lorentz-invariant coordinate system, which also allows to calculate the distance between two particles with

$$\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} \simeq \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$$
(2.4)

in case of particle with speed of light approximation. The steps applied by ATLAS to the HEP general purpose research is schematized in Figure 2.3. Each ATLAS sub-detector will be described in the next sections. The first one encountered by the new born particles is the Inner Detector system, whose architecture is structured with three sub-detectors developed to track charged particles: the Pixel Detector (PD), the SemiConductor Tracker (SCT), the Transition Radiation Tracker (TRT). After that the survived particles reach the calorimetric system, which measures the total energy of the particles and also tracks the neutral ones. These happen for the electromagnetic interacting particles in the Electromagnetic Calorimeter and for the hadronic interacting particles in the Hadronic Calorimeter. The last sub-detector is the Moun Spectrometer (MS), that is composed of the Monitored Drift Chamber (MDC), the Cathode Strip Chamber (CTC), the Thin Gap Chamber (TGC) and the Resistive Plate Chamber (RPC). These have been developed to measure part of the track and the total energy of muons, which have a low $\frac{dE}{dx}$. At last a structure of magnets shown in Figure 2.7 deflects the charged particles to measure their momentum and identify them. It is composed by two sets, one in the MS and one in the rest of the detector.

2.2 Inner Detector

The ATLAS Inner Detector (ID) task is to identify the tracks of the charged particles, especially for those with a short life-time, otherwise the information about them would be lost before reaching the calorimeters. The ID information allow to further retrieve the position of the first and secondary interaction vertex positions. The



Figure 2.3: Scheme of the ATLAS detecting chain.



Figure 2.4: Section of the Pixel Detector with the distances of the sub-detectors and layers from the LHC beam pipe.

ID structure is shown in Figure 2.4 , describing a system architecture based on a cylindrical redundancy of several layers of three sub-detectors, each one pointing to the LHC beam pipe.

- Pixel Detector (PD): the most internal detector covers a $|\eta| < 2.5$ and is one of the most precise tracker of ATLAS. It is composed of four layers based on semiconductor technology of different types: Insertable B-Layer, B-Layer, Layer-1 and Layer-2. The first was added in 2014 during the Phase-0 upgrade, is segmented of FE-I4 Silicon pixel sensor chips and distance from the beam pipe 33.25 mm. The other three are the original ones, are located respectively at 50.5, 88.5 and 122.5 mm and track particle using the FE-I3 pixel sensor.
- Semiconductor Tracker (SCT): together with the PD, the SCT is one of the most precise tracker of ATLAS. It is based on microstrip technology, allowing a better resolution along the privileged coordinate. It is composed of four cylinders in the barrel region covering $|\eta| < 1.1 1.4$ and by 2 end-caps, consisting of nine disks covering $1.1 1.4 < |\eta| < 2.5$. SCT allows 17 μm of resolution along the R- ϕ direction and 580 μm along the Z axis. The barrel region is structured with eight layers of silicon microstrips. The sensor technology is placed on modules. These modules have a surface of 6.36 x 6.40 cm^2 and they are mounted on carbon-fibers cylinders at different radii and

include 768 readout strips. The end-cap region uses tapered strips with one set aligned radially.

• Transition Radiation Tracker (TRT): this tracker is made by 1.43 m long barrel layers and by two end-caps. The technology used is based on 420000 carbon-polymide straw detectors filled with a set of gas mixture: $Xe(70\%) - CO_2(27\%) - O_2(3\%)$. The particles interact with the detector due to their speed near c, emitting transition radiation passing from one material to another with an intensity depending by the Lorentz factor γ . This detector, thanks to the high number of straws, achieved a high tracking capability.

Detector	Hits/track	Element size	Hit resolution $[\mu m]$
Pixel, $ \eta < 2.5$		50 x 400 μm^2 (B0, L1, L2)	
4 barrel layers	3		$10(R - \phi), 115 (Z)$
$2 \ge 3$ end-cap disks		$50 \ge 250 \ \mu m^2$ (IBL)	$10(R - \phi), 115 (R)$
SCT, $ \eta < 2.5$			
4 barrel layers	8	$50 \ \mu m$	$17(R - \phi), 580 (Z)$
$2 \ge 9$ end-cap disks			$17(R - \phi), 580 (R)$
TRT, $ \eta < 2.0$			
73 barrel tubes	~ 30	d = 4 mm, l = 144 cm	130/straw
160 end-cap tubes		d = 4 mm, l = 37 cm	

Table 2.1 shows the spatial resolutions of these three sub-detectors.

Table 2.1: Hit resolutions of the Inner Detector region.

2.3 Calorimeters

All the surviving particles that pass through the Inner Detector, except neutrinos, interact with the calorimeter system and, excluding muons, are completely absorbed by the structure. This gives us information on the neutral particles tracks and most importantly the calorimeters measure the total energy of the interacting products. A picture of it is shown in Figure 2.5

2.3.1 Electromagnetic Calorimeter

The high-granularity Liquid-argon (Lar) electromagnetic sampling calorimeter (EM-CAL) was developed to measure particles, such as electrons, photons, π_0 , interacting with electromagnetic showers. The EM calorimeter is divided in a barrel and in an end-cap region. The first covers a $|\eta| < 1.475$ and the second is composed by two coaxial wheels where the outer covers $1.375 < |\eta| < 2.5$ and the inner most one copes with $2.5 < |\eta| < 3.2$. The EM calorimeter is 22 radiation lengths (X_0) deep in the barrel region and > 24 in the end-caps. These because > 99% of the shower energy

2.3. CALORIMETERS



Figure 2.5: Picture of the ATLAS Calorimeter system.

is emitted at the most in 20 X_0 . The detector is based on a lead-Lar structure with accordion-shaped kapton electrodes, which geometry gives a complete ϕ symmetry without azimuth cracks; also lead absorption plates are all over the detector. The good energy resolution and the intrinsic radiation hardness justify the reasons of the liquid Argon choice. The region of $|\eta| < 2.5$ is segmented in three parts, where the first layer is granulated finely in η to achieve a high photon-neutral pion separation. In the barrel region it is possible to discriminate photons and electrons between ~ 5 GeV and ~ 5 TeV. The resolution achievable in the barrel and end-cap region is

$$\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \frac{9.4\%}{\sqrt{E(GeV)}} \oplus 0.1\% \tag{2.5}$$

where 9.4 % is the stochastic term and 0.1 % is the constant one. The energy response is linear within 0.1 %. There is a "grey zone" in $1.37 < |\eta| < 1.52$ not used for precision measurements because of the presence of the barrel-endcap transition zone, where the material reaches 7 X_0 .

2.3.2 Hadronic Calorimeter

The Hadronic Calorimeter (HCAL) purpose is the same as the EMCAL with a different elementary force targeted: the Strong force and in particular each particle interacting with hadronic jets. In this case, for example, the study of the missing

transverse momentum can be done. The HCAL is divided in three sub-detectors using each one LAr technology:

• Hadronic Tile Calorimeter: its pseudorapidity range is $|\eta| < 1.7$. This scintillatortile calorimeter is composed of one central barrel and two smaller extended one at each side of the biggest cylinder. The covered interaction length is respectively 4.0, 1.4, and 1.8. This detector uses steel as absorber and scintillating tiles as active material. The energy response to isolate charged pions (this type of particle was used during the test beam) for combined Lar and tile calorimeter was measured as

$$\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \frac{52\%}{\sqrt{E(GeV)}} \oplus 3\% \tag{2.6}$$

• Hadronic End-Caps Calorimeter: hadronic and electromagnetic particles are detected in this region by applying Lar sensing technology. Copper is used as absorber, with a flat-plate geometry. The energy resolution, always for isolated pions is

$$\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \frac{71\%}{\sqrt{E(GeV)}} \oplus 1.5\% \tag{2.7}$$

• Forward calorimeter: this block provides hadronic and EM measurements. The absorbers are made of copper for the first layer and tungsten for the other two. The energy resolution for isolated pions was measured as

$$\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} = \frac{94\%}{\sqrt{E(GeV)}} \oplus 7.5\% \tag{2.8}$$

2.4 Muon Spectrometer

As mentioned before, some particles as neutrinos are not detected, but can be studied via the missing energy in the detectors regions. Muons can be detected directly, but their very low dE/dx makes necessary the use of dedicated apparatus, even because the calorimeter system doesn't absorb all the muons energy. For ATLAS the Muon Spectrometer (MS) scope is detecting energy and momentum of muons and, alongside the calorimeters, represents the trigger controller signal of the entire experiment. The spectrometer, shown in Figure 2.6, is composed by four sub-detectors, making it the most sized apparatus of ATLAS. The sub-detectors are furtherly internally divided depending on their purpose:

Precision Chamber

- Monitored Drift Tubes: drift chambers of two layer drift tubes, with 30 mm diameter aluminum walls filled with Ar at 93 % and CO_2 at 7 %.



Figure 2.6: Overview of the ATLAS Muon Spectrometer.

The detector's specialty is in z coordinate precise measurement in the barrel region, where it covers $|\eta| < 2$. 80 μ m of resolution are achieved. The detection measures the drift time of the particle in a single tube to estimate the position.

– Cathode Strip Chambers: multi-wire chambers with strip cathodes for the measurements of muon momentum. The wires are composed of parallel anodes which are perpendicular to 1 mm large strips of opposite polarity. The anode-cathode distance covers the space between the anode wires, typically 2.5 mm, with a time resolution of 7 ns and a corresponding spatial resolution of 60 μm and O(10⁻²) m, respectively in the ϕ direction and η . The pseudorapidity covered is $1.0 < |\eta| < 2.7$.

Trigger Chambers

- Thin Gap Chambers: a very thin multi-wire chamber placed in the endcap region. The anode-cathode spacing is smaller than the anode-anode one, leading to a very short drift time (< 20 ns). As a resolution of 4 ns is required, and to achieve them the TGC works in saturation regime. The chambers are filled with a gas mixture of 55 % of CO_2 and 45 % of n-pentane C_5H_{12} , very quencing. In the radial direction and ϕ coordinate the spatial resolution is 4 mm and 5 mm respectively; furthermore these chambers allow a better measurements along ϕ coordinate from the precision chambers.
- Resistive Plate Chambers: these apparatus are made of gaseous parallel electrode-plate, and reach a spatial resolution of 1 cm in the two coordi-

nates and a time resolution of 1 ns. Pick-up strips read out the rectangular layer system, two orthogonal strips each rectangle. η is recovered by strips parallel to MDT wires while ϕ by orthogonal ones. An electric field of 4.9 kV/mm allows the formation of the avalanche generated by the muon. The signal is read out by both sides of the chamber through capacitive coupling strips. RPC and TGC cover $|\eta| < 2.4$.

2.4.1 Magnetic System

Figure 2.7 represents a scheme of the complete magnet curving system of ATLAS.



Figure 2.7: Graphical view of the ATLAS magnet system.

Along all the detector it curves the charged particles generated to measure their curvature radius, in order to extract their momentum. Its structure is divided in three sections: the central solenoid, the barrel toroid and two end-cap toroids. The first is between ID and the calorimeters, with a shape of 5.3 m long and 2.4 m of diameter, producing 2 T at 4.5 K. The barrel toroid is composed of eight flat super-conducting race-track coils, 25.3 m long; it produces 4 T operating at 4.5 K. The magnetic end-cap toroids are positioned inside the barrel toroid, as shown in Figure 2.7, and produce 4 T with 4.7 K. It is tilted of 22.5° with respect to the barrel toroid coil to optimize the bending power by radially overlap the two coil systems.

2.4.2 Forward Detectors

Figure 2.8 shows the complex infrastructure of the ATLAS Forward Detector.

• LUminosity measurement using Cherenkov Integrating Detector (LUCID) is a Cherenkov counter divided in two detectors symmetrically positioned in the two forward regions. To monitor the luminosity, the Cherenkov light is detected by 16 photomultipliers in each region, light emitted by quartz crystals.



Figure 2.8: Position and scheme of the three ATLAS forward detectors.

- Zero-Degree Calorimeter (ZDC) is a neutron detector for the forward region. Placed in both sides of ATLAS, it is composed of an electromagnetic module, three hadronic modules (made by tungsten as absorber and quartz rods for the energy detection) and photomultipliers at the end. It covers $|\eta| > 8.3$.
- ATLAS Forward Proton (AFP) is placed in the two forward sides of the detector, exploiting a 3D silicon tracker and a time-of-flight apparatus to measure the momentum and the energy of forward protons.
- Absolute Luminosity for ATLAS (ALFA) is the furthest sub-detector of AT-LAS, 237 m from the IP. It exploits staggered layers of scintillating fibers shaped as squares and photomultiplier tubes to measure pp scattering at small angles.

2.5 ATLAS Trigger

The Research and Development activity that I personally did through this thesis work is related to the future ATLAS Trigger and Data Acquisition (TDAQ) system. In this section an overview of the present TDAQ is described. A description of the future versions for Run 3 and 4 is presented and explained in the next sections.

ATLAS Run 2 Trigger is a two-level structure with the fundamental task of reducing the data to be saved at the end of the Data AcQuisition (DAQ) system of the experiment. This is done by extrapolating only the information related to the "relevant" events studied in that particular moment. A scheme of the trigger



architecture is shown in Figure 2.9. As already said, during the run many events

Figure 2.9: ATLAS TDAQ system.

are processed by the DAQ of the whole detector. The entire set of the events can't be saved because it would require a memory not compatible with the storage technologies used as hard disk, tapes and their costs of production and maintenance (about hundreds of PetaBytes (PB) of data produced per year) would be too high. Thus only few events can go through all the DAQ chain and be stored for further analysis. The trigger starts a signal by the Muon Spectrometer and the Calorimeter system together. In the first level (L1), hardware based, the first subsystems working together are the L1 calorimeter (L1Calo) and the L1 muon spectrometer (L1Muon). Then the data are processed and the Central Trigger Processor (CTP) sends a Level-1 Accept (L1A) signal to the front-end readout electronics. In this the Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillators (MBTS), the LUCID Cherenkov counter and the ZDC are involved too. This set of sub-detectors gives to the trigger signatures as high-p_t muons, electrons/photons, jets, τ leptons decaying into hadrons and missing transverse energy. The data passing through the hardware discrimination undergo the ReadOut Driver (ROD) structure which applies fragment building and associated error detection, data checking, transformation and monitoring. Then the data are received by a readout device called Read-Out System (ROS) which sends the information to the High-Level-Trigger(HLT), a processor farm exploiting 28k CPU to rapidly investigate the Region-of-Interest (RoI) identified by the L1. The RoI analysis is based on the data received and by the reconstruction of regional tracks, using in this second process all the information coming from all the detectors in the selected RoI. After these on-the-fly stages, the data from the accepted events are sent to the local storage at the experimental site and lastly exported to the Tier-0 facility at CERN's computing center for offline reconstruction. As mentioned, the trigger task is a first level of discrimination of the data needed to be saved in the Tier-0. The huge amount of storage required and the low writing speed on the last stages of data registering are overcome by reducing the frequency of the data coming from detectors. The L1 goes from 40 MHz to 100 kHz, with the data held in buffers and time stamped in the front-end circuit. The HLT achieves a further reduction to 0.4-1 kHz.

Part I ATLAS Phase-I Upgrade

Chapter 3

New Detector Features for Run 3

During the drafting of this thesis, the ATLAS experiment is in the middle of the LS1, event resulting in an upgrade of the detector in some sub-structures, including adding new sub-detectors. These changes are required for several reasons including:

- to test the DAQ system concept that will manage ATLAS during Run 4, the FELIX system;
- to extract more precise measurements of the Higgs Boson;
- to upgrade sub-detectors in anticipation of ATLAS Phase-II;
- to continue the research and study of new physics;

These targets will be mainly followed by an enhancement of the luminosity to $\sim 2 - 3 \cdot 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$ and focusing the upgrade on the Level-1 trigger. Detailed prospected features and scopes of them will be shown in the next sections.

3.1 New Small Wheel

The Muon Spectrometer will be modified mostly in the end-cap region, between 1.0 and 2.7 $|\eta|$. This change plans to solve two issues of the current sub-detector that could occur at high luminosity: the reduced acceptance of good muon tracking and a too high rate of false high-p_t L1 muon triggers coming from the forward direction. The upgrade foresees the substitution of the "Small Wheel" sub-detector in the inner end-cap region with the "New Small Wheel" [5][42], characterized by improved spatial and time resolution and capable of sustaining the increased particle rates. Table 3.1 shows the different expected L1 rates, with or without upgrade. Without any modification, today end-cap technology could reduce the detection efficiency for low p_t lepton signal of L1 trigger and a resulting loss of high quality tracking in this region (especially for high momentum, over 100 GeV). The NSW is expected to work at up to 15 kHz/cm² at $|\eta| \sim 2.7$ and the resolution at spatial level is targeted at 100 µm needed to maintain a p_t resolution at 1 TeV. The Figure 3.1 describes

L1MU threshold (GeV)	L1 rate (kHz)
$p_t > 20$	60 ± 11
$p_t > 40$	29 ± 5
$p_t > 20$ barrel only	7 ± 1
$p_t > 20$ with NSW	22 ± 3
$p_t > 20$ with NSW and EIL4	12 ± 2

Table 3.1: ATLAS L1 rates of muon threshold events in case of upgrade and not.



Figure 3.1: Scheme of the New Small Wheel detector technology.

the technology of the sub-detector based on micro mesh gaseous structure. Here a muon crosses a thin wireless gaseous detector, a planar drift electrode generates the ionization producing positive and negative couples, where the negative particle are then attracted by the electrostatic field in the amplification area generated by the metallic mesh, directing the amplification products to the readout electronics. The NSW detector is composed of two wheels, each one of eight sectors in the front and back side, totally of 32 sectors. Two MicroMegas Wedges packed in two Small-Strip TGCs (STGCs) compose one sector, where each one is made of 93 % Ar and 7 % CO_2 , reaching 10 MΩ/cm of resistivity and 10^4 of amplification factor.

3.2 Liquid Argon Calorimeter

The improvement of the L1 trigger will also be pursued by upgrading the Liquid Argon Calorimeter (LAr) [6] read-out. The goals to fulfill are better granularity, resolution and more longitudinal shower information during the trigger processes. The 10-fold increase in granularity proposed shown in Figure 3.2 allows a better energy study for the electrons, as shown. The proposed granularity is called "Super Cell" granularity, and Table 3.2 shows the targeted values in terms of pseudo-rapidity and azimuth angle ϕ . This change enables the use of shower-shape variables for a more effective identification of electrons, photons and τ leptons and to sharpen the electromagnetic (EM), jet and E_T^{miss} efficiency turn-on curves. A new LAr Trigger
Φ



(b)

Layer 0 ΔηxΔΦ = 0.1x0.1

Layer 1 ΔηxΔΦ = 0.025x0.1

Layer 2 ΔηxΔΦ = 0.025x0.1

20

10

0

Figure 3.2: Scheme of a 70 GeV transverse momentum electron interacting with the existing L1 calorimeter trigger electronics (a) and with the proposed strategy (b).

	Elementary Cell	Trigger Tower		Super Cell	
Layer	$\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi$	$n_\eta imes n_\phi$	$\Delta \eta \times \Delta \phi$	$n_\eta \times n_\phi$	$\Delta\eta \times \Delta\phi$
0 - Presampler	0.025 x 0.1	4 x 1		4 x 1	0.1 x 0.1
1 - Front	$0.003125 \ge 0.1$	32 x 1	$0.1 \ge 0.1$	8 x 1	$0.025 \ge 0.1$
2 - Middle	0.025 x 0.1	4 x 4		1 x 4	$0.025 \ge 0.1$
3 - Back	0.05 x 0.025	2 x 4		2 x 4	0.1 x 0.1

Table 3.2: Phase-I LAr upgrade cells coverage for the various region of the sub-detector.

Digitizer Board (LTDB) read-out electronics has been developed and produced, which also includes the infrastructure due to the new Layer Sum Board and new Base Plane. The LTDB, shown in Figure 3.3, has been developed to adapt and



Figure 3.3: LAr Trigger Digitizer Board for the Phase-I LAr Calorimeter upgrade.

digitize the Super Cells signals.

3.3 TDAQ

The Trigger and Data Acquisition [7] system of ATLAS will undergo a particular upgrade that will add aimed technologies and strategies to fulfill the Run 3 requests and anticipate some upgrade operations for Run 4. These, along with the reasons mentioned before, are also due to the pile-up growth, with an expected number of interactions per bunch crossing up to 80 during Run 3. The current system, shown in Figure 2.9, will become a structure described by the scheme in Figure 3.4. The main parts to be upgraded are:

• the L1 Calorimeter Trigger electronics, which will include the new electron Feature EXtractor (eFEX), the jet Feature EXtractor (jFEX) and the global



Figure 3.4: Scheme of the ATLAS Phase-I TDAQ chain.

Feature EXtractor (gFEX) processors cards, respectively the hardware for electron-based, jet-based, large R-based trigger selection;

- the RODs and the optical plant;
- the L-1 Muon Trigger sector logic for the end-cap;
- the Central Trigger Processor (CTP) and the muon-to-CTP (MUCTPI);
- a new L1 Topological Processor, which will perform real-time event selection studying the interconnections between trigger objects (muons, jets, E_{miss} , electron and tau with variables such as H_T , M_{eff} and M_{inv});
- a new Tile Calorimeter muon trigger;
- a NSW trigger processor;

The new trigger functions have to be performed within 2.7 μ s. The upgrade will regard even the Read Out Software (ROS) with a hardware update. The Level-2 event builder and the High Level Trigger (HLT) will be merged in a HLT PC farm. One of the most relevant TDAQ upgrades is a new electronic readout card that will be used in the new detectors and it will be the readout electronic targeted for Phase-II. This is the FLX-712 card, develped by the Front-End Link EXchange (FELIX) [19] collaboration.

3.4 FELIX Read-Out Upgrade

The first Part of this thesis is a description of the general state of the experiment driving the LS1 operations and it mainly concerns my personal contribution to the ATLAS Phase-I upgrade. In more detail I have developed from scratch the setup and part of the tests for commissioning and production of the FELIX cards FLX-712. The three sectors that will exploit the new FELIX technology in the "off-detector region" are the NSW, part of the LAr and the TDAQ. The scheme of the NSW FELIX structure is described in Figure 3.5. Starting from the bottom, GBT E-



Figure 3.5: Overview of the NSW FELIX system.

links organized per function to apply and per FE chip connect on and off-detector areas through optical transmission. The 48 high-speed links of the FLX-712 then undergo a protocol processing and data organizing to send the front-end readout stream at the Components Off-The-Shelf (COTS) network switch. This manages the data transmission to the ROD, the FE configuration and the Detector Control System (DCS) reception of the FELIX cards, using a 40 Gb/s per lane ethernet network. A Busy and a Trigger and Timing Controller (TTC) nets are the bottleneck handler and synchronizer of the architecture. About the LAr, the FELIX system



enters in the LAr Digital Processing System (LDPS), the main interface between the FE and the TDAQ. Figure 3.6 shows the LDPS structure and its position in the

Figure 3.6: Scheme of the LAr Calorimeter readout for ATLAS Phase-I.

LAr architecture. The FE, in the left side of the picture, via the LTDB transmits data at 40 MHz to the off-detector electronics. The receiver is the LDPB which communicates with a PC farm for data monitoring via an ethernet network up to 40 Gb/s. It also talks with the FELIX system through GBT links and it is managed by a Partition Master (PM) PC for monitoring, reprogramming and configure it and by the TTC partition. The LTDB TTC configuration and monitoring is managed by another FELIX system to interface with the detector via GBT links. The FELIX blocks connect with the DCS ATLAS system and the one managing the front-end data is provided with a Busy signal for bottleneck control. For the TDAQ infrastructure, Figure 3.7 explains the role of the FELIX system in the data acquisition engine. It contains the two structures shown before of NSW and LAr. The next pages will describe the FELIX environment and the operations implemented for the production.

3.4.1 FELiX Environment and Architecture

The Front-End LInk eXchange (FELIX) system was born with the intent of delivering a generic, versatile, easy to setup and use DAQ architecture, by implementing common and configurable devices. These guidelines resulted in the development of



Figure 3.7: Overview of the ATLAS TDAQ upgrades for Phase-I focused on the FELIX readout.

a DAQ system based on a "evaluation-like" FPGA board with only two main I/O technologies: the high-speed optical fibers (up to 768 Gb/s) and the PCI Express Generation 3 (up to 120 Gb/s). The FPGA based readout card is connected to a PCI Express server. This development choice will lead, starting from the Phase-I and completing in the Phase II upgrade of ATLAS, to an unification of all the detector front-end DAQs. This project has been and is forwarded by a collaboration of many universities and laboratories such as Brookhaven National Laboratory (BNL), Amsterdam National Institute of Sub-atomic Physics (Nikhef), CERN and others. The current status of the project is the commissioning acceptance tests completion of the Phase-I hardware and software devices that will run on some of the Run 3 ATLAS new sub-detectors as described before.

3.4.2 From on-detector to off-detector

The area of activity of the FELIX system starts from the input and output of the front-end modules. These are carried out by devices that collect I/Os of the front-ends, using optical fiber cables to connect the on-detector, radiation tolerant, electronics to the off-detector zone. The data communication is bidirectional, where the data to the detector (transmission) manages the front-end chips control and configuration. This communication is implemented with the GigaBit-Transceiver (GBT) FPGA protocol. The reverse path (reception) acquires the data coming from the detector calibration and detection procedures, using a specific protocol called FULL Mode. Another mode, as shown above, is the transmission and reception handled by GBT FPGA protocol (for example the LTDB case). These two data protocols are performed by the high-speed I/Os of the read-out card FLX-712, shown in Figure 3.8. As said before this board is a custom FPGA based card developed to put in communication the front-end chips with the first DAQ servers. The highspeed I/Os to the front-end and the PCI Express (PCIe) Gen 3 to the DAQ PC are controlled by a Xilinx Kintex Ultrascale FPGA. Focusing on the FLX-712 hardware features, the card exploits:

- a Multi-fiber Termination Push-on (MTP) connector letting 48 optical channels in both directions, connected to the FPGA by 96 optical fibers merged in eight bundles. The transduction optical-to-electrical and vice versa is done by 8 12-channels devices named MiniPOD;
- a FPGA Kintex Ultrascale XCKU115-FLVF1924-2E;
- a PCIe Gen 3 16 lanes, with a bandwidth up to 120 Gb/s;
- a PCIe switch PEX8732, to connect the two 8 lanes PCIe separated firmware structures to the 16 lanes connector;
- 2 Gb flash memory to store the various firmware to reconfigure the FPGA functionality completely and a micro-controller ATMEGA324A to control the FPGA and flash memory re-configuration remotely via the DAQ PC SMBus;



Figure 3.8: Picture of the FLX-712. 1) FPGA Kintex Ultrascale, 2) MiniPOD, 3) PCIe Gen 3 connector, 4) MTP connector, 5) TTC mezzanine), 6) PCIe Switch, 7) Power manager.

- a Timing and Trigger Control (TTC) mezzanine to receive the LHC 40 MHz clock using an ADN2814 clock and data recovery, as clock stabilizer and cleaner, mounted mechanically on the board;
- 16 layers stack-up PCB;
- a stiffener metal plate mechanically mounted below the card to maintain it planar and absorb bowing and twisting tension. This is to avoid BGA and chip on board damaging;

Two versions of the FLX-712 have been developed, whose differ by the number of high-speed I/Os, 24 channels or 48. The FPGA firmware is structured as shown in Figure 3.9. Its purpose is to convert the data coming from any direction in the one of the three protocols depending on the scope:

- GBT FPGA, a 4.8 Gb/s CERN made protocol born from the GBTx ondetector protocol chip;
- FULL Mode, a 9.6 Gb/s custom made protocol developed inside the collaboration to achieve a bandwidth near to 10 Gb/s;
- the PCI Express, a proprietary very versatile protocol.

In more details, the PCIe is built partially with a hardware core in the FPGA fabric. The data coding is the standard 128b/130b, a very efficient code with a payload (percentage of bits used for the actual data, excluding the bits related to the managing) larger than 99 % (given by 128 bits over 130 bits). Today the FPGA



Figure 3.9: FLX-712 firmware scheme.

fabric implements two 8 lanes PCIe cores, which justifies the necessity of the PCIe switch to control concurrently the two cores and consequently all the PCIe capability.

The FLX-712 Phase-I firmware is a double architecture. Starting from the left side of Figure 3.9, there's the front-end protocols wrapper, which implements the data format and configures the high-performance transceivers. This block has a two-sided bidirectional I/O ports designed to connect the data/configuration parameters from/to the front-end with input/output of the system central block called *Central Router.* The Central Router applies the synchronization and buffering procedures needed to put in communication two different data bandwidth (FULL Mode or GBT FPGA with the PCIe) by instantiating a First-In First-Out (FIFO) structure. Besides this, the Central Router directs the data from and to all the channels for both sides (high-speed I/O and PCIe I/O). The two twin Central Routers communicate between them and are the only logic blocks driven by the TTC mezzanine. Concluding the description, the right block in Figure 3.9 represents the logic communicating directly with the PCIe fabric inside the FPGA. By contrast the custom developed core called *Wupper* is a Direct Memory Access (DMA) based PCIe structure which uses user-logic FIFO as buffer memory. Wupper is made by a DMA Control monitoring and directing the DMA descriptors and by a DMA Read and Write core acquiring and transmitting the data stream in both verses. The FELIX software suit block is shown in Figure 3.10. Its structure is composed of four cores:



Figure 3.10: Scheme of the FELIX environment from firmware (on the left) to the software.

- FELIX Low Level software: this is the architecture connecting the FELIX cards inserted in the PCIe connectors to the OS. Using custom PCIe designed drivers developed by the FELIX group it is possible to test and configure the FPGA firmware;
- FELIX Star: this is the central application of the FELIX system, managing the data transfer between one or more cards and the NetIO clients. It is a set of implemented software functions such as: a packet forwarding from/to the DAQ system to/from the front-ends, a tool to configure FELIX cards and

3.4. FELIX READ-OUT UPGRADE

GBT E-Links, a tool to gather statistics and performance, a tool to report operational status of the detector links and implement errors recovering;

- FELIX Bus: this core supports the communications between instances as FE-LIX Star and their clients, for example the Software ROD (SwROD). FE-LIX Bus can have any number of clients and it's used to publish information keeping all the subscribers updated to new communications and in case of un-subscriptions. It also distributes tables associating the E-Link with the host/port, as well as tables for monitoring purpose;
- NetIO: this is a message-based networking library functioning as the FELIX network stack, used for typical use cases in DAQ systems.

Chapter 4 FLX-712 Commissioning

[21][41]The number of FLX-712 cards produced so far to fulfill the Phase-I and Phase-II plans is 254. This amount of hardware has been produced by a private company to delegate to a contractor the big amount and type of high-performance instrumentation, operations and quality control tests to be performed. The production campaign of the new readout cards began on summer 2019, but the preparation of the board acceptance and qualification tests started over a year and half earlier. The following pages describe the reasons, the plans, the setup and the conclusion of the verification task. My personal contribution was to prepare the software tests as part of the whole suit and to control the hardware performance of the brand new FLX-712s. The quality checks covered all the hardware components of the FLX-712 from the PCB to the individual components, the soldering and mechanical joints. I also tested the functionality and performance of the whole card, including the long time emulated acquisition runs before and after to put the cards under extreme environmental conditions.

4.1 Tests Plans

The FLX-712 will have to run throughout all the Run 3 until the next upgrade. The connectivity of the front-end to the back-end of ATLAS is also built by considering the eventuality of problematic or unusable lanes. The necessity to replace one or more boards would require a stop in the data acquisition from that specific portion of the detector. The environmental parameters, such as the temperature and power voltage, will be monitored, to avoid possible failures causing some FLX-712s to break. So, by considering many of the cases that could happen, is fundamental being able to certify the quality of the hardware. The cards will also be used for testing the FELIX environment with the Phase-II new detectors. For this reason the cards have been tested to assure their stability and functioning for at least 5 years, possibly extended to 10. A particular care has been reserved to the performance of the high-end components such as the transceivers. For these components I have monitored the data flow during all the working time of the cards to ensure a very high performance.

I also have looked at the Bit Error Rate (BER), which represents the amount of erroneous bits divided by the number of total bits occurred during a data stream. In addition to this, the stability of the power supply, the accuracy of the clock sources and the functionality of the slow control architecture are crucial. Reliability and reproducibility of the FELIX performance were also monitored. The specifications validated by the contractor to first estimate the quality of the production were extended at CERN for product acceptance. These checks are described below.

- The PCB (Printed Circuit Board) quality needs to be set at the level of several standards: NADCAP (National Aerospace and Defense Contractors Accreditation Program) for the production, several IPC (Institute of Printed Circuit) and the Cleanliness Designator C-22 for the assembly and cleanliness, IPC and PCI-SIG PCI Express standards in the end for the finished product, which must pass and be certificated with a IPCs certification.
- The manufacturer must send the components and the PCB with the required certification attesting the standard controls.
- The storage of PCB and components must conform to strict standards which also specify the maximum time between the production and the soldering, in order to ensure their correct functioning after assembly.
- During the whole assembly and testing campaign, all electronic devices must go through operations with static and dynamic temperature conditions (baking and thermal cycles). The contractor must assure: the dehumidification of the devices with static temperature, the soldering of the components to the PCB using specific dynamic temperature curves defined by the specification, the testing of the hardware stability at high temperature increasing it of 10-15° C/min reaching 100-110 ° C. High temperature thermal cycles must also be applied between two or more functional tests, for example an eight hours data acquisition run, to check if the high temperature changes the device performance (this was done at CERN).
- X-ray inspection is performed by the contractor to study the quality of the PCB before and after the mounting operations. In particular this action is used to detect soldering defect especially for components, such as the FPGA, in a BGA (Ball Grid Array) package whose pins are underneath the component body and whose solder joints cannot be checked by the Automated Optical Inspection (AOI) tool.
- The contractor checks include the controls of the individual components and of the card connections before and after the mounting, before and after the first power-on. For example, it is important to check that specific pins of the level-shifters devices on-board are grounded or not. Also passive controls of current, voltage and resistance had to be done on the bare PCB. There are

4.1. TESTS PLANS

two methods to carry out these tests: manually by the company operators or using an instrument called Flying Probe, which acts on the bare PCB.

- Full Automated Optical Inspection (AOI) of the boards, automated control looking for catastrophic failure (missing components, insufficient solder joints, ect).
- Solder Paste Inspection must be carried out too.
- Bit Error Rate (BER) and eye-diagrams, performance tests of the high speed FPGA lanes must be run at the end of the previous tests.
- General functionality of the FLX-712 using a FELiX server and the FELiX Low Level software must be performed as the last step.

The two latter were prepared by the FELiX group, including myself, to ensure the basic functionality of the complete FLX-712 device immediately after the verifying that the card would be power up without issues. A manual of these two tests, including the FLX-712 mechanical assembly procedure and the passive components checks, has been prepared by me for the contractor. The BER has been designed to check the most performing technology of the XILINX Ultrascale FPGA, the transceivers. These are an Intellectual Property (IP) hardware components composed of many internal blocks as Phase-Locked-Loops, serializers and de-serializers, and others designed to reach over 16 Gb/s of data rate in the case of the FLX-712 FPGA. A scheme of this architecture is shown in Figure 4.1, where PLL represents Phase Locked Loop, CPLL is Channel PLL, related to a single transceiver lane and QPLL represents Quad PLL, managing the clocking of an entire quadruplet of transceivers. These high-speed lanes have been tested with two methods, as mentioned above: BER and eye diagram. The first consists on programming the FPGA to implement a data transmission in loop-back mode (where the data transmitted are received by the same FPGA) and checking out errors over the total bits sent. The GUI of the tool used (Vivado) to measure the BER of the serial links under test is shown in Figure 4.2. However the BER test doesn't give enough information on the performance of the serializers. In fact, the eve-diagram of each lane (24-48 channels per card or 24-48 lanes per board, for a total of about 254 FLX-712s) has been separately performed. The eye-diagram is a procedure to perform tests on the quality of a serial lane by studying the shape of the logic 0 and 1 of the data flow, usually using an oscilloscope. It consists of overlapping the 1 and 0 logic transitions and "measure" how much the "eye" of the data stream is opened. Figure 4.3 shows examples of bad and good eve-diagrams, by focusing on the wideness of the eye. In the case of XILINX technology, this test is hardwired in the FPGA and can be exploited using the Vivado tool. The diagram in Figure 4.4 provides the user with two information of quality: the shape of the eye and how stable it is in the various regions (raising-edge, falling edge, middle of the data, ect). The shape is expected to be vertically symmetric (up and down), it should be



Figure 4.1: Scheme of the transceivers architecture, showing the interconnections between four lanes.

∲-� MiniPod_3 (12)						
– S Link_0	MGT_X1Y16/TX	MGT_X1Y28/RX	9.598 Gbps	1.451E12	0E0	6.89E-13 🗲
–S Link_1	MGT_X1Y17/TX	MGT_X1Y29/RX	9.606 Gbps	1.452E12	0E0	6.888E-13 🔲
-% Link_2	MGT_X1Y19/TX	MGT_X1Y30/RX	9.606 Gbps	1.452E12	0E0	6.887E-13 🗲
-% Link_3	MGT_X1Y18/TX	MGT_X1Y31/RX	9.600 Gbps	1.452E12	0E0	6.885E-13 🔲
-% Link_4	MGT_X1Y0/TX	MGT_X1Y32/RX	9.600 Gbps	1.453E12	0E0	6.883E-13 🗲
– 🗞 Link_5	MGT_X1Y1/TX	MGT_X1Y33/RX	9.600 Gbps	1.453E12	0E0	6.882E-13 🔲
—% Link_б	MGT_X1Y2/TX	MGT_X1Y34/RX	9.604 Gbps	1.453E12	0E0	6.88E-13 🗲
–S Link_7	MGT_X1Y3/TX	MGT_X1Y35/RX	9.596 Gbps	1.454E12	0E0	6.879E-13 🔲
-% Link_8	MGT_X1Y5/TX	MGT_X1Y36/RX	9.596 Gbps	1.454E12	0E0	6.877E-13 🞑
— 🗞 Link_9	MGT_X1Y6/TX	MGT_X1Y37/RX	No Link	1.456E12	6.863E11	4.713E-1 🔲
-% Link_10	MGT_X1Y7/TX	MGT_X1Y38/RX	9.595 Gbps	1.455E12	0E0	6.874E-13 匚
└S Link_11	MGT_X1Y4/TX	MGT_X1Y39/RX	9.604 Gbps	1.455E12	0E0	6.872E-13 🔲

Figure 4.2: Screenshot from Vivado tool of the test preparation results, here showing the interface to study the BER of the transceivers lanes. The first and second columns show the names of the loop-back links, the third (green) the data throughput, the fourth the total bits sent, the fifth the amount of erroneous bits, the sixth the BER value. "No Link" is an hardware type error occurring when the cable connection is not functioning well.



Figure 4.3: Examples of a good (up) and bad (down) eye diagram from an oscilloscope.



Figure 4.4: Image of one of the eye diagram generated by Vivado during the tests preparation. The units translation is 2 mV for 1 Voltage (Codes) and 1 bandwidth period for 1 Unit Interval (in this case 1 UI = 104 ps). The color legend of the BER value in a specific spot Voltage/time goes from dark blue (10^{-9}) to dark red (3.6×10^{-1}) .

wide open, with the right side more stretched because of the electronic required by the receiver to build the data to draw the eye diagram, shown in Figure 4.5 (and explained in more depth in Appendix D). It is possible to choose the granularity of the eye and calculate, in terms of BER, the stability of each point with coordinate time over voltage (as an oscilloscope would do). The BER values targeted for the eye quality are 10^{-5} for the first start-up of the card, to have quickly a first view of the high-speed transceivers quality, and 10^{-9} for a deeper investigation. Figure 4.4 describes the eye-diagram BER too. The accepted opening of the eye for the diagram is an eye size (in units related to the tool) of 6300 at least. Figure 4.6 shows the Vivado GUI showing it. In conclusion, the high-speed lane tests are also useful to test the components connected to the FPGA transceiver pins as the MiniPODs (this through on-board optical fibers to the MTP connectors). A suit of functional tests to measure the FPGA performance and basic functionalities has been created, using a FELiX server.

- FPGA and FLASH memory programming validation is performed by checking the channels used to communicate with the FPGA. These channels are the JTAG chain, which connects the FPGA internal programming system to the Boundary Scan. Via the JTAG protocol connector the FPGA is connected to the outside and via specific channels it is linked to the FLASH memory, that is a non-volatile memory able to program the FPGA automatically at poweron. The firmware used in this test connects the card to the FELiX software, enabling the possibility to program the FLASH memory also by software. These were done before the BER test.
- micro-controller (mc) programming is done with the FLASH memory on-board



Figure 4.5: Overview of the hardware and firmware structure implementing the eye diagram check in Vivado. CTLE = Continuous Time Line Equalizer, DFE =Decision Feedback Equalizer. These two blocks are used to equalize the received signal (reduce the attenuation and distortion of it) and to have less channel loss (8 db at Nyquist frequency).

Name	Link	Link Settings	Scan Type	Status	Progress	Open Area	Horz Incr	Horz Range		ert Incr	Vert Range	Dwell	Dwell B	ER
9-10 Scans (47)				1										
- OY28	Link_0		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8256	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 🔻	1e-9	
- 🖸 X0Y29	Link_1		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8704	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8	*	100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
- 🖸 X0Y30	Link_2		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8384	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
-🖸 X0Y31	Link_3		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8256	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8	*	100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	*
- 🖸 X0Y33	Link_4		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	7936	8 -	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	Ŧ
-🖸 X0Y32	Link_5		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	7936	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
- 🖸 X0Y34	Link_6		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8704	8 •	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	Ŧ
-🖸 X0Y35	Link_7		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	7232	8 🔹	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8	*	100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
- 🖸 X0Y38	Link_8		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	7808	8 •	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% •	BER 👻	1e-9	
—🖸 X0Y36	Link_9		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8000	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
- 🖸 X0Y37	Link_10		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8320	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
- 🖸 X0Y39	Link_11		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	7808	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
- 🖸 X0Y8	Link_0		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8768	8 -	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
-🖸 X0Y9	Link_1		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8512	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
-🖸 X0Y10	Link_2		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8640	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	Ŧ
-🖸 X0Y11	Link_3		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8448	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8	*	100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
-🖸 X0Y13	Link_4		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8192	8 •	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
-🖸 X0Y12	Link_5		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	7936	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
-🖸 X0Y14	Link_6		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	7424	8 *	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	w
-🖸 X0Y15	Link_7		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	7296	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
- 🖸 X0Y17	Link_8		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8256	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
-🖸 X0Y18	Link_9		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8128	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	- 8	~	100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
-🖸 X0Y16	Link_10		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8384	8 👻	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
-🖸 X0Y19	Link_11		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8704	8 *	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8	*	100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	*
- 🖸 X1Y32	Link_0		2d_full_eye	Done	100%	8320	8 •	-0.500 UI to 0.500 UI	* 8		100% -	BER 👻	1e-9	
V1V22	Link 1		2d full own	Dono	100%	7474	8	-0.500 UII to 0.500 UII	- 8		100%	BER .	10-9	

Figure 4.6: Screenshot of the Vivado tool showing the results of the tests preparation. Here it is shown the interface of the results of the eye diagram test, with the name of the links in the first column, then going to the right the status and progress of the test, the value of the open area and the configuration parameters.

controlled by a ATmega324A micro-controller, which manages the partitions of the FLASH memory to program the FPGA. This mc is programmed by a connector on-board, and it is necessary to the FELiX firmware system. This programming was done before the BER test.

• After performing the BER test, the software FELiX is used to communicate via the PCIe with the card and to test the high-level functionalities such as monitoring, data transmission test and configuration.

The functional tests purpose is to demonstrate that all the basic commands needed to control the cards through the FELiX Low Level software work. These tests are listed below:

- card recognition, to be sure that the firmware runs well and the card can communicate with the software suit;
- monitoring of the card status including: current, temperature, voltage, channel status, parameters and general information of the running firmware as version, release and specific features;
- configuration of the MiniPODs: the opto-electrical transducers can be configured via I2C protocol from the FPGA, a necessary operation to achieve the best performance of the optical fiber transmission;
- FLASH memory partitions programming: the FLX-712 card works on different situations and detectors. For this the FLASH memory has been split in four partitions to have enough possibilities to save in all the cards the required firmware and remotely select one out of four;
- FULLMode throughput test: to ensure the minimum required performance of the PCIe communication, a FULLMode protocol transmission from the card to the server is done. The test is considered successful with a data speed of at least 3500 MB/s for each of the two endpoints, needing in total 7 GB/s data stream;
- GBT performance: the data protocol used to configure the front-end chips and also for detector data reception will be the CERN protocol GBT FPGA. This test studies the performance of a loop-back GBT transmission and reception.

As last validation test to do by the contractor, a BER check is done to all the lanes to assure that they can reach 10^{-13} . The BER, eye diagram and functional tests have been prepared along with the FELiX developers. In particular this latter has been my original contribution to the qualification task for the ATLAS experiment. Figures 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 and 4.10 show the screen-shots of the tests outputs in case of successful validation of FLX-712 (the green "SUCCESS" or "OK"). When the production and tests of the cards finished in the contractor place, the boards were sent to CERN for the successive acceptance tests. These checks include:

4.1. TESTS PLANS

[kai@cho	ou ~]\$ lspci g	jrep Xilinx			
04:00.0	Communication	controller:	Xilinx	Corporation	FPGA Card XC7VX690T
05:00.0	Communication	controller:	Xilinx	Corporation	Device 7039
08:00.0	Communication	controller:	Xilinx	Corporation	FPGA Card XC7VX690T
09:00.0	Communication	controller:	Xilinx	Corporation	Device 7039
84:00.0	Communication	controller:	Xilinx	Corporation	FPGA Card XC7VX690T
85:00.0	Communication	controller:	Xilinx	Corporation	Device 7039
88:00.0	Communication	controller:	Xilinx	Corporation	FPGA Card XC7VX690T
89:00.0	Communication	controller:	Xilinx	Corporation	Device 7039

Figure 4.7: Screenshot of the results of the tests on the FLX-712 pre-series production. Here is shown the recognition of the firmware by the searching for the cards in the PCI bus of the FELIX server.



Figure 4.8: Screenshot of the results of the tests on the FLX-712 pre-series production. Here is shown the results of the throughput tests of the FULLMode protocol, with all the actions done by the server during the test and with the outcome of the operation showed in green (SUCCESS), or in red (FAILURE). Because the test results was mostly driven by the firmware more than the hardware, one SUCCESS was considered enough to have the test passed.

[dune	eda	aq@dune-1	elix scripts]\$ source test_functionali	ty_local.sh 1
##### Chang	### gir	######### ng clock	source to local clock	
Disal Confi Reset Initi	bli igu tti ial	ing inter Tring Sis Ing GBT 1 Lizing GE	rupts 335 ink T link	
Check Readi Readi Readi Readi Readi	kin ing ing ing ing	ng regist HK_CTRL HK_MON LMK_LOO MMCM_MA MMCM_MA	rer values .FMC_SI5345_LOL=0x0> 0K FMC_SI5345_LOL=0x0> 0K KED=0x1> 0K IN_PLL_LOCK=0x1> 0K IN_LLK_SEL=0x1> 0K	
Dev 1	1:	Reading	GBT PLL_LOCK CPLL LOCK=0xffffff>	
Dev (Ð:	Reading	<pre>GBT_PLL_LOCK_CPLL_LOCK=0xffffff></pre>	
Dev 1	1:	Reading	GBT_RXCDR_LOCK=0xffffff> OK	
Dev (9:	Reading	GBT_RXCDR_LOCK=0xfffffff> OK	
Dev 1	1:	Reading	GBT_RX_HEADER_FOUND=0xfffffff> OK	
Dev (9:	Reading	GBT_RX_HEADER_FOUND=0xffffff> OK	
Dev 1	1:	Reading	GBT_ALIGNMENT_DONE=0xfffffff> OK	
Dev (9:	Reading	GBT ALIGNMENT DONE=0xffffff> OK	

Figure 4.9: Screenshot of the results of the tests on the FLX-712 pre-series production. Here is shown the results of the loop-back GBT protocol stream, to test the LTDB mode of the card. The all green "OK" shows the good outcome of the test.

Setting up FELIX (developer) using BINARY TAG: x86 64-slc6-gcc62-opt [felix@pc-flx-test Desktop]\$ cd /home/felix/scripts [felix@pc-flx-test scripts]\$./FLX 712 Functional Tests Terminal 1 2 3 TYPE 48 2 04:00.0 Communication controller: Xilinx Corporation Device 7039 05:00.0 Communication controller: Xilinx Corporation Device 7039 09:00.0 Communication controller: Xilinx Corporation Device 7039 05:00.0 Communication controller: Xilinx Corporation Device 7039 05:00.0 Communication controller: Xilinx Corporation Device 7039 09:00.0 Communication controller: Xilinx Corporation FPGA Card XC7VX699T Voltage, Current and Temperature check VCCINT voltage = 0.94728 and is CORRECT VCCINT current = 7.01956 and is CORRECT VCCINT current = 6.94367 and is CORRECT FPGA internal diode temperature = 02.37500 and is CORRECT VCCINT current = 6.94367 and is CORRECT FPGA internal diode temperature = 62.37500 and is CORRECT VCCINT current = 6.94367 and is CORRECT FPGA internal diode temperature = 64.06250 and is CORRECT VCCINT current = 6.94367 and is CORRECT FPGA internal diode temperature = 64.06250 and is CORRECT MiniPODs Power Configuration ./FLX 712 Functional Tests Terminal 1 2 3 TYPE 48: Line 24: ./MINIPODTX CFG BML712 48 sh: ./FLX 48 sh:		
FLASH Mmory Programming and Testing Checking flash memory partition 0 for FLX-712 in slot 2 Please wait Flash Memory is already correctly programmed SUCCESS Checking flash memory partition 1 for FLX-712 in slot 2	Set [ff 04 05 09 09 04 05 09 09 04 05 09 09 04 09 09 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	<pre>ting up FELIX (developer) using BINARY TAG: x86_64-slc6-gcc62-opt lix@pc-flx-test Desktop]\$ cd /home/felix/scripts lix@pc-flx-test scripts]\$./FLX 712 Functional Tests Terminal 1_2_3_TYPE 48_2 e00.0 Communication controller: Xilinx Corporation Device 7039 e00.0 Communication controller: Xilinx Corporation PGA Card XC7VX690T tage = 0.94728 and is CORRECT CCINT current = 6.94367 and is CORRECT CCINT current = 6.94367 and is CORRECT CCINT current = 6.94367 and is CORRECT PGA internal diode temperature = 64.06250 and is CORRECT iniPODS Power Configuration /FLX 712 Functional Tests Terminal 1_2_3_TYPE 48: Line 24: ./MINIPOOTX CFG BNL712_48.sh: LASH Mmo</pre>

Figure 4.10: Picture taken during the FLX-712 pre-series tests showing the start of the check chain, with the recognition of the firmware cards, the monitoring of voltage, current and temperature of the FPGA and the start of the Flash memory programming, the latter showing that the first partition was successfully programmed.

4.2. COMMISSIONING STATUS

- A visual check to exclude any possible damage during the delivery. The FLX-712 have been visually checked also to verify the correct mounting of the eight optical fibers of the MiniPODs. We also checked the cleanliness of the cards, the absence of broken components, tilting of the card (recurrent issue, mainly due to the complex stack-up structure), correct pad placing on the bare PCB and in general the manufacture quality.
- A jitter control of the clock sources on board is fundamental considering the structure of the ATLAS general clock distribution system which require the sub-detectors to generate local low-jitter (< 10 ps) clocks from the 40 MHz TTC clock.
- A check of the propagation time of the L1 Accept trigger signal from the FLX-712 to the front-end must be kept constant around 500 ns.
- A validation of the data acquisition capability via the data stream stability, a loop-back transmission/reception session of eight hours implemented using the FELiX servers of the same type which will operate on the Run 3 TDAQ.
- A check of the "busy" signal from the FLX-712 signaling buffer saturation.
- A last session of thermal cycles at 110° C with temperature rising in 10-15°/min.

All these tests were performed in different locations and using many types of instrumentation. From baking oven to AOI machines, to a simple multimeter. The specific setup implemented for the functional tests was a FELiX server customized to let it host and test four FLX-712 cards concurrently, with double physical CPUs. The image of the functionality, BER and eye-diagram test setup is shown in Figure 4.11.

4.2 Commissioning Status

During the draft of this thesis the total number of FLX-712s produced has been 254. All of them underwent the acceptance tests. Only two FLX-712s are considered faulty, with checks undergoing. In all the commissioning, apart from small issues quickly solved, the most critical problem occurred was the warping of the first version of the PCB detected in the first batch of 20 cards produced. This occurred during the reflow operations (sticking the components on the board with a solder paste to solder using a temperature-under-control oven). The warping of a PCB can be due to a poor design without considering all the needed electrical and mechanical components to mount on the board, the FPGA position which is based on the PCI-SIG and IPC requirements, the equalization and distribution of the materials. The definitions of warping of a PCB are shown in Figure 4.12, where the maximum allowable percentage of these erroneous parameters is 0.75 %



Figure 4.11: Picture of the test setup developed for the FLX-712 production, used by the production contractor. 1) JTAG cable (to communicate with the FPGA), 2) FLX-712, 3) server motherboard, 4) optical cable bounding 48 channels using 48 optical fibers, 5) 4U PC case.

4.2. COMMISSIONING STATUS



Figure 4.12: Visual description of bowing (or warping) and twisting of a PCB, from IPC methodology manuals.

([31] for the correct measurements methodology) for the case of PCB designed for Surface Mounting Device (SMD). The design issues of the FLX-712 were probably due to not perfect balance of the PCB metallic materials, which created tension in the PCB. Furthermore, the FPGA position caused the larger BGA to "absorb" that tension and counter balance it, corresponding to a PCB shape as shown in Figure 4.13. Moreover, the FPGA solder-balls, due to the increased tension, resulted



Figure 4.13: Visual description of the bowing shape of the FLX-712 before the solution adopted to remove the warping and twisting.

in a bad shaped structure (spherical instead of elliptical, as shown in Figure 4.14). Other than these, the stiffener plate designed to stabilize the card and keep it



Figure 4.14: Visual representation of the solder balls between FPGA and PCB, with the shape shown in the upper part occurred before the warping solution and the shape in the lower part after the solution adopted.

planar was affected by bowing too, creating additional tension. The PCB warping issue was solved by using the stiffener plate during the reflow operation, avoiding to further bowing and twisting the PCB so keeping the planar shape of the card in the specifications. Also the stiffener plate used in the final version is of a different manufacture. Another issue occurred during the first batch tests was the automatic snapping-out of the most tense on-board optical cables, which are connected to the MiniPODs by a plastic interlocking. Due to mechanical design some interlocking could snap-out, this automatically or because of a light tension. This was due to the too high tension of the cable. The issue was solved by inverting the position of the MTP connector to increase the cable freedom of movement and reduce its tension. Generally speaking, during the FELIX production, the communication between the hardware developers from BNL, NIKHEF, CERN and the manufacturers of PCB

4.2. COMMISSIONING STATUS

production and card assembly were based on periodic reports. A lesson learned was that the exchange of technological knowledge in these type of productions is very important and can improve the performance and the durability of the hardware.

Part II ATLAS Phase-II upgrade

Chapter 5 Next ATLAS detector

The next ten years will be crucial for the HEP studies at CERN. After the conclusion of Run 3, the so-called Long Shutdown 3 (LS3) will look on moving the today technologies of the LHC accelerator and related experiments a step forward, powering them to expand their research area for the physics beyond the Standard Model, to refine the knowledge of the electro-weak symmetry breaking, etc. The ATLAS Phase-II upgrade will involve all the parts of the detector, the TDAQ, the construction of new instrumentation and the implementation of new strategies. In the next chapter an overview of the main changes that will characterize ATLAS Run 4 in the second half of this decade will be shown. Then we will focus on the upgrade of the TDAQ system and the new strategies planned to be adopted. Some of these methodologies are still under test to prove their validity and their performance. The target of this second Part is to describe the work behind the proposal for a FPGA implemented tracking algorithm for the Phase-II ATLAS TDAQ system, specifically the hardware structure called Hardware Tracking for the Trigger (HTT). The proposed tracking algorithm is a tuned version of the so-called "Hough Transform" [16], a straight line searching methodology.

5.1 New Goals of the Experiment

The end of Run 3 is planned for 2024. In this Run the performance of LHC will be pushed to and beyond the structural limits, in terms of peak of luminosity, pile-up, p-p collision energy, etc, as shown in Section 1.3. The LS3 targets to step forward in sensors, hardware, firmware, software and strategies to reach values of parameters higher even of one order of magnitude, for example the total integrated luminosity planned to reach at least 3000 fb^{-1} with respect to the 300 fb^{-1} of Run 3. The ATLAS Phase-II physics research objectives will include:

- Precision measurements of the properties of the Higgs Boson, for example the coupling of fermions or self-coupling;
- Precision standard model measurements as for top mass and cross-section;

- Searches for Beyond Standard Model as Super Symmetry or long-lived particles;
- Flavour physics as rare B-meson decay;
- Heavy-Ion Physics.

The detecting strategy will be the same as today experiment, with the same type of sub-detectors placed at the same distance to the interaction point. The $|\eta|$ coverage will slightly change as: the most inner detector (Inner Tracker) will sample data from $|\eta| = 4$, the Muon Spectrometer will receive new RPC allowing to reach $|\eta| < 1$ and the new High Granularity Timing Detector is planned to cover $2.4 < \eta < 4.0$.

5.2 Inner Tracker

The core of the next ATLAS detector will be the Inner Tracker (ITk), a double detector with the same purpose as the current Inner Detector. ITk has been designed to give high track reconstruction efficiency and a low rate of fake tracks, meaning: for muons above 3 GeV an over 99 % of efficiency, for pions and electrons above 1 GeV and out of 2.7 η an efficiency over 85 % while keeping fake rates below 1 %. The performance are planned to be robust against a 10 % loss of channels or modules. The ATLAS core scheme is shown in Figure 5.1, while Figure 5.2 shows the



Figure 5.1: Scheme of the ITk detector built by software simulation.

schematic layout of the silicon-only detector. It will apply an "inclined layout" which allows concurrently to stand a pileup = 200 and $|\eta| = 4$, while maintaining a good track efficiency. Starting from the outside the Strip Detector will have four barrel



Figure 5.2: Scheme of the active areas of ITk, in ATLAS coordinate system, with in the vertical axis the radius from the beam pipe and in the horizontal axis the Z coordinate parallel to the beam line.

layers and six petal-designed end-cap disks covering $|\eta| < 2.7$. The Pixel Detector will have five flat layers, five inclined layers and five end-cap layers, together allowing $|\eta| < 4$.

5.2.1 Pixel Detector

The idea of "Hybrid Pixel Detector" exploited with the Insertable B-Layer upgrade of Phase-0 will be maintained in the next ATLAS Pixel Detector [13]. An Instantaneous Luminosity of 7.5 $\cdot 10^{34} cm^{-2} s^{-1}$, letting a 3000/4000 fb⁻¹ of integrated luminosity with a pile-up of 200, are the parameters that drive the new PD design. Starting from these numbers, the radiation tolerance of the inner technology should achieve a resistance of 9.9 MGy. The architecture strategy will be the same as the Insertable B-Layer, with the inner-most mechanical structure to be considered not necessary to support the beam pipe, so that the outer most section and the pixel package should not rely on it. The three outer PD layers, the barrel and the end caps have demonstrated to be able to achieve 4 MHz of data events, while the two inner most PD layers have been limited to 1 MHz because of interconnections. The architecture of this sub-detector is designed following an "Inclined Duals" layout, with 3 regions: a flat barrel, an inclined section at more or less the same radius of the flat one and an end-cap region. Tables 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 list the main geometric parameters. The "Inclined Duals" layout will allow 9 pixel hits for $|\eta| > 2.7$, an active size of the pixel read-out chip of 19.2 mm x 20 mm and will require a total pixel surface of 12.74 m². The sub-detector modules ("hybrid pixel module") have been organized in a passive high resistivity silicon sensor, read out using a CMOS technology fabricated front-end chip. The sensor layer and the readout one are called bare-module, mounted on a flexible PCB named module flex. The module flex is

Barrel Layer	Radius [mm]	Rows of sensors	Sensors per Row	Type	Hits
0	39	16	6	duals	1
1	99	20	6	quads	1
2	160	30	11	quads	1
3	220	40	12	quads	1
4	279	50	13	quads	1

Table 5.1: Configuration of the barrel layer of the Phase-II Pixel Detector.

Barrel Layer	Radius [mm]	Sensors per Row	Angle [deg]	Type	Hits
0	36	16	75	singles	2-3
1	80	13	75	quads	2-3
2	155	11	56	quads	1
3	215	13	56	quads	1
4	274	13	56	quads	1

Table 5.2: Configuration of the inclined barrel region of the Phase-II Pixel Detector.

End-cap Layer	Radius [mm]	Rings	Sensors per Ring	Type	Hits
0	50	4	16	quads	3
1	78	11	22	quads	3-4
2	152	10	32	quads	2
3	211	8	44	quads	1
4	271	9	52	quads	1

Table 5.3: Configuration of the end-cap region of the Phase-II Pixel Detector.

the connection between the active elements (shown in red in Figure 5.2) and the bare modules, where the flex is glued to the elements. The module flex is planned to interface with the active elements via dedicated commands and signals such as clock, command inputs, data output, low and high voltage power. The individual pixel size will be 50 x 50 μm^2 or 25 x 100 μm^2 to improve the resolution. The read-out chips should reach a radiation tolerance of 1.4 x $10^{16}n_{eq}/cm^2$ to stand the radiation damage. The high pixel rate in the inner most detector justifies the output bandwidth up to 5.12 Gb/s per front-end chip. A serial powering scheme will be adopted to reduce the number of cables and the amount of inactive material required in the tracker. There will be 3 types of configurations for the modules: single, dual and quad, respectively with one, two and four front-end chips bump-bounded to a single sensor.

The two pixel architectures in R&D for the Pixel Detector are made by 3D and planar pixel sensor. The first with thickness of 230 μ m will be exploited in the inner most region due to the high radiation to withstand of 9 x 10¹⁵ n_{eq}/cm^2 . An important goal reached thanks to its structure was a hit reconstruction of 97 % with a power dissipation of 10 nW/m², irradiated with 24 GeV protons at up to 1.4 x $10^{16}n_{eq}/cm^2$. All these and other improvements are expected thanks to the smaller electrode distance and consequent less trapping. The planar sensor designed is an n-in-p configuration with a hit efficiency achieved of 97.5 % with thickness of 100 μ m and a resistance to radiation up to $10^{16}n_{eq}/cm^2$. Important strategies were adopted for this technology as control of leakage current, reduction of sensor thickness and extension of the depletion region up to the edges, this by using implanted and diffused vertical sides. Figure 5.3 shows a graphic representation of the 3D and



Figure 5.3: Visual description of the 3D (on the left) and planar (on the right) pixel sensor technologies.

planar technologies, where the left one differs from the planar by the collecting columns and the electrodes perpendicularly placed with respect to the surface. This permits a good charge collection even in high radiation area. About the front-end CMOS technology, 65 nm has been targeted with a radiation tolerance needed of 5 MGy for 4000 fb⁻¹ of total absorbed dose, with a limit required at almost 10 MGy for 2000 fb⁻¹ for the inner replaceable layers. We assume that during the entire Phase II campaign there will be at least a replacement of the two inner layers. Moreover the front-end will have to stand a high single event upset resistance. Table 5.4 lists

Lover/Ding	Data rate (1 MHz	Data Rate (4 MHz	Design data rate
Layer/ming	L0)(Gb/s)	L0)(Gb/s)	per FE chip (Gb/s)
Layer 0	3.97	-	5.12
Layer 1	0.89	-	2.56
Layer 2	0.52	2.08	5.12
Layer 3	0.32	1.28	2.56
Layer 4	0.22	0.88	1.28
Ring 0	2.15	-	5.12
Ring 1	1.07	-	2.56
Ring 2	0.65	2.60	5.12
Ring 3	0.39	1.56	2.56
Ring 4	0.27	1.04	1.28

Table 5.4: List of the data rates of the Phase-II Pixel Detector for some layers and rings.

the data rates for the Pixel Detector for 1 and 4 MHz Level 0 trigger acceptance rate.

The RD53 collaboration is a project forwarding the ATLAS and CMS Pixel Detectors upgrades. It is working on the R&D for the front-end chip requirements and has already produced the RD53A in small quantity. The RD53B sensor is still under development with libraries to be applied to ATLAS or CMS physics case. The RD53A, schematized in Figure 5.4, is mounting now with three technologies of

Synchronous FE	Linear FE	Differential FE
128 columns (16 core columns)	136 columns (17 core columns)	136 columns (17 core columns)
< 0-127	< 128-263>	< 264-399>

Figure 5.4: RD53A conceptual scheme.
5.2. INNER TRACKER

front-end amplifiers under characterization, and it will communicate with a custom Aurora 64b/66b protocol on four lanes at 1.28 Gb/s each. A value larger than 100 hits/25 ns was the target. Figure 5.5 shows the floorplan of the functional view of the



Figure 5.5: RD53B conceptual scheme.

RD53B. To communicate off-chip the front-ends of all the PD will use optical fibers and two chips: an Aggregator and an Equalizer. The direct output connection of the front-end sensors will be electrical because more radiation tolerant. This connection will go to the opto-electrical board that will end/start (transmission and reception) to the future FELiX DAQ cards, starting the TDAQ chain. Figures 5.6 and 5.7



Figure 5.6: Logical scheme of the interconnection between front-end in two mode, single module and quad module, with the aggregator and the off-detector, for the Phase-II Pixel Detector.

show, respectively, the front-end (FEs) output data merging and configuration to-FE



Figure 5.7: Logical scheme of the interconnections between the off-detector and the module configuration and clock system, for the Phase-II pixel Detector.

links. The chips configuration and the clock will be streamed by the new low-power GigaBit Transmission (lpGBTx) chip.

5.2.2 Strip Detector

Together with the Pixel Detector, the other type of infrastructure that will be exploited in the ATLAS inner most detector is the Strip Detector [8]. A 165 m² of active silicon sensor area will be connected to the front-end micro-electronics. This will be formed by several rows of strips with a pitch at 75.5 μ m in the barrel region and in the disks region from 69 μ m to 85 μ m. Roughly 60 millions electronics channels will acquire and transmit data via the 14 modules per stave per side. The disks is planned to have 9 modules in petal disposition. The sensing elements designed are high resistivity n-in-p planar silicon strips required to withstand fluence of 1.2 x $10^{15}n_{eq}/cm^2$ and a total ionizing dose of 50 MRad. The front-end technology targeted is a CMOS 130 nm, based on the same architectures of the Inner Detector. In particular the chips ATLAS Binary Chip (ABCStar) and Hybrid Controlled Chip (HCCStar) will be used. Figure 5.8 shows a scheme of the strip module. The petals and staves will talk to the off-detector area via optical fiber driven by the low-power GigaBit Transceiver x (lpGBTx) radiation hard CERN ASIC, a GBTx evolution capable to reach 10.24 Gb/s.

5.3 High Granularity Timing Detector

Figure 5.9 indicates where the High Granularity Timing Detector (HGTD) [14] is intended to be placed. This new architecture has the fundamental purpose of increasing the luminosity measurements precision. Thanks to the strategic position of this detector, it will be possible to measure both online luminosity bunch-perbunch during HL-LHC running and enhance the high precision sampling of the integrated luminosity. This increase in the luminosity resolution is decisive for the Higgs couplings survey. The HGTD will augment the spatial and time performance



Figure 5.8: 3D view of the Phase-II Strip Detector module.



Figure 5.9: 3D view of the new HGTD detector with its position in the future ATLAS structure.

of ITk with a 30 ps time resolution for the minimum ionizing particle going through the inner most detector. A 50 mm moderator is planned to be placed between the HGTD and the end-cap/forward calorimeters region to protect HGTD and ITK from back-scattered neutrons. The front-end custom ASIC ALTIROC is being developed and, by today plans, will be bump-bonded to the silicon sensor now in R&D status. This ASIC will provides high time and spatial resolution, radiation hardness, and important operations such as:

- counting the number of hits registered in the sensor;
- 40 MHz transmission to allow unbiased, bunch-per-bunch measurements of the luminosity;
- coping with the minimum-bias trigger.

The HGTD end-cap will integrate: one hermetic vessel, two instrumented doublesided layers mounted on two cooling/support disks and two moderator pieces internally and externally the hermetic vessel. The HGTD detecting region will cover $2.4 < |\eta| < 4.0$. Figure 5.10 summarizes the HGTD main parameters. The sensors

Pseudo-rapidity coverage	$2.4 < \eta < 4.0$
Thickness in <i>z</i>	$75\mathrm{mm}$ (+50 mm moderator)
Position of active layers in <i>z</i>	$\pm 3.5\mathrm{m}$
Weight per end-cap	350 kg
Radial extension:	_
Total	$110 \mathrm{mm} < r < 1000 \mathrm{mm}$
Active area	$120 \mathrm{mm} < r < 640 \mathrm{mm}$
Pad size	$1.3\mathrm{mm} imes1.3\mathrm{mm}$
Active sensor thickness	50 µm
Number of channels	3.6 M
Active area	$6.4 \mathrm{m}^2$
Module size	$30 \times 15 \text{ pads} (4 \text{ cm} \times 2 \text{ cm})$
Modules	8032
Collected charge per hit	> 4.0 fC
Average number of hits per track	
$2.4 < \eta < 2.7$ (640 mm > $r > 470$ mm)	≈ 2.0
$2.7 < \eta < 3.5$ (470 mm > $r > 230$ mm)	≈ 2.4
$3.5 < \eta < 4.0$ (230 mm > $r > 120$ mm)	≈ 2.6
Average time resolution per hit (start and end of operational lifetime)	
$2.4 < \eta < 4.0$	pprox 35 ps (start), $pprox$ 70 ps (end)
Average time resolution per track (start and end of operational lifetime)	\approx 30 ps (start), \approx 50 ps (end)

Figure 5.10: HGTD main geometrical parameters, hits per track and time resolution.

designed are a pioneering technology developed in Barcelona (Centro Nacional de Microelectronica) called Low Gain Avalance silicon Detector (LGAD), a n-on-p silicon sensor with extra-dope p-layer below the n-p junction to create high field to get an internal amplification. Figure 5.11 shows a scheme of the modules planned for the detector.

5.4. CALORIMETER



Figure 5.11: 3D view of the HGTD module.

5.4 Calorimeter

The Liquid Argon Calorimeter (LAr) [10] will not undergo into a deep upgrade. By contrast the infrastructures as the read-out electronics and the low-voltage powering system will be updated to overtake the technology limitation and obsolescence. Along with the upgrades of Phase-I, the LAr will gain readout performance efficiency from new boards in the on-detector region: the FEB2 (Front-End Board 2) and Calibration Board, which respectively will manage the analog processing and will inject calibration signals. On the off-detector side, a new board LAr Signal Processor Board (LSPB) will be used to transmit data to the DAQ structure. Its purpose will be to digitize FEB2s information and to apply digital filtering to the signals of each LAr calorimeter cells. The technology used will be custom ASIC for the FEB and FPGA for the LSPB.

The Tile Calorimeter (TileCal) [11] will be the central region of the hadronic calorimeter, and its position and role will be the same as in the previous runs. Figure 5.12 shows the scheme and position the Tile Calorimeter in the ATLAS Phase-II scenario. The sub-detector will capture roughly 30 % of the jet energy and will always be of crucial relevance in jet and missing energy measurements, jet substructure, electron isolation and triggering. The TileCal is built with lead absorbers and 460'000 plastic scintillator plates and read out by wavelength-shifting fibers. The fibers are bundled in cells and read out by photo-multiplier tubes, which extract data by the 4670 cells two at a time. The detector is separated in three sectors, "A", "BC" and "D" with respectively 1.4, 3.9 and 1.8 interaction lengths at $\eta = 0$. The $\eta \ge \phi$ granularity is 0.1 $\ge 0.1 \ge 0.1$ approximately.



Figure 5.12: Scheme showing the Calorimeter system in the ATLAS Phase-II detector. LB = Long Barrel, EB = Extended Barrel, both divided in A and C.

5.5 Muon Spectrometer

The Muon Spectrometer (MS) [9] of today experiment achieved relevant performance in muon identification, tracking and momentum resolution. MS Phase-II aims to preserve these levels of efficiency in higher luminosity conditions. This by designing a more selective trigger and keeping the low p_t thresholds necessary for many physics channels. Another expansion of research could be the study of muons at large pseudorapidity (< 4), possible with the grown ITk coverage. These will be reached by upgrading almost all the infrastructure into something similar to the scheme in Figure 5.13. From the trigger and read-out side, new technologies and algorithms will be used to re-design completely the trigger and read-out of RPC and TGC, for example, other than MicroMegas (MM) and sTGCs. The trigger primitives will also acquire data from the TGC hits to provide the end-cap trigger candidates. The MDT electronics will undergo a complete changing to be able to sharpen the turnon curves of the high- p_t triggers, so to reduce the low- p_t passing the selection. The MDT precision coordinates will be used in the Level-0 trigger to improve the TGC, NSW and RPC trigger candidates quality. This will be possible by a new MDT read-out. The NSW read-out should support a higher (1 MHz) rate at Level-0, requiring an upgrade in the future. At detector level MDT, RPC and TGC will follow several technology and parameter changing.



Figure 5.13: Scheme of the Phase-II MS active areas and layout.

Chapter 6 TDAQ

The ATLAS Phase-II TDAQ [12] will be designed for a HL-LHC configuration capable of up to 3000/4000 fb⁻¹ integrated luminosity at the end of Run 4. The calorimeters increased granularity, which scopes an efficiency improvement for muon-based triggers, together with the extended coverage of ITk coupled with the hardware-based tracking, will allow a factor of 10 higher trigger rates with respect to Run 3. The TDAQ structure has three architectures under study, to find the best solution: "baseline" scenario, "evolved" and "variant".

6.1 TDAQ Baseline

Figure 6.1 shows the "baseline" scenario scheme. Three levels are used in this solution:

- the Level-0 trigger System (L0), shown in Figure 6.2. The trigger sub-systems are composed of the L0 Calorimeter Trigger (L0Calo), the L0 Muon Trigger (L0Muon), the Global Trigger and the Central Trigger Processors (CTP). The L0Calo is composed of the electron Feature EXtractor (eFEX), the jet Feature EXtractor (jFEX) and the global Feature EXtractor (gFEX) complemented with the forward Feature Extractor (fFEX). These electronic cards represent the hardware for electron-based, jet-based, large R-based and again electronbased triggers, with the latter for forward region of $3.2 < |\eta| < 4.0$. The L0Muon sub-system comprises the barrel region of RPC and end-cap of TGC, the NSW and MDT trigger processors. The Global Trigger uses the high granularity calorimeter to perform offline algorithms, refining the L0Calo and L0Muon information, calculate event-level values and cope with the topological algorithms usage. The CTP drives the Trigger, Timing and Control (TTC) network, formulates triggers based on the Global Trigger and other sources, applies pre-scale factors and introduces dead-time when necessary to avoid readout and front-end saturation;
- the Data Acquisition (DAQ), shown in Figure 6.3. The L0 output is sent to



Figure 6.1: ATLAS Phase-II TDAQ system scheme.



Figure 6.2: Level-0 trigger system of the ATLAS Phase-II TDAQ.



Figure 6.3: Scheme of the Data Acquisition system of the ATLAS Phase-II TDAQ.

all detectors at 1 MHz. The Readout subsystem (Readout) uses the FELIX environment to interface with the Data Handler components, the Dataflow subsystem (Dataflow) containing the Event Builder, the Storage Handler and Event Aggregator engines. A PC farm is used for the DAQ;

• the Event Filter (EF), shown in Figure 6.4, composed of a CPU processing



Figure 6.4: Scheme of the ATLAS Phase-II TDAQ Event Filter system.

farm and of the Hardware-based Tracking for Trigger (HTT). This refines the trigger data to reach the final rates supported, 10 kHz targeted. HTT includes a regional HTT (rHTT) and a global HTT (gHTT) for tracking. EF is the final stage before the storage operations.

The hardware technology planned for the baseline scenario is mostly based on the ATCA (Advanced Telecommunications Computing Architecture) bus. The cards will be controlled and configured by a System-on-Chip through standard network, with a DCS (Detector Control System) interface. Table 6.1 lists the ATLAS Phase-II TDAQ hardware size. The Level-0 has specific requirements for all the detectors. The DAQ specifications can be summarized as:

- capability to handle data input from the detectors up to 5.2 TB/s;
- Readout and Dataflow shall handle an average data rate of 5.2 TB/s detector input and up to 2.6 TB/s EF, always being able to sustain high peaks of throughput without large congestion or data loss;

6.1. TDAQ BASELINE

Subsystem	Component	Phase-I	Number of	Input links	Output links
		deliverable	Boards	per Board	per Board
L0Calo	eFEX	Yes Yes Yes	24	144	48
	jFEX		6	240	48
	gFEX		1	312	108
	fFEX		2	240	48
L0Muon	NSW		16	148	28
	EndCap SL		48	96	60
	Barrel SL		32	60	60
	MDT		64	72	72
Global Trigger	MUX		23	156	108
	GEP		24	108	36
	CTP Interface		1	60	24
MUCTPI		Yes	2	208	65
СТР	CTPMI		1	24	10
	CTPIN		1-2	$\begin{vmatrix} 24\\ 24 \end{vmatrix}$	60
	CTPCORE		1	24 1	
	LTI		36	1	0

Table 6.1: ATLAS TDAQ Phase-II size. GEP = Global Event Processor, MUX = Multiplexer Processor, SL = Sector Logic, CTPMI = CTP Machine Interface, CTPIN = CTP Inputs, LTI = Local Trigger Interface.

- Readout links should handle the various protocols and bandwidths by having a single common interface with the front-ends. Control and configuration data shall travel through the radiation hard protocol low-power Giga Bit Transmission (lpGBT), with a 10.24 Gb/s bandwidth;
- the common ATLAS DCS subsystem should be interfaced with the detectorspecific control and configuration procedures;
- it should be able to handle a 48 hours run without save data to offline storage. This means that at average throughput of 60 GB/s of uncompressed data a 10 PB of storage volume is required.

The EF will require to:

- sustain a maximum input rate of 1 MHz and being able to select a 10 kHz output;
- reconstruct vertices and tracks at will, differently from Run 1 and 2 TDAQ;
- have a linear time-consuming scale factor for the reconstruction algorithms, at high pile-up up to $\langle \mu \rangle = 200$, achievable with today technologies;
- reduce the Dataflow and Storage Handler rate for the entire event at 400 kHz.

In the TDAQ structure the complete control of the latency is crucial. The Level-0 Trigger bottom-up estimate of the full system latency is dominated by the L0Muon since it will perform precise tracking using MDT long-drift-time data. 10 μ s are estimated for the latency budget of the overall TDAQ at the FELIX optical links output. Using the worst case of the longest possible fiber length at the FELIX input, the Current Best Estimates (CBE) for the TDAQ latency is ~6.9 μ s, within the Maximum Possible Values (MPV) of 10 μ s.

EF will have to accept 1 MHz of data and transmit 10 kHz of selected triggers; the first reduction is from 1 MHz to 400 kHz, from L0 hardware trigger made by the regional HTT. Then the EF processors reconstruct the event with algorithm similar to the offline ones exploiting the global HTT capabilities. The rHTT will make its decision with ~ 10 % of ITk data, corresponding to the most relevant Region of Interest (RoI) related to the L0 trigger. If this stage is passed and the global tracking is required all ITK layers will be read out. The architecture chosen to implement the strategy elaborated is based on two specific technologies: 28 nm Application Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC) and last generation (14 nm technology) Field Programmable Gate Array (FPGA). The first is (prototypes already exist) a custom chip developed as an Associative Memory infrastructure for fast pattern recognition, while the second has the objective of track reconstruction and fitting. Anyway, HTT is still under study and the possibility to use other technologies as CPU farm or GPGPU farm or machine learning infrastructure is not excluded.

Together with HTT in the EF there will be, as shown in Figure 6.1, a Processor Farm which will select the data from 1 MHz to 400 kHz with rHTT help. Figure 6.5 represents a scheme of the HTT units. The first-stage is occupied by the Associative



Figure 6.5: Phase-II TDAQ HTT logic scheme.

Memories ASIC which have pre-saved clusters (a group of hits from the detector) patterns to find candidate tracks (defined in this stage as "road", explained later). The input data from the ITk layers will go through a clustering operations first, to find the center of gravity of a set of pixels or strips, generating clusters from the hits. The chosen clusters from the AM ASICs will than go through a linearized track-fitting algorithm to extract track parameters. The fit elaborates the selected clusters with the ones from the same layers of ITk used by the AM ASIC. Each candidate track is extrapolated and finally it is operated a complete fit using all the ITk layers to improve the track parameters. This second stage is FPGA based. HTT and Processor Farm communicate via the HTT InterFace (HTTIF), which interfaces with the HTT main board Tracking Processor (TP). HTTIF receives information and data from EF and ITk and sends back the final tracks of the second stage using the ATCA bus. The TP is an ATCA card which will assemble with two other different boards: either the Associative Memory Tracking Processors (AMTP) or the Second Stage Tracking Processor (SSTP). Different firmware will handle the first and the second stage. Table 6.2 shows a summary of the HTT project. AMTP card

rHTT minimum track p_t	2 GeV
rHTT Input rate	$1~\mathrm{MHz}\sim\!\!10~\%~\mathrm{ITk}$
gHTT minimum track p_t	1 GeV
gHTT Input rate	100 KHz
Number of HTTIF	48
Number of ATCA shelves for AMTPs	48
Total number of AMTPs	576
Total number of AM chips	18432
Number of ATCA shelves for SSTPs	8
Total number of SSTPs	96
Power estimate per TP	300 W

Table 6.2: Summary of the HTT project for the baseline scenario.

will be an ATCA board completed with mezzanine card called Pattern Recognition Mezzanine (PRM). These will host 20 AM ASICs each. The SSTP core will host two Track-Fitting Mezzanine (TFM), performing the second stage fitting central algorithm. The PRM will mount only the AM ASICs with a direct connection from the TP to the ASICs, while the TFM will be based only on FPGA. The baseline scenario proposed a solution including six AMTPs and 1 SSTP covering one RoI of the detector. The detector volume studied by a single HTT block, consisting of seven ATCA cards, is divided in RoIs. Each RoI is composed by the ITk elements with track parameters η and ϕ within a range of \pm 0.2 bin in η and ϕ , p_t above 2 GeV for rHTT and above 1 GeV for gHTT, $|z_0| < 15$ cm and $|d_0| < 2$ mm (where d_0 represents the transverse impact parameter given by the distance of the closest approach in the transverse plane and z_0 is the z-position of the track's closest approach to the beam pipe). The processing events occurring in the HTT block start with the hits from

the pixels turned into clusters by finding the center of gravity of the released charge of a set of pixels or strips. These clusters are then transformed in entities called superstrips, a group of consecutive silicon strip or pixel channels, where each one is labeled with a SuperStrip IDentifier (SSID). After this the pattern recognition operation compares the eight superstrips, one from each layer at a time, with sets of pre-defined patterns derived by simulation of training muons. Each pattern is composed of eight superstrips, one per layer. If a set of SSIDs are matched in a specific pattern bank (a set of eight or less patterns), and a pattern bank represents a so-called "road", then this road and the SSID are sent to the last stage of selection. A road is a selected zone by the simulations, performance studies and physics goals, to be further investigated by the tracking algorithms, because they could be the pre-defined trigger tracks. The track fitting, implemented by FPGA in the first stage in the PRM (including only few ITK layers) and in the second stage in TFM (including all the ITK layers), is carried out by extracting two parameters:

$$p_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} C_{ij} x_j + q_i \tag{6.1}$$

where p_i represents the track parameters p_T , ϕ_0 , ϕ , η , d_0 and z_0 . p_i is dependent on the full-resolution local cluster coordinates x_i and the constants C_{ij} and q_i are instead specific for each sector. One sector includes one module of each layer combined for all eight layers. The eight PD layers are used in the first step of the process. The constants are extracted from muon tracks simulations. The formula

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-5} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N A_{ij} x_j + k_i \right)^2$$
(6.2)

represents the quality of the fit. It is a χ^2 and depends on other two coefficients A_{ij} and k_i which are evaluated per sector. To give an idea of the amount of them, a 0.2 x 0.2 (in η and ϕ) region requires about 40 million coefficients. These two values represent the keys to pass through the HTT internal steps and start concluding the TDAQ chain.

6.2 HTT Evolved (L1-Track)

The solution just described has the possibility to be available in Run 4 physics environment if two criteria are not broken: the hadronic trigger rate and the inner Pixel Detector layer occupancy must not go higher than the expected values. Anyway the TDAQ hardware-based trigger infrastructure has been designed to evolve if these cases occur. The new "evolved" solution is based on the inclusion of a new level of trigger, Level-1 (L1), which shall process regional data from the strips and the outer Pixel Detector layers. This additional stage would allow a preliminary vertex selection translating in a higher hadronic background rejection and consequently a lower readout rate for the inner layers. The requirements that would differ in the two scenarios would be composed of the following aspects:

- the regions of interest for tracking shall be provided by the Global Trigger;
- the creation and transmission of regional data should be done by the Level-1 based hardware trigger. This is produced by the Front-End, sent by the FELIX system for the Strip Detector, then extracted from the Level-0 data stream and sent always by the FELIX system for Pixel Detector;
- the HTT hardware should be reduced;
- dependently from L0 acceptance, regional $p_t > 4$ GeV tracks shall be processed by the Level-1 trigger;
- the trigger rates and the rejection factors shall be updated. The processing blocks should be reconfigured as:

-rejection of 2.4/7 to allow a 800/600 kHz L1 rate;

 $-{\rm based}$ on L1 acceptance, the remaining ITk data should be read out at $800/600~{\rm kHz}$ assuming a L0 acceptance of $2/4~{\rm MHz};$

- the total L1 hardware based trigger latency shall not pass 30/35 μs in the 4/2 MHz L0 acceptance scenario.

Figure 6.6 shows the HTT evolved scheme. Some sub-detectors shall go through small modification. ITk PD should require a higher readout rate of 4 MHz for the layers 2 to 4 where the data selection for L1 would be needed off-detector in Readout System based from Global Trigger information. ITk Strip Detector should reach 4 MHz at L0 without changes. The NSW actions in this scenario are under studies and one of the most relevant would be the readout of the sub-detector by L1, without causing any data loss. ATLAS would be touched entirely and should need different solutions in all its parts in the evolved case. In particular:

- HTT should be the primary reduction operator of L0 for an EF affordable farm size;
- a Region of Interest Engine (RoIE) shall be added to the Global Trigger in order to calculate RoI dependently from L0;
- RoI shall activate Regional Readout Requests for ITk strips and off-detector data of the ITk PD outer layers;
- L1 would be a regional hardware-based tracking system reconstructing tracks by these RoI information and using the same HTT components in a different architecture;



Figure 6.6: Schemes of HTT baseline and evolved solutions. The main differences are the L1Track, L1CTP and the shift of rHTT from the EF to the L1 Track.

- Global Trigger should receive these regional track data and combine them with the same calorimeter and moun based objects as foreseen in the baseline scenario;
- the output of the Global Trigger shall be sent to L1 CTP which, at the end of its operations, shall sent the Level-1 Acceptance signal to all the detectors to let them read out the full event data.

Summarizing the difference in the two scenario strategies, the rHTT would be moved in the new Level-1 Track structure while gHTT would remain in the EF sub-system as co-processor.

It should be said the recent decision by the ATLAS management seem to reject the L1-Track solution as described in this form.

6.3 HTT Variant

The evolved solution re-organizes some of the internal sub-systems to be able to accept a higher rate in the first levels but has the same trigger output in the last level. The "variant" solution remarks the same architecture idea and rate achievement of the evolved scenario, but theorizes that the EF output rate and algorithm implementation can be handled by only the PC farm, without a hardware-based component coupling with it. Scheme in the right side of Figure 6.6, without the rectangle HTT inside the Event Filter, represents the changes respect the evolved solution.

Chapter 7 HTT Alternative Solutions

The HTT setup requires to achieve two specific goals that usually are not present in the same spot: following a large amount of mathematical operations and fully controlling the system latency. To obtain them, in the most time restrictive blocks of the HTT chain, two technologies are exploited: ASIC and FPGA, as mentioned above. However, today FPGA, GPU and CPU at 20 nm, 14 nm or even 7 nm (next future) technology node allow testing and applying more algorithm strategies not affordable before. This part of the thesis covers the implementation on FPGA device of the Hough Transform algorithm to the case study of ATLAS Phase-II, as an "almost plug-and-play" alternative to the Associative Memories (AM) ASIC solution within the HTT structure.

7.1 Hough Transform applied to ATLAS Phase-II

The Hough Transform [16] (HT) algorithm is a tracking method already used in general pattern recognition. In more details it is used to extract lines, straight or curved, usually from digitized images, or in general from granular matrices. This type of algorithm is suitable for the ATLAS tracking structures and with a high level of parallelization. These two reasons are the main points for the implementation of this algorithm in a FPGA device. The procedure required by the Hough Transform algorithm is shown in Figure 7.1 and 7.2 using the straight line formula

$$y = x \cdot m + q. \tag{7.1}$$

The line can be expressed in terms of the slope and offset (m,q), for example

$$q = y - x \cdot m \qquad m = \frac{y - q}{x}.$$
(7.2)

This change of parameter space from x,y to m,q can be used to recognize and extract which points x,y are part of a line parametrized with m,q. The concept is based on filling the new parameter space m,q with the lines generated by the x,y values. These lines will cross in [m:q] points of the space. These [m:q] points will represent



Figure 7.1: Example of Hough transform based on the straight line formula $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \cos(\theta) + \mathbf{y} \cdot \sin(\theta)$. The left graphic has the coordinate y in the vertical axis and x in the horizontal axis, the right graphic (Hough parameter space) has the coordinate r in the vertical axis and θ in the horizontal. The two white dots in the Hough parameter space represent the r and θ line values of the straight lines on the left.



Figure 7.2: Example of Hough transform based on the straight line formula $y = x \cdot m + b(q \text{ in the text})$. The left graphic has the coordinate y in the vertical axis and x in the horizontal axis, the right graphic (Hough parameter space) has the coordinate b in the vertical axis and m in the horizontal. The purple dot in the Hough parameter space represents the m and b line values of the straight line on the left.

the lines generated by the x,y points in the first space. These concept adapt to each problem studying straight lines (or curved). The application proposed was to use this algorithm for the ATLAS Pixel Detector tracks. To show the path that led to the final form of the algorithm parameterized for the experiment requirements (already demonstrated by Mikael Martensson in his PhD thesis [15]), we start by visualizing the basic formula of this transformation starting from the electric force

$$\overrightarrow{F} = q \overrightarrow{E} + q \overrightarrow{v} \times \overrightarrow{B}$$
(7.3)

where q represents the charge of the particle, E the electric field, v the speed of the particle and B the magnetic field. A charged particle generated in the ATLAS electromagnetic field moves in a curved track that can be adapted to the HT algorithm of straight lines. In particular, using circular coordinates

$$r(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(y_1^2 - y_2^2) + (x_1^2 - x_2^2)}{(y_1 - y_2)\sin\theta + (x_1 - x_2)\cos\theta}$$
(7.4)

 (x_1, x_2) and (y_1, y_2) represent two points and $(rsen(\theta), rcos(\theta))$ the coordinates in the new system. Then we define the transverse momentum where "e" is the charge of one electron

$$p_T = \frac{cqeBr}{e} \cdot 10^{-9} \tag{7.5}$$

(expressing the momentum in GeV/c). The Hough Transform formula becomes

$$\frac{qA}{p_T} = \frac{\phi_0 - \phi}{r} \tag{7.6}$$

with A = $3 \cdot 10^{-4} GeVc^{-1}mm^{-1}e^{-1}$ and approximating for small ϕ_0 regions. Figures 7.3 show this parameter space change graphically where



Figure 7.3: On the left: simplified example of a track in the ATLAS ITk detector. Y and X are the ATLAS coordinates, ϕ_0 represents the angle of the track respect the x axis. On the right: Hough parameter space for the HT ATLAS tuned algorithm.

- q is the particle charge;
- p_t the transverse momentum of the particle;
- ϕ_0 the angle of the track with respect to the ATLAS X axis in the ATLAS coordinate system;
- r the radius position of the particle
- ϕ the angle of the input hit with respect to the ATLAS X axis.

This application allows to describe the physical tracks (actually candidate roads at this stage) as points, in the Hough parameter space. These points are the interconnections of crossing lines representing the clusters in the detector. To summarize in a nutshell: the Hough Transform applies a space parameter modification to maximize the gain of the parallel procedure looking for straight lines in a pixel-based data image. This is just a version of the HT algorithm, in fact it is branched in many options specific for different applications in terms of information to extract. As mentioned above, the AM ASICs are planned to be mounted on a mezzanine board connected to the TP ATCA card, and their task is to read out the clustered pixel or strip hits and compare the input data to pre-saved patterns in memory. If the comparison succeed the output cluster are called "roads". In few words, a CAM (Content Addressable Memory) procedure is used to extract roads from input clusters by a pre-saved roads matching method. The HT algorithm must acquire the same inputs and transmit the same output of that used with the AM ASIC, using a different hardware. This has been demonstrated (always by Mikael Martensson [15]) to be achievable by implementing a HT structure with the specific dimension of the 2D histogram used as parameter space, graphically describing the clusters in input using the formula 7.6. This is sized 64 bins for qA/p_t and 1200 bins for ϕ_0 . The numbers come from software simulations by comparing AM ASIC road extraction performance. The sized 64 x 1200 bin matrix is called "the accumulator" of the HT space. Figure 7.4 and 7.5 show the accumulator for a single muon track and with a minimum bias event of 200 pp interactions. The size of the HT space mentioned before is to cover the required trigger area of one RoI. There is another concept to be considered in the application of the HT algorithm which is related to the ATLAS performance. It is described graphically in Figure 7.6. This image shows the accumulator with the binning needed for one RoI. Each bin stores the information of the clusters coming from different layers. The search of a road is made by checking 5 bins along ϕ_0 concurrently: the central bin must have 8 clusters from the 8 layers, the left and right bins at least seven, the left-left and right-right at least six and all the clusters for a specific bin must come from different layers. For example, three clusters coming from the layers 0,1 and 2 and three clusters all coming from the layer 4, will end up in a total number of four, not six, because the two clusters coming from the layer 4 after the first one are not considered in the total. This feature is necessary to improve signal efficiency and background suppression.



Figure 7.4: HT accumulator with clusters of a single muon track.



Figure 7.5: HT accumulator with clusters of a single muon track and minimum bias events corresponding to 200 proton-proton collisions.



Figure 7.6: Representation of the bins constraint to declare a bin a candidate road in the accumulator. The five counters must increase only if clusters from different layers come across that bin.

As said, the number of bins of the accumulator along with the five-bin road search are the required features to implement the road extraction and make it comparable with the physical performance of the AM ASIC solution. The next sections describe along with the FPGA technology used the hardware feature required to achieve the performance of the AM ASIC structure.

7.2 FPGA Technology

As mentioned in the introduction to this chapter, the technological progress achieved by the transistor manufacturing systems is fundamental to make worthy, in terms of performance, possible alternative strategies to the HTT AM ASIC. The devices targeted for the implementation of the algorithm described in the previous section are the XILINX FPGA of the Ultrascale+ generation. These hardware gain their performance from a 14 nm technology and by the availability of all the necessary components. The applied technology is what makes the most important feature of these type of FPGA, the intrinsic delays of the internal components, allowing to achieve high parallelized logic structures. This advanced technology node allows very high-speed internal logic and hosting a huge amount of components available on the FPGA. The market of these devices includes many possibilities related to their price, with many hardware options more suitable for different tasks. Another important feature of this generation of FPGAs is the control speed of many complex internal components, such as Digital Signal Processor (DSP), internal buffers, Block RAM memories. For example, the DSP max frequency achievable by the best performing XILINX devices is 891 MHz, depending on the "speed-grade" version of the FPGA (from 1 (slowest) to 3 (fastest)). All these capabilities are important for

7.2. FPGA TECHNOLOGY

matching the latency requirement mentioned earlier by parallelizing the necessary operations and concurrently respecting the FPGA internal signal travel timing. Figure 7.7 graphically shows this latter concept. The Figure shows that each "path"



Figure 7.7: Logic description of the FPGA timing closure. In the upper case the signal from the clock driven component A to the clock driven component B is moved without processing operations, in the lower case the signal go through a series of asynchronous digital operations.

(technical name identifying signals between two clock driven components) in the FPGA, if synchronous to the clock, must have a delay t (in the figure the time from the component A to the component B) lower than the clock period. This is mandatory because otherwise the receiving synchronous component would read the data before it is updated and this may lead to an erroneous behavior. FPGA technology has another important feature recently achieved that allows high-bandwidth communication (over 10 Gb/s) via intellectual properties (IP) of the manufacturing company, generally called "transceiver". With the term transceiver it is indicated a communication that can act as transmitter and receiver concurrently. Two simplex data streams using the differential signal data exchange Low Voltage Differential Signal (LVDS), where a data stream "positive" is the stream desired to transmit and its "negative" counterpart are sent (this to clean the data stream). At the reception station, the transceivers use IP Phase-Looked Loop (PLL) and other components to allow a throughput up to 10, 16, 24, or even 32 Gb/s (depending on the device). FPGA have now the capability to multiply a reference clock up to a factor of 80. The logic 1 and 0 applied by this fast technology has a swing (difference between voltage 1 and voltage 0) lower then 0.5 V, and exploits the serializationde-serialization technique to exchange data safely using a clock and data recovered method to synchronize the phases of the transmitter and receiver. A vast range of issues and stop-points can occur when this type of FPGA are pushed to their limits, problems that the compiler of the tool can't always solve using default configurations. Many different strategies can be applied to drive the compiler to solve timing issues and congestion. A common problem in high-parallelized logic that can happen is the fan-out violation, when a single information must drive too many components, creating a too large routing. The point can be solved by forcing the compiler to limit the interconnections to a defined value. Another important strategy is to drive the compiler in placing and routing first the more complex structures of the firmware before others, so to focus the compiler in more intricate structures with respect to the easier ones. Appendix B describes the FPGA functionalities in more detail.

7.3 FPGA Implementation of HT Algorithm

The most suitable way to demonstrate the validity of the tuned HT FPGA structure under development is to set up a demonstrator as close as possible to the final configuration, including the same technologies required. However, the implementation path to reach the demonstrator is surely long and full of other important steps to follow. First of all the code must be validated in deeper simulations and, because of the scalability of the design, at the full size. The modern tools allow simulating all the FPGA blocks instantiated with the estimated travel time of the signals inside the device (times with a 1 ps resolution). Additionally there are third-party simulation tools with the important feature of being able to simulate different FPGA families and even different device vendors. The latter is the last step to follow before programming the real device and running the setup, to avoid all the possible issues that these software simulations could explore and find. The tracking algorithm that we are studying, in fact, would benefit from simulating the latency, the power consumption, the temperature resistance, the data flow stability as BER and so on. In terms of the performance for the road extraction, clusters results, fake road and cluster rejection, different software studies are also fundamental. Therefore, in parallel with the firmware design, a software research to fine-tune the parameters shown in the previous paragraphs is crucial. Finally, the input clusters to use during the simulation or demonstrator (which will represent the emulation of the final system) and the validation of the firmware outputs will have to undergo a sufficiently long run to have enough statistic and study the performance. The official ATLAS tools for the data simulation and analysis will be exploited not only for the physics studies mentioned above to fine-tune the algorithm, but also to produce the test-vectors required for the demonstrator and analyze them. The setup of the demonstrator planned to be built in the next months is shown in Figure 7.8. The final hardware implementation plan at present is to substitute the AM ASIC with the FPGA/FPGAs required to implement a "near plug-and-play" swap between the AM ASIC mezzanine (PRM) and an alternative FPGA mezzanine. This means that the "Hough Transform" block in Figure 7.8 will have to implement all the reasonable logic to emulate the final version in the most realistic way. The idea might be to start from the "Test-vector generator", an ATLAS software tool to produce a set of test-vectors to be used in the "Data generator" device. These vectors will be



Figure 7.8: Logic description of the proposal of the ATLAS Hough Transform demonstrator.

stored on the internal Block RAMs of the FPGA. Then, the firmware planned to be implemented in a commercial evaluation board will work as an emulator of the final data transmitter. This board could also be used to receive the output data from the HT hardware emulator. These results might then be transmitted to a PC (via file or PCI Express). The fine structure of the emulator such as the number of input and output lanes and the choice of the evaluation boards will be exploited in the near future. In fact, on the market there is a large variety of demo-boards in principal compatible with our requests. The setup preparation is still in the first steps, with the central firmware that has passed several tests and now it is in the last steps to finalize the design, perform more realistic simulations with clusters generated by the ATLAS software tool and to get the timing closure of the logic structure on FPGA to allow simulations with the estimated internal delays (called timing simulations).

7.3.1 Logic Structure of the HT implementation on FPGA

The focus of this thesis is the development of the firmware design and implementation of the board "Hough Transform" shown in Figure 7.8, that is a part of the collaboration in the construction of the demonstrator. Besides the constraints shown in section 7.1, there are other restrictions related to the goal of comparing the firmware and the results of the tests with the AM ASIC hardware design and performance. First of all the clock source for the logic should be the same of the AM ASIC, 250 MHz, which is a very high target for a FPGA implementation. The input/output configuration, which should include transceiver technology because of the speed required to communicate off-board in range of 10 Gb/s, is configured as eight inputs, related to the eight layers of ITk, and sixteen outputs, related to the road parallelization. The presumed number of clusters per road is up to sixteen. The last important constraint to respect is the latency. In this case there are two different latency values to consider: the latency shown above in the entire HTT description and the value related to the AM ASIC hardware performance. This latter is about the time that elapses between the last set of eight clusters entering the logic to the first road sent in output. This latency shall be up to the one achieved by the AM chip, that is 175 ns. Before going in the detailed description of the firmware design, a recall of the basic idea of the Hough Transform is here presented.

In our case the HT is based on a formula that generates straight lines in the Hough parameter space (accumulator) starting from the physical cluster space (\mathbf{r}, ϕ) . In particular for each input cluster originated from the eight ITk layers, 1200 processes are done correspondent to the 1200 ϕ_0 bins. So, every clock period, 1200 x 8 = 9600 information must be created and the accumulator must be updated with them. These information represents the points which form the eight lines, one per cluster. As the entire input event is built of 500 sets of eight clusters, altogether the system must load 4000 clusters distributed on eight layers. When this process finishes the event is loaded and the system latency counting starts. In more detail, once the accumulator is loaded with the event converted into the Hough space, the process to search the roads starts. This consists of applying the procedure shown in Figure 7.6. For the central bin, the corresponding coordinates $\phi_{0,road}$ and $qA/p_{t,road}$ are extracted. These coordinates are the ones for the "road candidate". From here the process to search back which input clusters have contributed to that road, starts. This further process is performed by running again the Hough formula by testing, for all the 4000 input clusters with (r, ϕ) parameters, whose have generated the $qA/p_{t,road}$ given the $\phi_{0,road}$. These clusters must be sent out, as said, in parallel on up to 16 high-speed lanes (transceivers).

The chosen design to implement this with the constraints listed is shown in Figure 7.9. This image represents an overview of it, being made of several blocks.



Fixed values

Figure 7.9: Overview of the HT firmware logic.

Starting from the left blue rectangle, eight clusters enter concurrently each 4 ns. Each cluster goes through 1204 Hough operations (formula 7.6) to calculate all the

points needed to draw the line representing the Hough transformation. The number of operations is related to the amount of bins in ϕ_0 added to 4. This is because, as shown in Figure 7.6, the number of bins, to check at the same time, needed to decide if a bin $[qA/p_t:\phi_0]$ is a candidate road or not, along ϕ_0 , is five. So to be able also to check the first two and the last two bins along ϕ_0 , two bins in this coordinate must be added before the start and after the end of the coordinate. The results of these operations are then used to activate specific bins in the Hough transform parameter space and draw the eight Hough lines, one per cluster. The image of the accumulator differs from the one shown in Figure 7.3 because the accumulator used in the firmware applies a Hough accumulator per layer, this for firmware reasons regarding the resources usage. In fact, to map all the clusters which would have passed through a bin would require more resources than to apply eight accumulators. The chain of qA/p_t calculations and accumulator updating is run until all the clusters are uploaded into the HT parameter space. After that the road searching shown in Figure 7.6 is used to extract one candidate road every two clock periods. After that, by applying the Hough formula 7.6 with the road value of ϕ_0 and the values of the clusters r and ϕ as inputs, the qA/p_t is calculated. Then this is compared with the qA/p_t of the road. If they respect a pre-defined comparison rule it means that the cluster $[r;\phi]$ is part of that road. This operation is done simultaneously over all the clusters, now planned to be 4000. Lastly, there is a block of multiplexers which extract which clusters passed the comparison and send them to output, sixteen concurrently.

A more detailed scheme of the firmware design is shown in Figure 7.10 describing



Figure 7.10: Detailed scheme of the logic blocks interconnections of the HT firmware.

how all the smaller logic blocks are interconnected. This structure will be connected to the transceivers of the FPGA: eight transceivers will be instantiated to manage the eight lanes receiving the SSIds in input, with a frequency up to 250 MHz, depending on the final configuration for HTT, while the output will be structured in sixteen lanes that transmit up to the same number of SSIds (SuperStrip IDentifiers) always up to 250 MHz, simultaneously. The encoding protocol has not been decided yet, anyway the one used, as it is required to have a complete timing analysis, is the Aurora 64b/66b (Appendix A). This is used because it is a standard protocol not particularly complex in terms of FPGA resources. These chosen parameters end-up in a final bandwidth of 16 Gb/s per lane, in input and output, totally streaming 128 Gb/s in input and 256 Gb/s in output. The actual total amount of bits used to transmit the SSId values is 18, so a different coding protocol should be utilized in the final version. A good candidate could be the Interlaken (45,46) which has the interesting feature of been available for both the FPGA vendors targeted by the HTT project, XILINX and Intel-Altera. However the use of FPGA resources and timing restriction for these transceiver parameters are mostly negligible. Inside the transceivers shell there is the core of the firmware, the Hough Transform code, shown in Figure 7.10, starting with eight SSId, coming from the high speed lanes, entering an engine which applies the coordinate transformation between SSId and radius (r) and angle (ϕ) of the cluster. This operation is still to be implemented. After this the eight clusters, now in $[r:\phi]$ format, are used by the logic which generates the 9600 qA/p_t values to update the Accumulator. For digital optimization reasons it is necessary to apply a set of operations to avoid losing information. Figure 7.11 shows this set. The two r, ϕ parameters undergo two different processes: $\phi_{0,n} - \phi$



Figure 7.11: Scheme of the calculation of the qA/p_t for the first sector in the HT firmware.

and $\frac{2^{20}}{r}$ where n represents the ϕ_0 binning. The second operation is applied because r is encoded with (at today, it may change in the final configuration) 10 bits (while ϕ and ϕ_0 use 16 bits), from 0 to 1023, meaning that to avoid loosing information from the resolution, the numerator must be at least 2^{20} . Moreover because of the next operation is a division applied with a digital truncation, the numerator must be an exponential of two. Example 7.7 shows the concept.

$$\frac{2^{20}}{1023} = 1025 \qquad \frac{2^{20}}{1022} = 1026 \qquad \frac{2^{19}}{1023} = 512.5 \text{ as integer} = 513 \qquad \frac{2^{19}}{1022} = 513 \tag{7.7}$$

The results of these two calculations are then multiplied using a DSP. The result of this latter therefore follows two paths: one to a digital truncation of 20 bits to apply a division of 2^{20} to remove the numerator and the other to a set of operations described in Figure 7.12. The latter is part of one of the approaches used to put



Figure 7.12: Scheme of the calculation of the qA/p_t in all the sectors excluded the first. The first box on the left states $\frac{1200}{\#sectors}$.

under control the FPGA resources, called "sectors" method. Figure 7.13 shows it,



Figure 7.13: Logic representation of the sector method applied at the Accumulator. The line in the first sector (on the left) is translated in the others using $\Delta \phi_{0,n}$ of the sector for the operation.

where the accumulator is divided in n sectors along ϕ_0 . For the first sector the qA/p_t values are calculated using the Hough formula 7.6, while the others are extracted by adding to the one of the first sector a step factor

$$\frac{\Delta\phi_{0,l}}{r} \tag{7.8}$$

value (l represents the number of sectors), where $\Delta \phi_{0,l}$ is constant for each sector and represents the value of ϕ_0 covered by all the bins of that sector. This allows to reduce the multiplications to process and consequently the DSPs to use. For example, without using the sectors we have 9600 multiplications, with 2 sectors we have 4800 operations, with 10 sectors we have 960 operations and so on. In Figure 7.12, the formula 7.8 is calculated always by applying the multiplication by $2^{20}/r$ for the same reasons as above and then by adding it to the qA/p_t value previously calculated. The last operation is again a digital truncation to extract the qA/p_t of the sectors. In other words, a translation of the first part of the line is applied to all the sectors. All these are applied each ϕ_0 bin and to the eight clusters, concurrently, resulting in 9600 total operations. This concludes the first rectangle on the left of Figure 7.9. All the qA/p_t values, those related to a specific layer and a specific ϕ_0 by array addressing, are used as addresses to decide which qA/p_t bin to activate, as shown in Figure 7.14. The number of bins describing the momentum, in today's



Figure 7.14: Logic description of the uploading of the accumulator.

configuration, are 64, meaning that a vector of six bits describes all possible cases. The qA/p_t bin range value is defined as 1 (0,1,2,3,...,63), allowing to use this value directly as a bin to be activated. The accumulator update occurs each clock cycle thanks to its structure made by Flip Flops, that gives the capability to access it all at the same time. This filling of the Hough parameter space continues for all the clusters, now defined as 4000, eight at a time, needing 500 clock cycles. After this, the accumulator is filled and ready to be studied using the bin clustering shown in Figure 7.6, in 3D view as the firmware implementation in Figure 7.15, to extract the candidate roads, one at a time. Here the second structural approach is used, called "sliding windows", shown in Figure 7.16. The concept is to not check the whole accumulator at once, for the road searching, but only smaller windows, portions of range $\Delta qA/p_t$ and $\Delta \phi_0$ bins. For each one of these "windows" it is performed the operation to count, for each bin $[qA/p_t;\phi_0]$, how many layers are active, or in others words how many clusters crossed that bin counting only clusters from different layers. This ends-up in an array of Flip Flops with the size in $qA/p_t \propto \phi_0$ bins of the window represented by 2D bins made by two bits instead of eight (because of the eight layers, mentioned before). These two bits are used to describe the four possible cases searched for: 8, 7, 6 or less than 6 layers. Figure 7.17 describes it.



Figure 7.15: 3D view of the binning constraint to extract the candidate road as implemented in the firmware.



Figure 7.16: Image showing the Sliding Windows approach, where the red rectangle represents the window moving all over the accumulator.



Figure 7.17: Scheme of the Sliding Window Tower Finder firmware block, with on the right an example of the 2-bits matrix with the four possible cases.

This second matrix is then used to extract the road candidates by looking for the bin clustering shown in Figure 7.6, by checking the two bits five couples at a time, for the entire matrix at each clock cycle. The road extraction logic is built to allow at most the loss of two clock cycles in the case of a window with zero roads. The road extractor sends to the next block a couple of values $[qA/p_{t,road};\phi_{0,road}]$ every two clock cycles. It applies the Hough formula as shown before in Figure 7.11 using as input all the r and ϕ of the clusters and the $\phi_{0,road}$, so executing 4000 processes concurrently to calculate $qA/p_{t,calc}$. The results are then compared with $qA/p_{t,road}$. For example if $qA/p_{t,road}$ - $qA/p_{t,calc} \leq 1$, these are considered clusters generating that road and the results are saved in a 4000 bits vector with '1' indicating the comparison was successful. The < 1 comparison has been chosen for simplicity. The 4000 bits vector is finally used as input of a multiplexer to extract the SSIds from the Memory Bank. This multiplexer has an unusual structure, implemented in such way to reduce the resources used. Figure 7.18 shows this structure. The results of the 4000 comparisons in output from the block Computations and Comparators are used as selectors of the first multiplexer in the center of the Figure. With them 16 values from a storage of 4000 data (SSID positions in the Figure) are extracted. These data represent the position in the SSID storage of the SSIDs which generated that road. The SSID position storage is a set of 4000 numbers from 0 to 3999 of 12 bits format. The output of the first multiplexer is then used as selector of the second which extract the SSIDs which generated the road. Even if at first glance it would seem resources and timing consuming, Table 7.1 shows the difference in estimated resources compared with the method of using the Comparisons Results as selector


Figure 7.18: Scheme of the multiplexer system for the Cluster Extractor block.

Methods	Look-Up Tables (40 clusters)	Flip Flops (40 clusters)
1 multiplexer	6331	288
2 multiplexers	1070 + 3504	112 + 288

Table 7.1: Tests results of the clusters extraction methodologies tested.

of the second multiplexer, bypassing the first. The resources increase approximately linearly as the clusters rise. Considering the targeted number of total clusters, 4000, roughly 170'000 LUTs are saved. This method increases the latency of 4 clock cycles with respect to the one with 1 multiplexer. Finally, referring to Figure 7.10 the area called "latency zone" is the one including the blocks which can drive the total latency of the entire event processing. For example if a certain amount of sliding windows would be empty of roads, the clock periods for their processing would be without roads sent in output, increasing the total latency but not the amount of information. All the logic is now tuned to be able to extract up to sixteen clusters. Several parameters have been defined here, from the data format to redundancy cycles of logic. These numbers have been set at safe values to consider the worst scenario, for example the 4000 clusters per event. An important task for the next months will be to finalize these parameters.

7.3.2 Implementation Techniques

With the term implementation I mean all the steps from the firmware code design in VHDL up to the place and route of the hardware logic developed in a specific FPGA. This includes the list of components and how they are connected inside the FPGA. The two general rules to implement any firmware on a FPGA are to not overpass the available resources and meet the timing. However the performance in these two terms for a FPGA depend drastically on the device itself, even in the same family these could change in terms of timing analysis results. Moreover the resource usage is related to the timing closure of the infrastructure and vice versa, so it is general convention to not exceed the 50 % of the device resources. The FPGA resources required to implement a complete size of the HT firmware logic are still to be defined. The complete scalability of the design allows it to be used to implement different size of it at different frequencies. Techniques of timing control and place and routing management can help to extend the firmware to the requests in all of their representations. From the development and study of this design the main problem encountered, together with finding a well suited architecture, is the timing closure. This fails in the default design by the so-called "congestion". The firmware congestion is a phenomenon in which the resources and their interconnections require a too large amount of physical space in the FPGA, forcing the hardware compiler to meet the timing for some paths leaving behind others. And this is because there is not enough space and configurable hardware density to implement all of it. Figure 7.19 describes it. The first shows the firmware parameterized with the 40 % of the accumulator implemented, meaning 40 % of the initial qA/p_t calculations, the 100 % of the cluster, excluding the Computation and Comparator and Cluster Extractor blocks (Figure 7.10) and at 250 MHz. The second Figure also shows it, but the two are implemented in different devices, an Alveo U250 evaluation board and a XCVU19P-FSVB3824-2-e. Table 7.2 shows the difference in resources and timing analysis results. The timing performance shows that more area to organize the paths



Figure 7.19: The same version of the HT firmware implemented on a Alveo U250 (left) and on a VU19P (right).

Device	Look-Up Tables	Flip Flops	DSPs	Worst Negative Slack	Number of failed paths	Number of total paths
Alveo u250	1'720'000	3'450'000	12'280	- 3.8 ns	70'000	590'000
VU19P	4'085'00	8'175'00	3'800	- 2.3 ns	32'000	590'000

Table 7.2: Results of timing analysis of the same HT firmware version implemented in two different FPGAs.

and resources allows for better hardware design. This occurrence is known and the development tool gives the possibility to force the compiler to apply different strategies based on the firmware structure and issues. Vivado strategy for the congestion is called Congestion_SpreadLogic, with three different levels of spreading, regarding the amount of occupable area. Another technique used for the timing errors is the standard approach of implementing pipelines in strategic points of the logic. The term pipeline is referred to inserting registers (D-Type Flip Flop) within a path, to reduce the logic distance between the two clock driven components and so the travel time of the signal by separating the total combinatorial path. The simplicity of this technique is comparable with its versatility, but each pipeline increases the use of the resources, so there is a limit to its usefulness. Many pipelines placed in several part of the firmware shown a worsening of the timing performance or an increase of them too light compared to the enhancement of the resources. A specific problem of this firmware is the fan out capability of an output signal to drive many inputs in parallel, a characteristic also linked to the amount of current the output signal manages. Inside a FPGA the signals are copied by "crossroads" multiplying them and sending them to the driven components inputs. The FPGA fanout limit set by default is 10'000, which shown to be a good compromise. In the list of all the possible constraints to be forced on the compiler there is also the maximum accepted fan out. Along with highly specific strategies there are more general approaches, and two of them have been studied: Performance_Retiming, which applies more algorithms than default in the operation of routing and physical optimization (which exploits the specific features of the device targeted) and Performance_Explore, which tests many non-default algorithms in all the firmware implementation processes in the target hardware. Table 7.3 shows some examples of using these techniques in

Device	Implementation strategy	Number of failing paths	Number of total paths	Worst Negative Slack
Alveo u250	Default	70'000	590'000	- 3.5 ns
Alveo U250	Performance Explore	82'000	590'000	- 3.2 ns
Alveo U250	High Congestion	75'000	630'000	- 3.0 ns

Table 7.3: Results of the timing analysis of the same HT firmware version with different implementation strategies applied to the firmware compiler.

the same design. Table 7.4 shows some examples of timing analysis results. The techniques applied here are the placing of pipelines in different positions in the firmware or forcing the compiler to reduce the allowed fan-out. These were done to help the compiler by forcing it to build a more performing components distribution in the FPGA area. The firmware used in all the tests shown in Table 7.4, apart for the pipeline applied, is the same: $480 \phi_0$ bins, 10 sectors, 250 MHz of frequency

Dorrigo	WNG	failed paths/	stratogr		
Device		total paths	strategy		
Alveo	25 mg	70000/	n on o		
U250	-5.5 IIS	590000	none		
Alveo	2222	96000/	fan-out max at 20		
U250	-5.5 IIS	580000	driven components		
Alwaa		78000 /	pipeline of the		
Aiveo U250	-3.6 ns	620000	qA/p_t values (x4)		
0250		030000	to the Accumulator		
Almoo		66000 /	pipeline of the		
Alveo U250	-3 ns	820000	Accumulator to the		
0200		830000	S. W. Tower Finder		
VII10D	92 mg	32000/	nono		
V 0191	-2.5 115	590000	none		
			pipeline of the		
		25000 /	Accumulator to the		
VU19P	-1.9 ns	23000/	S. W. Tower Finder and		
		880000	qA/p_t values (x10) to		
			the Accumulator		
		35000 /	pipeline of the		
VU19P	-2 ns	640000	qA/p_t values (x10)		
		040000	to the Accumulator		

Table 7.4: Table showing several tests done with the pipeline method and the forced fanout limitation. Here with pipeline is intended reducing the intrinsic travel time of the paths between two blocks of the HT firmware (7.10). For example pipeline of the Accumulator means adding a second Accumulator as buffer, or x10 qA/p_t values pipeline is intended as adding 10 times other Flip Flops used for the qA/p_t results temporary storage, always as buffer.

and without the "Computation and Comparator" and the "Cluster Extractor" implemented. The resource usage affected by these pipelines is mostly the Flip Flop amount. Because of the high parallelization of the HT logic, the insertion of pipeline stages and the reduction of the limit on the fan-out didn't improve the performance as much as expected.

7.3.3 Current Status

The logic described above has been finalized and tested, including at hardware level, up to the finding of the roads after the accumulator is filled. The operations of cluster extraction are in progress to be optimized. Figure 7.20 shows the latency of the blocks of the firmware. Here the values are in clock periods required to go from the inputs of that block to its outputs. For example, in the block "qA/p_t values calculation" the value "10" means the time interval from the 8 clusters entry in the block to the 9600 qA/p_t values calculated from them amounts to 10 clock periods. The blue blocks have been tested with hardware validation, the "Computation and Comparator" block reached good performance in latency and must be optimized at resources level. The "Cluster Extractor" block is going through the process of resources and timing optimizations, with a latency prospected of 32 clock periods in the worst case, which amounts to 220 ns with a clock frequency of 250 MHz. The



Figure 7.20: Detailed scheme of the HT firmware with the latency of each block, in clock periods.

HT firmware has several parameters which drive its possibility to be implementable on the current FPGAs. This because those could increase the resources and/or preclude the timing closure of the system. Furthermore the device variety allows to accomplish the same task in different ways. All these create a large parameter space with different effects in the logic implementation. So an important task together with the finalization of the firmware design is to study this space and find the best configuration. Starting with the FPGA family and version, three devices have been targeted based on their size: the Alveo U250 Acceleration Platform, the VU19P, and the KU085. Table 7.5 shows their features. The first test done was to place

Device	Look-Up Table	Flip Flop	DSP	Price	Transceivers available
Alveo U250	1'728'000	3'456'000	12'288	$\sim 4000 $ \$	24
VU19P	4'085'760	8'171'520	3'840	\sim 7000 \$	80
KU085	497'520	995'040	4'100	$\sim 2000 \$	48

Table 7.5: Features of the three FPGAs targeted in the HT firmware proposal.

and route the same logic in the FPGAs to see the compiler behavior with three different areas available for the paths. The firmware was the same HT code in all three configured with the 20 % of the required ϕ_0 bins, 4000 clusters managed in groups of eight entering in 500 clock cycles in input, applying 20 sectors, using 40 sliding windows, running at 250 MHz and without the "Computations and Comparators" and "Cluster Extractor" blocks. These devices in Table 7.5 were chosen also because they are in the average, high and low costs range respectively but with the same technology XILINX Ultrascale +. The results showed that only the KU085 device wasn't able to match the timing, with a Worst Negative Slack (WNS, the slowest paths) of -6 ns and a number of failing paths of 110'000 over 300'000 paths in total. The other two devices were able to match the timing with two values of WNS of 0.077 ns for the ALVEO u250 and 0.014 ns for the VU19P. These results demonstrate that larger FPGAs allow better path distribution and higher performance even with the same resources used. These and other similar results with higher values of the configuration parameters suggested to direct the development toward using the VU19P. After the choice of the device, the placing in the FPGA of the transceivers to be used was studied, showing different results depending on the cases. The transceivers lanes are placed on both right and left sides of a device or only in one, depending on their number and on the FPGA size. Each transceiver has an I/O structure with the possibility of being used as input or output, in two physically different connections. Configuring the firmware so that an input and an output share the same transceiver can change the timing results depending on the size of the logic implemented. More resources used would require more space to use and more complexity to match the timing performance. If for example the firmware would require to spread on the FPGA for a certain amount of area but the groups of inputs and outputs implemented are too close between them, this would force the compiler to place the resources in a non-performing way by not spreading enough the architecture. Table 7.6 shows these occurrences. About the number of sectors in which to separate the accumulator, the value used is 20, even if the 40 sectors case results in the lowest number of multiplications to do. Not all the possible numbers can be used to divide the accumulator in sectors. For example 40 sectors means 30

Device	07 4	number	frequency	WNS	Failing	Total	Transceivers
	$\gamma_0 \varphi_0$	sectors	[MHz]	[ns]	paths	paths	configuration
Alveo U250	40	10	250	-3.5	80'000	590'000	different I/O
Alveo U250	40	10	250	-3.5	70'000	590'000	I/O shared
Alveo U250	40	10	125	0.077	0	550'000	different I/O
Alveo U250	40	10	125	0.017	0	550'000	I/O shared

Table 7.6: Table showing the results of the tests of different placing of the transceivers.

bins each sector, and consequently 30 multiplications to do based on the structure showed above, this for each layer. Then there are the multiplications to calculate the $\Delta \phi_0/r$, which are 39 per layer. These result in 69 multiplications per layer for 40 sectors implemented. In case of 20 sectors there are 79 multiplications each layer, in case of 24 sectors 73, in case of 50 sectors 73 multiplications to do. However, less multiplication doesn't mean better timing results, as shown in the Table 7.7. As for the multiplication, the best solution to apply them was to implement DSP

Device $\% \phi_0$	07 4	number	frequency	WNS	Failing	Total	Firmware
	70 φ_0	sectors	[MHz]	[ns]	paths	paths	version
VU19P	40	10	250	-2.3	32'000	590'000	Type A
VU19P	40	20	250	-3.6	26'000	590'000	Type A
VU19P	40	20	250	-2	8'000	550'000	Type B
VU19P	40	40	250	-2.6	37'000	570'000	Type B

Table 7.7: Table showing the timing analysis results with different amount of sectors used and with two different versions of the firmware (differing in the clock managing).

components and configure them manually. This because the compiler, if it instantiates the DSP by default, forces them to complete the mathematical operation in one clock cycle. Instead the manual configurable mode uses four clock cycles. Less time to do an operation force to build a more complex structure and worsening the timing results. However the multiplications can be implemented even using LUTs instead of DSPs, and this test has been done. The results show that implementing the multiplications before the accumulator operations with LUTs instead of with DSPs allows to significantly reduce the paths failing the time and lead to the closure without failing paths. The best results achieved until now are showed in Table 7.8 . The version without DSPs implies an increasing of the resources of 20 % of LUTs, from 480'000 to 590'000, for the number of ϕ_0 bins used. As already mentioned, the effects of a parameter on the firmware change accordingly to the correlations with the others, so the campaign to find the best configuration must be continued. The main options, as of today, driving the hardware logic, excluding the ATLAS requests, are:

• number of sectors;

Device	$ \begin{array}{l} \% \ \phi_0, \\ \text{sectors,} \\ \text{frequency} \\ [\text{MHz}] \end{array} $	LUTs [k]	Flip Flops [k]	WNS [ns]	Failing paths	Total pats [k]	Firmware version
VU19P	40, 20, 250	480	390	-2	8'000	550	multiplications before accumulator with DSPs
VU19P	40, 20, 250	590	420	0.022	0	590	multiplications before accumulator with LUTs
VU19P	20,20,250	240	210	0.014	0	260	multiplications before accumulator with DSPs
VU19P	40,20,125	480	390	0.077	0	550	multiplications before accumulator with DSPs

Table 7.8: Results of tests with and without DSPs and with different parameters (% ϕ_0 and clock frequency).

- usage of DSPs or LUTs for the multiplications;
- amount and configuration of transceivers lanes available in the FPGA;

The next steps are: to finalize the design as to include in these tests the last two blocks of Figure 7.10 ("Computations and Comparators" and "Cluster Extractor"), to continue the campaign to configure in the most performing way the firmware and to test the architecture developed in further depth, for example with more realistic test-vectors. A technique under development to reach a high percentage of the logic is to configure the FPGA to have more HT bunches of firmware completely separated, without shared paths. This would allow to take advantage of the timing results of the individual firmware and then reproduce it on the FPGA a number of times allowed by the device resources. This would use the Super Logic Regions (SLR) of the FPGA, the largest areas by which the device is made. Between different SLRs the interconnections are less performing and as shown in Figure 7.21, if possible the compiler tries to implement a single design in a single SLR. Here the implementation was for two separate HT logic of 40 % of ϕ_0 , 125 MHz of frequency and 20 sectors and without the last two blocks. Considering the VU19P device, the goal is to implement four single HT firmware in it, without shared paths, at the highest possible percentage of ϕ_0 . For example, this architecture with 50 % of ϕ_0 bins could reach two Hough Transform firmware blocks, until the Sliding Windows Road Finding block, in one device. This could be very helpful to make the solution more versatile for the ATLAS requests. An implementation with two completely separated HT firmware blocks, without "Computation and Comparator" and "Cluster Extractor", was reached by testing two version configured as 40 % of ϕ_0 bins and 4000 clusters managed, using 20 sectors, running at 125 MHz, with timing results of 0.018 ps as WNS.

To evaluate the correct functionality of the firmware is necessary to simulate it by





Figure 7.21: Images showing the placing and routing schemes of two HT logic in the same FPGA (VU19P), completely separated at timing level. The left image shows the placing, the right image shows the placing and routing. Because the transceivers used are all in the right upper part of the FPGA there are some paths going from the lower to the upper part of the FPGA.

software. The Xilinx tool allows to simulate the implementation on the FPGA with the real travel time of the paths, as mentioned above. The status of the complete HT firmware is now at RTL (Register Transfer Logic) level of simulation, meaning that only the behavior of the code has been tested, not its real performance on the device. Simulations with the real travel time of the paths have been done for the design until the results of the road finding, showing the same outputs roads found in the RTL case. Dummy roads are used with a small set of clusters (40, five groups of eight). Figures 7.22, 7.23, 7.24, 7.25, 7.26 and 7.27 show screenshots of the



Figure 7.22: Screenshot of the RTL simulation tool showing a line (representing a cluster) in one layer of the accumulator. The '1' represent the bins activated by the cluster. The vertical axis is qA/p_t while the horizontal ϕ_0 .



Figure 7.23: Screenshot of the RTL simulation tool showing a line (representing a cluster) in one layer of the accumulator. The '1' represent the bins activated by the cluster. The vertical axis is qA/p_t while the horizontal ϕ_0 . Here the value of r is quite different from before, showing the different slope of the line.

simulations done, with the caption describing what is shown. The simulations are currently done separately on the HT core logic and the transceivers structure. This because the latter requires a specific time and operation chain before being able to acquire and transmit the HT outputs and inputs (calibration of the lane), chain not finalized yet. Regarding the first hardware tests, Figure 7.28 and 7.29 show the results of the HT firmware until the road finding. The first image shows a road found continuously each 80 clock cycles (c.c.). This latency comes from the fact that the 40 windows are checked one each 2 clock periods and the roads are two in

✓ ♥ tower_value[15:0][14:0][1:0]	(0.0.0.0.0	[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)	(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	a, a, a, a) , (a _X (a, a, a, a,	, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) , (0	X(0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,	G, G, D, G, G, G) , (G, G, D, G, G,	9, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) , (0, 0, 0, 0
> 👽 [15][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0				0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	,0,0,0,0,0			
> 👽 [14][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0				0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	,0,0,0,0,0			
> 😻 [13][14:0][1:0]	0.0.0.0.0				0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	.0.0.0.0.0			
> 😻 [12][14:0][1:0]	0.0.0.0.0.				0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	,0,0,0,0,0			
> 👽 [11][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0				0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	,0,0,0,0,0			
> 😻 [10][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0				0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	.0.0.0.0.0			
> 😻 [9][14:0][1:0]	0.0.0.0.0.				0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0			
> 😻 [8][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0				0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	,0,0,0,0,0			
> 👽 [7][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0		0,0,0,0,	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	,0,0,0,0,0			
> 😻 [6][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0				0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	.0.0.0.0.0			
> 😻 [5][14:0][1:0]	0.0.0.0.0				0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0			
> 😻 [4][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	,0,0,0,0,0			
> 😻 [3][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0				0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0	.0.0.0.0.0			
> 🔮 [2][14:0][1:0]	0.0.0.0.0.	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	, 0, 0, 0	3,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	, G, G, D, C, G , C, C	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 3, 3, 0, 0, 0	'	0, 6, 6, 0, 0, 6, 6, 0, 0, 6, 6,	0,0,0,0,0
> 😻 [1][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0				0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	,0,0,0,0,0			
> 😻 [0][14:0][1:0]	0,0,0,0,0				0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	.0.0.0.0.0			
🔓 cnt_SWRoads	0			0			_X'	1	
🔓 cnt_qApt_out	0				0				
la cnt_phi0_out	7	<u> </u>	χ	χ	6	х		7	
> W qApt_end[12:0]	0002			0000					0002
	and the second se								

Figure 7.24: Image showing a window of the accumulator after the counting of the amount of layers activated per bin. The vertical axis is qA/p_t while the horizontal ϕ_0 .



Figure 7.25: Screenshot showing in the signal cnt_SWRoads the moment a road is found, in cnt_qApt_out and cnt_phi_out the sliding of the window through the accumulator and in qApt_end and phi0_end the values of qA/p_{t,road} and $\phi_{0,road}$ found.



Figure 7.26: Image showing the signal ResultCompar, which represents the vector listing the clusters which passed the comparison and so which are part of a road. The number of '1' in the white box represent the number and position in the cluster storage of the clusters which generate that road.

> 😻 [15][11:0]	01f				00f		Х						01f	
> 😻 [14][11:0]	01e				00e		<u>΄ χ</u>						Ole	
> 😻 [13][11:0]	01d				00d		<u>΄ χ</u>						01d	
> 😻 [12][11:0]	01c				00c		· χ						01c	
> 😻 [11][11:0]	01b				OOb		·χ						015	
> 😻 [10][11:0]	01a				00a		<u>΄</u> χ						Ola	
> 😻 [9][11:0]	019				009		<u>΄ χ</u>						019	
> 😻 [8][11:0]	018				008		<u>т х</u>						018	
> 😼 [7][11:0]	01f		007			01f	<u>΄ χ</u>	COf X			1		01 f	
> 😻 [6][11:0]	01e	00	06	01 f		Ole	. Х	00e					Ole	
> 😻 [5][11:0]	01d	005	01 f	Ole		01d	Х	00d X					01d	
> 😻 [4][11:0]	01c	004	Ole	010		Olc	X	GGc					Olc	
> 😻 [3][11:0]	00f	003	01 d	X		GOf	X	GGP					00 f	
> 😻 [2][11:0]	00e	-X	00f	X		60e	Х	CCa 🔨					00e	
> 😻 [1][11:0]	00d	<u> </u>	00e	X		GOd	Х	669					00d	
> 😻 [0][11:0]	00c	<u> </u>	00d	X		60c	<u>΄ χ</u>	608 X			1		00c	
FinalHits[15:0][17:0]	0013c,00	00		···)(0	X 0033e,0031e	5,001ee,001c6,	0019e,000f6	0013c,0	Χ.	0013c,	00114,000ec,00	00c4,0009c,00074	, 0004c , 00024, 001	Sc,
> 😻 [15][17:0]	0013c				6033e			X					0013c	
> 😻 [14][17:0]	00114				00316			X					00114	
> 😻 [13][17:0]	000ec				GOlee			X					000ec	
> 😻 [12][17:0]	000c4				001c6			X					000c4	
> 😻 [11][17:0]	0009c				6019e			X					0009c	
> 😻 [10] [17:0]	00074		1		CCOf6		1	X					00074	
> 😻 [9][17:0]	0004c				GGOce		1	X					0004c	
INF [8][17:0]	00024				00066			X					00024	
> 😻 [7][17:0]	0013c		0033f			0013c		0033e	χ				0013c	
> 😽 [6][17:0]	00114	G	0317	χα.	X	00114		60316	χ				G0114	
> 😽 [5][17:0]	000ec	001ef	001	3c 🛛 0.	•X	000ec		00lee	X				GODec	
> 😻 [4][17:0]	000c4	001c7	001	14 0.	••X	000c4		001c6	X				000c4	
> 😻 [3][17:0]	0033e	0019f	CCO	20		0033e		G019e	χ				0033e	
> 😽 [2][17:0]	00316	000f7	0033e			00316		000f6	X				00316	
> M 0107-01														
/ @ [1][1/10]	001ee	000cf	00316	_X_		OOLee		000ce	X				UUIee	
> W [0][17:0]	001ee 001c6	000cf	00316 001ee	ž		001ee 001c6		000ce 00066	X				001c6	
> V [0][17:0]	001ee 001c6	000cfX 00067X	00316 001ee	ž		001c6		000ce 00066	χ .				001c6	

Figure 7.27: Image showing the cluster in output to the logic. The 16 signals in the lower-center part of the Figure are the 16 clusters of the dummy test-vectors.



Figure 7.28: Image showing the road found by the logic during the hardware test. Here is shown that the road is found each 80 clock periods.



Figure 7.29: Image showing the road found by the logic. Here is shown that the road last 2 clock periods (there are two roads).

all the accumulator. The second image shows a probe checking for specific values of the road. The probe used does not show the value of the bins of the Accumulator of the road because that would require too much bits to monitor and the timing of the firmware implementation would not be matched. The signal lasts 4 clock periods because there are two roads and each last two clock cycles. The hardware used is the Alveo U250, with a firmware configured as: 60 ϕ_0 , 250 MHz of frequency, 40 clusters, 40 windows and 20 sectors.

As mentioned before the transceivers development is still separated from the HT firmware core. The implementation of the high-speed lanes has been forwarded with the collaboration of a Master student (Giacomo Levrini). The scope of our job was to instantiate a communication between two XILINX Ultrascale + demo boards with a data generation customized and under control. The structure of the setup, as explained in the colleague's Master thesis [44], has the purpose to emulate the setup of the real demonstrator, in the part of the transceivers communication. The tests done are about the implementation of a transceivers loop-back mode communication using a VCU1525 evaluation board. After the successful communication in loop-back then the plan is to move to two boards sending data to each other. The number of lanes used concurrently in the communication is eight, using as data protocol the Aurora 64b/66b at 16 Gb/s each lane, with all the system running at 250 MHz. These emulate the conditions for the HT firmware inputs. For the tests done a set of data generated internally the FPGA has been used, a counter from 0 to 2^{64} -1. The scope of the tests is to assure that implementing eight transceivers in input and output on the board, the system complete the calibration of the protocol chosen. This was achieved during the tests. After the transceivers calibration the generated data are sent through the high-speed lanes. Finally the data are checked in the receiver logic. Figure 7.30 and 7.31 show the results. The status of the development



Figure 7.30: Picture of the Vivado interface showing the behavior of the loop-back mode data stream receiver. The signal diff_1 goes up if the difference between the data data_out at timestamp n and data_out at timestamp n-1 is 1. diff_0 goes up if the difference is not equal to 1. diff_0 should go up each 2^{64} clock periods, but at the moments the instability of the data stream activate it each 32 clock periods.

is at the loop-back mode version of the firmware to optimize. Now the achieved data communication allows to transmit a set of 32 data. This allows to transmit to the HT firmware 32 sets of eight clusters, a total amount of 256 clusters serially. The development of this to stabilize the data stream and move to a two-boards setup is still ongoing.

Concluding, the other parts of the demonstrator are still under development, with the data generator and the Hough Transfom work in progress in the Bologna University.



Figure 7.31: Picture of the Vivado interface showing the behavior of the loop-back mode data stream receiver. Here there is a zoom of the same data stream to show the values transmitted.

Conclusion

The next years will be crucial for the HEP experiments. The technological advancement in many fields, from the sensors to the data processing, open a vast range of possibilities. The biggest hadron circular collider in the world, LHC, and the physics experiments connected to it will exploit this technological evolution. They also will gain performance from innovative strategies now possible with the new instrumentation features. The LHC accelerator coupled with the 4 major experiments, ATLAS, ALICE, CMS and LHCb achieved extremely important goals, as the most known the Higgs boson first detection and measurements.

The work presented in this thesis regards my contribution to the two upgrades which the ATLAS detector will undergo in the nearest future and in the next years. These upgrades fulfill the increasing luminosity of LHC and the new physics goals. In the Long Shutdown 2, ongoing now, I collaborated with the FELIX group at the commissioning of the FLX-712 FPGA readout based cards. These boards will be part of the upgrade of the ATLAS Phase-I TDAQ system, as new data acquisition technology and methodology. The FLX-712, showed in Figure 7.32, has been



Figure 7.32: Picture of the FLX-712. 1) FPGA Kintex Ultrascale, 2) MiniPOD, 3) PCIe Gen 3 connector, 4) MTP connector, 5) TTC mezzanine), 6) PCIe Switch, 7) Power manager.

mostly tested in a commercial 4U PC setup. The goal of the FELIX acquisition

system is to commute high-end FPGA technology, exploiting the last XILINX generation Ultrascale +, with the commodity architecture of a PC. The commissioning campaign concluded with 254 cards manufactured and tested. My contribution in this collaboration has been to develop and follow part of the functionality tests of the cards. The test purpose is to check the basic functionalities of the FLX-712 immediately after the power up. My work in this collaboration has been also to be the technical support of the contractor which produced the cards. Primarily in the 2019 my job has been about the preparation and tuning of the tests, to solve software bugs occurred during the production and tests, to follow with the FELIX developers the upgrades described in section 4.2. After the first 20 cards shipped to CERN in the middle of 2019 and the acceptance tests done there, the production continued. In January 2020 I stopped working on it. During all the period I assisted remotely the manufacturer and joined it to follow the first tests on the first cards. I resolved the first issues encountered and reported the status of the production to the FELIX group. At full regime the contractor used two PCs provided by CERN to do the tests, with a capability to work up to 8 FLX-712s cards at time. The main problems occurred in the first 20 cards produced were the PCB warping and the snap-out of the on-board fibers. The others cards produced until now, apart two of them with problems still under investigation and less than ten with minor issues, result well functioning. This commissioning will allow the LAr and NSW upgrades for the ATLAS Phase-I.

After January 2020 I slowly left the FLX-712 production and started a collaboration with the Hardware Tracking for the Trigger (HTT) group for the ATLAS Phase-II TDAQ upgrade. Here my contribution has been and is today the development of a firmware design for a proposal for the ATLAS Phase-II TDAQ tracking trigger. One of the several proposals done as alternative of the AM ASICs is about exploiting the Hough Transform (HT) tracking algorithm, tuned for the ATLAS requirements, implementing it on commercial FPGAs. The HT is a tracking algorithm principally used to search straight lines. By applying a space parameter transformation, it can be tuned to have, at software level, performance of road finding and fake rejection comparable with the AM ASIC. My work started in February 2020, with the development of a firmware design to implement the Hough Transform. The research involved all the aspects of the implementation, from the choice of the FPGA family, the design concept and the various logic and hardware studies to reach the targeted performance. At the end of May 2020 the design concept, shown in Figure 7.33, was decided. In the following months the concepts have been translated in VHDL code, showing the first hardware results in the last month. Figure 7.34 shows the setup used for this test. The firmware architecture has been, and is now, developed using the lowest abstraction level of programming, controlling the number of resources and which to use for each task. This is a necessity to avoid a steep increase of the resource usage and concurrently maintaining good performance. The firmware reach in size the limit of the two millions components used in the FPGA. The hardware test resulting successful suggests that the methodologies applied until



Figure 7.33: Overview of the HT firmware logic.



Figure 7.34: Setup of the first hardware test of the HT firmware.

now are working fine and can be handled by the device. This architecture is part of a proposal that will be finalized with a demonstrator in the next months, in the late spring 2021. The HT firmware is a completely scalable architecture driven by many parameters, which determine the resources required and the timing closure of the system. The next steps about this project are many and of crucial importance. The most important two are to finalize the implementation of the final part of the firmware logic and to complete the definition of all the parameters. A big recent achievement was that the ATLAS management decided on December 2020 to form two task forces to investigate two different solutions for the HTT project, one custom-hardware based and one hardware-commodity based. Because of the nature of my personal contribution in the HT firmware, it suits in both the two scenarios, not being strictly related to custom hardware and with its scalability giving it a vast range of potential applications.

Appendix A Aurora 64b/66b

The Aurora 64b/66b is a digital communication protocol using the 64b/66b coding system, developed for point-to-point communication at high-speed. This protocol allows a high payload of the coding mechanism, 97 %. The protocol specifies the characteristics of: the electrical connections, the PMA (Physical Medium Attachment), PCS (Physical Coding Sub-layer), Channel Control and Cyclic Redundancy Check (CRC). Figure A.1 describes the Aurora main interconnections which are Au-



Figure A.1: Aurora 64b/66b conceptual scheme.

rora lane and Aurora channel, both capable of simplex, half-duplex and full-duplex functionalities. The Aurora data stream is managed by frame which can act as data, idles or flow control messages. Figure A.2 describes in details the data flow chain. Starting from the upper right the user data enters the engine and the Aurora Encoding apply the flow control and data management. Before reach the GearBox, the 64 bits word from the encoding undergoes a scrambling operation. These word can be for data or management. The scrambling is done to distribute more equally



Figure A.2: Aurora 64b/66b data stream chain in both directions.

the 0 and 1 of the word and consequently of the serial data stream. This operation is done to let the receiver to use the randomized stream as "simil-clock" stream, and to use it to synchronize with the clock receiver source. The scrambled data are then categorized in data or flow control. There are, further then the data itself to transmit, 15 types of control blocks available: the direct commands of idles, channel bonding, not ready and clock compensation. The others are two types of separators, two types of Flow control, one block reserved and 9 blocks called user k-blocks. These management data are recognized by the GearBox which will apply to them the header 10, while the user data will have as header 01. Going through some of them quickly:

- clock compensation is an application command used to prevent data errors due too high difference between the recovered clock from the data and the reference local clock;
- channel bonding is a block used to synchronize and align at the same phase different lanes of the same channel;
- separators indicate the end of the current frame;
- user k-blocks are customizable stream control block;
- flow control blocks can be "native" or "user" and decide the priority of all the management blocks transmitted and received.

After the 66 bits word is built, the PCS operations are complete and the PMA functionalities start by serializing the data (handled by low or high-speed transmitter as LVDS or transceiver LVDS). At the receiver the PMA apply a clock data recovered to reconstruct the clock from the data. This is possible thanks to the scrambling operation done by the transmitter. After the phase alignment the data is de-serialized, then it enters the Block sync, a IEEE standard state machine to align the 66 bits words. After the alignment a GearBox remove the header and, intercommunicating with the Channel Bonding and Clock compensation engines, applies the two "calibration" functions mentioned above to extract the correct 64 bits user data word. These two functions are the frame recognition and the clocks alignment:

• the frame recognition is used to find the exact position in the serial stream from which the 66 bits word starts. To do that it is used the value of the header of the blocks, which can be 10 or 01. The headers are checked for the 66 bits input de-serialized words. If their values is for a certain amount of occurrence consecutively (usually 66) 10 or 01, then the starting bit of the frame is considered found. If not then a shift register is applied, called in this case bit-slip, moving 1 bit on the Most Significant Bit side or on the Least Significant Bit side. If more than 66 bit-slip operations are done than an error signal is send. Figure A.3 shows, on the left side, an example of bit-slip;

the clock alignment is used to match the phase of the two clock sources of transmitter and receiver. The Aurora 64b/66b, thanks to its low payload, is usually used for high bandwidth data streaming. The stability of the flow is crucial. To assure this stability is applied a rule described, together with a bit-slip example, in Figure A.3. The operation is to ensure that the edge of the clock used by the receiver (one edge if single data rate or all the two edges if double data rate) is placed as close as possible to the center of the serial bit in input to the receiver. This to avoid that a too-near to the edge start or end of serial data causes a wrong reading at the receiver (if a data transition is too close to a clock edge, the jitter could cause the instrument to read the previous data instead of the next, randomly behaving). This operation of phase calibration is applied using de-serialized data. After completing the frame recognition, it is checked that a pre-defined word is received for a defined amount of time to ensure that the data stream is stable. If this defined data is not received for enough time then a delay (few ps) is applied to the received serial data or to the clock, to search the correct phase and achieving the configuration showed in the lower right part of Figure A.3.



Figure A.3: Left: example of frame searching operation through bit-slip. Right: before (upper part) and after (lower part) the clock alignment operation.

To conclude, there are two types of error defined basing on their relevance: hard errors, catastrophic events such as channel disconnection or hardware failure and soft errors such as wrong header reception after a complete calibration of the system, possibly caused by statistical issues in long runs and solved with a further calibration. Hard errors cause a system reset while soft errors are reported but not cause the data stream stop.

124

Appendix B FPGA

A Field Programmable Gate Array is an array of configurable digital and analog components. The interconnections between them are also configurable, allowing to design custom circuit with digital and analog input/output capabilities. These devices have always been associated to DAQ systems, thanks to their capability of control at 100 % the latency of each circuit developed. They are extremely versatile in terms of technologies (optical fibers, coaxial cables, ADCs, etc.) to interconnect. The major features of this technology are:

- the achievement of the 7 nm technology node (few months ago the first commercial FPGA with it has been made available on the market);
- the capability of manage up to 3.5 Tb/s of inputs/outputs;
- all the most general and used I/O technology implemented by default in a vast range of commercial evaluation boards as ethernet, optical fibers, coaxial connectors, LCDs, general purpose I/O, PCI Express, HDMI, display ports, general purpose FPGA Mezzanine Connector (FMC);
- two separate technologies of high-speed I/O for different needs: transceivers LVDS (up to 32 Gb/s) and low-performing LVDS (up to 1.6 Gb/s);
- a very high number of internal components with various functions as Digital Signal Processors (up to 12000), Serializer-de-serializer of two technologies (transceivers and low-performing), several types of memories as non volatile (ROM) and volatile (RAM), high-versatility memory components as Flip Flops (Flip Flop up to 8 million), delay generator, clock generator and cleaner as Phase-Locked Loop, Look-Up Table function generator to implement boolean processes (up to 4 million);
- a 1 ps resolution of timing analysis of all the developed circuit;
- an internal stable frequency achievable up to 1600 MHz, with up to 800 MHz usable also in Double Data Rate.

FPGAs are divided in banks with their power voltage and digital logic in input and output. Some banks allow a customization of the voltage swing between 0 and 1 and include also current choice.

- high-range bank: from 3.3 V to 1.2 V (not all possibilities available) I/O with low performing speed in LVCMOS (single handed) and LVDS (differential signal), with bandwidth up to 1.25 Gb/s in LVDS mode;
- high-performance bank: from 1.8 V to 1.2 V, single and differential, high-speed performing up to 1.6 Gb/s in LVDS mode;
- Gigabit Transceiver (types X,H,Y): highest bandwidth performance with high customization of logic swing (even lower the 300 mV), up to 32 Gb/s LVDS transceiver mode;
- Clock Management Tiles: clocks manager banks with 1.6 GHz maximum frequency and < 20 % of clock input period of jitter;

Depending from the I/O, from 4 to 24 mA are configurable, as Low Voltage CMOS, Low Voltage Differential Signal, Low Voltage TTL (Transistor-Transistor Logic), Differential Signal TTL, etc. Many of these possible parameters and configurations are selectable based on the type of FPGA. For the XILINX vendor there are three types of FPGA separated based on the so-called "speed-grade", in three different versions. Moving to the inside of the device, Figure B.1 describes the general scheme



Figure B.1: Scheme of the internal structure of a FPGA.

of the device, where the Configurable Logic Block (CLB) represents the smallest configurable custom circuit. Connect Box (CB) and Switch Box (SB) instead represent the configurable interconnection between the CLBs. The CLBs are different depending on the vendor. Generally speaking they contain a set of all the components to implement internal circuit as: Look-Up Table, Carry for arithmetic operations, Flip Flop, latches and multiplexers. The LUTs are the most peculiar devices. LUTs have from 2 to 6 ports in input to 1 in output. They are programmed by the compiler (or by the developer directly) by communicating the operation to apply to all the inputs with a number from a list of defined accepted values. Up to 500000 CLBs can be provided by today FPGAs. Together with the CLB other general devices are distributed in the FPGA to do all the necessary operations:

- Digital Signal Process are devices capable of processing up to three vectors of bits in several arithmetic operations. The important feature of this components is the operational speed, handling a clock frequency up to 891 MHz and being able to implement all the arithmetic which is capable of in one clock cycle (even important and useful calculation such as A+B*C);
- Mixed-Mode Clock Manager and Phase-Locked Loop are the clock managers and cleaners capable of generating from an input clock an output up to 1600 MHz, able to distribute the clock all over the FPGA and handle up to 8 different clocks generated from one input frequency;
- Several types of Buffers from the general buffer to delay a signal to bank specific buffers to distributes low jitter clocks to three-state manager;
- Double Data Rate output and input, to distribute in defined FPGA areas clock and signals at DDR precision;
- Block RAM (up to 3000) with up to 72 bits I/O and up to 36 kbits of space managed in addresses, capable of running at 750 MHz;
- Delay in input and output to dynamically change the phase of clocks and data.

The languages to develop firmware are the Hardware Description Language such as VHDL, Verilog, TCL. The compilation chain to produce the file to upload in the management system of the FPGA to configure it is divided in three major actions: RTL (Register Transfer Level) netlist production, placing of the netlist components in the chosen device and routing them together. A netlist is the list of all the components forming the hardware logic developed and how they are interconnected. After writing the HDL script the compiler build the netlist basing on the technology and type of components that FPGA vendor offers. In this operation are not considered the number of components of the specific FPGA targeted, the speed type, the travel time of the signal through the components or between them, or in general the target FPGA specifications. The RTL netlist is used to implement the logic in the targeted FPGA, considering now all the parameters avoided before. This occurs in many steps. First of all a physical optimization is applied to simplify the netlist basing the real device used. Then the netlist achieved is placed in the FPGA, consisting in all the components placed using Intellectual Property algorithms to enhance the timing and area performance. After this another physical optimization is applied and then the routing of everything is done, also here applying IP algorithms. During the operations hardware constraints as clock frequency in input, paths to treat with higher priority or to delay, I/O mapping etc is done to complete the compilation and generate the binary file to program the FPGA with by JTAG protocol. At the end, a list of all the paths and their real travel time is provided, with the possibility to use it by several tools to operate software simulations. In all of the parts of the compilation it is possible to intervene to drive the compiler. This is necessary because the algorithms applied by it are not 100 % assuring the good end of the compilation. Some of them are described before in the paragraph 7.2. Area occupied, number of resources, timing closure (explained in the paragraph 7.2), power supply requested are some of the constraints that a firmware could undergo. FPGAs can be programmed in different ways by uploading the binary file to reconfigure the device in SRAM, EEPROM or Flash memory. A focus is required for the XILINX IP technology of the transceivers. Figure B.2 shows the block scheme of the data



Figure B.2: Detailed scheme of the transceiver XILINX technology.

processing chain to transmit and receive information by the transceiver technology. Many blocks of this Figure have the same purpose of the one showed in the previous chapter but applied to different coding protocols. In this example, which regards the GTH Ultrascale transceiver, the 8b/10b and the 128b/130b coding protocols are handled, including the Pseudo Random Bit Stream (PRBS), a data protocol used usually for tests such as BER and eve-diagram of the high-speed lanes. The PIPE (PHY Interface for the PCI Express) Control is used for the PCI Express message management. The differential signals polarity is cross-checked inside the same FPGA device, for example for loop-back data stream. In the PMA layer are described in more detail the hardware components that control the serial stream: PISO and SIPO represent the serialization-de-serialization and vice versa processes (Serial input Parallel Output, Parallel Input Serial Output), Clock Dividers are the high-performing PLLs which multiply the reference clock of several teens to reach the serial speed required, TX pre and post-emphasis allow to "open the eye" of the transmission, Drivers electrically transmit and receive the signals, RX equalizer (EQ) acts as adaptive filtering for low power mode (LPM) and high-performing (DFE, Digital Front End) input signal cleaning and Out-Of-Band (OOB) is used for the Serial ATA (SATA) protocol.

Appendix C Boundary Scan and JTAG

FPGAs are chip able to be exploited in many jobs. The standard to communicate with them from the outside is made by the infrastructure known as Boundary Scan (BS), which was then called Joint Test Action Group (JTAG) protocol because a joint of vendors and companies decided to use it as standard for monitoring and debugging of digital chip. The Boundary Scan is a scan architecture which connects a register at each I/O outside and inside a device. This approach lets to test each component of the implemented logic singularly, for example to isolate faulty parts of the circuit. It's purpose goes from testing the firmware of a configurable device to test the hardware itself, for example for broken circuit traces. These tests can be single shoot of information in the input and checking for the output or pre-saved set of patterns for more complex testing needs. The BS structure is placed alongside with the Integrated Circuit or ASIC design. It is made by Boundary-Scan Cells (BSC), usually one per IC or ASIC pin. The pin signals go freely through the BSC if it is not active. In boundary mode the I/O signals of the device under test are intercepted by the BSC. They are all interconnected by a shift register data stream structure. The testing operations consist on injecting from the BSC output to the device input the test patterns and read the device outputs by the BSC inputs. Then the test results are sent to the analysis tool. This single cell structure then is multiplied to monitor all the I/Os and internal components I/Os. Figure C.1 shows the scheme of the BSCs interconnections, where on the left the black lines represent the device under test signal path in case on normal mode, with the BS inactive. On the right, in BS mode the Boundary Scan Path represents the shift register stream which lets all the BSCs communicate. The BS structure can handle more ICs or ASICs concurrently. The Figure C.1 shows also signals as TDI, TCK, etc. They are related to the data protocol used to manage all the structure, which are described below. The architecture is based on different types of registers sharing information:

- Test Access Port (TAP) controller, a 16-states finite state machine to control the system;
- Bypass register which is made by 1 bit register to bypass freely the test structure;



Figure C.1: Scheme of the Boundary Scan structure.

- Identification register which is hardwired to identify the device under test;
- User Defined registers, optional for user custom tests.

The operation signals are:

- Test Data Input (TDI) which sends the test patterns and protocol instructions in input to the BS;
- Test Data Output (TDO) which provides the test results and instructions in output from the device under test;
- Test Mode Select (TMS) which controls the 16-states finite state machine of the TAP to control the system;
- Test Clock (TCK) which synchronizes everything in the BS;
- Test Reset (TRST).

The BS or JTAG testing system is now implemented by default in many commercial devices as FPGA, accessible by USB or 5-pins connectors.

Appendix D Vivado Eye Diagram

Figures D.1 and D.2 show the logic scheme of the Physical Medium Access (PMA)



Figure D.1: Scheme of the blocks and interconnection which is made the PMA layer of the Vivado eye diagram building structure.

and Physical Coding Sub-layer (PCS) structures of the eye diagram built by the Vivado tool. The path starts with the input from the PMA layer. The Equalization block applies the DFE or LPM modes to reduce the attenuation and distortion of the signal, caused by for example the cable of transmission. DFE mode is the most performing but not tuned for low power performance. The other inputs, which will be than compared with the equalizer output, are the horizontal offset (horz_offset) which delays the sampling time, and the vertical offset (vert_offset) which raises or lowers the differential voltage threshold at which the equalization output is compared with. vert_offset is transformed from its digital values to analog signal to be compared with the equalization output. The clock recovered from the data (rec_clock) drives the two synchronous Capture Flip Flop. Their transmission is used to build the parallel data (rdata) after the de-serialization done in the pink block in the



Figure D.2: Scheme of the blocks and interconnection which is made the PCS layer of the Vivado eye diagram building structure.

upper right part of Figure D.1. Concurrently also the serial data (sdata) are sent in input to this block. rdata and sdata are then transmitted to the PCS structure. Here rdata is used to search for desired patterns while sdata for error detection. All the components and signals operate as:

- "count qualifier" compares rdata with the values from es_qualifier (masked if necessary by es_qual_mask), sending the results to the sample counter (via a prescaler) or to the error counter;
- "error counter" takes note of the errors over time;
- "sample counter" counts the total number of sampling cycles;
- "prescaler" adds sub-multiple to "count qualifier" output so that each increment of "sample counter" results in a multiple of "count qualifier";
- "state machine" controls the system driving it to an eye scan or a capture snapshot of rdata and sdata;

All of these are then sent as input information to the Dynamic Reconfiguration Port (DRP) system. It is a processor-friendly synchronous interface for dynamic primitive parameter change and monitoring, to update and check the transceiver parameters of the HDL code.
Bibliography

- [1] Evans L. and Bryant P. LHC Machine. JINST 3, S08001 (2008).
- [2] Jean-Luc Caron. Cross Section of LHC dipole. Dipole LHC: coupe transversale. AC Collection. Legacy of AC. Picture from 1992 to 2002, May 1998.
- [3] Aad g. et l. The ATLAS Experiment at CERN Large Hadron Collider. JINST 3, S08003 (2008).
- [4] ATLAS Collaboration. Observation of a new particle in the search for the Standard Model Higgs boson with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. Phys. Lett B, 716 (arXiv:1207.7214. CERN-PH-EP-2012-218), 1-29 (2012).
- [5] ATLAS Collaboration. Technical Design Report for the ATLAS New Small Wheel. CERN-LHCC-2013-006. ATLAS-TDR-020 (2013).
- [6] ATLAS Collaboration. Technical Design Report for the ATLAS Liquid Argon Calorimeter Phase-I Upgrade. CERN-LHCC-2013-017. ATLAS-TDR-022-2013 (2013).
- [7] ATLAS Collaboration. Technical Design Report for the ATLAS TDAQ system Phase-I Upgrade. CREN-LHCC-2013-018. ATLAS-TDR-023 (2013)
- [8] ATLAS Collaboration. Technical Design Report for the ATLAS Inner Tracker Strip Detector. CERN-LHCC-2017-005. ATLAS-TDR-025 (2017).
- [9] ATLAS Collaboration. Technical Design Report for the Phase-II Upgrade of the ATLAS Muon Spectrometer. CREN-LHCC-2017-017. ATLAS-TDR-026 (2017).
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration. Technical Design Report for the ATLAS Liquid Argon Calorimeter Phase-II Upgrade. CERN-LHCC-2017-018. ATLAS-TDR-027 (2017).
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration. Technical Design Report for the Phase-II Upgrade of the ATLAS Tile Calorimeter. CERN-LHCC-2017-019. ATLAS-TDR-028 (2018).

- [12] ATLAS Collaboration. Technical Design Report for the Phase-II Upgrade of the ATLAS Trigger and Data Acquisition System. CERN-LHCC-2017-020. ATLAS-TDR-029 (2018).
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration. Technical Design Report for the ATLAS Inner Tracker Pixel Detector. CERN-LHCC-2017-021. ATLAS-TDR-030 (2018).
- [14] ATLAS Collaboration. Technical Design Report: A High-Granularity Timing Detector for the ATLAS Phase-II upgrade. CERN-LHCC-2020-007. ATLAS-TDR-031 (2020).
- [15] Mikael Martensson. A search for leptonquarks with the ATLAS detector and hardware tracking at the High-Luminosity LHC. ISSN 11651-6214. ISBN 978-91-513-0707-7 (2019).
- [16] Allam Shehata Hassanein et al. A survey on Hough Transform, Theory, Techniques and Applications. IJCSI Vol 12, I 1, No 2, ISSN 1694-0784 (2015).
- [17] RD53 Collaboration. The RD53A Integrated Circuit. CERN-RD53-PUB-001. V 3.51 (2019).
- [18] RD53 Collaboration. RD53 Status and Plans Pixel readout integrated circuits for extreme rate and radiation. 6th LHCC Report. September 11 2019.
- [19] ATLAS TDAQ Collaboration. FELIX: The New Readout System for the AT-LAS Detector. ATL-DAQ-PROC-2019-036 (2019).
- [20] ATLAS TDAQ Collaboration. FELIX User Manual: https://atlas-project-felix.web.cern.ch/atlas-project-felix/user/felix-user-manual/versions/4.0.6/.
- [21] ATLAS TDAQ Collaboration. Hardware production quality control for the AT-LAS Phase-I readout upgrade. PoS TWEPP2019 (2020) 099.
- [22] ATLAS Collaboration. Fast pattern recognition with the ATLAS L1Track trigger for the HL-LHC. PoS(Vertex 2016) 069.
- [23] XILINX. Vivado Design Suite User Guide: Logic Simulation. UG900. 2020.
- [24] XILINX. Vivado Design Suite User Guide: Synthesis. UG901. 2020.
- [25] XILINX. Vivado Design Suite User Guide: Implementation. UG901. 2020.
- [26] XILINX. UltraScale Architecture GTY transceivers: User guide. UG578 2020.
- [27] XILINX. UltraScale Architecture GTH transceivers: User guide. UG576 2020.
- [28] XILINX. UltraScale Architecture Configurable Logic Block: User guide. UG574 2020.

- [29] XILINX. UltraScale Architecture Memory Resources: User guide. UG573 2020.
- [30] XILINX. UltraScale Architecture SelectIO Resources: User guide. UG571 2020.
- [31] Association Connecting Electronics Industries. Acceptability of Printed Boards. IPC-A-600G 2004.
- [32] XILINX. Aurora 64b/66b Protocol Specification. SP011 2014.
- [33] Xabier Cid Vidal, Ramon Cid Manzano. Taking a closer look at LHC: LHC Pb collisions.
- [34] Rocca P. L. and Roggi F. The upgrade of the experiments at the Large Hadron Collider. Journal of Physics: Conference Series 515, 012012 (2014).
- [35] CMS Collaboration. The CMS experiment at CRE LHC. JINST 3, S08004 (2008).
- [36] CMS Collaboration. Observation of a new Ξ_b baryon. CMS-BPH-12-001 (2012).
- [37] CMS Collaboration. Observation of Higgs boson decay to bottom quarks. Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 121801 (2018).
- [38] ALICE Collaboration. The ALICE experiment at CERN LHC. JINST 3, S08002 (2008).
- [39] LHCb Collaboration. The LHCb Detector at the LHC. JINST 3, S08005 (2008).
- [40] LHCb Collaboration. Observation of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc}^{++} . Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 112001 (2017).
- [41] ATLAS TDAQ Collaboration. FELIX: commissioning of the New ATLAS Readout System. 22nd IEEE Real Time Conference, Vietnam, ATL-DAQ-SLIDE-2020-415 (2020).
- [42] ATLAS MUON Collaboration. Irradiation and gas studies of Micromegas production chambers for the ATLAS New Small Wheel. ATL-MUON-SLIDE-2020-315 (2020).
- [43] LHCb Collaboration. Observation of $J/\psi p$ resonances consistent with pentaquark states $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi K^- p$ decays. Phys. Rev. Lett. 115. 072001 (2015).
- [44] Giacomo Levrini. Feasibility study and emulation of Hough transform algorithm on FPGA devices for ATLAS Phase-II trigger upgrade. 2020.
- [45] XILINX. Interlaken 150G v1.6 LogiCORE IP Product Guide. PG212 2017.
- [46] Intel. Interlaken (2nd Generation) Intel FPGA IP User Guide. 2020.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

After the PhD period

In the first months of 2021 the development of the firmware went forward. It underwent some conceptual modifications to its features, but still keeping the structure of the firmware almost the same thanks to its versatility. New simulations studies using the updated detector geometry have generated new sizes of the Accumulator to use in the Hough Transform, including new constraints to extract from it valid roads. Furthermore a large number of new ideas such as new features requested, new possible physics studies and new alternative solutions using or not the Hough Transform have been presented as case studies and development in progress. In these few pages a brief summary of the status of the Hough Transform firmware known now as the Bologna/Uppsala version, the one presented in this thesis, will be described.

An important achievement was to complete the tests hardware of the firmware by implementing all the firmware on an FPGA, the Alveo U250, in separate small parts, just to test the functionalities. The tests went well. One of the most important conceptual change applied to the firmware, which does not effect the code or the FPGA resource usage of a relevant amount, is the use of the information acquired by the clustering process of the ITk detector's hits, instead of the SSIDs, this to avoid redundancy in the data flow. With this the firmware is built to be a possible replace for the AM ASICs and part of the Data Organizer. A further new conceptual update has been achieved by the HTT simulation group by new simulation studies with the up today detector geometry. These new analysis generated new statistics from which has been extrapolated new sizes of the accumulator. The simulations are still on going to be fine-tuned, however two major cases are now under development in the Hough Transform firmware, including the Accumulator size shown in the thesis, are:

- 216 ϕ_0 bins by 216 qA/p_t bins;
- 64 ϕ_0 bins by 216 qA/p_t bins;

These two values effect positively the resources of the firmware, having a smaller number of ϕ_0 and in general a smaller Accumulator. Further results of these simulations are related to a new feature requested to be processed by the firmware, the discrimination of the roads and relative clusters in slices alongside the Z coordinate. The slicing in Z of the detector has a peculiar structure that can cause more hits/clusters to be in more than one slice in Z. This causes consequently a vertical increase (even of a factor 20) of the amount of roads that could be found in the accumulator. Because of this a discrimination in Z also must be applied. The solution decided to be adopted now is to inject in the firmware the clusters previously separated in the Z slice at which they are placed, actuating the separation before the input in the Hough transform. Different solutions in the future are not excluded. Two versions of the slicing in Z are under study up to now, generated by the new simulations: 6 or 18 slices. These two and the two new Accumulator sizes both generates three cases for the development of the processing of a complete event:

- 216 ϕ_0 bins by 216 qA/p_t bins and 6 slices in Z: up to 278 clusters in one layer and averagely 361 roads per event;
- 216 ϕ_0 bins by 216 qA/p_t bins and 18 slices in Z: up to 98 clusters in one layer and averagely 162 roads per event;
- 64 ϕ_0 bins by 216 qA/p_t bins and 6 slices in Z: up to 98 clusters in one layer and averagely 302 roads per event.

These Hough Transform versions are now under validation from the two Task Forces that, working in parallel in the HTT project, must by the end of May redact two reports for the two different alternative solutions: one based on custom hardware and one based on commodity commercial hardware. The status of the firmware development related to the first version studied and to the new ones have been presented and are discussed in the weekly meeting 'Hough Transform Discussion' (Hough Transform Discussion), with the firmware stored and managed in a gitlab repository (Hough Transform repository). The work goes on, now focused on the timing closure of the three new versions.