# DOTTORATO DI RICERCA IN

# Scienze Cardio Nefro Toraciche

# Ciclo XXXII

Settore Concorsulae: 06/11 - DIAGNOSTICA PER IMMAGINI, RADIOTERAPIA E NEURORADIOLOGIA

Settore Scientifico Disciplinare: MED/36 - DIAGNOSTICA PER IMMAGINI E RADIOTERAPIA

## TITOLO TESI

# Prediction Nomogram for <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT in different clinical settings of PSA failure after radical treatment for Prostate Cancer

Presentata da:

Dott. Francesco Ceci

## **Coordinatore Dottorato**

Chiar.mo Prof. Gaetano Domenico Gargiulo

## **Supervisore**

Chiar.mo Prof. Nicolò Daddi

# **Table of Contents**

1	. Introductionpag. 4
	1.1 Imaging in Prostate Cancer: State of the Artpag 4
	1.2 PSMA as target for PET imaging and radionuclide therapypag. 5
	1.3 PSMA based PET imaging in Primary Staging of Prostate Cancerpag. 7
	1.4 PSMA based PET imaging to localize the site(s) of Biochemical Recurrencepag. 11
	1.5 Comparison of PSMA based PET imaging with other imaging modalitiespag. 14
	1.6 Salvage therapy PSMA-guided and clinical impact in patient managementpag. 16
	1.7 The Theranostic (Therapy and Diagnostic) Approachpag. 21

2.	Scientific Study	pag. 23
	2.1 Background	pag. 23
	2.2 Materials and Methods	pag. 24
	2.3 Results	pag. 28
	2.4 Discussion	pag. 43
	2.5 Conclusion	pag. 46

3. References	28	pag. 47
---------------	----	---------

4.	. Professional and academic results achieved by the candidate during the PhD	pag 56
	4.1 Professional Experiences	.pag. 56
	4.2 Academic Experiences	.pag. 56
	4.3 List of peer-reviewed Publication in indexed journals	.pag. 57
	4.4 List of Book Chapters	pag. 62
	4.5 List of peer-reviewd congress Abstracts	pag. 62

#### ABSTRACT

#### Objective

The primary objective of this study was to develop a clinical nomogram aimed to predict gallium-68 prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography/computed tomography (<sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT) positivity in relapsed prostate cancer (PCa) after radical treatment, presenting with different clinical settings of PSA failure. Secondary objective was to assess the most informative nomogram-derived cutoff in order to establish a predictive threshold for <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT positivity.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The cohort of patients included in this analysis was enrolled through an open-label, single-center, prospective registry study performed at our institution (Prot. PSMA-PROSTATA; Eudract: 2015-004589-27 OsSC). All patients provided signed informed consent prior to PET/CT scan. Among all patients referred to our center for 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT imaging in restaging setting (n = 1128), we included in this analysis only patients who had all clinical, pathological, imaging, and follow-up data available. Seven hundred three (n = 703) PCa patients with confirmed PSA failure after radical therapy, who performed <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT at single referral center (Nuclear Medicine, University of Bologna, Italy) between March 2016 and November 2018, were considered in the present analysis. Patients were stratified according to different clinical settings (first time biochemical recurrence [BCR]: group 1; BCR after salvage therapy: group 2; biochemical persistence after radical prostatectomy [BCP]: group 3; advanced stage PCa before second-line systemic therapies: group 4). First, we assessed 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT positivity rate. Second, multivariable logistic regression analyses were used to determine predictors of positive scan. Third, regression-based coefficients were used to develop a nomogram predicting positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT result and 200 bootstrap resamples were used for internal validation. Fourth, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was used to identify the most informative nomogram's derived cutoff. Decision curve analysis (DCA) was implemented to quantify nomogram's clinical benefit.

#### Results

<sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT overall positivity rate was 51.2% (CI95% 46.8% - 71.3%), while it was 40.3% in group 1, 54% in group 2, 60.5% in group 3, and 86.9% in group 4 (p < 0.001). Multivariable regression analysis revealed that ISUP groups 3 and 5 (all  $p \le 0.04$ ), PSA  $\ge 0.5$  ng/ml (all  $p \le 0.003$ ), PSAdt  $\le 6$  months (all  $p \le 0.001$ ), and the presence of a PSA progression before second-line treatments (group 4) were independent predicting factors of positive imaging. A nomogram based on covariates included in the multivariate model demonstrated a bootstrap-corrected accuracy of 82% (AUC = 0.82; 95%CI = 0.79–0.85). The calibration plot of predicted probabilities against observed positive <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT indicated good concordance. The nomogram-derived best cutoff value was 40%. The sensitivity, specificity, and NPV associated with 40% as cutoff were 84.7%, 66.2%, and 80.5%, respectively. In DCA, the nomogram revealed clinical net benefit of > 10%.

#### Conclusions

This novel nomogram proved its good accuracy to predict positive <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT results. Forty percent was the most informative probability threshold providing the most accurate cutoff in counselling patients to <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT. This tool might be important as a guide to clinicians in the best use of PSMA-based PET imaging, in order to select the best treatment option.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Imaging in Prostate Cancer: State of the Art**

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common solid neoplasm in men and while definitive treatment of clinically localized PCa is highly successful, up to 50% of the patients treated with radical prostatectomy (RP) or external-beam radiotherapy (EBRT) will experience biochemical recurrence during follow-up [1]. Once biochemical recurrence (BCR) occurred, patients are usually investigated with conventional radiological imaging in order to identify the site(s) of recurrence. However, conventional imaging, including CT, bone scintigraphy (BS) and MRI, are insensitive for detecting recurrent PCa especially in patients presenting low serum PSA levels [2].

As precision medicine evolves, the contribution of molecular imaging to the management of PCa patients is gaining importance. Especially, positron emission tomography (PET) provided good results in this field of investigation. <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET has been already coined as "useless" for investigating PCa [3]. While this characterization maybe accurate for initial assessments it is likely insufficient for describing its potential for metabolic phenotyping (high risk vs. low risk cancers) or for monitoring therapy responses in patients with advanced disease. During the last decade, the role of choline for PET imaging, as other metabolic agents, including acetate or fluciclovine, was investigated. However, only choline was implemented in the clinical routine practice. Taken up via a specific transporter its cellular retention reflects the activity of choline kinase, the rate limiting enzyme in the Kennedy pathway to generate cell membrane lipids [4]. Despite high expectations, neither <sup>11</sup>C nor <sup>18</sup>F-choline analogues have been routinely implemented in the clinical practice. The limited success of these probes is explained by good but not excellent performance for initial and subsequent management decisions. In the initial staging setting, choline PET imaging was completely outplayed by the performance of multi-parametric MRI (mp-MRI), with the implementation of dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) and diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) sequences [5,6]. In the recurrent setting the main drawback is represented by the lack of sensitivity to identify the site(s) of disease recurrence, in patients with low PSA levels (PSA<2 ng/mL). Accordingly, the role of choline

PET to guide salvage therapies, including salvage radiotherapy or metastasis-directed therapy, remains uncertain. Furthermore, the inability to widely distribute 11C labeled compounds due to their short half-life likely contributed to the limited enthusiasm [6,7]. Therefore, there was a significant unmet need to develop PET probes that improve the initial staging of PCa and aid in identifying recurrence sites in patients with BCR. Finally, patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) have a poor prognosis, and those patients with metastases are expected to survive  $\leq 19$  months. As patient disease progresses, quality of life deteriorates, and until recently, few treatment options were available [8].

#### **1.2 PSMA** as target for PET imaging and radionuclide therapy

Recently, highly successful approaches to measure the expression of PSMA have been introduced recently. PSMA, the glutamate carboxypeptidase II (GCP-II), is a membrane bound metallopeptidase. PSMA expression and localization in the normal human prostate is associated with the cytoplasm and apical side of the epithelium surrounding prostatic ducts, but not basal epithelium, neuroendocrine or stromal cells [9]. In malignant tissue, PSMA has been suggested to be involved in angiogenesis, as increased PSMA expression was found to be expressed in the stroma adjacent to neo-vasculature of solid tumors [10]. Due to its selective over-expression in 90-100% of PCa cell, PSMA is a reliable tissue marker for PCa and is considered an ideal target for tumor specific imaging and therapy [11,12]. Several studies showed that PSMA expression levels increase according to the stage and grade of the tumor as well as aneuploidy and BCR thus potentially allowing PSMA-imaging to account for prognosis [13]. More important PSMA-expression is upregulated when tumors become androgen-independent, showing upregulated PSMA expression after anti-androgen therapy (ADT) in up to 100% of the cases [14]. This characteristic makes PSMA particularly valuable, since it has potential as an early indicator of tumor progression after ADT.

The precise localization of the catalytic site of PSMA in extracellular domain allowed for the development of small, highly specific urea-based inhibitors that are internalized inside the cell after

ligand binding [15]. The first agent released, labeled with 68Ga (PSMA-11), quickly evolved to the most commonly used radiotracer for PSMA-PET imaging of PCa [16]. The radionuclide 68Ga is PSMA-11 introduced to via the chelator N,N<sup>-</sup>-bis[2-hydroxy-5-(ethylene-βcarboxy)benzyl]ethylenediamine-N,N'-diacetic acid (HBED-CC). However, the chelating agent HBED-CC cannot form stable complexes with the trivalent therapeutic radionuclides 177Lu, 90Y, and 225Ac. Thus, a compound bearing a DOTA-chelator while maintaining the binding properties of PSMA-11 was of high clinical interest [17]. The structural key element of this compound, PSMA-617, is its linker design, which triggers binding and internalization of the substance by a presumed interaction of the lipophilic (tranexamic acid) and aromatic (2-naphthylalanine) amino acids with lipophilic parts of the PSMA binding pocket. Although prospective clinical trials are still pending, the PSMA-617 already proved its therapeutic potential [18]. PSMA-11 and PSMA-617 are adequate to cover the diagnostic and therapeutic needing of clinical PCa care. Nevertheless, regarding 68Ga the major drawback is related to the availability of the radionuclide: currently commercially available 68Ge/68Ga generators can offer a maximum activity of 1.85 GBq [68Ga] ( $88.9\% \beta$ +,  $67.71 \min t1/2$ ), limiting the average batch production of the desired tracer to approximately 2 to 4 patient doses, depending on the usage of the radionuclide generator. The cyclotron production of 68Ga using a liquid target can be considered an alternative [19]. However, this technique has so far not been established as standard for large scale production and thus cannot guarantee a reliable provision of the tracer. As a consequence, there is a strong demand for 18F-labeled PSMA-targeting radiotracers  $(96.7\% \beta+, 109.77 \min t1/2)$ . The search for such an agent making use of its adjusted linker design, mimicking the biodistribution of labeled PSMA-617 and offering a complete theranostic tandem with PSMA-617, resulted in the development of 18F-PSMA-1007 [20]. Together with the advantages of 18F labelling, PSMA-1007 showed a more favorable biodistribution compared to PSMA-11. Due to the lipophilic characteristics of PSMA-1007, the hepatobiliary clearance can be observed while urinary excretion is minimal. As a consequence, the low pelvic background activity is an advantage as it enables excellent identification of the prostate or prostate bed relapse. However, the amount

activity of PSMA-expression needed to provide an adequate imaging signal on PSMA-1007 PET after injection of the study probe is unknown. The direct comparison of this 18F labeled agent with 68Ga-PSMA-11 is still missing as well.

#### **1.3** PSMA based PET imaging in Primary Staging of Prostate Cancer

The initial staging of PCa can address the question if the disease is organ confined or extents outside of the prostate into the periprostatic fat/tissue or into the seminal vesicles, resulting in locally advanced disease. This is a key information in order to tailor local treatment such as surgery with neurovascular bundle sparing, or radiotherapy and its association with ADT. Initial staging can also address the question if the disease already involved pelvic lymph-nodes, resulting in loco-regional disease that might still be curable by surgical pelvic lymph node dissection (PLND) or radiotherapy with an extended field to the regional lymph nodes. In addition, the initial staging can address the question if the disease has spread to distant lymph nodes such as the retroperitoneal lymph nodes or to the bone or viscera such as lung or liver, resulting in initially metastatic disease that would need early systemic treatment by either ADT or chemotherapy. Hence, all the information acquired during initial staging has significant impact in clinical decision making. The most reliable staging is related to the best individual treatment and with the best intermediate and long-term control of the disease [21]. The role of PET/CT imaging for intraprostatic detection of PCa is very limited. The lack of accuracy for choline PET/CT for evaluating the intraprostatic lesion was assessed in several studies during the last decade and, according to the literature, the main drawback for choline PET/CT in the evaluation of the intraprostatic cancer is represented both by the sub-optimal sensitivity, related with the presence of small lesions, and by the sub-optimal specificity, related with the presence of benign disease that may show increased choline metabolism (e.g. benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH), prostatitis) [22,23].

Recently, PSMA based imaging was proposed to investigate PCa prior to RP. Fendler et al. evaluated the accuracy of PET/CT with PSMA-11 to localize cancer in the prostate and surrounding tissue at

initial diagnosis in cohort of 21 patient [24]. The calculated performance was: sensitivity= 0.67, specificity = 0.92 an accuracy = 0.72, positive predictive value (PPV) = 0.97 and negative predictive value (NPV)= 0.42. Histopathology-positive segments (100/126; 79%) demonstrated a significantly higher mean SUVmax than histopathology-negative segments. However, despite better values for specificity and PPV if compared to choline PET/CT, the sensitivity still remains sub-optimal. Thus, it was recently proposed the combination of PSMA based PET with MRI to improve the performance of both methodologies. In a small cohort of patients Zamboglou et al. proved that the combination of both methods performed even better in terms of sensitivity (0.82) and specificity (0.89). Eiber et al confirmed these results, and compared the diagnostic performance of simultaneous PSMA-11 PET/MRI for localization of primary PCa with mpMRI and PET alone in a cohort of 53 patient [25]. Simultaneous PET/MRI outperformed mpMRI and PET imaging alone detecting the lesion in the 98% of cases with a sensitivity of 0.76 and a specificity of 0.98 (MRI alone 0.43, 0.98; PET alone 0.58, 0.82) [26]. Moreover, according to the data present at the moment in literature, it seems reasonable to assume that PSMA based imaging is able to distinguish with good accuracy between intraprostatic PCa lesion and BPH [24,26]. In the staging setting mpMRI still remains the standard of reference. However, preliminary results support hybrid PSMA based PET/MRI as an alternative technique for staging localized PCa prior to surgery.

The detection of lymph node metastasis using CT or MRI allows only to address morphological criteria. Generally speaking, the sensitivity for correct identification of lymph node metastasis with conventional radiological imaging ranges between 0.20-0.60 and the specificity between 0.78-0.92 [27,28]. Even in patients with a very high risk of lymph node metastasis according to a nomogram the performance remained poor with a sensitivity and specificity of 0.24 and 0.95 [29]. Considering this poor performance of cross sectional imaging for lymph node staging it is clear that surgical PLND remains the gold standard in lymph node staging for PCa. The indication for lymph node staging as well as for pelvic lymph node dissection is depending on the risk of lymph node invasion that can be estimated based on pretreatment variables such as clinical stage, PSA, biopsy Gleason score and

biopsy information of cancer volume [30]. Currently the most reliable approach is the use of prediction models that allow to systematically assign a certain risk of lymph node metastasis to an individual patient.

The role of PSMA PET/CT for initial lymph-node staging currently remains investigational. The first results presented by Budäus et al. in a cohort of 30 patients, revealed a poor sensitivity for PSMA-11 PET/CT for identifying metastasis. The sensitivity assessed in the per-patient analysis was 0.33, while the sensitivity assessed in the per-side analysis was 0.27 [31]. Conversely, authors assessed an optimal specificity and PPV, both resulted to be 1.0 in the per-patient and in the per-side analysis. Nevertheless, this study presented several limitations including the retrospective design of the study, the low incidence of lymph nodes metastasis in the enrolled population. In the study presented by Maurer et al. the diagnostic performance of PSMA-11 PET/CT was tested in a cohort of 130 patients [32]. The performance of PSMA-11 PET/CT to detect lymph node metastasis was calculated on a patient based analysis. The sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of PSMA-11 were 0.66, 0.99 and 0.89, and those of morphological imaging were 0.44, 0.85 and 0.72, respectively. Of 734 dissected lymph node samples, 117 (15.9%) showed metastases. On a per-side based analysis the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of PSMA-11 were 0.68, 0.99 and 0.95, and those of morphological imaging were 0.27, 0.97 and 0.87, respectively. These results were confirmed by van Leeuwen et al., where they assessed the accuracy of PSMA-11 PET/CT for lymph node staging in a cohort of 30 intermediate- and high-risk prostate cancer patients [33]. The 37% of patients presented lymph nodes metastasis. 180 lymph nodes fields were analyzed and 26 lymph nodes metastasis were identified in the histological analysis. Patient analysis showed that PSMA-11 PET/CT had a sensitivity of 0.64, and a specificity was 0.95. In the region-based analysis, the sensitivity was 0.56, and the specificity was 0.98.

The metastatic spread of PCa is either to the regional or distant lymph nodes or to the bone. Metastasis to visceral organs, as lung or liver, are rare events. However, patients presenting Gleason pattern 5 are more likely to have visceral metastasis [34]. The bone staging is usually performed by bone

scintigraphy. Nevertheless, bone metastases are the result of an infiltration of the bone marrow by PCa cells due to expressions of adhesion molecules similar to those found on hematopoietic stem cells [35]. This is of importance to explain differences in sensibility to detect bone metastasis between modern molecular imaging techniques and classic imaging modalities as CT or bone scintigraphy. In order to detect bone metastasis with conventional radiological imaging, changes in the bone mineralization are necessary and usually become visible at a more advanced stage relative to the stage where bone scintigraphy could detect the lesions [36]. However, these modalities still hold low sensitivity and low specificity to correctly identify small and initial lesions [37]. PET/CT with sodium 18F-fluoride or choline can detect more skeletal lesions than bone scintigraphy. Currently, the role of PSMA imaging to assess the presence of bone metastases during stating work-up has not been tested yet. However, according to the data published in patients who experienced BCR, PSMA PET/CT showed an optimal performance to detect the presence of bone lesions. In particular, in the largest patient-series published so far it was demonstrated an optimal tumor-to-background ratio for PSMA-11 PET/CT allowing a proper visualization of the suspected bone metastases [16,38]. Moreover, in a direct comparison between PSMA-11 PET/CT and choline PET/CT, it was confirmed a major detection rate for PSMA over choline regardless of the PSA level [39]. Within this patient cohort, a total of 16 bone lesions were identified by PSMA while only 9 lesions with choline. There is no study that provides a head to head comparison between whole body MRI, PET/CT and bone scintigraphy in the same patient cohort.

#### **1.4 PSMA** based PET imaging to localize the site(s) of Biochemical Recurrence

After radical therapy patients may experience BCR, defined as rising PSA level becomes detectable after achieving a nadir following treatment with curative intent. Relapse might come from local recurrence in the prostatic bed or the prostate, from loco-regional lymph nodes, form extra-pelvic lymph nodes or from distant systemic lesion(s) such as bone metastasis and/or visceral metastasis. Local or loco-regional relapse can be treated with salvage, possibly curative treatment. On the

contrary, systemic disease (e.g. bone metastasis) will be less likely to be curable and would need systemic treatment instead of local salvage treatment [40]. Therefore, once BCR occurred the assessment of local or distant recurrence or both become crucial for patient management. Classically, the staging of recurring disease is done by CT and bone scintigraphy, both being associated with a very low diagnostic yield and a poor diagnostic performance unless the PSA is elevated (i.e. >20 ng/mL) [2]. It is of note that if a local salvage treatment for local recurrence after radical prostatectomy or radiotherapy (i.e.: salvage radiotherapy or salvage prostatectomy) is considered, it needs to be done early at low PSA levels. The results for salvage radiotherapy after RP show a long-term disease-free survival rate of roughly 50% when the PSA at the time point of salvage radiotherapy is <0.5 ng/mL. The long-term outcome drops to 30% if the PSA is between 0.5-1 ng/mL and to 10% if the PSA is >1 ng/mL [41]. Ideally, the decision in favor or against salvage radiotherapy should be taken at when the PSA level become detectable (0.2 ng/mL). As a consequence, if salvage treatment is considered, early treatment is key to be effective and PSA is one of the main drivers for prognosis in this situation as it can be considered as a proxy for cancer volume.

Currently, the performance of choline-PET in this setting is limited as this imaging technique has low sensitivity (<25-30%) when PSA level is <2.0 ng/mL [6]. Unfortunately, the optimal timing for salvage treatments to obtain the best chance of cure in case of recurrence would be when the PSA level is low or very low, which reflect a still limited cancer burden [42]. Furthermore, even if the study resulted positive there is a non-negligible risk of disease underestimation. Recently, it was demonstrated that patients with one positive lymph node on choline-PET and treated with salvage lymph node dissection showed in 61% of cases positive nodes in other regions that were not detected by choline PET [43]. Several efforts have been done over the last years to develop new probes able to provide to detect PCa recurrence with high accuracy, especially in the early stage of BCR. The development of radiotracers designed to specifically target the extracellular domains of substrates overexpressed in PCa cells, lead to a sensible improvement for PET radiopharmaceuticals. In one of the largest patient-series published so far, Eiber et al. reported about the performance of PSMA

PET/CT in a population of 248 recurrent PCa with BCR (median PSA 1,99 ng/mL) [16]. The authors observed a promising overall positivity rate of 89.5% for PSMA PET/CT. More in detail, it was observed a considerably high positivity rate with low PSA levels with positivity rate of 93.0% (67 of 72) for a PSA value between 1- 2 ng/mL, 72.7% (24 of 33) between 0.5-1 ng/mL, and 57.9% (11 of 19) for a PSA value between 0.2-0.5 ng/mL [37]. In the largest patient-series so far published (1007 recurrent PCa patients enrolled between January 2104 to January 2017), authors observed positive PSMA-11 PET/CT in 79.5% of cases with at least one lesion with characteristics suggestive of recurrent PCa detected [44]. The patient-based detection rate was therefore 79.5% with a 95% confidence interval of 77.0% to 81.9%. The pathological PET/CT scan was significantly associated with PSA level and ADT. In the range values of PSA between 1-2 ng/mL, the detection rate was 80%, between 0.5-1 ng/mL was 73%, and for a PSA value between 0.2-0.5 ng/mL was 50%. These results confirmed those previously reported by Eiber et al. The association between PSMA PET/CT and PSA and PSA kinetics was described also by Ceci et al [45]. In a cohort of 70 patients (median PSA 1.7 ng/mL), it was described a positivity rate of 74.2%. A PSA level of 0.83 ng/mL and a PSA doubling time of 6.5 months were found to be valuable cut-off values for predicting with high probability a positive or negative scan result. Moreover, PSA at the time of the scan and PSA doubling time were associated significantly with an increased probability of a positive PSMA PET/CT result.

Several PSMA PET studies in recurrent PCa have been released over the last 4 years and, recently, most of them were summarized in a systematic review and meta-analysis performed in accordance with Cochrane and PRISMA criteria [46]. In this review were included studies evaluating the utility of 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET in detection of metastatic disease in advanced PCa. Study designs considered for inclusion were clinical trials, prospective studies, and retrospective cohorts or comparative series. Studies assessing the diagnostic utility of 68Ga-PSMA PET in PCa staging (before definitive treatment) or staging for recurrent disease (following therapy) were included for assessment. The primary outcome was to identify predictors of 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET positivity. The secondary outcome measure was the sensitivity and specificity of 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET-positive lesions in

advanced PCa. In total were analyzed 16 studies involving 1309 patients who underwent a 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET scan. In an overall meta-analysis by cohort type, 746 patients presenting recurrent disease after radical therapy were analyzed and the 76% (95% CI: 66-85%) of PET scans resulted positive. In assessing disease recurrence, PSMA PET positivity increased with the PSA category. For patients with PSA <0.2 ng/mL, the pooled detection rate was 42%, which increased to 58%, 76%, and 95% for the 0.2-0.99, 1.00-1.99, and >2.00 ng/mL PSA subgroups, respectively. In metaregression analysis, the predicted positivity was 48% (95% CI: 38-57%) for PSA of 0.2 ng/mL, 56% (95% CI: 49-64%) for 0.5 ng/ml, and 70% (95% CI: 63-76%) for 1.0 ng/mL. A similar finding was observed for PSAdt: the pooled PSMA positivity was 64% for PSAdt ≥6 months and 92% for PSAdt <6 months. Five studies met the inclusion criteria for the histopathologic correlation. In the per-lesion analysis, the pooled sensitivity and specificity were 80% and 97%, respectively. In the per-patient analysis, the pooled sensitivity and specificity were 86% and 86% respectively, although the confidence intervals are especially wide because of the low patient numbers. The calculated pooled sensitivity assessed by Perera et al. was confirmed in a second systematic review [47]. Nine studies reported restaging with 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT for patients with persisting and rising PSA after initial treatment were analyzed. The mean of the mean/median restaging PSA levels was 2.3/1.4 ng/mL (range 0.21-4.6 ng/mL). PSMA-11 PET detected sites of recurrence in 799 of 983 imaged patients (81%). It was calculated that the 10% patients had PET positivity in the prostate bed, 22% had pelvic lymph nodes, 13% patients had sites in distant organs, and 36% had local and distant sites of recurrence.

Concluding, the absence of accurate radiological imaging for detection of small volume metastases in relapsed PCa has prompted the introduction of PSMA based imaging PET. PSA and the associated kinetics (PSAdt and PSAvel) predict good accuracy the risk of metastatic disease diagnosed by PSMA-11 PET. PSMA-11 PET/CT already proved its high accuracy to detect cancer recurrence when the PSA level is low or very low, which reflect a still limited cancer burden still curable with salvage therapies, including metastases directed therapies.

#### **1.5** Comparison of PSMA based PET imaging with other imaging modalities

Generally, once a new radiopharmaceutical become available for research and clinical purposes should be tested and compared with the reference standard or the best competitor. This helps to clarify if the new agent is superior to other techniques already available in the clinical practice. PSMA-11 PET/CT has been compared so far with direct competitors, such as choline and fluciclovine based PET/CT. The first study published by the Heidelberg group compared the performance of PSMA-11 PET/CT to that of 18F-Choline PET/CT in a cohort of thirty-seven patients with biochemical relapse (mean PSA 11.1±24.1 ng/mL, range 0.01-116) [48]. The two procedures were performed within 30 days each other. A total of 78 lesions characteristic for PCa were detected in 32 patients using PSMA-11 PET/CT and 56 lesions were detected in 26 patients using choline PET/CT. The higher detection rate in PSMA-11 PET/CT was statistically significant (P=0.04). All lesions detected by choline PET/CT were also seen by PSMA-11 PET/CT. In PSMA-11 PET/CT SUVmax was clearly (>10%) higher in 62 of 78 lesions (79.1%) and the tumor to background ratio was clearly (>10%) higher in 74 of 78 lesions (94.9%) when compared to choline PET/CT. These results were later confirmed by Morigi et al. in a prospective trial performed in a cohort of 38 recurrent patients [39]. The mean PSA level was  $1.74\pm2.54$  ng/mL. The scan results were positive in 26 patients (68%) and negative with both tracers in 12 patients (32%). Of the 26 positive scans, 14 (54%) were positive with PSMA-11 alone, 11 (42%) with both choline and PSMA-11, and only 1 (4%) with choline alone. When PSA was below 0.5 ng/mL, the detection rate was 50% for PSMA-11 vs. 12.5% for choline PET. When PSA was 0.5-2.0 ng/mL, the detection rate was 69% for PSMA-11 vs. 31% for choline, and when PSA was >2.0 ng/mL, the detection rate was 86% for PSMA-11 vs. 57% for choline. On lesion-based analysis, PSMA-11 detected more lesions than choline PET and the tumor-to-background ratio in positive scans was also higher for PSMA-11 PET. The implemented value of PSMA-11 PET/CT in patient presenting negative choline PET scan was assessed by Bluemel et al [49]. In a cohort of 125 recurrent PCa patients investigated with 18F-choline PET/CT authors were able to demonstrate that PSMA-11 PET/CT identified sites of recurrent disease in 43.8% of patients with negative 18F-choline PET/CT scans.

Recently the accuracy of PSMA-11 PET/CT to stage recurrent PCa was compared to that of 18Ffluciclovine PET/CT [50]. A retrospectively comparison was performed in a small case-series of 10 patients presenting BCR after radical therapy. The median PSA value was 1.0 ng/ml (mean 4.7 ng/mL; range 0.13-18.1) and 1.1 ng/mL (mean 6.2 ng/mL; range 0.24-31.3) at the time of 18F-Fluciclovine and PSMA-11 PET/CT, respectively. Five out of 10 patients (50%) were negative on 18F-Fluciclovine PET/CT but showed positive results on PSMA-11 PET/CT. Two out of 10 patients (20%) had both positive 18F-Fluciclovine and PSMA-11 PET/CT, but PSMA-11 PET/CT identified additional lymph nodes metastasis. Three out of 10 patients (30%) had both negative 18F-Fluciclovine and PSMA-11 PET/CT. This case series suggests superior detection rate of PSMA-11 PET/CT than 18F-Fluciclovine PET/CT in recurrent PCa.

Concluding, PSMA-11 PET/CT appears to provide superior accuracy compared to alternative PET imaging techniques, like choline or fluciclovine PET/CT. However, especially considering the comparison with fluciclovine PET/CT, these data were obtained in small and heterogeneous cohort of patients. Hence, while far from definitive evidence for superiority, these observations strongly support the initiation of prospective trials to directly compare the performance of 68Ga-PSMA-11 to other PET radiopharmaceuticals.

#### **1.6** Salvage therapy PSMA-guided and clinical impact in patient management

Recently the concept of oligo-metastatic disease emerged as a sub entity of metastatic disease, regrouping patients with a low load and number of metastasis that might also be amenable to treatment with, maybe, curative intent be combining local with systemic and image targeted ablative treatments [51]. Its emergence comes especially from the clinical situation of relapsing disease after initial local treatment with curative intent, but the concept can be extended to patients with primary diagnosis of PCa and possible low volume metastatic disease. As PET seems to be more sensitive than conventional imaging, it might play a major role for this new clinical situation in the future. Salvage

radiotherapy (SRT) offers long-term biochemical control in about 50% of patients, depending on pre-SRT PSA levels, administrated dose and risk group [41]. However, SRT is only curative if recurrent disease is completely encompassed by the irradiated volumes. Therefore, accurate estimation of the location of recurrent disease is crucial. In the clinical practice, SRT is commonly performed in patients with serum PSA levels <1 ng/mL, a threshold at which standard of care imaging is insensitive for detecting recurrence. As a consequence, SRT target volumes are usually drawn without radiographically visible disease. The potential impact of PSMA-11 PET/CT on RT planning has been assessed in several inhomogeneous patient groups with primary and recurrent disease. These studies established that PSMA-11 PET/CT is able to identify PCa recurrence at low serum PSA values and potentially impact radiotherapy planning. Limitations include inconsistent descriptions of anatomic relapse patterns and the pooling of patients with a wide range of serum PSA values and clinical disease states. Recently, a multicenter retrospective study investigated a large cohort of 270 patients who underwent PSMA-11 PET/CT at a PSA <1 ng/mL after radical prostatectomy [52]. This cohort of patients was representative of those who are routinely offered SRT in the absence of radiographically visible disease. The study aimed to map the PSMA-11 PET/CT recurrence pattern of early BCR after prostatectomy, to evaluate how often SRT based on consensus contouring guidelines fails to cover PSMA expressing disease, and to assess the potential impact of PSMA-11 PET/CT on SRT planning for patients with PCa early BCR. The 49% of patients (median PSA 0.48 ng/ml) had a positive PSMA-11 PET/CT. The 19% had at least one PSMA-positive lesion not covered by the consensus clinical target volume (CTV). The 12% had extra-pelvic PSMA-positive lesions and the 7% had PSMA-positive lesions within the pelvis but not covered by consensus CTV. The two most common PSMA-11 PET positive lesion locations outside the consensus CTV were bone (44%) and perirectal lymph nodes (31%). According to this multicenter study post-hoc analysis, PSMA-11 PET/CT implies a major impact on SRT planning in the 19% (52/270) of patients presenting early recurrence of the disease after radical surgery. Other studies explored the potential role of PSMA based imaging to guide salvage therapy such as SRT or S-PLND. Pfister et al. compared the usefulness of PSMA PET/CT vs. choline PET/CT as diagnostic tool to guide S-PLND. They reported better sensitivity and specificity for PSMA (0.87; 0.93) compared to choline (0.71; 0.86) in the detection of lymph node metastasis using histology as standard of reference [53]. These results are consistent with data presented by Rauscher et al. who evaluated the accuracy of PSMA PET/CT compared with morphologic imaging for the assessment of lymph node metastasis in patients with BCR, using histopathology as standard of reference [54]. They observed that PSMA was much more accurate to guide salvage lymph-node dissection than conventional morphological imaging with CT and/or MRI. In detail they observed, for the assessment of lymph node metastasis, a sensitivity of 0.78 and specificity of 0.97 for PSMA vs. a sensitivity of 0.27 and specificity of 0.99 for conventional morphologic imaging.

According to these publication, PSMA based PET imaging has a crucial role in recurrent PCa, since can be considered as gatekeeper for salvage therapies, including metastases directed therapies. Recently, the impact of PSMA PET/CT on the management of patients with BCR was investigated [55] in a cohort of 131 consecutive prostate cancer patients (median PSA 2.2 ng/mL) with an overall detection rate of 75% for PET/CT. Authors observed an impact on subsequent management in 99/131 patients (76%). The main modifications included continuing surveillance, hormonal manipulations, stereotaxic radiotherapy, SRT and S-PLND. However, Health Care providers and government agencies frequently judge the value of novel diagnostic tests by measuring their impact on patient management. Often, this impact has been estimated from survey information after the index test information becomes available to treating physicians. However, intended management early after imaging results becomes available do not necessarily translate into actually implemented management. Recently, the rate of implemented management changes related to PSMA-11 PET/CT has been investigated prospectively [56]. In this prospective survey of referring physicians was investigated whether and how PSMA-11 PET/CT affects the actually implemented management of patients presenting BCR. Referring physicians completed one questionnaire prior to the scan to indicate the treatment plan without PSMA-11 PET/CT information (Q1; N.=101); one immediately after the scan to denote intended management changes (Q2; N.=101); and one 3 to 6 months later to document the final implemented management (Q3; N.=56). Complete documented management strategy was available in 101/161 patients (63%). The actually implemented management differed from the pre-scan intended treatment plan (Q1) in the 53% of patients. The post-scan intended management (Q2) differed from the pre-scan intended management (Q1) in the 61% of patients. However, these intended changes were not implemented in 29/62 patients (47%). Pelvic nodal and extra-pelvic metastatic disease on PSMA-11 PET/CT were significantly associated with implemented management changes.

Concluding, PSMA-11 PET/CT can be potentially considered as valuable imaging procedure in all those patients scheduled for salvage treatment. The accurate identification of the site(s) of recurrence has a positive impact both for addressing personalized treatments and on decision-making process. Future cost effectiveness analysis for PSMA PET/CT are needed in order to assess the incremental cost effectiveness ratio for accurate staging comparing PSMA and conventional imaging in both biochemical recurrent and primary high risk PCa setting.

Figures 1 and Figure 2 display typical clinical cases of PCa patients who received PSMA-11 PET/CT in the course of diagnostic work-up evaluation.

## Figure 1.

72-year-old patient treated in September 2013 for PCa with radical prostatectomy and pelvic lymphoadenctomy (Gleason Score 4=4). Biochemical recurrence occurred in March 2016 with PSA 0.73 ng/mL and PSAdt of 4.7 months. PSMA-11 PET/CT identified 2 small lymph nodes. The patient subsequently received SRT, with dedicated boost on PSMA positive findings. PSA levels were not detectable after SRT.



**Figure 2**. This is the same patient reported in Figure 1. Twelve months after SRT PSA values rapidly raised up to 1.7 ng/mL (PSAdt, 3 months). Bicalutamide was administrated without partial PSA response. Considering subsequent PSA progression, the patient was treated with Abiraterone acetate and referred to PSMA-11 PET/CT to restage the disease. PET imaging demonstrated disease progression, with 3 bone metastases identified.



#### **1.7** The Theranostic (Therapy and Diagnostic) Approach

Patients with mCRPC have a poor prognosis, and those patients with metastases are expected to survive  $\leq 19$  months [21]. As patient disease progresses, quality of life deteriorates, and until recently, few treatment options were available. Several new therapies have shown an improvement in overall survival for patients with mCRPC who have already received chemotherapy with docetaxel [8]. The most used agents include novel hormonal therapy targeting the androgen receptor (enzalutamide or abiraterone), second generation chemotherapy (cabazitaxel), bone targeting alpha particles (radium-223) and immunotherapy (sipuleucel-T and cabozantinib) [8]. Despite these therapies provided promising results in terms of life expectancy or pain palliation, the impact of these new data on clinical practice, treatment sequencing and best care for individual patients is not yet fully established. Over the past several decades, numerous combined diagnostic and therapeutic radioligands (Theranostics) were designed to target receptors on the cancer cell surface. Antibodies (whole or small fragments), small molecules, peptides with affinities to receptors (agonist or antagonist) have demonstrated in vivo efficacy for targeting cancers based on up-regulated antigens or receptor populations. This approach, also called radioligand therapy (RLT), presents several advantages over conventional chemotherapy. The expression of the antigens or special receptors can be identified by a diagnostic probe before exposing patients to therapeutic doses of these agents allowing identification of suitable subjects for therapeutic procedures and preventing unnecessary exposure of the patients to radiation without significant benefit. This approach allows the physician to select only those patients with high expression of the target prior to treatment. Since the unused radioactive materials are excreted from the body, RLTs are generally well tolerated with no significant or generally reversible or manageable side effects as has been demonstrated for 177Lu-DOTATATE treatment in patients with neuroendocrine tumor [57].

PSMA is an ideal target for RLT. It shows significant (100-1000 fold) over-expression on >90% of all PCa cells and its expression is further increased in advanced stages and even more in mCPRC [10,14]. Furthermore, PSMA extracellular domain contains an internalization motif resulting in its

internalization and endosomal recycling which increases the deposition of binding substrates leading to enhanced tumor uptake and retention and subsequent high image quality for diagnostic procedures and a high local dose for therapeutic applications [17]. The agent used so far used in preclinical studies and clinical trials is 177 Lu-DO TA-PSMA commercially known as PSMA-617.

Currently, several phase II-III trials exploring the safety and the efficacy of PSMA-617 (both labeled with 177Lu and 225Ac) in mCRPC patients are on-going across Europe, United States and Australia, demonstrating favorable safety and efficacy of 177Lu-PSMA-617 RLT in mCRPC patients. 177Lu-PSMA-617 RLT might exceed the performance of other third-line systemic therapies reported in the literature. Once completed, these trials will clarify the potential of this new targeted RLT especially considering the toxicity, efficacy and the impact of PSMA-617 RLT on cancer-specific survival and overall survival.

### 2. SCIENTIFIC STUDY

#### 2.1 Background

The management of patients experiencing PSA failure (namely biochemical persistence [BCP] and biochemical recurrence [BCR]) after radical therapy PCa includes treatments based on the risk of local vs systemic recurrence as identified by clinical nomograms [60]. However, clinical models cannot reliably predict recurrence sites and the extent of metastatic disease. Recent innovation imaging technologies significantly influenced the clinical management of recurrent PCa, leading to novel "imaging-guided" approaches [51]. These techniques may potentially improve patient outcome and avoid or delay the toxicity associated with the use of systemic therapies [61]. The use of 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT revealed favorable sensitivity and specificity profiles when compared with choline or fluciclovine PET imaging techniques. Recently, the use of PSMA PET imaging was introduced by the EAU guidelines, which in its current form suggests performing it in all men with BCR [62]. However, whether such an approach is really cost-effective remains largely unknown. This is a key point since men with recurrent/persistent disease reflect different clinical settings and

highly heterogeneous population, carrying different prognosis and different profiles of disease aggressiveness. Therefore, selecting the most suitable candidates for 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT is critical to optimize its use and to spare lower-risk patients by expensive and potentially unnecessary staging procedures.

We hypothesized that the likelihood of a positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT can be determined by means of other identifying factors. To test our hypothesis, we developed and internally validated a clinical nomogram able to assess the likelihood of each patient, in different settings of PSA failure after primary treatment, to result in positive 68Ga-PSMA-11- PET/CT. This tool might be important as a guide to clinicians for the best use of PSMA-based PET imaging.

#### 2.2 Materials and Methods

#### **2.2.1 Study Population**

The cohort of patients included in this analysis was enrolled through an open-label, single-center, prospective registry study performed at our institution (Prot. PSMA-PROSTATA; Eudract: 2015-004589-27 OsSC). All patients provided signed informed consent prior to PET/CT scan. Among all patients referred to our center for 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT imaging in restaging setting (n = 1128), we included in this analysis only patients who had all clinical, pathological, imaging, and follow-up data available (n = 703). All patients analyzed received 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT at single referral center (Nuclear Medicine, University of Bologna, Italy) between March 2016 and November 2018 due to BCR (n = 627) or BCP (n = 76) after definitive therapy. BCR was defined as two consecutive PSA assays  $\geq 0.2$  ng/ml in patients treated with radical prostatectomy (RP) as primary treatment with/ without post-operative radiotherapy (RT) and as PSA  $\geq 2$  ng/ml above the nadir in patients treated with primary RT, in accordance with the Phoenix criteria [63]. BCP was defined as a PSA  $\geq 0.1$  ng/ml at 6 weeks after RP [64,65].

#### 2.2.2 Definition of Clinical Settings

Different clinical settings of PSA relapse were identified by referring physicians (urologist, radiation oncologist, and clinical oncologist) in a single-center multidisciplinary tumor board (Prostate Cancer Unit) and the overall population was grouped into 4 different categories of PSA recurrence, namely, first-time BCR (group 1, n = 325) defined as patients who had PSA nadir < 0.1 ng/ml after RP and subsequently experienced first recurrence (group 1); PSA recurrence after salvage therapies (group 2, n = 241); BCP (group 3, n = 76); and advanced-stage PCa [19] defined as patients with PSA progression under ADT and candidate to second-line systemic therapies (including taxane based chemotherapy and new androgen receptor targeted agents [ARTA], such as abiraterone, enzalutamide, apalutamide, or darolutamide; group 4, n = 61). No patients included in this analysis ever received any chemotherapy or ARTA.

## 2.2.3 <sup>68</sup>Ga-PSMA11 synthesis and PET/CT acquisition

68Ga-PSMA was synthesized in the radiopharmacy of the Service of Nuclear Medicine of the S.Orsola Malpighi University Hospital of Bologna. 68Ga-PSMA-HBED-CC(Glu-NH-CO-NH-Lys-(Ahx)-[[68Ga]Ga(N,N'-bis-[2-hydroxy-5-(carboxyethyl)benzyl]ethylenediamine-N,N'-diacetic acid]) (68Ga-PSMA-11) was prepared using a procedure similar to that described by Eder et al. [66], transferred to a cassette-based automated synthesis module (Modular-Lab, PharmTracer; Eckert & Ziegler, Berlin) based on acetone-free cation exchange post-processing.

68Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT imaging was performed on either a Discovery MI or a Discovery 710 PET/CT hybrid system (GE Healthcare) after intravenous injection of 68Ga-PSMA–ligand complex at a mean dose of 2 MBq/kg of 68Ga-PSMA-11 (dose range  $150 \pm 50$  MBq). The tomographs were validated for proper quantification and quality of the images recorded. Daily quality control procedures were performed. Patients did not need any preparation before the procedure. An attenuation-corrected whole-body scan (skull base to mid-thighs) in 3D (emission time 2 min per bed position with an axial field of view of 15.6 cm per bed position) starting 60 min after tracer injection was acquired. A low-dose CT scan was performed for attenuation corrected for scatter and random coincidence events by dedicated software. All 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT images were analyzed with dedicated software (eNTEGRA; GE Healthcare).

#### **2.2.4 Images interpretation**

All 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT images were analyzed with dedicated software (eNTEGRA; GE Healthcare) and were independently interpreted with central reading by two nuclear medicine physicians with more than 8 years of experience in PET imaging (Francesco Ceci and Paolo Castellucci). Readers were aware of all clinical data. In case of disagreement or undetermined lesion (event which occurred in 23 cases), a final diagnosis was reached by consensus considering the opinion of a third reader (Stefano Fanti) (majority rule in case of reader disagreement 2:1). Images

were interpreted according to procedure guidelines. In brief, any focal tracer uptake higher than the surrounding background and not associated with physiological uptake was considered suspicious for malignant lesion. PET-positive lesions were classified as suspected local relapse (prostate/prostate bed recurrence), nodal relapse (including both pelvic and extra-pelvic lymph nodes), and skeletal and visceral metastases (including soft tissue metastases and other systemic localization of recurrence). Based on PET/CT results, oligo-metastatic state includes patients with 1 to 3 detected lesions, while multi-metastatic stage includes men with more than 3 lesions.

#### 2.2.5 Outcomes and Statistical Analysis

The primary outcomes of the study were to investigate the independent predictors of positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT results in patients with PSA relapse after primary treatment and to develop a clinical nomogram aimed to assess the likelihood of each patient to have a positive scan. Secondary outcome was to assess the most informative nomogram-derived cutoff in order to establish a predictive threshold for 68Ga- PSMA-11-PET/CT positivity.

Statistical analyses first consisted of descriptive statistics on the overall positivity rate of 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT and the positivity rate of pelvic/distant recurrence and oligo-metastatic/ multimetastatic disease. The population was stratified according to different clinical stages of PSA relapse (groups 1 to 4). Chi-squared test and ANOVA test were used to compare categorical variables and continuous variables, respectively. Second, univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed to assess independent predictors of positivity on patient-based analysis. We included the following covariates: pathologic International Society of Urological Pathology (ISUP) group (namely, 1 vs 2 vs 3 vs 4 vs 5) in men referred to RP or clinic ISUP group in patients who underwent primary RT, PSA at 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT ( $\leq 0.2$  ng/ml vs 0.21–0.49 ng/ml vs 0.5–0.99 ng/ml vs 1–1.99 ng/ml vs  $\geq$  2 ng/ml), PSA doubling time (PSAdt; < 3 months vs 3–5.99 months vs 6–11.9 months vs  $\geq$ 12 months), ongoing ADT (yes vs no), time to recurrence (> 12 months vs  $\leq$  12 months), and clinical setting of PSA relapse (group 1 vs group 2 vs group 3 vs group 4). Third, regressionbased coefficients were used to develop a nomogram predicting positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT result. The predictive accuracy of the nomogram was quantified using the Harrell concordance index (discrimination) and the extent of over- or underestimation of the observed positive PET/CT result was explored graphically in logistic calibration plots. The nomogram was subjected to 200 bootstrap resamples to reduce overfit bias and for internal validation. Fourth, we systematically analyzed specificity, sensitivity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) for each nomogram-derived cutoff. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis and the area under curve (AUC) analyses were used to assess the predictive accuracy of each nomogram's derived cutoff and to identify the most informative value among them in predicting a positive scan. Moreover, we implemented with decision curve analysis (DCA), in order to quantify the nomogram's clinical outcome on daily clinical practice [24]. DCA investigates the theoretical relationship between the threshold probability of positive PET/CT and the relative value of false-positive and false-negative findings to assess the net benefit of the predictive multivariable model. Finally, to investigate which ideal patients at different stages of PSA relapse are best suited for referral to 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT, dedicated multivariate logistic regression models were performed to predict positive scan in each group of PSA relapse (namely, group 1, group 2, group 3, and group 4). All statistical tests were performed using the R statistical package (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) with a 2-sided significance level set at p < 0.05.

#### 2.3 Results

### 2.3.1 Overall Population

Table 1 depicts the baseline characteristics of patients included in the study (n = 703). Median PSA at 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/ CT and median PSAdt were 0.7 ng/ml (interquartile range [IQR] 0.4–1.3) and 6 months (3.5–9.6), respectively. Out of 703 individuals, 116 (16.5%) were receiving ADT at the time of PET/CT. Median time from primary therapy to PSA recurrence was 27 months (IQR 11.1–54.3). Overall, 325 (46.2%), 241 (34.3%), 76 (10.8%), and 61 (8.7%) patients were referred to 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT due to first-time BCR (group 1), PSA recurrence after salvage therapies (group 2), BCP (group 3), and advanced-stage PCa with PSA recurrence prior to second-line systemic therapy (group 4), respectively. The overall positivity rate of 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT was 51.2% (95% confidence interval [CI] 46.8–71.3%).

## Table 1

Population Characteristics $(n = 703)$				
Characteristics	•	Mean±SD	Median (IQR)	
Age	(years)	67.6±6.8	68 (63-73)	
Initial PSA	(ng/mL)	13.0±16.9	8.7 (6.3-12.6)	
PSA at PET/CT	(ng/mL)	$1.3 \pm 3.0$	0.7 (0.4-1.3)	
PSA doubling time	(months)	$8.0 \pm 7.5$	6 (3.5-9.6)	
PSA Velocity	(ng/mL/yr)	$1.5 {\pm} 4.4$	0.7 (0.3-1.5)	
Time to BCR*	(months)	$38.5 \pm 36.7$	27.5 (11.1-54.3)	
		Frequency	%	
<t3a< td=""><td></td><td>257/703</td><td>36.5%</td></t3a<>		257/703	36.5%	
≥T3a		446/703	63.5%	
N1		127/703	18.0%	
R1		285/703	40.5%	
ISUP Grade Grou	up < 4	419/703	59.6%	
ISUP Grade Grou	$p \ge 4$	284/703	40.4%	
Primary Therapy		Frequency	%	
RP		148/703	21.0%	
RP + LND		536/703	76.3%	
Primary Radiotherapy		19/703	2.7%	
Adjuvant Treatr	nent	Frequency	%	
Adjuvant Radioth	erapy	182/703	25.9%	
Adjuvant ADT		126/703	17.9%	
Therapies during	g BCR	Frequency	%	
Salvage Radiothe	rapy	182/703	25.9%	
Salvage LND		19/703	2.7%	
ADT naïve		420/703	59.7%	
ADT at the time of	of the scan	116/703	16.5%	
Clinical setting o Relapse	of PSA	Frequency	%	
First-time BCR (Group-1)		3sd25/703	46.2%	
PSA recurrence after salvage therapy (Group-2)		241/703	34.3%	
BCP (Group-3)		76/703	10.8%	
PSA progression second line syster (Group-4)	before nic therapies	61/703	8.7%	

#### 2.3.2 Clinical Nomogram

Multivariable regression analysis revealed that ISUP groups 3 and 5 (all  $p \le 0.04$ ), PSA  $\ge 0.5$  ng/ml (all p  $\leq 0.003$ ), PSAdt  $\leq 6$  months (all p  $\leq 0.001$ ), and the presence of a PSA progression before secondline treatments (group 4) were independent predicting factors of positive imaging (Table 2; all  $p \le 1$ 0.04). Multivariable derived coefficients were used to develop a novel nomogram to predict positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT result (Fig. 1). After bootstrap correction, the discrimination accuracy of the model was 82% (AUC = 0.82; 95%CI = 0.79-0.85; Figure 2). The calibration plot of predicted probabilities against observed positive 68Ga-PSMA-11- PET/CT indicated good concordance (Fig. 3). Furthermore, nomogram-derived predicted probabilities of positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT are categorized into each nomogram's derived cutoff. The numbers of patients having negative 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT and those with positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT as well as sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy are depicted, for each cutoff value, in Table 3. At ROC analysis, the best cutoff value to reliably predict positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT was 40% (AUC = 0.76; 95%CI = 0.72 - 0.79; Figure 4). Accordingly, assuming a nomogram cutoff of 40%, 282 out of 703 patients (40.1%) might have avoided unnecessary 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/ CT scanning, while on the other hand, 68Ga-PSMA-11- PET/CT would be missed in 55 patients (15.3%). The sensitivity, specificity, and NPV associated with 40% as cutoff were 84.7%, 66.2%, and 80.5%, respectively (Table 3). Finally, in DCA, the nomogram revealed clinical net benefit when the threshold probability of positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT is > 10% (Fig. 5). With a nomogram-derived probability threshold  $\geq$  40%, the use of the nomogram would result in a net benefit gain of 13. This net benefit, when compared with the scenario of treating none, would be 33. The net benefit of 33, considering the nonogram-derived probability threshold  $\geq 40\%$ , might be interpreted in terms that use of the model leads to the equivalent of a net 33 true-positive results per 100 patients without increasing the number of false-positive results (Figure 5).

Variables	UNIVARIATE		MULTIVARIATE		
	OR (95% C.I.)	p value	OR (95% C.I.)	p value	
ISUP group					
1	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
2	1.15 (0.63-2.11)	0.7	1.34 (0.64-2.82)	0.4	
3	1.82 (1.04-3.19)	0.04	2.02 (1.02-3.99)	0.04	
4	2.15 (1.19-3.87)	0.01	1.76 (0.86-3.59)	0.1	
5	2.69 (1.46-4.94)	0.001	2.35 (1.12-4.94)	0.02	
PSA at PSMA PET/CT (ng/ml)					
≤0.2	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
0.21-0.49	1.79 (0.73-4.36)	0.2	1.83 (0.67-4.97)	0.2	
0.5-0.99	4.21 (1.73-10.25)	0.002	4.52 (1.66-12.26)	0.003	
1-1.99	5.57 (2.26-13.72)	< 0.001	6.09 (2.20-16.87)	0.001	
≥2	6.25 (2.42-16.19)	< 0.001	5.39 (1.78-16.35)	0.003	
PSA doubling time (ng/ml/)					
$\geq 12$ months	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
6-11.9 months	5.99 (3.12-11.50)	< 0.001	6.52 (3.24-13.10)	< 0.001	
3-5.9 months	22.91 (11.81-44.50)	< 0.001	23.68 (11.57-	< 0.001	
< 3 months	10.66 (19.46-84.98)	< 0.001	48.47)	< 0.001	
			39.99 (17.91-		
			89.29)		
Ongoing ADT at BCR					
No	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
Yes	2.68 (1.74-4.13)	< 0.001	1.04 (0.56-1.93)	0.9	
Time to BCR (months)					
>12 months	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
$\leq 12$ months	1.39 (1.00-1.92)	0.049	1.03 (0.64-1.68)	0.9	
Clinical stage of BCR					
First recurrence after primary treatment	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
Recurrence after salvage therapy	1.73 (1.24-2.43)	0.001	1.30 (0.85-1.98)	0.2	
PSA persistence after primary treatment	2.27 (1.36-3.78)	0.002	1.43 (0.70-2.90)	0.3	
PSA progression before systemic therapies	9.81 (4.51-21.31)	< 0.001	6.05 (2.14-17.11)	0.001	
Model AUC (%)	-		82.3%		
ADT: androgen deprivation therapy; BC	R: biochemical recurren	ce; PSA: I	Prostate-specific antig	en; OR:	
odd ratio; C.I.: Confidence Interval; Ref: reference. ISUP: International Society of Urological Pathology;					
AUC: Area Under the Curve					

**Table 2 -** Univariate and multivariate logistic regression to predict positive findings.

31



Figure 1

**Figure 1** - Nomogram predicting the likelihood of positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT for patients with different clinical settings of PSA failure after radical treatment for prostate cancer. Instructions: locate, for instance, the patient's PSA on the PSA group axis. Draw a line straight upward to the point axis to determine how many points toward the probability of positive PSMA PET/CT the patient receives for his PSA group. Repeat the process for each additional variable. Sum the points for each predictor. Locate the final sum on the total-point axis. Draw a line straight down to find the patient's probability of having positive PSMA PET/CT

**Figure 2** - Receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) and area under curve (AUC) of the nomogram in overall population to predict positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT results (AUC=0.82; 95%CI=0.79-0.85).



**Figure 3** - Nomogram calibration plot. The predicted probability of the multivariable model is shown on the x-axis, and the observed proportion of men with positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT is shown on the y-axis. The 45° line indicates location of the ideal nomogram, in which the predicted probability and the observed proportion of men with positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT are identical. Broken line indicates actual nomogram performance.



 Table 3 – Nomogram's cut-off

Nomogram cutoff	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	Accuracy (%)
(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
≥5	100	2	51.7	100	51
≥10	99.7	16	55.5	98.2	57.9
≥15	98.9	21	56.8	94.7	60
≥20	97.8	32.9	60.5	93.3	65.5
≥25	95.6	49	66.3	91.3	72.3
≥30	92.2	54.8	68.2	87	73.5
≥35	90.3	59.8	70.2	85.4	75
≥40	84.7	66.2	72.4	80.5	76
≥45	77.2	71.4	73.9	74.9	74.3
≥50	73.1	74.9	75.4	72.6	74
≥55	68.3	78.1	76.7	70.2	73.3
≥60	60.8	81	77.1	66.3	71
≥65	55.3	86	80.6	64.7	70.6
≥70	51.1	87.2	80.7	62.9	69.1
≥75	46.1	89.5	82.2	61.3	67.8
≥80	34.7	92.1	82.2	57.3	63.4
≥85	24.2	96.8	88.8	54.9	60.5
≥90	15	98	95	52.3	56.5

**Table 3** - Performance characteristics of various nomogram's cut-off for discriminating between patients with positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT and those with negative 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT and the quantified number of avoidable 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT vs. the number of potentially missed patients with positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT findings.

**Figure 4** – Receiver-operator characteristic (ROC) and area under curve (AUC) of each nomogram derived cut-off to predict positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT results. The best nomogram's cut-off to predict positive scan was 40% (AUC=0.76; 95%CI=0.72-0.79).



**Figure 5** – Decision curve analysis (DCA) demonstrating the net benefit associated with use of the nomogram for the prediction of men with positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT. The net benefit is represented by the gap between the continuous line and the dotted line.



#### 2.3.3 Subgroup Analyses

Biochemical features in each subgroup are reported in Table 4. The detection rate of 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT was significantly different between the four groups: 40.3%, 54%, 60.5%, and 86.9% in groups 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively (Table 5; p < 0.001). However, we observed no significant differences in its detection rate when applied to oligo-metastatic disease ( $\leq$  3 lesions), even considering different clinical settings.

In patients with first-time BCR (group 1), only PSA  $\ge 0.5$  ng/ml (all p  $\le 0.01$ ) and PSAdt  $\le 6$  months (all p < 0.001) were independent predictors of positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT at multivariate analysis (Table 6). In patients with PSA recurrence after salvage therapy (group 2), only PSAdt  $\le 6$ months (all p  $\le 0.001$ ) was independent predictor of positive PET/CT at multivariate analysis (Table 7). In BCP (group 3), only PSA (p=0.04) and ISUP group 5 (p =0.04) were independent predictors of positive scan Table 8). Finally, in patients with advanced PCa with PSA relapse prior to second-line systemic therapy (group 4), only PSAdt (p = 0.01) was independent predictor of positive PET/CT (Table 9).

**Table 4** - Biochemical features in overall population and between the different clinical settings of

 biochemical recurrence.

	Overall	Clinical settings of PSA Recurrence					
	Population	Group-1	Group-2	Group-3	Group-4	p value	
Number of patients, n (%)	703 (100)	325 (46.2)	241 (34.3)	76 (10.8)	61 (8.7)	-	
PSA at PET/CT (ng/mL)							
Mean Median (IQR)	1.3 0.7 (0.4-1.3)	0.9 0.6 (3.3-1.2)	1.3 0.8 (0.4-1.5)	0.9 0.6 (0.4-1)	4.7 1.7 (0.9-3.8)	< 0.001	
<b>PSAdt (months)</b> Mean Median (IQR)	8.0 6.0	9.6 7.2 (4.1- 11.6)	7.1 5.6 (3.3-8.5)	5.6 3.7 (2.3-7)	6.1 4.7 (2.5-6.6)	< 0.001	
<b>Time to BCR</b> (months) Mean Median (IQR)	38.5 27.5 (11.1- 54.3)	35.5 22.7 (12.7- 49.6)	46.7 36.2 (14-68)	2.4 2 (1.1-3)	56.1 44 (32-84)	<0.001	

**Table 5** - 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT performance (positivity rate) in overall population and betweenthe different clinical settings of biochemical recurrence.

	Overall	<b>Clinical settings of Biochemical Recurrence</b>				
	Population	Group-1	Group-2	Group-3	Group-4	p value
Number of patients, n (%)	703 (100)	325 (46.2)	241 (34.3)	76 (10.8)	61 (8.7)	-
Detection Rate (CI 95%)	51.2% (48.6% - 71.3%)	40.3% (35% - 45.9%)	54.0% (47.4% - 60.3%)	60.5% (48.6% - 71.3%)	86.9% (75.2% - 93.8%)	<0.001
Pelvic Relapse	20.5%	19.4%	21.6%	31.6%	8.2%	0.008
Distant Relapse	30.7%	20.9%	32.4%	28.9%	78.7%	< 0.001
Oligometastatic (1 to 3 lesions)	38.7%	35.7%	42.8%	40.8%	36.1%	0.4
Multimetastatic (> 3 lesions)	12.7%	4.6%	11.2%	21.0%	50.9%	< 0.001
Group-1: first-time BCR; Group-2: PSA recurrence after salvage therapy; Group-3: BCP; Group-4: PSA progression before second line systemic therapies.						

**Table 6** - Univariate and multivariate logistic regression to predict positive findings at 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT in Group-1 (n=325).

Variables	UNIVARIATE		MULTIVARIATE		
	OR (95% C.I.)	P value	OR (95% C.I.)	P value	
ISUP group					
1	1.0 (Ref)	0.5	1.0 (Ref)		
2	0.74 (0.30-1.86)	0.3	1.30 (0.40-4.23)	0.7	
3	1.54 (0.69-3.47)	0.1	1.61 (0.56-4.61)	0.4	
4	1.92 (0.81-4.53)	0.2	1.13 (0.37-3.45)	0.8	
5	1.92 (0.77-4.80)	0.2	1.36 (0.40-4.59)	0.6	
PSA at PSMA PET/CT (ng/ml)					
≤0.2	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
0.21-0.49	6.18 (0.78-48.95)	0.09	7.23 (0.79-66.09)	0.08	
0.5-0.99	9.81 (1.23-78.95)	0.03	11.27 (1.19-	0.04	
1-1.99	14.03 (1.75-112.42)	0.01	106.72)	0.008	
≥2	14.30 (1.57-129.95)	0.02	21.81 (2.26-	0.01	
			210.51)		
			25.22 (2.10-		
			303.28)		
PSA doubling time (ng/ml/)					
$\geq 12$ months	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
6-11.9 months	10.77 (3.17-36.52)	< 0.001	12.20 (2.50-	< 0.001	
3-5.9 months	66.26 (19.19-228-74)	< 0.001	42.50)	< 0.001	
< 3 months	54.89 (14.36-209.88)	< 0.001	80.34 (21.78-	< 0.001	
			296.31)		
			62.40 (15.04-		
			258.91)		
Ongoing ADT at BCR					
No	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
Yes	3.02 (0.55-16.75)	0.2	1.71 (0.19-15.42)	0.6	
Time to BCR (months)					
>12 months	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
$\leq 12$ months	1.68 (1.00-2.82)	0.049	0.98 (0.49-1.97)	0.9	
Adjuvant RT		<u> </u>			
No	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
Yes	1.97 (1.23-3.15)	0.005	1.06 (0.57-1.98)	0.9	
Predictive accuracy	-		82%		
ADT: androgen deprivation therapy: E	CR: biochemical recurre	ence; RT: R	adiotherapy: OR: od	d ratio:	
C.I.: Confidence Interval; Ref: reference. ISUP: International Society of Urological Pathology					

**Table 7** - Univariate and multivariate logistic regression to predict positive findings at 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT in Group-2 (n=241).

Variables	UNIVARIATE		MULTIVARIATE			
	OR (95% C.I.)	P value	OR (95% C.I.)	P value		
ISUP group						
1	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)			
2	1.18 (0.46-3.07)	0.7	1.09 (0.35-3.44)	0.9		
3	1.74 (0.69-4.36)	0.2	1.64 (0.54-4.95)	0.4		
4	1.21 (0.45-3.29)	0.7	1.41 (0.43-4.65)	0.6		
5	2.26 (0.85-6.02)	0.1	1.38 (0.42-4.58)	0.6		
PSA at PSMA PET/CT (ng/ml)						
≤0.2	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)			
0.21-0.49	1.14 (0.26-4.94)	0.9	1.27 (0.23-6.88)	0.8		
0.5-0.99	3.31 (0.76-14.37)	0.1	5.43 (0.99-29.65)	0.05		
1-1.99	3.71 (0.84-16.38)	0.08	3.62 (0.66-19.76)	0.1		
≥2	2.86 (0.61-13.40)	0.2	2.98 (0.49-18.00)	0.2		
PSA doubling time (ng/ml/)						
$\geq 12$ months	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)			
6-11.9 months	10.88 (2.43-48.66)	0.002	12.53 (2.69-58.44)	0.001		
3-5.9 months	28.70 (6.38-129.23)	< 0.001	34.19 (7.15-163.44)	< 0.001		
< 3 months	116.25 (22.01-614.10)	< 0.001	160.70 (27.37-943.41)	< 0.001		
Ongoing ADT at BCR						
No	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)			
Yes	0.96 (0.51-1.82)	0.9	0.67 (0.29-1.53)	0.3		
Time to BCR (months)						
>12 months	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)			
$\leq 12$ months	1.25 (0.68-2.30)	0.4	0.88 (0.40-1.97)	0.8		
Predictive accuracy	-		81%			
ADT: androgen deprivation therapy; BCR: biochemical recurrence; OR: odd ratio; C.I.: Confidence Interval;						
Ref: reference. ISUP: International Society of Urological Pathology						

**Table 8** - Univariate and multivariate logistic regression to predict positive findings at 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT in Group-3 (n=76).

Variables	UNIVARIAT	E	MULTIVARIATE		
	OR (95% C.I.)	P value	OR (95% C.I.)	P value	
PSA at PSMA PET/CT (ng/ml)					
	3.03 (1.02-9.01)	0.04	3.48 (1.06-11.40)	0.04	
T stage					
Т2-Т3а	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
T3b-T4	3.14 (1.13-8.77)	0.03	2.67 (0.74-9.57)	0.1	
N stage					
NO	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
N1	4.57 (1.37-15.27)	0.02	3.41 (0.88-13.29)	0.08	
ISUP group					
1	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)		
2	5.00 (0.15-166.59)	0.4	19.11 (0.46-786.04)	0.1	
3	4.44 (0.42-46.55)	0.2	6.36 (0.52-77.67)	0.1	
4	10.56 (1.07-104.11)	0.04	9.85-0.89-109.20)	0.06	
5	14.17 (1.37-147.08)	0.03	12.43 (1.02-151.67)	0.04	
Predictive accuracy	-		79%		
ADT: androgen deprivation therapy; BCR: biochemical recurrence; OR: odd ratio; C.I.: Confidence Interval;					
Ref: reference. ISUP: International Society of Urological Pathology					

Table 9 – Univariate and multivariate logistic regression to predict positive findings at 68Ga-PSMA-

11-PET/CT in Group-4 (n=61)

Variables	UNIVARIATE		MULTIVARIATE	
	OR (95% C.I.)	P value	OR (95% C.I.)	P value
PSA at PSMA PET/CT (ng/ml)	1.05 (0.91-1.21)	0.5	1.34 (0.90-2.00)	0.1
	× /		× ,	
PSA doubling time (ng/ml/)	0.83 (0.72-0.95)	0.007	0.73 (0.58-0.93)	0.01
	(			
Ongoing ADT at BCR				
No	1.0 (Ref)		1.0 (Ref)	
Yes	0.55 (0.06-4.91)	0.7	0.59 (0.05-6.51)	0.7
Predictive accuracy	-		85%	
ADT: androgen deprivation therapy; BCR: biochemical recurrence; OR: odd ratio; C.I.: Confidence Interval;				
Ref: reference. ISUP: International Society of Urological Pathology				

#### 2.4 Discussion

Although phase 3 trials are still ongoing, preliminary results showed promising results for imageguided radiotherapy and radio-guided surgery to control the disease in the recurrent setting [67,68]. Since different therapies can be offered to patients at different time-points in the natural history of recurrent PCa (BCP vs early recurrence vs advanced stages), the accuracy of 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT should be investigated in different clinical settings, regardless of PSA absolute value only. Accordingly, referent physicians should be aware of those parameters which might influence 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT performance in different clinical stages.

Our study confirmed the overall good performance of 68Ga- PSMA-11-PET/CT (51.2%) in a wide cohort of recurrent PCa, confirming different rates of positivity among the different clinical settings: 40.3% in group 1, 54% in group 2, 60.5% in group 3, and 86.9% in group 4 (Table 3; p < 0.001), respectively. Thus, we support the inclusion of clinical setting of PSA relapse as selection criteria when considering 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT (Fig. 1). Furthermore, our data confirmed PSA and PSAdt as independent predictors of positive scan at multivariable analysis [69].

In this scenario, our nomogram might represent a comprehensive and useful tool in guiding physicians in the most appropriate use of 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT. Our model includes both pathologic parameters (ISUP grade) and biochemical characteristics (PSA, PSAdt, ongoing ADT, and time to relapse). Additionally, since 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT showed different performance according to different scenarios of relapse, we added clinical settings of PSA relapse to the model, in order to predict the likelihood of positive 68Ga- PSMA-11 PET/CT in each subgroup, hence allowing for a smoother patient selection by the clinician, prior to imaging referral. Thus, by identifying patients with high probability to result in positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT, we can identify suspicious PCa recurrence(s) and adjust treatment strategies accordingly. Conversely, expensive procedures, often unable to provide definite answers regarding presence of recurrence and thus to significantly modify clinical management, might be avoided when the likelihood of positive PET/CT is low. According to our statistical model, patients receiving a score corresponding to a likelihood  $\geq$  40% (nomogram best cutoff value) may benefit from 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT imaging.

Furthermore, we investigated 2 patient sub-cohorts with similar PSA values, both suitable for standard S-RT. A group of patients had a PSA nadir < 0.1 ng/ml after RP and subsequently experienced first-time BCR (group 1), showing lower rates of positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT (40.3%) and different predictors of positivity when compared with a different group of BCP patients (group 3), who conversely experienced persistent high PSA values (PSA nadir > 0.1 ng/ml) after RP (positivity rate = 60.5%). This observation suggests a careful evaluation of PSA values as well as PSA kinetics when referring BCR patients to 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT (group 1), in order to reduce the incidence of false negatives. On the other hand, BCP patients (group 3) showed generally higher likelihood of positivity despite having lower PSA values and shorter surgery-to-PSA relapse time. The persistence of malignant tissue within or outside the pelvis might explain the higher positivity rate and the lower impact of independent predictors in this specific setting.

Using the best nomogram-derived cutoff values to predict positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT (namely, 40%), 15.3% of patients would be erroneously counselled against 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT, with a sensitivity, specificity, NPV, PPV, and accuracy of 84.7%, 66.2%, 80.5%, and 76%, respectively. To note, the global discrimination accuracy of our nomogram was good (79–85%) and the model benefited from favorable calibration characteristics (Figure 3) throughout the range of predicted positive PET/CT results. Finally, DCA showed that the nomogram revealed clinical net benefit across the entire range of threshold probabilities (Figure 5).

Finally, we observed no significant difference in terms of oligo-metastatic ( $\leq$  3 lesions) disease detection rate between patients in different clinical settings. However, despite group 4 PET/CT revealing higher multi-metastatic (> 3 lesions) PCa (50.9%), a not negligible rate of multi-metastatic disease was also found even in group 1 (4.6%), group 2 (11.2%), and group 3 (21%). This evidence might support the use of 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT to detect higher disease volume and unexpected site(s) of recurrence, which in turn might modify treatment planning [70].

Previously, Rauscher et al. [71] proposed a clinical nomogram to predict positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT results. This model was proposed for a selected cohort of men with BCR after RP and PSA  $\leq$  1 ng/ml and it was not tested in different stages of PSA relapse. In their analysis, the only features associated with a positive scan were PSA and concurrent ADT. Our review of the available literature regarding the higher frequency of positive scans performed with concomitant ADT showed contradictory results [72, 73]. Broadly, PET/CT detection rate is still optimal in patients with rising PSA values and ongoing ADT at the time of the scan (castration resistant, CRPC), while intuitively tending towards a decrease in the case of hormone-sensitive disease (HSPC) and ongoing ADT [74]. Thus, rising PSA level during ADT is not a condition reflecting an early stage of BCR, per se. Furthermore, preclinical data support the hypothesis that PSMA expression might increase during hormonal blockade [75]. In humans [73], while this correlation is more widely substantiated in CRPC patients, a weaker association was observed in HSPC. In our population, mainly composed by HSPC, concomitant ADT was not found to be an independent predictor of positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT imaging. The introduction of clinical setting as independent predictor of positivity may help physicians to identify more accurately the most suitable candidates for 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT.

#### 2.4.1 Limitation

As many other studies investigating the role of imaging in recurrent PCa, we did not test 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy at lesion-based analyses since few pathologic confirmatory data were available. Notably, biopsy sampling of suspected metastases is only considered in a limited number of cases and not routinely performed in clinical practice due to ethical and procedural reasons. Thus, the proposed cutoff value of 40% to suggest 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT is based on radiologic findings. The retrospective design of the presented analysis may represent an additional potential limitation of this study.

#### 2.5 Conclusion

This novel nomogram proved its good accuracy (AUC=82.3%) to predict positive 68Ga-PSMA-11-PET/CT results. Based on the cutoff value of 40% or greater, the modality achieved overall accuracy of 76% and suggested that 282 patients (40%) might have avoided unnecessary Ga-68-PSMA PET/CT scanning. Drilling down further, tumor grade, PSA, PSA doubling time, and the time of PSA failure also were significant independent predictors of a positive Ga-68-PSMA PET/CT scan (all p  $\leq$  0.04).

This tool might be important as a guide to clinicians in the best use of PSMA-based PET imaging, in order to select the best treatment option. Furthermore, this model might be applied to further prospective trials aimed at evaluating the efficacy of 68Ga-PSMA-guided therapies. However, external validation of the model remains necessary to confirm our accuracy data in different cohorts of patients.

#### **3. REFERENCES**

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. CA Cancer J Clin 2016;66:7–30.

2. Beresford MJ, Gillatt D, Benson RJ, Ajithkumar T. A systematic review of the role of imaging before salvage radiotherapy for post-prostatectomy biochemical recurrence. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2010;22:46–55.

3. Hofer C, Laubenbacher C, Block T, Breul J, Hartung R, Schwaiger M. Fluorine-18fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography is useless for the detection of local recurrence after radical prostatectomy. Eur Urol 1999;36:31–5.

4. Fanti S, Minozzi S, Castellucci P, Balduzzi S, Herrmann K, Krause BJ, et al. PET/CT with (11)Ccholine for evaluation of prostate cancer patients with biochemical recurrence: meta-analysis and critical review of available data. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2016;43:55–69.

 de Rooij M, Hamoen EH, Witjes JA, Barentsz JO, Rovers MM. Accuracy of Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Local Staging of Prostate Cancer: A Diagnostic Meta-analysis. Eur Urol 2016;70:233– 45.

6. Castellucci P, Ceci F, Graziani T, Schiavina R, Brunocilla E, Mazzarotto R, et al. Early biochemical relapse after radical prostatectomy: which prostate cancer patients may benefit from a restaging 11C-Choline PET/CT scan before salvage radiation therapy? J Nucl Med 2014;55:1424–9.

7. Graziani T, Ceci F, Castellucci P, Polverari G, Lima GM, Lodi F, et al. (11)C-Choline PET/CT for restaging prostate cancer. Results from 4,426 scans in a single-centre patient series. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2016;43:1971–9.

8. Heidenreich A, Bastian PJ, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, Joniau S, van der Kwast T, et al.; European Association of Urology. EAU guidelines on prostate cancer. Part II: treatment of advanced, relapsing, and castration-resistant prostate cancer. Eur Urol 2014;65:467–79.

9. DeMarzo AM, Nelson WG, Isaacs WB, Epstein JI. Pathological and molecular aspects of prostate cancer. Lancet 2003;361:955–64.

10. Silver DA, Pellicer I, Fair WR, Heston WD, Cordon-Cardo C. Prostate-specific membrane antigen expression in normal and malignant human tissues. Clin Cancer Res 1997;3:81–5.

11. Minner S, Wittmer C, Graefen M, Salomon G, Steuber T, Haese A, et al. High level PSMA expression is associated with early PSA recurrence in surgically treated prostate cancer. Prostate 2011;71:281–8.

12. Troyer JK, Beckett ML, Wright GL Jr. Detection and characterization of the prostate-specific membrane antigen (PSMA) in tissue extracts and body fluids. Int J Cancer 1995;62:552–8.

13. Bostwick DG, Pacelli A, Blute M, Roche P, Murphy GP. Prostate specific membrane antigen expression in prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia and adenocarcinoma: a study of 184 cases. Cancer 1998;82:2256–61.

14. Wright GL Jr, Grob BM, Haley C, Grossman K, Newhall K, Petrylak D, et al. Upregulation of prostate-specific membrane antigen after androgen-deprivation therapy. Urology 1996;48:326–34.

15. Eder M, Schäfer M, Bauder-Wüst U, Hull WE, Wängler C, Mier W, et al. 68Ga-complex lipophilicity and the targeting property of a urea-based PSMA inhibitor for PET imaging. Bioconjug Chem 2012;23:688–97.

16. Eiber M, Maurer T, Souvatzoglou M, Beer AJ, Ruffani A, Haller B, et al. Evaluation of Hybrid 68Ga-PSMA Ligand PET/CT in 248 Patients with Biochemical Recurrence After Radical Prostatectomy. J Nucl Med 2015;56:668–74.

17. Benešová M, Schäfer M, Bauder-Wüst U, Afshar-Oromieh A, Kratochwil C, Mier W, et al. Preclinical Evaluation of a Tailor-Made DOTA-Conjugated PSMA Inhibitor with Optimized Linker Moiety for Imaging and Endoradiotherapy of Prostate Cancer. J Nucl Med 2015;56:914–20.

18. Rahbar K, Ahmadzadehfar H, Kratochwil C, Haberkorn U, Schäfers M, Essler M, et al. German Multicenter Study Investigating 177Lu-PSMA-617 Radioligand Therapy in Advanced Prostate Cancer Patients. J Nucl Med 2017;58:85–90.

19. Pandey MK, Byrne JF, Jiang H, Packard AB, DeGrado TR. Cyclotron production of (68)Ga via the (68)Zn(p,n)(68)Ga reaction in aqueous solution. Am J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2014;4:303–10.

20. Cardinale J, Martin R, Remde Y, Schäfer M, Hienzsch A, Hübner S, et al. Procedures for the GMP-Compliant Production and Quality Control of [18F]PSMA-1007: A Next Generation Radiofluorinated Tracer for the Detection of Prostate Cancer. Pharmaceuticals (Basel) 2017;10:10.

21. Heidenreich A, Bastian PJ, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, Joniau S, van der Kwast T, et al.; European Association of Urology. EAU guidelines on prostate cancer. part 1: screening, diagnosis, and local treatment with curative intent-update 2013. Eur Urol 2014;65:124–37.

22. Martorana G, Schiavina R, Corti B, Farsad M, Salizzoni E, Brunocilla E, et al. 11C-choline positron emission tomography/computerized tomography for tumor localization of primary prostate cancer in comparison with 12-core biopsy. J Urol 2006;176:954–60.

23. Giovacchini G, Picchio M, Coradeschi E, Scattoni V, Bettinardi V, Cozzarini C, et al. [(11)C]choline uptake with PET/CT for the initial diagnosis of prostate cancer: relation to PSA levels, tumour stage and anti-androgenic therapy. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2008;35:1065–73.

24. Fendler WP, Schmidt DF, Wenter V, Thierfelder KM, Zach C, Stief C, et al. 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT Detects the Location and Extent of Primary Prostate Cancer. J Nucl Med 2016;57:1720–5.

25. Zamboglou C, Drendel V, Jilg CA, Rischke HC, Beck TI, Schultze-Seemann W, et al. Comparison of 68Ga-HBED-CC PSMA-PET/CT and multiparametric MRI for gross tumour volume detection in patients with primary prostate cancer based on slice by slice comparison with histopathology. Theranostics 2017;7:228–37.

26. Eiber M, Weirich G, Holzapfel K, Souvatzoglou M, Haller B, Rauscher I, et al. Simultaneous 68Ga-PSMA HBED-CC PET/MRI Improves the Localization of Primary Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol 2016;70:829–36.

27. Daneshmand S, Ahmadi H, Huynh LN, Dobos N. Preoperative staging of invasive bladder cancer with dynamic gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging: results from a prospective study. Urology 2012;80:1313–8.

28. Giannarini G, Petralia G, Thoeny HC. Potential and limitations of diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in kidney, prostate, and bladder cancer including pelvic lymph node staging: a critical analysis of the literature. Eur Urol 2012;61:326–40.

Briganti A, Abdollah F, Nini A, Suardi N, Gallina A, Capitanio U, et al. Performance characteristics of computed tomography in detecting lymph node metastases in contemporary patients with prostate cancer treated with extended pelvic lymph node dissection. Eur Urol 2012;61:1132–8.
 Briganti A, Chun FK, Salonia A, Zanni G, Scattoni V, Valiquette L, et al. Validation of a nomogram predicting the probability of lymph node invasion among patients undergoing radical prostatectomy and an extended pelvic lymphadenectomy. Eur Urol 2006;49:1019–26.

31. Budäus L, Leyh-Bannurah SR, Salomon G, Michl U, Heinzer H, Huland H, et al. Initial Experience of (68)Ga-PSMA PET/CT Imaging in High-risk Prostate Cancer Patients Prior to Radical Prostatectomy. Eur Urol 2016;69:393–6.

32. Maurer T, Gschwend JE, Rauscher I, Souvatzoglou M, Haller B, Weirich G, et al. Diagnostic Efficacy of (68)Gallium-PSMA Positron Emission Tomography Compared to Conventional Imaging for Lymph Node Staging of 130 Consecutive Patients with Intermediate to High Risk Prostate Cancer. J Urol 2016;195:1436–43.

33. van Leeuwen PJ, Emmett L, Ho B, Delprado W, Ting F, Nguyen Q, et al. Prospective evaluation of 68Gallium-prostate-specific membrane antigen positron emission tomography/computed tomography for preoperative lymph node staging in prostate cancer. BJU Int 2017;119:209–15.

34. Ghedini P, Bossert I, Zanoni L, Ceci F, Graziani T, Castellucci P, et al. Liver metastases from prostate cancer at 11C-Choline PET/CT: a multicenter, retrospective analysis. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2018;45:751–8.

35. Rahim F, Hajizamani S, Mortaz E, Ahmadzadeh A, Shahjahani M, Shahrabi S, et al. Molecular regulation of bone marrow metastasis in prostate and breast cancer. Bone Marrow Res 2014;2014:405920.

50

36. Messiou C, Cook G, deSouza NM. Imaging metastatic bone disease from carcinoma of the prostate. Br J Cancer 2009;101:1225–32.

37. Shen G, Deng H, Hu S, Jia Z. Comparison of choline-PET/CT, MRI, SPECT, and bone scintigraphy in the diagnosis of bone metastases in patients with prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. Skeletal Radiol 2014;43:1503–13.

38. Afshar-Oromieh A, Avtzi E, Giesel FL, Holland-Letz T, Linhart HG, Eder M, et al. The diagnostic value of PET/CT imaging with the (68)Ga-labelled PSMA ligand HBED-CC in the diagnosis of recurrent prostate cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2015;42:197–209.

39. Morigi JJ, Stricker PD, van Leeuwen PJ, Tang R, Ho B, Nguyen Q, et al. Prospective Comparison of 18F-Fluoromethylcholine Versus 68Ga-PSMA PET/CT in Prostate Cancer Patients Who Have Rising PSA After Curative Treatment and Are Being Considered for Targeted Therapy. J

Nucl Med 2015;56:1185–90.

40. Suardi N, Gandaglia G, Gallina A, Di Trapani E, Scattoni V, Vizziello D, et al. Long-term outcomes of salvage lymph node dissection for clinically recurrent prostate cancer: results of a single-institution series with a minimum follow-up of 5 years. Eur Urol 2015;67:299–309.

41. Stephenson AJ, Scardino PT, Kattan MW, Pisansky TM, Slawin KM, Klein EA, et al. Predicting the outcome of salvage radiation therapy for recurrent prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. J Clin Oncol 2007;25:2035–41.

42. Chade DC, Shariat SF, Cronin AM, Savage CJ, Karnes RJ, Blute ML, et al. Salvage radical prostatectomy for radiation-recurrent prostate cancer: a multi-institutional collaboration. Eur Urol 2011;60:205–10.

43. Passoni NM, Suardi N, Abdollah F, Picchio M, Giovacchini G, Messa C, et al. Utility of [11C]choline PET/CT in guiding lesion-targeted salvage therapies in patients with prostate cancer recurrence localized to a single lymph node at imaging: results from a pathologically validated series. Urol Oncol. 2014;32:38 e9-16.

44. Afshar-Oromieh A, Holland-Letz T, Giesel FL, Kratochwil C, Mier W, Haufe S, et al. Diagnostic performance of 68Ga-PSMA-11 (HBEDCC) PET/CT in patients with recurrent prostate cancer: evaluation in 1007 patients. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2017;44:1258–68.

45. Ceci F, Uprimny C, Nilica B, Geraldo L, Kendler D, Kroiss A, et al. (68)Ga-PSMA PET/CT for restaging recurrent prostate cancer: which factors are associated with PET/CT detection rate? Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2015;42:1284–94.

46. Perera M, Papa N, Christidis D, Wetherell D, Hofman MS, Murphy DG, et al. Sensitivity, Specificity, and Predictors of Positive 68Ga- Prostate-specific Membrane Antigen Positron Emission Tomography in Advanced Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Eur Urol 2016;70:926–37.

47. von Eyben FE, Picchio M, von Eyben R, Rhee H, Bauman G. (68)Ga- Labeled Prostate-specific Membrane Antigen Ligand Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography for Prostate Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Eur Urol Focus 2016 Nov 15. [Epub ahead of print].
48. Afshar-Oromieh A, Zechmann CM, Malcher A, Eder M, Eisenhut M, Linhart HG, et al. Comparison of PET imaging with a (68)Ga-labelled PSMA ligand and (18)F-choline-based PET/CT for the diagnosis of recurrent prostate cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2014;41:11–20.

49. Bluemel C, Krebs M, Polat B, Linke F, Eiber M, Samnick S, et al. 68Ga-PSMA-PET/CT in Patients With Biochemical Prostate Cancer Recurrence and Negative 18F-Choline-PET/CT. Clin Nucl Med 2016;41:515–21.

50. Calais J, Fendler WP, Herrmann K, Eiber M, Ceci F. Head-to-head comparison of (68)Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT and (18)F-Fluciclovine PET/ CT in a case series of 10 patients with prostate cancer recurrence. J Nucl Med 2017 Dec 14. [Epub ahead of print].

51. Ost P, Bossi A, Decaestecker K, De Meerleer G, Giannarini G, Karnes RJ, et al. Metastasisdirected therapy of regional and distant recurrences after curative treatment of prostate cancer: a systematic review of the literature. Eur Urol 2015;67:852–63. 52. Calais J, Czernin J, Cao M, et al. 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT Mapping of Prostate Cancer Biochemical Recurrence After Radical Prostatectomy in 270 Patients with a PSA Level of Less Than 1.0 ng/mL: Impact on Salvage Radiotherapy Planning. J Nucl Med 2018;59:230–7.

53. Pfister D, Porres D, Heidenreich A, Heidegger I, Knuechel R, Steib F, et al. Detection of recurrent prostate cancer lesions before salvage lymphadenectomy is more accurate with (68)Ga-PSMA-HBED-CC than with (18)F-Fluoroethylcholine PET/CT. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2016;43:1410–7.

54. Rauscher I, Maurer T, Beer AJ, Graner FP, Haller B, Weirich G, et al. Value of 68Ga-PSMA HBED-CC PET for the Assessment of Lymph Node Metastases in Prostate Cancer Patients with Biochemical Recurrence: Comparison with Histopathology After Salvage Lymphadenectomy. J Nucl Med 2016;57:1713–9.

55. Albisinni S, Artigas C, Aoun F, Biaou I, Grosman J, Gil T, et al. Clinical impact of 68 Ga-prostatespecific membrane antigen (PSMA) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) in patients with prostate cancer with rising prostate-specific antigen after treatment with curative intent: preliminary analysis of a multidisciplinary approach. BJU Int 2017;120:197–203.

56. Calais J, Fendler WP, Eiber M, Gartmann J, Chu FI, Nickols NG, et al. Impact of 68Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT on the management of prostate cancer patients with biochemical recurrence. J Nucl Med 018;59:434–41.

57. Kwekkeboom DJ, Teunissen JJ, Bakker WH, Kooij PP, de Herder WW, Feelders RA, et al. Radiolabeled somatostatin analog [177Lu- DOTA0,Tyr3]octreotate in patients with endocrine gastroenteropancreatic tumors. J Clin Oncol 2005;23:2754–62.

58. Parker C, Nilsson S, Heinrich D, Helle SI, O'Sullivan JM, Fosså SD, et al.; ALSYMPCA Investigators. Alpha emitter radium-223 and survival in metastatic prostate cancer. N Engl J Med 2013;369:213–23.

59. Hansel DE, Nakayama M, Luo J, Abukhdeir AM, Park BH, Bieberich CJ, et al. Shared TP53 gene mutation in morphologically and phenotypically distinct concurrent primary small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of the prostate. Prostate 2009;69:603–9.

60. Kattan MW, Wheeler TM, Scardino PT. Postoperative nomogram for disease recurrence after radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. J Clin Oncol. 1999;17(5):1499–507.

61. Schiavina R, Bianchi L, Borghesi M, Sabbatini R, Brunocilla E. Toward the future of the functional imaging of advanced prostate cancer. Eur Urol Focus. 2017;3(2–3):240–2.

62. Mottet N, van den Bergh RCN, Briers E, Cornford P, De Santis M, Fanti S, et al. EAU-ESTRO-SIOG guidelines on prostate cancer. EAU Guidelines. Edn. presented at the EAU Annual Congress Barcelona 2019. ISBN 978-94-92671-04-2.

63. Abramowitz MC, Li T, Buyyounouski MK, Ross E, Uzzo RG, Pollack A, et al. The Phoenix definition of biochemical failure predicts for overall survival in patients with prostate cancer. Cancer. 2008;112(1):55–60.

64. Bianchi L, Nini A, Bianchi M, et al. The role of prostate-specific antigen persistence after radical prostatectomy for the prediction of clinical progression and cancer-specific mortality in node-positive prostate cancer patients. Eur Urol. 2016;69(6):1142–8.

65. Van den Broeck T, van den Bergh RCN, Arfi N, et al. Prognostic value of biochemical recurrence following treatment with curative intent for prostate cancer: a systematic review. Eur Urol 2018.

66. EderM, Neels O, Müller M, Bauder-Wüst U, Remde Y, Schäfer M, et al. Novel preclinical and radiopharmaceutical aspects of [68Ga]Ga-PSMA-HBED-CC: a new PET tracer for imaging of prostate cancer. Pharmaceuticals (Basel). 2014;7(7):779–96.

67. Schmidt-Hegemann NS, Stief C, Kim TH, Eze C, Kirste S, Strouthos I, et al. Outcome after PSMA PET/CT based salvage radiotherapy in patients with biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy: a bi-institutional retrospective analysis. J Nucl Med 2018.

68. Maurer T, Robu S, Schottelius M, et al. (99m)Technetium-based prostate-specific membrane antigen-radioguided surgery in recurrent prostate cancer. Eur Urol. 2019;75(4):659–66.

54

69. Pereira Mestre R, Treglia G, Ferrari M, Pascale M, Mazzara C, Azinwi NC, et al. Correlation between PSA kinetics and PSMAPET in prostate cancer restaging: a meta-analysis. Eur J Clin Investig. 2019;49(3):e13063.

70. Calais J, Czernin J, Cao M, Kishan AU, Hegde JV, Shaverdian N, et al. (68)Ga-PSMA-11 PET/CT mapping of prostate cancer biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy in 270 patients with a PSA level of less than 1.0 ng/mL: impact on salvage radiotherapy planning. J Nucl Med. 2018;59(2):230–7.

71. Rauscher I, Duwel C, Haller B, et al. Efficacy, predictive factors, and prediction nomograms for (68)Ga-labeled prostate-specific membrane antigen-ligand positron-emission tomography/ computed tomography in early biochemical recurrent prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy. Eur Urol. 2018;73(5):656–61.

72. Afshar-Oromieh A, Debus N, Uhrig M, Hope TA, Evans MJ, Holland-Letz T, et al. Impact of long-term androgen deprivation therapy on PSMA ligand PET/CT in patients with castrationsensitive prostate cancer. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2018;45(12):2045–54.

73. Emmett LM, Yin C, CrumbakerM, Hruby G, Kneebone A, Epstein R, et al. Rapid modulation of PSMA expression by androgen deprivation: serial (68)Ga-PSMA-11 PET in men with hormone sensitive and castrate resistant prostate cancer commencing androgen blockade. J Nucl Med 2018.

74. Ceci F, Schiavina R, Castellucci P, Brunocilla E, Fuccio C, Colletti PM, et al. 11C-choline PET/CTscan in patients with prostate cancer treated with intermittent ADT: a sequential PET/CT study. Clin Nucl Med. 2013;38(7):e279–82.

75. Meller B, Bremmer F, Sahlmann CO, Hijazi S, Bouter C, Trojan L, et al. Alterations in androgen deprivation enhanced prostatespecific membrane antigen (PSMA) expression in prostate cancer cells as a target for diagnostics and therapy. EJNMMI Res. 2015;5(1):66.

55