Alma Mater Studiorum – Università di Bologna

DOTTORATO DI RICERCA IN

ONCOLOGIA, EMATOLOGIA E PATOLOGIA

Ciclo XXX°

Settore Concorsuale: **SC06**

Settore Scientifico Disciplinare: MED/38

Activity of HH/GLI inhibitors in induced pluripotent stem cells model of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene

Presentata da: Bertuccio Salvatore Nicola

Coordinatore Dottorato

Supervisore

Chiar.mo Prof. Pier Luigi Lollini

Chiar.mo Prof. Andrea Pession

Esame finale anno 2018

TABLE OF CONTENT

A	Abstracts				
1.	Introduction7				
	1.1 Pediatric Acute Myeloid Leukemia7				
	1.3 Classification and overall outcome7				
	1.4 Molecular and Genetic Aberrations in Pediatric AML10				
	1.4.1 Proliferation and/or prosurvival aberrations (Type I mutations)10				
	1.5 Acute megakaryoblastic leukemia16				
	1.6. <i>CBFA2T3-GLIS2</i> fusion gene17				
	1.7 Targeting Hedgehog pathway in AML				
2.	Aim				
3.	Materials and Methods22				
	3.1. Cell Lines and reagents				
	3.2 Cell viability assay				
	3.3 Cell cycle analysis and apoptosis assay				
	3.4 Western Blotting				
	3.5 Quantitative PCR and gene expression				
	3.6 ChIP analysis				
	3.7 Cloning of <i>CBFA2T3-GLIS2</i> fusion gene				
	3.8 iPSC Culture				
	3.9 iPSCs genome editing using AAVS1 zinc-finger nucleases				
	3.10 Characterization of iPSC, knock-in with CBFA2T3-GLIS2				
	3.11 Differentiation of iPSC into hematological lineage				
	3.12 Methylcellulose culture				
	3.13 Culture of hematopoietic progenitors in liquid culture and treatment with GANT61				

3.14 DNA/RNA extraction and RT-PCR
4. Results2
4.1 <i>CBFA2T3-GLIS2</i> -positive cells are sensitive to GLI1/2 inhibitor
4.3 GANT61 downregulates expression of GLIS2 protein
4.5 Treatment with GANT61 downregulates expression of HH and BMP signaling target genes 3
4.6 CBFA2T3-GLIS2 chimeric protein regulates DNMT1 and DNMT3B expression
4.7 iPSC engineered with <i>CBFA2T3-GLIS2</i> maintain the pluripotent properties
4.8 Expression of <i>CBFA2T3-GLIS2</i> in human hematopoietic cells obtained from iPS0 recapitulates several molecular features of human pediatric AMKL
4.9 <i>CBFA2T3-GLIS2</i> induces aberrant differentiation in megakaryocytic cells
4.10 <i>CBFA2T3-GLIS2</i> enhances proliferation and self-renewal of progenitors hematopoietic cell
4.11 The GANT61 inhibits the proliferation of CBFA2T3-GLIS2 hematopoietic cells derive
from iPSC differentiation
5. Conclusion and Discussion4
References4

Abstracts

CBFA2T3-GLIS2 is a fusion gene identified in 7-8% of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia with poor outcome. This fusion gene drives a peculiar expression pattern and leads to overexpression of some of Hedgehog related genes. GLI similar protein 2 (GLIS2) is closely related to the GLI family, the final effectors of classic Hedgehog pathway. These observations lend compelling weight to the application of GLI inhibitors in the treatment of AML with the aberration CBFA2T3-GLIS2. GANT61 is an inhibitor of GLI proteins. The exposure to the GANT61 resulted in higher sensitivity of cell lines and primary AML cells carrying CBFA2T3-GLIS2 than the AML cells without GLIS2 fusion as well as in promoting apoptosis and downregulation of GLIS2 specific signature genes. Moreover, ChIP analysis revealed direct regulation by GLIS2 chimeric protein of DNMT1 and DNMT3B, two genes implicated in important epigenetic functions. Furthermore, considering the limitations of cell lines model we set up a model based on induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) derived from healthy donor engineered to express CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion gene. We demonstrated that CBFA2T3-GLIS2 and other targets of fusion gene were expressed in hematopoietic cells derived from iPSC differentiation. The CD43+ hematopoietic cells were composed of a higher percentage of CD41+CD42+ megakaryocytic cells. Importantly, we observed strong differentiation alterations, including a lower expression of CD61 on CBFA2T3-GLIS2 megakaryocytes compared to controls and a progressive accumulation of an abnormal $CD41^{low}CD42^{low}$ population never detected in control conditions. Finally, clonogenic assays in methylcellulose indicated that CBFA2T3-GLIS2 enhances serial replating activity for several weeks. Moreover treatment with GANT61 affected proliferation of CBFA2T3-GLIS2 hematopoietic cells derived from iPSC differentiation.

Taken together, our findings indicate that the GLI inhibitor GANT61 may be used to specifically target the *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion in pediatric AML.

1. Introduction

1.1 Pediatric Acute Myeloid Leukemia

Acute myeloid leukemia (AML) is a group of genetically heterogeneous hematopoietic disorders of the myeloid lineage characterized by the uncontrolled growth and clonal expansion without complete differentiation of a hematopoietic/stem progenitor ¹.

Acute myeloid leukemia represents the 15-20% of the entire Acute leukemia in children. The incidence of AML in infants is 1.5 per 100,000 individuals per year, the incidence decreases to 0.9 per 100,000 individuals aged 1-4 and 0.4 per 100,000 individuals aged 5-9 years, after which it gradually increases into adulthood¹. The underlying cause of AML is unknown, and childhood AML generally occurs de novo. In adult and elderly patients, AML is often preceded by myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), but in children, the occurrence of AML preceded by clonal evolution of preleukemic myeloproliferative diseases, such as myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) or juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia (JMML), is rare. Germline affected individuals, such as those with Fanconi anemia or Bloom syndrome, have an increased risk for developing AML as a secondary malignancy^{2, 3}. Recently, germ-line mutations in several genes, such as TP53, RUNX1, GATA2 and CEBPA, have been found in families with an unexplained high risk of AML, suggesting a familial predisposition to develop AML⁴⁻⁹. Children with Down syndrome classically present with a unique megakaryoblastic subtype of AML, classically following a transient myeloproliferative disorder in the neonatal period, which is characterized by somatic mutations in the GATA1 gene. In addition, AML may occur following previous radiotherapy or chemotherapy containing alkylating agents or epipodophyllotoxins, as secondary neoplasm. These are typically characterized by either MLL-rearrangements or by monosomy 7^{9,10}.

1.3 Classification and overall outcome

Prognosis of childhood acute myeloid leukemia (AML) has improved significantly over the past decades, the current probability of cure being approximately 60% in most of the developed countries ¹¹. This result has been achieved thanks to not only the use of more effective anti-leukemic agents and significant improvements in supportive care, but also to the progress made in the stratification of patients with a consequent risk-directed therapy ¹²⁻¹⁴.

The first classification of AML was provided by the French-American-British (FAB) classification. The FAB classification was based on the morphological and histological characteristics of the leukemic cells as well as stage of differentiation of the leukemic blasts ¹⁵ (Table 1).

Table 1. French-America-British (FAB) classification for AML

M0 AML with no Romanowsky or cytochemical evidence of differentiation
M1 Myeloblastic leukemia with litter maturation
M2 Myeloblastic leukemia with maturation
M3 Acute promyelocytic leukemia
M3h Acute promyelocytic leukemia, hypergranular variant
M3v Acute promyelocytic leukemia, microgranular variant
M4 Actue myelomonocytic leukemia
M4eo Actue myelomonocytic leukemia with dysplastic marrow meosinophils
M5 Acute monoblastic leukemia, poorly differentiated
M5b Acute monoblastic leukemia, differentiated
M6 Erytroleukemia

M7 Acute megakaryoblastic leukemia

Modified from Bennett JM, Catovsky D, Daniel MT, Flandrin G, Galton DA, Gralnick HR, Sultan C. Proposals for the classification of the acute leukaemias. French-American-British (FAB) co-operative group. Br J Haematol. 1976 Aug;33(4):451-8.

Although the FAB classification remains useful and commonly used is nowadays replaced by the World Health Organization (WHO) classification, which also takes karyotype and molecular aberrations into account (Table 2)¹⁶.

Table 2. The World Health Organization (WHO) classification of acute myeloid leukemia

Acute myeloid leukemia with recurrent genetic abnormalities

AML with balanced translocations/inversions

Acute myeloid leukemia with t(8;21)(q22;q22); AML/ETO

Acute myeloid leukemia with inv(16)(p13;q22) or t(16;16)(p13;q22); CBFB/MYH11

Acute myeloid leukemia with t(15;17)(q22;q21); PML/RARa

Acute myeloid leukemia with t(9;11)(p22;q23); MLL/AF9

Acute myeloid leukemia (megakaryoblastic) with t(1;22)(p13;q13); OTT/MAL

Acute myeloid leukemia with inv(3)(q21;q26.2) or t(3;3)(q21;q26.2); RPN1/EVL1

Acute myeloid leukemia with t(6;9)(p23;q34); DEK/NUP124

AML with gene mutations

Mutation affecting FLT3, NPM1, C/EBPa, KIT, MLL, WT1, NRAS and KRAS

Acute myeloid leukemia with myelodisplasia-related changes

Acute leukemia with 20% or more peripheral blood or bone marrow blasts with morphological features of myelodysplasia or a prior history of a myelodyplastic syndrome (MDS) or myelodyplastyic/myeloproliferative neoplasm (MDS/MPN), or MDS-related cytogenetic abnormalities, and absence of the specific genetic abnormalities of AML.

Therapy-related myeloid neoplasms

Therapy-related acute myeloid leukemia (tAML), myelodysplastic syndrome (tMDS), and myelodyplastyic/myeloproliferative neoplasm (tMDS/MPN) occurring as late complications of cytotoxic chemotherapy and/or radiation therapy administered for a prior neoplastic or non-neoplastic disorder.

Acute myeloid leukemia, not otherwise specified

FAB classification (M0, M1, M2, M3, M3h, M3v, M4, M4eo, M5, M5a, M5b, M6, M7.

Myeloid sarcoma

Tumor mass consisting of myeloid blasts with/without maturation, occurring at an anatomical site other than the bone marrow.

Myeloid proliferations related to Down syndrome

Transient abnormal myelopoiesis

Myeloid leukemia associated with Down syndrome

Blastic plasmacytoid dendritic cell neoplasm

Clinically aggressive tumor derived from the precursors of plasmacytoid dendritic cells, with a high frequency of cutaneous and bone marrow involvement and leukemic dissemination.

Modified from the Vardiman JW et al. The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: rationale and important changes. Blood. 2009 Jul 30;114(5):937-51.

Despite the improvement in overall survival, ~30% of the pediatric patients relapse. The outcome of relapse is poor, and only the ~30%–40% of patients survives ^{17, 18}. Since the high frequency of treatment-related deaths (5%–10%) further intensification of chemotherapy seems no longer feasible ¹⁹. Therefore, knowledge on the molecular and genetic background is indispensable to detect novel, leukemia and patient-specific treatment targets ¹.

1.4 Molecular and Genetic Aberrations in Pediatric AML

Despite the heterogeneous pattern of genetic lesions, AML is thought to arise from at least two classes of cooperating genetic events ²⁰.

Type I abnormalities result in increased of the proliferation and/or the survival of the leukemic cell. This type of mutations is often activating mutations of genes involved in signal transduction pathways, such as *FLT3*, *KIT*, *N-RAS*, *K-RAS* and *PTPN11*²¹.

Type II abnormalities impair differentiation and mainly result from genetic aberrations in hematopoietic transcription factors, due to, for instance, translocations t(8;21)(q22;q22)/AML1-ETO and 11q23/MLL rearrangements or from mutations in genes, such as NPM1 and CEBPA^{7, 22-}

²⁶. Accordingly to the "two-hits" model for leukemogenesis, the mutations conferring prosurvival/proliferative advantage (Type I) need to be associated with the mutations that impair the ability to differentiate of the hematopoietic progenitors for a complete outset of acute myeloid leukemia (Type II) ²⁵.

1.4.1 Proliferation and/or prosurvival aberrations (Type I mutations)

Generally the type I mutations occur in genes encoding for proteins involved in proliferation and survival pathways (Figure 1 A, B).



Type I mutations

Figure 1. Distribution of Type I/II abnormalities in pediatric AML. (**A**) Cooperating Type I and Type II mutations in pediatric AML and (**B**) in cytogenetically normal AML. The circos plot depicts the frequency of the Type II mutations and co-occurrence of Type I mutations in patients with *de novo* pediatric AML. The length of the arch corresponds to the frequency of the Type II mutation and the width of the ribbon with the percentage of patients with a specific Type I mutation or a combination of Type I mutations. FLT3/ITD denotes FLT3 internal tandem duplication. *Modified from de Rooij JD, Zwaan CM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink M. Pediatric AML: From Biology to Clinical Management. J Clin Med.* 2015;4:127-49.

FLT3 mutations

The Fms-like tyrosine kinase 3 (FLT3) is a member of the class III subfamily of receptor tyrosine kinases and it is activated by FLT3 ligand ²⁷. FLT3 is expressed in early hematopoietic progenitor cells in the bone marrow ²⁷. High FLT3 levels have been detected in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) ^{28, 29,27, 30}. The most common mutation in AML is the internal tandem duplication (ITD) in the juxtamembrane domain of FLT3 with a 10-15% occurrence in pediatric patients³¹. FLT3-ITD serves as a prognostic marker since it positively correlates with higher blast counts, increased relapse rate, and worse overall survival ^{30, 32, 33}. Several activating point mutations in the tyrosine kinase domain (TKD) have also been identified.²⁷

Acute myeloid leukemia cells show increased proliferation and survival, as well as impaired hematopoietic differentiation.²⁷ FLT3-ITD or FLT3-TKD activation confers proliferative and survival advantages to cells ^{27, 34} by activating Src family tyrosine kinases (SFKs), the PI3K/Akt-, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways, and, in the case of FLT3-ITD, also Stat5.³⁴ Moreover recently it has been demonstrated that FLT3 also blocks differentiation, at least partially, via p27 downregulation. ^{35, 36}

KIT activating mutations

Kit, a proto-oncogene in a region on the long arm of chromosome 4 (4q11–4q13), encodes the SCF receptor (CD117)³⁷. Ligand independent activation of KIT is driven by mutations that could occur in the extracellular domain of the receptor, in transmembrane/juxtamembrane domain and in the activation loop of the tyrosine kinase domain. Deregulation of KIT, including overexpression and gain of function mutations, has been detected in several human cancers. The proto-oncogene *KIT* is expressed in approximately 80% of adult AML cases, and its expression is a reliable molecular marker of poor prognosis in AML^{38, 39}. Moreover, KIT mutations are found in 25% to 30% of cases of adult core-binding factor (CBF)-AML, and in 19% of pediatric CBF-AML, which is genetically expressed by the presence of t(8;21)(q22;q22) or inv(16)(p13;q22)^{40 41}.

RAS activating mutations

RAS proto-oncogenes, including *KRAS*, *NRAS*, and *HRAS*, encode a membrane-localized G protein of 21 kDa, they regulate the growth and differentiation of many cell types. RAS proteins are located on the inner surface of the plasma membrane and act as molecular switches that transduce extracellular signals to the nucleus. It is inactive when bound to GDP and active when bound to GTP^{42, 43}. *RAS* activation caused by its mutation giving rise to an abnormal protein resistant to GTP hydrolysis by GTPase leads to a constitutively active GTP-bound protein that stimulates a critical

network of signal transduction pathways that result in cellular proliferation, survival, and differentiation $^{42-45}$. *RAS* mutations at codons 12, 13, and 61 are common events in human cancers⁴⁵. NRAS or KRAS mutations occur in ~20% of AML specimens ⁴⁶, and Ras signaling is activated by somatic mutations in the genes encoding the FLT3 and c-Kit receptor tyrosine kinases in an additional ~25% to 40% of cases ⁴⁴.

PTPN11 mutations

The *PTPN11* gene encodes SHP-2, a cytoplasmic protein tyrosine phosphatase. Germline *PTPN11* mutations are the causative defect in Noonan syndrome, but somatic mutations have been described in juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia, acute lymphoblastic leukemia, and approximately 4% of children and adults with AML^{47, 48}. Gain of function of SHP-2 contributes to leukemogenesis by upregulating the Ras-Erk and PI3K-Akt pathways leading to aberrant proliferation of myeloid progenitors ^{49, 50}. Moreover, Somatic mutations in *PTPN11* are found in about 35% of juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia cases ⁵¹. Approximately 4.4% of pediatric AML cases show *PTPN11* mutations and the 22% of these carry also mutation in FLT3 and RAS genes ⁵². The presence of *PTPN11* mutation does not carry prognostic implications in AML, although robust data in combination with other cytogenetic abnormalities are lacking ^{47, 53, 54}.

AML with NPM1 mutations

The nucleolar protein nucleophosmin 1 is involved in numerous cellular functions, such as ribosome biogenesis, DNA repair and regulation of apoptosis. Although it is a rather late event in leukaemogenesis, NPM1 mutations emerge as a distinct AML entity. Mutations result in aberrant localization of the protein to the cytosol. An N-terminal nucleolar localisation signal is disrupted, and an export signal is created instead. Mutations in the NPM1 gene are common genetic changes in both pediatric and adult AML, with a incidence of 5% - 10% and 35% respectively ⁵⁵. In the absence of FLT3-ITD mutations, NPM1 mutations are associated with improved outcomes for patients with CN-AML, even in those older than 60 years. NPM1 mutations are associated with other recurrent genetic abnormalities, such as trisomy of chromosome 8, DNMT3A mutations, FLT3-ITD (40% of the time), FLT3-TKD (10–15%) and IDH mutations (25% of time) ⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸.

Janus kinase 2 (JAK2) activation mutations

The Janus kinase (JAK) family of nonreceptor tyrosine kinases are important mediators of cytokines and Grow Factor signaling, activating signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) proteins and other downstream signaling pathways that modulate cell cycling and apoptosis ⁵⁹. STATs are constitutively activated in several solid tumors and hematological malignancies ⁶⁰⁻⁶². Gain-of-function JAK2 V617F mutations are common in myeloproliferative disorders ^{63, 64} (90% of polycythemia vera cases, 50% of essential thrombocythemia, and in about 70% of patients with AML secondary to other myeloproliferative disorders) but are rare in AML (1.6%) ^{63, 65}.

1.4.2. Cytogenetic abnormalities impaired myeloid differentiation (Type II mutations)

The most common cytogenetic abnormalities (Type II) in children are t(8;21)(q22;q22), inv(16)(p13.1q22) (together referred to as core binding factor (CBF)-AML), t(15;17)(q22;q21) and 11q23/MLL-rearranged abnormalities (Figure 1A) ^{26, 66-68}. Together, these account for approximately half of all pediatric AML cases, a much higher frequency than in adults. Translocations involving hematopoietic transcription factors often lead to dysregulated gene expression, either as a result of the fusion partner itself or the recruitment of different co-factors to the transcription complex ¹.

CBF (Core Binding Factor leukemia)

CBF-AMLs, which account for approximately 23% of pediatric, contain chromosomal translocations or inversions that target the transcription factors RUNX1 (AML1) or CBFB⁶⁹. Normally RUNX1 and CBFB heterodimerize to bind DNA and recruit lineage defining transcription factors to regulate hematopoietic differentiation ⁷⁰. The fusion products found in CBF-[*RUNX1-RUNX1T1*/t(8;21)(q22;q22) *CBFB-MYH11/*inv(16)(p13.1q22) AMLs or or t(16;16)(p13.1;q22)] block myeloid differentiation but are not sufficient to induce leukemia and both fusions requires secondary mutations, including those in the Ras pathway ⁷¹⁻⁷⁴. Moreover, the AML1 gene is also involved in another translocation t(16;21) in which it results to be in-frame fused with the gene CBFA2T3 localized on chromosome 16. Interestingly, CBFA2T3 gene encode for a protein of the same family of ETO and, functionally, these two chimeric protein could be considered equal ⁷⁵. Alterations of the CBF are prognostic markers of good prognosis, and a specific subtype of AML, known as core-binding factor AML (CBF-AML), has been define base on that. Nevertheless, the CBF-AML patients are predicted to have a good outcome as long as there are no other negative prognostic markers such as FLT3-ITD or KIT mutations 76 .

CCAAT enhancer binding protein a (CEBPA) mutations

Mutations in the differentiation-inducing transcription factor CCAAT enhancer binding protein a (CEBPA) are observed in 6–10% of all AML and 15–19% of CN-AML cases and commonly in association with del(9q) and in approximately 4% - 6% of pediatric AML ^{23, 77, 78}. CEBPA is a critical transcription factor that controls gene expression during haematopoiesis ⁷⁹. Importantly, only bi-allelic, not single, CEBPA mutations (BiCEBPAα) predicts an increased complete remission rate and favourable survival ^{80, 81}. AML with a single CEBPA mutation is associated with survival rates similar to that of AML with wild-type CEBPA ^{58, 82, 83} Moreover BiCEBPα mutation is found to be stable and highly penetrant. Thus, the stability of biCEBPα supports its role in defining a distinct molecular and clinical subtype of AML ⁸⁴.

PML/RARa and acute promyelocytic leukemia

PML/RAR α traslocation involves the retinoic receptor-alpha gene (RARA) on chromosome 17 and the promyelocytic leukemia gene (PML) on chromosome 15. This aberrations is exclusively associated with the acute promyelocytic leukemia (APL). In APL, PML/RAR α fusion protein actively recruits co-repressor complex, thus inducing a sustained transcriptional inhibition and resulting at functional level in a block of myeloid differentiation and increased self-renewal of leukemic progenitors. As a consequence of these biologic properties PML/RAR α acts as a potent transcriptional repressor of retinoic acid signalling. Remarkably, over the 90% of PML/RAR α -positive cases could be successfully treated with all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) that is able to induce the differentiation of this leukemic cells into mature granulocytes ^{76, 85}.

Mixed lineage leukemia (MLL) gene alterations

Acute leukemia bearing an *MLL*-rearrangement (*MLL*-r) is a distinct leukemia subgroup that needs much attention. While the overall survival of acute leukemias in pediatric patients reaches about 90%, *MLL*-r leukemia patients still display poor survival rates ⁸⁶. MLL gene encodes a protein (500 kDa) which serves as a platform for the assembly of a multiprotein complex. The MLL complex influences gene transcription and chromatin by binding to target gene promoters, and reading and writing chromatin signatures.

Based on data published in the literature, *MLL*-r AML patients display an ectopic genetic program caused by the overexpression of MEIS1/HOXA proteins ⁸⁷⁻⁸⁹. Overexpression of HOXA9 and MEIS1 is essential to drive the development and maintenance of acute myeloid leukemia. In the last years it has been developed a series of new inhibitors that can be potentially used to treat this

disease entity ⁹⁰⁻⁹⁵ and many of these is in clinical trial. All these drugs target either a druggable domain that is critical for the function of an MLL fusion or an associated protein ⁹⁶.

1.5 Acute megakaryoblastic leukemia

Acute megakaryoblastic leukemia [AMKL or AML-M7 in the French–American–British (FAB) classification] is a subtype of AML ¹⁵, representing 3–5% of AML and affecting the megakaryocyte lineage. AMKL is higher prevalent in young children (median age approximately 1 year) than in adults (median age approximately 58 years).

Pediatric AMKL is diagnosed as a *de-novo* disease or in patients harboring constitutive trisomy 21 [Downsyndrome (DS)]⁹⁷, who present a 500-fold increased risk to develop AMKL. The 90% of denovo AMKL harbor both numerical and structural chromosomal alterations, including hyperploids and complex karyotypes ⁹⁸. Early cytogenetics and fluorescence in situ hybridization analyses identified the recurrent (1;22)(p13;q13) chromosomal translocation 99-101 and led to the identification of the OTT-MAL fusion oncogene ^{102, 103}. OTT-MAL is specifically associated with childhood AMKL and fuses most of OTT and MAL coding regions. The OTT family was proposed to inhibit the RBPJ-dependent transcription of Notch pathway target genes¹⁰⁴, which contributes to embryonic hematopoiesis ¹⁰⁵ and controls the T-cell development ¹⁰⁶ or erythro-megakaryocytic lineage commitment^{107, 108}. MAL is a strong transcriptional coactivator of serum response factor (SRF)¹⁰⁹ through the recruitment of other epigenetic regulators such as ASH2 and SET1¹¹⁰. OTT-MAL alters the transcription of SRF-dependent promoters in transient transactivation in vitro systems and the low frequency of additional mutations found in OTT-MAL AMKL patients suggests that OTT-MAL is sufficient for leukemogenesis in humans¹¹¹. Other acquired chromosomal abnormalities (e.g., trisomy21, trisomy 19, monosomy 7) are also frequent in AMKL¹¹². In addition both MLL and NUP98 fusions and aberrant expression of homeobox (HOX) are also associated with AMKL¹¹³. Recently, high-throughput sequencing approaches discovered a novel recurrent fusion oncogenes: the CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion gene^{111, 114-116}.

1.6. CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion gene

CBFA2T3-GLIS2 also known as ETO2-GLIS2 is a fusion gene resulting from a cryptic inversion of the telomeric region of chromosome 16 leading the the 5' portion of *CBFA2T3* gene fused inframe to the 3' region of GLIS2 gene (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Schematic representation of fusion between exon 11 or exon 10 of CBFA2T3 and exon 3 or exon 2 of GLIS2. *Modified from Masetti et al. CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion transcript is a novel common feature in pediatric, cytogenetically normal AML, not restricted to FAB M7 subtype. Blood. 2013*

The incidence of CBFA2T3-GLIS2 (also known as CBFA2T3-GLIS2) is 17% and 8% in pediatric non-Down syndrome acute megakaryoblastic leukemia (non-DS AMKL, FAB M7)^{114, 115, 117} and in pediatric cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML, in association with several FAB subtypes)¹¹⁶, respectively. The expression of CBFA2T3-GLIS2 is associated with grim prognosis in all the reports published so far ^{116, 118} (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Probability of 5-year EFS in children with CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion transcript in CN-AML. EFS of CBFA2T3-GLIS2–positive patients (27.4%, SE 10.5) vs CBFA2T3-GLIS2–negative patients (59.6%, SE 3.6; P = .01). *Modified from Masetti at al. CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion transcript is a novel common feature in pediatric, cytogenetically normal AML, not restricted to FAB M7 subtype Blood 2013 121:3469-3472.*

CBFA2T3 is a member of the Eight Twenty One family of transcriptional co-factors that includes ETO and MTGR1. CBFA2T3 expression is essential for HSC maintenance and differentiation, and plays a critical role in the development of megakaryocyte-erythrocyte progenitors in vivo ^{119, 120}. CBFA2T3 participates in high-molecular-weight complexes with several transcription factors (including TAL1, RUNX1, GATA, and ETS) that are able to both activate and repress gene transcription to control HSC commitment toward the erythroid and megakaryocytic lineages, depending on the cofactors found in these transcriptional platforms¹²¹⁻¹²⁴. In particular, CBFA2T3 represses the expression of erythroid genes ^{124, 125}. During megakaryopoiesis, CBFA2T3 reportedly represses gene expression to inhibit terminal differentiation ¹²⁶.

The GLIS family zinc-finger protein 2 (GLIS2) is closely related to GLI and zinc-finger protein families. The CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion contains most of GLIS2 protein, including its DNA-binding domain, which comprises all five zinc-finger domains. GLIS2 is highly expressed in adult kidney, where it reportedly suppresses the Gli1- activated transcriptome and maintains homeostasis, and is mutated in human nephronophthisis ¹²⁸.

Although GLIS1/2 factors have been proposed to support the pluripotent stem cell state ^{129, 130}, their contribution to normal HSC biology is unknown.

Different studies show that both CBFA2T3-GLIS2 and GLIS2 expression can increase self-renewal capacity in hematopoietic progenitors. In particular CBFA2T3-GLIS2 enhances self-renewal and inhibits differentiation, whereas the ectopic GLIS2 expression has a variable effect on self-renewal but promotes megakaryocytic differentiation¹¹⁴.

Importantly, the activity of two transcription factors (GATA1 and ERG) previously involved in DS–AMKL leukemo-genesis is altered by CBFA2T3-GLIS2. GATA1 is strongly down-regulated as a result of both CBFA2T3 and GLIS2 functions, while the ETS-factor/ERG gene, located on chromosome 21, is strongly overexpressed primarily due to the GLIS2 moiety. Definitely, CBFA2T3–GLIS2 AMKL in a single event strongly increases ERG and downregulates GATA1 (Figure 4). It was proposed that the level of deregulation of this balance is in part responsible for the aggressiveness of the disease. According to this hypotesis CBFA2T3–GLIS2 is rarely associated with mutations in coding sequences, suggesting it maybe sufficient to drive leukemogenesis ¹¹¹.



Figure 4. (A) In the normal megakaryocytic differentiation CBFA2T3 (also known as ETO2) complex induces GATA1 expression and represses ERG expression. (B) ETO2-GLIS2 is a potent inducer of ERG expression and in the same time it is a repressor of GATA1. The deregulation of this balance between ERG and GATA1 is sufficient to block megakaryocytic differentiation and to induce leukemia. (*Modified from Thirant et al. 2017. ETO2-GLIS2 Hijacks Transcriptional Complexes to Drive Cellular Identity and Self-Renewal in Pediatric Acute Megakaryoblastic Leukemia*)

1.7 Targeting Hedgehog pathway in AML

The Hedgehog (HH) signalling pathway has diverse functions in animal development and tissue homeostasis. Dysregulation of this pathway has been implicated in several developmental syndromes and cancers ¹³¹. In mammals, the HH signaling cascade is initiated by one of three ligands: Sonic hedgehog (Shh), Indian hedgehog (Ihh), and Desert hedgehog (Dhh) which bind to the transmembrane receptor Patched-1 (PTCH1). Without the presence of ligands, PTCH1 represses activation of the transmembrane protein Smoothened (SMO). Upon HH ligand binding, PTCH1 is internalized, resulting in relief and thus activation of SMO that transduces the signal to the family of Glioma (GLI) zinc finger transcription factors: GLI1, GLI2, and GLI3. GLI1 and GLI2 predominantly represent transcriptional activators while GLI3 acts as transcriptional repressor¹³² (Figure 5). HH pathway has recently received much attention for its implication in advanced haematological malignancies and acquired drug resistance in AML with poor prognosis. Interesting it has been demonstrated that the expression of GLI proteins can correlate with poor prognosis in AML and with decrease of DNA methylation in MDS¹³³. Currently there are small molecules that can inhibit HH pathway at different levels (Figure 5) and in particular the SMO inhibitor are in many clinical trials in combination with other conventional drugs in different types of cancer including haematological malignancies (NCT02073838 NCT01842646, NCT01841333, NCT01546038, NCT02367456).

It is noteworthy that in a phase 2 trial with untreated AML and high-risk MDS patients, low dose Ara-C chemotherapy in combination with glasdegib improved overall survival when compared to chemotherapy only ¹³⁴.

Moreover HH pathway can be activated through other pathway including K-Ras, TGF- β , PI3K-AKT, and PKC- α that can be positive regulate GLI protein transcriptional activity ^{135, 136}. Based on these obseravtions, direct inhibition of GLI is a promising option, particularly in settings of SMO-independent GLI activation.

The GANT61 is a small molecule inhibiting DNA binding of GLI family proteins, and it is largely used in preclinical studies ^{132, 137}. It has been reported that GANT61 showed a potent effect on the transcription activity of GLI proteins, binding directly GLI Zing Finger domain and blocking their binding to DNA ¹³⁸ (Figure 5).



Figure 5. Canonical and non-canonical activation of HH pathway by oncogenic mechanisms. The figure shows the target drugs that could inhibit HH pathway at different level. *From: (Aberger F et al. Acute myeloid leukemia - strategies and challenges for targeting oncogenic Hedgehog/GLI signaling).*

2. Aim

The overall aim of this research project was to investigate the potential benefit of the GANT61 (a GLI inhibitor) in the subgroup of AML with the *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene. Indeed, in the last year different studies described the mechanism of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* to induce leukemia transformation.

GLIS2 and GLI proteins family shares a lot of homology of the zinc finger domain (ZFD) indispensable for the DNA binding. Due to the highly homology between the GLIS2 protein and members of the GLI family, the final effectors of classic Hedgehog pathway^{132, 139}, we hypothesized that the GANT61, a small molecule inhibiting the binding of GLI to DNA¹³⁸, might be used to specifically target the *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion in pediatric AML.

Because no model of transformation of normal human cells with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* exist, the second aim of this project was to set-up a human model of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) technology expressing the *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene. The iPSC technology presents considerable advantages over classical *in vitro* models, as the immortalized tumor-derived cell lines may contain additional abnormalities due to their derivation, and thus may not properly represent patient's leukemic cells. Moreover, the iPSCs are capable of self-renewal, and above all can be differentiated in all cell types including hematopoietic lineage.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Cell Lines and reagents

Human AML cell lines (M07e, HL60, NOMO1, Kasumi-1, MOLM-13, THP-1, OCI-AML3) were obtained from DSMZ; WSU-AML cell line was kindly provided by St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, TN, USA. WSU-AML, HL60, NOMO1, MOLM13, THP1 and KASUMI-1 were grown in RPMI 1640 (Lonza), M07cells were grown in Iscove Medium Dulbecco's modified (IMDM) (Sigma) and OCI-AML3 in Alpha-MEM (Lonza). All culture media were supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (EuroClone), 2mM L-glutammine (GIBCO) and 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin (GIBCO). Primary cells were obtained from two AML pediatric patients, FAB M7 (AMKL1) positive to fusion gene *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* and FAB M4 negative for the same translocation, respectively. Primary cells were grown in Chang Marrow (Technogenetics).

3.2 Cell viability assay

To test the effect of GANT61 (Merck Millipore), cell lines were cultured for 72 hours in the presence of increasing drug concentrations from 0.1 μ M to 100 μ M, and cell viability was assessed using the WST1 (4-[3-(4-lodophenyl)-2-(4-nitrophenyl)-2H-5-tetrazolio]-1,3-benzene disulfonate), cell proliferation kit (Roche Diagnostic, Basel, Switzerland), according to manufacturer's instructions. The curve and IC50 were calculated by non-linear dose-response regression with Graphpad Prism software (San Diego, CA, USA). Statistical analyses were performed using Student's t test at a significance level of p <0.05 (GraphPad Prism Software).

3.3 Cell cycle analysis and apoptosis assay

Cell cycle analysis was performed staining cells with propidium iodide (PI) solution (PI 50 μ g/ml; 0.1% sodium citrate; 0,1% Triton X-100) according to standard protocol ¹⁴⁰ and after 48 hours of GANT61 treatment.

To assess the extent of induced apoptosis, flow cytometric analysis of Annexin V–FITC/propidium iodide (PI)-stained samples was performed after 24 hours of treatment with GANT61 and in basal condition, following manufacturer's instruction (Annexin-V-FLUOS Staining Kit, Roche Diagnostics, Monza, Italy). The samples were analyzed by a FACS Canto flow cytometer (Beckton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ). Apoptotic cells were identified as Annexin V-positive, PI-negative cells.

3.4 Western Blotting

Western blotting analysis was performed using standard procedures after 48 hours of treatment with GANT61. Cells were lysed using M-PER Mammalian Protein Extraction Reagent, supplemented with the Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Rockford, IL, USA). GLIS2 antibody was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Heidelberg, Germany), GLI1 antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology and GLI2 was purchased from Biorad. Secondary antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology. Densitometry scanning of the bands was performed using a Chemidoc 810 Imager with the appropriate software (UVP, Upland, CA, USA).

3.5 Quantitative PCR and gene expression.

Cell lines (M07e, WSU-AML) and primary cells (AMKL1) positive to *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene were grown in presence of GANT61 20 μ M or vehicle (DMSO) for 48h. Total RNA was extracted by RNeasy spin column method (Qiagen). 250 ug of RNA was reverse transcribed to single-stranded cDNA using the Transcriptor first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Roche Diagnostics) with oligo-dT primers (2.5 μ M). qRT-PCR was performed with FastStart Sybr Green (Roche Diagnostics) on the LightCycler 480 apparatus (Roche Diagnostics) using specific primers for BMP2, GLIS2. DDCt method was used to quantify gene expression levels relative to two housekeeping genes, YWHAZ and ATP5B. Quantitative RT-PCR primer sequences were as follows:

Gene expression profile was assessed using GeneChip Human Transcriptome Array 2.0 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Microarray target sample processing, target hybridization, washing, staining and scanning steps were completed according to manufacturer's instructions (Affymetrix).

Data normalization and summarization was performed using the RMA (Robust Multi-Array Average) method ¹⁴¹. Before proceeding with differential expression computation, the dimensions of the dataset were reduced by filtering out genes whose IQR is smaller than the 10th percentile of global IQR and whose expression level is below 5 in more than two samples. To score the differences of expression between the two conditions, we used the moderated t-statistics for paired

samples (implemented in limma package) with a significance level $\alpha = 0.05$. Differentially expressed genes were then classified as upregulated if logFC>1 and downregulated (logFC<1).

3.6 ChIP analysis

ChIP analysis was performed using the chromoFlash High sensitivity ChIP kit (Epigentek). In brief, 1×10^6 of WSU-AML and M07e cell lines were fixed with 1% formaldehyde, lysed, and sonicated (Bioruptor Pico sonication device, Diagenode). Sheared chromatin was immune-precipitated for 3h using the following antibodies: anti-CBFA2T3 (Abcam), and normal rabbit non-immune IgG (Epigentek). One tenth of the sheared chromatin (Input) was used as a reference for the quantitative PCR analysis using the primers proximal NCAM1 promoter, DNMT1 and DNMT3B promoter with FastStart Sybr Green (Roche Diagnostics) on the LightCycler 480 apparatus (Roche Diagnostics).

3.7 Cloning of CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion gene

CBFA2T3-GLIS2-GFP was cloned through in fusion strategy (In-Fusion® HD Cloning Kit, Takara Bio, USA) into *AAVS1-SA-2A-puro-pA* driven by CD43 promoter vector available in Gustave Roussy institute. First, *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* tagged with GFP was amplified from a lentiviral vector already described in *Thirant et al 2017*¹¹², with specific primers containing an overlap region on the vector *AAVS1-SA-2A-puro-pA*. Second, the PCR insert was cloned following the in fusion kit manifacture's instruction. The in-fusion reaction was transformed in XL10-Gold Ultracompetent cells (Agilent). The other two vectors encoding the two Zing Finger proteins specific for AAVS1 locus left and right arms were available in Gustave Roussy institute.

3.8 iPSC Culture

iPSC derived from healthy donor were available in iPSC platform, Gustave Roussy institute. iPSC were cultured in Essential 8 medium (ThermoFisher) and in vitronectin (ThermoFisher) coated wells. iPSC were passed every 3-4 days in DPBS/0.5mM EDTA Ultrapure (ThermoFisher).

3.9 iPSCs genome editing using AAVS1 zinc-finger nucleases

The wild-type iPSC were treated with Triple 1x (Thermofisher) to make single cells. 0.8×10^6 of single cells were electroporeted with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* donor construct in association with the vectors encoding for Zing Finger, using Nucleofector 2B and Stem cell kit (Lonza). Electoroporated iPSC were plated in vitronectin coated plate in presence of 10µM of Y27632 (Miltenyi Biotec). Three days later the electroporated iPSC were selected with puromycin (0.5 µg/mL) for 48h. After 1 week 23 individuals clones were picked, expanded and screened for correct integration at the AAVS1 locus using PCR.

3.10 Characterization of iPSC, knock-in with CBFA2T3-GLIS2

Wild-type iPSC and iPSC from clone 10 with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* knock-in were dissociated in single cells through Triple 1x treatment. $1x10^6$ cells were plated in NunclonTM Sphera (ThermoFisher) to induce embryoid bodies development. Embryoid bodies (EB) were grown in Essential 6 Medium (ThermoFisher) at least for 24 days replacing medium every 3 days. RNA from embryoid bodies were extracted after 17 days and 24 days and the expression of markers from the three layers upon EB differentiation were tested for iPSC CTRL and iPSC clone 10.

The list of all primers used for PCR and RT-PCR is reported in Table 3.

Real-Time PCR					
Locus	Forward 5'-3'	Reverse 5'-3'			
GLIS2	GAGGTTTCAACGCCAGGTACA	GCAGACGTAGGGCTTCTCAC			
BMP2	GACTGCGGTCTCCTAAAGGTCG	CTGTTTCAGGCCGAACATGC			
ATP5B	GTCTTCACAGGTCATATGGGGA	GTCCCACCATATAGAAGG			

Table 3

YWHAZ	AAAAACAGCAGATGGCTCGAGAA	GTGAAGCATTGGGGATCAAGAAC			
CBFA2T3- GLIS2	CATCAACCAGCAGGAGGACT	ACTTGTCCTTGGGAGGGGTA			
GAPDH	CCAATATGATTCCACCCATGGC	CTTGATTTTCGAGGGATCTCGC			
NeuroD1	GAGCACGAGGCAGACAAGAAG	CCCCCGTTCCTCAGTGAGT			
HAND1	AACTCAAGAAGGCGGATGG	AGGGCAGGAGGAAAACCTT			
GATA 4	GCTATGCGTCTCCCGTCAG	GTGACTGTCGGCCAAGACC			
NANOG	CCATCCTTGCAAATGTCTTCTG	CTTTGGGACTGGTGGAAGAATC			
Oct4	GCAGCAGATCAGCCACATC	CTTGATCGCTTGCCCTTCT			
CHIP analysis					
NCAM1 GCATCTGCCTCCCTGTCTCT CTC		CTCGCAACTCGGAGATCCTT			
DNMT1	ACTCAAGGGCTCTCACAAA	CGAGGCATTCATTCATTCAT			
DNMT3B	GGGCTACAAGGGGAGT3	GCTCGGAGCGTCCAC			
PCR screening					
AAVS1 locu Integration	S TCTCTTCCGCAT TGGAGTCGCTTTAA	ACCGTGGGCTTGTACTCGGTCAT			
AAVS1 locu Endogenous	S CCCCTATGTCCACTTCAGGA	CAGCTCAGGTTCTGGGAGAG			
Primers for in-fusion cloning reaction					
CBFA2T3- GLIS2-GFI	AATTCCGCCAACCGGCCACCATGC CGGCTTCAAGACTGAG	GAGTGAATTCACGCGTTTACTTGTACAGCTCGTC C			

3.11 Differentiation of iPSC into hematological lineage

Three clone with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* and CTRL iPSC were induced to differentiate into hematological lineage using 2D co-culture system on matrix adapted from Chou S et al. ¹⁴². Briefly 3-4 colonies of each iPSC were picked and put into Geltrex (Thermofisher) coated wells in Essential 8 medium. In the next days we changed medium in StemPro34 enriched with 1X penicillin/streptomycin (PS, GIBCO), glutamine (2 mM), ascorbic acid (50 µg/ml, Sigma) monothioglycerol (MTG, 15 mM, Sigma), BMP4 (5 ng/mL) and VEGF (50 ng/ml) and CHIR (930 ng/mL) for the first 2 days. The medium was replenished and supplemented until day 18 with a sequential cytokine cocktail, as shown in Table 4. Hematopoietic differentiation of iPSC was then assessed at day 13, 15 and 18 using flow cytometry analyses for hematopoietic progenitors (CD34, CD43) and megakaryocytic markers (CD41, CD42 and CD61). All data were analyzed with FACSCantoII or Fortessa with FACS Diva software (BD Biosciences). Antibodies were purchased from BD or eBioscience.

	BMP4	CHIR	VEGF	bFGF	SCF	Flt3L	ТРО	IL6
		930						
Day 0	5ng/mL	ng/mL	50ng/mL					
Day 1	5ng/mL		50ng/mL	20ng/mL				
Day 4			15ng/mL	5ng/mL				
Day 6			50ng/mL	50ng/mL	50ng/mL	5ng/mL		
Day 7			50ng/mL	50ng/mL	50ng/mL	5ng/mL	50ng/mL	10ng/mL
Day 8			50ng/mL	50ng/mL	50ng/mL	5ng/mL	50ng/mL	10ng/mL
Day 11			50ng/mL	50ng/mL	50ng/mL	5ng/mL	50ng/mL	10ng/mL
Day 13			50ng/mL	50ng/mL	50ng/mL	5ng/mL	50ng/mL	10ng/mL
Day 15			50ng/mL	50ng/mL	50ng/mL	5ng/mL	50ng/mL	10ng/mL
Day 18			50ng/mL	50ng/mL	50ng/mL	5ng/mL	50ng/mL	10ng/mL

Table	4
-------	---

3.12 Methylcellulose culture

To test self-renewal properties CD43+ hematopoietic cells derived from iPSC differentiation were sorted with Fortessa and cultured in methylcellulose (H4230, StemCell Technologies) enriched with Flt3L 10ng/ul, G-CSF 20ng/ul, IL3 10ng/ul, IL6 10ng/ul, SCF 25ng/ul, TPO 10ng/ul, GM-CSF 10ng/ul. All cytokines used were purchased from Peprotech, UK. Cells were replated every 7 days for 4 weeks.

3.13 Culture of hematopoietic progenitors in liquid culture and treatment with GANT61

To test the proliferation properties of hematopoietic progenitors we cultured the CD43+ sorted cells with and without CBFA2T3-GLIS2 in SP34 (Thermofisher) enriched with Flt3L 10ng/ul, G-CSF 20ng/ul, IL3 10ng/ul, IL6 10ng/ul, SCF 25ng/ul, TPO 10ng/ul, GM-CSF 10ng/ul. After 2 days and 10 days we counted the cells number using trypan Blue method. To the test the sensitivity of hematopoietic cells with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene we treated the cells with three concentrations of GANT61: 8uM, 4uM and 2uM. After 48h we counted the abosolute number of the cells.

3.14 DNA/RNA extraction and RT-PCR.

DNA and RNA were isolated using the RNeasy Mini and All prep DNA/RNA Micro Kit (Qiagen) and quantified by NanoDrop (ThermoScientific). Reverse transcription was done with SuperScript II from Invitrogen. Q-PCR for *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* was performed using SYBR Select master mix (Applied Biosystems) on 7500HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) following manufacturer's recommendations. All Primers used were reported in Table 3 . The GATA3 and ERG expression was tested with TaqMan probe (AppliedByiosystem).GATA3: **Hs00231122**, ERG: **Hs01554629**.

4. Results

4.1 CBFA2T3-GLIS2-positive cells are sensitive to GLI1/2 inhibitor

To test the effect of GANT61 on cell proliferation, we treated two AML cell lines positive for *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion with increasing concentration of GANT61 for 72h, and, then, we assessed the viability through WST1 assay. Both cell lines showed a marked sensitivity to the inhibitor with a strong reduction of cell proliferation and IC50 ranging between 10 μ M and 15 μ M (Fig. 6A). In contrast, GANT61 had lower effect on AML cell lines that do not express the *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion, suggesting a specific effect of this inhibitor on the chimeric transcription factor. Similar results were obtained on primary leukemia cells isolated from AML patients (Fig.6B), where the IC50 of GLIS2-positive leukemia cells is 13.63 μ M and that of other primary cells is 41.59 μ M.



Figure 6. A) IC50 of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* and negative cell lines 72h after GANT61 exposure, **B** Dose-response curves after 72h of GANT61 treatment of primary cells derived from patients with acute myeloid leukemia either positive or negative for *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene . *= p value < 0.05

In order to further investigate the mechanism of action of the GANT61, we evaluated the induction of apoptosis in AML cell lines after 24h of treatment. The cytometric analysis indicated that the GANT61 efficiently induced apoptosis in the *CBFA2T3-GLIS2*-positive cells with an increase of about 30% of cells positive to Annexin V in comparison to the untreated cells (Fig. 7). On the other hand, the HL60 cell line, which lacks CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion gene, did not show any significant increase of Annexin V positive cells (Fig. 7).



Figure 7. Flow cytometric analysis of Annexin V-FITC/PI stained AML cell lines treated for 24 h with 20 μ M of GANT61. The percentages of early apoptotic cells (Annexin-V FITC⁺/PI⁻, lower right quadrant) and late apoptotic/necrotic cells (Annexin-V FITC⁺/PI⁺, upper right quadrant) are indicated. NT: sample treated with vehicle alone (DMSO).

Moreover, cell cycle analysis showed an increasing number of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2*-positive cells (WSU-AML and M07e) in G0/G1 phase, and a decrease number of the cells in S and G2/M phases (Fig. 8).



Figure 8. Cell cycle analysis. Flow cytometric analysis of PI-stained AML cell lines positive to CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion gene after 48 h of treatment with 20 μ M GANT61. NT: sample treated with vehicle alone (DMSO).

4.3 GANT61 downregulates expression of GLIS2 protein

Since in the classic Hedgehog signaling pathway several target genes involved in feedback mechanisms (HHIP, PTCH1, GLI1) have been described, we hypothesized that *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* chimeric protein could regulate wild-type GLIS2 protein with the same feedback mechanism. Thus, we assessed the effect of GANT61 on the expression of GLIS2 protein in two cell lines (M07e, WSU-AML) using GLIS2 antibody. Western blotting analysis confirmed the presence of chimeric protein, but, unexpectedly, showed also the presence of wild-type GLIS2. These results may indicate the role of the fusion protein in regulating wild-type GLIS2. Following treatment, the expression of both proteins were decreased with respect to untreated samples, suggesting that the GANT61 treatment targeted not only *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion protein, but also wild-type GLIS2 expression (Fig. 9).



Figure 9. Western blot analysis showing the decrease of GLIS2 protein and CBFA2T3-GLIS chimeric protein in samples treated with 20 μ M Gant61. Thirty micrograms of protein were blotted to each lane. Antibody to β -actin served as a loading control. Molecular weights are indicated at right. The Relative Induction (Rel Ind) is the amount of protein present in treated samples relative to untreated cells after normalizing to β -Actin density. NT, untreated cells. One representative of three independent experiments is shown.

The known targets of GANT61 are GLI1 and GLI2 proteins, the final effectors of Hedgehog classical pathway. Therefore, as shown in figure 10 GLI1/2 proteins were expressed at low level in cell lines with GLIS2 translocation (M07e and WSU-AML) and the treatment with GANT61 did not affect their expression (Fig. 10).



Figure 10. Western blotting analysis of GLI1/2 protein in cell lines positive and negative for GLIS2 fusion gene treated for 48h with 20 μ M GANT61.

4.5 Treatment with GANT61 downregulates expression of HH and BMP signaling target genes

Since the expression of CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion has been reported to be associated with upregulation of both HH and BMP signaling, as well as with an increase of expression of target genes of these pathways, such as BMP2 and GLIS2, we performed a qPCR analysis to assess the molecular effects of GANT61. As shown in figure 11A, treatment with GANT61 in WSU-AML and M07e cell lines, as well as in primary leukemia cells from the patient harboring the CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion (AMKL1), led to a significant reduction of the expression of BMP2 and GLIS2. In order to fully characterize the effect of GANT61 treatment on whole transcriptome profile of CBFA2T3-GLIS2-positive cells, gene expression was assessed by microarray analysis. The expression profile confirmed the overexpression of GLIS2 and the activation of major Hedgehog mediators in untreated cell lines and primary leukemia cells expressing CBFA2T3-GLIS2. Moreover, following GANT61 treatment, the expression of target genes of CBFA2T3-GLIS2, such as CRISP3, GATA3, H2AFY or NCAM1 (CD56) was significantly downregulated (p<0.05) (Fig. 11B). Besides demonstrating the inhibition of GLIS2 pathway, we were able to identify additional genes that were downregulated by the treatment with GANT61 (p<0.05) (Fig. 11B). Among them, genes involved in cell proliferation (KIF14, MELK, MCM10, NUF2), regulation of cell cycle (CCNA2, CDKN3, CDC7, PRC1), genomic stability (BRCA1, BRCA2), and epigenetic regulators namely DNMT1, DNMT3B were present.



Figure 11 A) Quantitative PCR of selected mediators of GLIS2 pathway after 48h treatment of GANT61. *= p value < 0.05 **= p value < 0.01 ***= p value < 0.001. B) Hierarchical clustering of genes differentially expressed between untreated and treated AML leukemia blast cells and cell lines, with a p value<0.05

4.6 CBFA2T3-GLIS2 chimeric protein regulates DNMT1 and DNMT3B expression

Gene expression analysis revealed downregulation of genes involved in epigenetic regulation, such as DNMT1 and DNMT3B. To assess if these genes could be direct transcriptional targets of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2*, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis using a CBFA2T3-specific antibody on WSU-AML and M07e cell lines. Quantitative PCR was then performed on immune-precipitated DNA using several primer pairs located in the proximal NCAM1, DNMT1 and DNMT3B promoter. Specific around fivefold enrichment was observed at the proximal promoter of these genes in WSU-AML and M07e cell lines expressing the CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion gene. These data suggest that the CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion protein directly binds to the proximal promoter of DNA methyltransferase genes, regulating positively their expression (Fig. 12).



Figure 12. ChIP analysis, performed on WSU-AML cell line has shown around five-fold enrichment of chimeric protein on *DNMT1*, *DNMT3B* and *NCAM1* promoter. *= p value < 0.05 **= p value < 0.01 ***= p value < 0.001.

4.7 iPSC engineered with CBFA2T3-GLIS2 maintain the pluripotent properties

To study the biological effect of the *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene in megakaryocytic cells we set up an induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) model.

To this aim we used an iPSC derived from healtoy donor engineered with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene in AAVS1 locus. First of all *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* cDNA tagged with the green fluorescent protein (GFP) driven by human CD43 promoter was cloned in *AAVS1 SA-2A-puro-pA* donor and the fusion gene was introduced in AAVS1 locus through Zing finger mediated recombination (Fig 13).



Figure 13. Gene editing strategy. The constitutively active AAVS1 "safe harbor" locus is shown on the top line and the targeting construct is shown below. cDNA expression cassettes driving expression of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* cDNA tagged with GFP under the CD43 promoter were inserted by zinc finger-mediated homologous recombination into intron 1 of AAVS1. HA, homologous arms left (L) and right (R); SA-2APuro-PA, puromycin drug resistance cassette.

After Puromycin selection we identified 23 clones with homozygous integration.

From iPSC wild-type and iPSC from clone 10 we induced Embryoid bodies development and spontaneous differentiation into the three embryonic layers. The embryoid bodies were maintained in culture and RNA was extracted after 17 days and 24 days to test expression of the three embryonic layers markers. The three embryonic layers were present in embryoid bodies as shown by expression of NeuroD1 for the neuroectoderm layer, Hand1 for mesoderm and GATA4 for endoderm (Figure 14). Moreover the expression of NANOG and Oct4, two markers of the pluripotent properties, decreased (Figure 14). These results demonstrated that iPSCs engineered with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* mantain pluripotent properties.



Figure 14. Expression of genes specific for the three embryonic layers at day 17 (A) and at day 24 (B) after spontaneous differentiation of embryon body.

4.8 Expression of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* in human hematopoietic cells obtained from iPSC recapitulates several molecular features of human pediatric AMKL

Three independent iPSC clones were amplified and subsequently differentiated into hematopoietic progenitors and then into megakaryocytes using a 2D co-culture system on matrix and compared to control iPSC. At day 18 of differentiation we collected the sovranatant containing hematologic progenitors and we extracted RNA to check in qPCR *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* expression and several recently characterized direct targets of the fusion: ERG and GATA3¹¹⁴.

CBFA2T3-GLIS2 was expressed in hematologic lineage in all clones tested, at the mRNA level (Figure 15A). The fusion protein was also expressed as shown by expression of GFP in fluorescence microscopy (Figure. 15B). Furthermore the expression of the genes ERG and GATA3 were increased in *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* clones (Figure 15C, 15D).

These results demonstrated that iPSC engineered with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene reproduce the expression pattern of this leukemia subtype.



Figure 15. Expression pathway of hematopoietic cells derived from iPSC differentiation. (A) *CBFA2T3-GLIS2*, (B) expression of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* protein tagged with GFP, (C) ERG, (D) GATA3. Statistical significance is indicated as p values **p<0.01.*p<0.05.

4.9 CBFA2T3-GLIS2 induces aberrant differentiation in megakaryocytic cells

Hematopoietic differentiation of iPSC was then assessed at day 13, 15 and 18 using flow cytometry analysis for progenitors (CD34 and CD43) and megakaryocytic markers (CD41, CD42 and CD61). The CD43+ hematopoietic population in *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* cells was composed of a higher percentage of CD41+CD42+ megakaryocytic cells than CTRL cells. Therefore we observed some strong different alterations in different population expressing low level of CD41 and CD42 never detected in the control conditions. Furthermore the integrin CD61, normally associated with CD41 in a calcium-dependent heterodimeric complex, had lower expression on *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* megakaryocytic cells compared to controls at day 15 (Figure 16 D, E) and at day 18 (Figure 16 F, G). The low expression of CD61 was more evident in the abnormal population CD41^{low}CD42^{low} in comparison with CTRL megakaryocytic cells (Figure 16E, 16G).

Together these data indicated that *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* enhances development of aberrant human megakaryocytic deregulating expression of markers CD41, CD42 and CD61.





Н



Figure 16. A) Flow cytometry analysis of megakaryocytic markers (CD41,CD42, CD61) markers in CTRL and clone expressing *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene at day 13, 15 (B,D,E) and 18 (C,F,G).

(D,E) Expression of CD61 at day 15 gated respectively in CD41+CD42+ and in CD41^{low}CD42^{low} population.

(F,G) Expression of CD61 at day 18 gated respectively in CD41+CD42+ and in CD41^{low}CD42^{low} population.

(H) Percent number of different populations detected in flow cytometry analysis in hematopoietic cells derived from iPSC differentiation.

Statistical significance is indicated as *p*-value (Student's t-test): **p<0.01. *p<0.05.

4.10 *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* enhances proliferation and self-renewal of progenitors hematopoietic cells

To test the capacity of self-renewal of progenitor hematopoietic cells with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* we sorted the hematopoietic cells CD43+ at day 15 of differentiation and we cultured them in methylcellulose as well as in liquide culture enriched with specific hematopoietic cytokines. For methylcellulose we counted the colonies and replated the cells every seven days (Figure 17A). As early as at day 7 there were more colonies in *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* cells than hematopoietic cells from CTRL (Figure 17B). Moreover the morphology of colonies in *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* was more dense in number than CTRL probably due to the high proliferation induced by fusion gene (Figure 17C).

In the second replate, after 7 days the CTRL lost the capacity to make colonies while *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* cells maintained proliferation properties until forth passage (Figure 17B).



Figure 17. (A) Experimental design to test the self-renewal capacity in methylcellulose experiments (B) Ratio of colonies number upon serial replating of CTRL and *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* hematopoietic cells as means \pm SEM (duplicate performed in 2 independent experiments). Cells were replated every 7 days for 4 weeks. (C) Morphology of colonies between P1 and P2. P1; passage 1; P2 passage 2; P3 passage 3.

As the methylcellulose culture we tested megakaryocytic markers and proliferation properties also in liquid cultured. To this aim we maintained CD43+ hematopoietic cells in culture for 4 days and 10 days. As shown in figure 18 we confirmed the presence of the aberrant megakaryocytic population with low expression of CD41 and CD42 markers (Figure 18A). Moreover the proliferation of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* hematopoietic cells was clearly higher than hematopoietic CTRL cells (Figure 18 B, C). Furthermore preliminary morphology studies demonstrated that in liquid culture only the CTRL cells were induced to acquire pro-platelet morphology as shown in Figure 18D (Figure 18D).

These results indicated that this fusion gene is sufficient to increase cell proliferation, self-renewal properties and block of platelets differentiation *in-vitro*.



Figure 18. (A) Expression of CD41 and CD42 in hematopoietic cells derived from iPSC differentiation (B) Ratio number of CD43+ hematopoietic cells after 4 days and (C)10 days of sorting . (D) Morphology analysis of CD43+ hematopoietic cells in the CTRL and in the (E) *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* cells . Statistical significance is indicated as p values (Student's t-test): *p<0.05.

4.11 The GANT61 inhibits the proliferation of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* hematopoietic cells derived from iPSC differentiation

To test the capacity of GANT61 to inhibit proliferation we treated hematopoietic cells with or without *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* in liquid culture. We exposed the hematopoietic cells at low concentration of GANT61. The number of cells after 48h of treatment revealed that GANT61 inhibited proliferation of hematopoietic cells with *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* expression. In particular at 2uM of GANT61 concentration the mortality of cells with fusion gene was around 50% in comparison with any effect in the CTRL (Figure 19).

This result suggests a possible effect of the GANT61 in this particular subgroup of AMKL.



Figure 19. Absolute number of CTRL and *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* hematopoietic cells treated with different concentrations of GANT61 for 48h. Statistical significance is indicated as p values (Student's t-test): *p<0.05.

5. Conclusion and Discussion

Despite improvements in patient risk stratification and definition of prognosis achieved through the identification of novel genetic and epigenetic alterations in pediatric AML, the majority of children with this disease are still treated with intensive multi-agent chemotherapy. Treatment resistance, occurrence of relapse, as well as short-term and long-term therapy-related side effects, highlight the need for new targeted therapies. Recently, the identification of the cryptic chromosomal inversion of chromosome 16, leading to the fusion between CBFA2T3 and GLIS2 genes, defined a novel subgroup of childhood AML with an adverse outcome ^{115, 116, 118}. Indeed, while several other groups of AML and subgroups of AMKL require cooperating mutations to induce fully leukemia, CBFA2T3-GLIS2 imposes leukemic properties by directly regulating ERG, GATA1, in a single oncogenic hit. This idea is also supported by the lower genetic burden of CBFA2T3-GLIS2 leukemic cells ¹¹⁸, and could also explain the dismal prognosis. More importantly, gene expression profiling of patients harboring this fusion gene demonstrated an upregulation of HH signaling ^{115,} ¹⁴³. Emerging evidences are supporting the role of *HH* pathway deregulation in the pathogenesis and chemo-sensitivity of AML and myelodysplastic syndrome ^{132, 144}. For this reason *HH* pathway inhibitors could be envisaged as agents able to potentiate the effect of traditional drugs and to restore chemo-sensitivity. GANT61 is a small molecule inhibiting DNA binding of GLI family proteins, and it is largely used in preclinical studies ^{132, 137}. In order to investigate the possible benefit of GANT61 in CBFA2T3-GLIS2-positive leukemia, we approached different in-vitro preclinical models. We demonstrated that CBFA2T3-GLIS2-postive cells were more sensitive to GANT61 than the cell lines without CBFA2T3-GLIS2. Moreover, GANT61 had a marked effect on cell proliferation and in inducing the apoptosis only on CBFA2T3-GLIS2-positive cells, suggesting that the effect of the drug derived from specific inhibition of the chimeric transcriptional factor CBFA2T3-GLIS2. Interestingly, similar results were obtained also in primary blast cells from a AMKL patient harboring CBFA2T3-GLIS2fusion gene.

To define the implication of GANT61 treatment on expression of *HH* signaling target genes, gene expression profiling and qPCR of specific genes have been performed on untreated and treated *CBFA2T3-GLIS2*-positive cells. The Expression of *CRISP3*, *GATA3*, *ERG* or *H2FAY*, known target genes of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* pathway, after the treatment with GANT61 was lower than in untreated cells, suggesting specific inhibition of GLIS2 transcription factor. Beside the inhibition of *HH* pathway, we were able to identify additional genes implied in cell proliferation, cell cycle regulation and DNA methylation that were significantly downregulated after treating the cells with GANT61. Of particular relevance, two genes involved in chromatin remodeling and DNA

methylation, *DNMT1* and *DNMT3B*, were expressed at very low levels after GANT61 treatment. *DNMT* genes encode for a crucial mediator of DNA methylation that catalyzes the transfer of methyl groups to DNA. In a variety of solid tumors and blood cancers, aberrant hypermethylation of CpG-rich regions in the promoters of tumor suppressor genes (TSGs) results in their transcriptional silencing ¹⁴⁵. *DNMT1* plays a role in leukemic stem cell (LSCs) biology. Conditional knockout of *DNMT1* blocks the development of leukemia, impairs LSCs self-renewal, reduces DNA methylation and TSGs re-expression without affecting normal hematopoiesis ¹⁴⁶. Recently it was reported that high expression of *DNMT3B* predicts adverse outcome in older CN-AML patients ¹⁴⁷. Furthermore, ChIP analysis demonstrated that *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* protein directly regulated expression of *DNMT3B*. This finding is particularly relevant, because overexpression of DNA methyltransferase genes could lead to DNA hypermethylation and could participate in the leukemogenesis process.

Although the tumor-derived cell lines are the most popular model used in preclinical studies, they may contain additional abnormalities due to their derivation, and thus may not properly represent patient's leukemic cells. Therefore in order to characterize the consequences of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* on early stages of human hematopoiesis and to test GANT61, we developed a model of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2 GLIS2* expression in induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) derived from healthy human CD34+ cells.

We demonstrated that the expression of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* in the hematopoietic cells derived from iPSC differentiation reproduced molecular features of human pediatric AMKL such as increasing expression of *GATA3* and *ERG* two known direct target of the fusion¹¹⁴. In addition, the cytofluorimetric analysis of megakaryocytic markers suggested that the expression *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* in hematopoietic progenitors enhanced the aberrant differentiation of megakaryocytic cells. Indeed, we observed differentiation alterations, including a lower expression of CD61 on *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* megakaryocytes compared to CTRL and a progressive accumulation of an abnormal CD41^{low}CD42^{low} population never detected in control conditions. Moreover, clonogenic assays in methylcellulose indicated that *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* enhances serial replating activity for several weeks. This result supports the idea that *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* enhances proliferation of hematopoietic cells and it is sufficient to induce full leukemia.

Finally the treatment of hematopoietic cells derived from iPSC differentiation expressing *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* demonstrated that GANT61 significantly inhibited the proliferation of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* cells compared to CTRL cells. Interestingly, GANT61 affected proliferation of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* in iPSC model at concentrations extremely lower compared to that used for AML cell lines (2 uM and 8-15 uM respectively). This difference could be due to the complex karyotype and

molecular background of AML cell lines. The efficacy at lower concentration than cell lines of GANT61 in the human high fidelity iPSC model supports its potential application in clinical practice.

Overall, these data support the idea that expression of *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* in human hematopoietic cells enhanced self-renewal of progenitors and aberrant differentiation of megakaryocytic cells. Although further studies in iPSC model will be required to confirm these results, our experience with GANT61 could represent a preliminary background for evaluating *in-vivo* inhibition of GLIS2–mediated transcription in AML harboring *CBFA2T3-GLIS2* fusion gene.

References

[1] de Rooij JD, Zwaan CM, van den Heuvel-Eibrink M. Pediatric AML: From Biology to Clinical Management. J Clin Med. 2015;4:127-49.

[2] Seif AE. Pediatric leukemia predisposition syndromes: clues to understanding leukemogenesis. Cancer Genet. 2011;204:227-44.

[3] Tönnies H, Huber S, Kuhl JS, Gerlach A, Ebell W, Neitzel H. Clonal chromosomal aberrations in bone marrow cells of Fanconi anemia patients: gains of the chromosomal segment 3q26q29 as an adverse risk factor. Blood. 2003;101:3872-4.

[4] Hahn CN, Chong CE, Carmichael CL, Wilkins EJ, Brautigan PJ, Li XC, et al. Heritable GATA2 mutations associated with familial myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukemia. Nat Genet. 2011;43:1012-7.

[5] Link DC, Schuettpelz LG, Shen D, Wang J, Walter MJ, Kulkarni S, et al. Identification of a novel TP53 cancer susceptibility mutation through whole-genome sequencing of a patient with therapy-related AML. JAMA. 2011;305:1568-76.

[6] Owen C, Barnett M, Fitzgibbon J. Familial myelodysplasia and acute myeloid leukaemia--a review. Br J Haematol. 2008;140:123-32.

[7] Smith ML, Cavenagh JD, Lister TA, Fitzgibbon J. Mutation of CEBPA in familial acute myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2004;351:2403-7.

[8] Song WJ, Sullivan MG, Legare RD, Hutchings S, Tan X, Kufrin D, et al. Haploinsufficiency of CBFA2 causes familial thrombocytopenia with propensity to develop acute myelogenous leukaemia. Nat Genet. 1999;23:166-75.

[9] Sandler ES, Friedman DJ, Mustafa MM, Winick NJ, Bowman WP, Buchanan GR. Treatment of children with epipodophyllotoxin-induced secondary acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer. 1997;79:1049-54.

[10] Weiss B, Vora A, Huberty J, Hawkins RA, Matthay KK. Secondary myelodysplastic syndrome and leukemia following 131I-metaiodobenzylguanidine therapy for relapsed neuroblastoma. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2003;25:543-7.

[11] Pui CH, Carroll WL, Meshinchi S, Arceci RJ. Biology, risk stratification, and therapy of pediatric acute leukemias: an update. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29:551-65.

[12] Creutzig U, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Gibson B, Dworzak MN, Adachi S, de Bont E, et al. Diagnosis and management of acute myeloid leukemia in children and adolescents: recommendations from an international expert panel. Blood. 2012;120:3187-205.

[13] Rubnitz JE, Inaba H. Childhood acute myeloid leukaemia. Br J Haematol. 2012;159:259-76.

[14] Masetti R, Vendemini F, Zama D, Biagi C, Pession A, Locatelli F. Acute myeloid leukemia in infants: biology and treatment. Front Pediatr. 2015;3:37.

[15] Bennett JM, Catovsky D, Daniel MT, Flandrin G, Galton DA, Gralnick HR, et al. Proposed revised criteria for the classification of acute myeloid leukemia. A report of the French-American-British Cooperative Group. Ann Intern Med. 1985;103:620-5.

[16] Vardiman JW, Thiele J, Arber DA, Brunning RD, Borowitz MJ, Porwit A, et al. The 2008 revision of the World Health Organization (WHO) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia: rationale and important changes. Blood. 2009;114:937-51.

[17] Sander A, Zimmermann M, Dworzak M, Fleischhack G, von Neuhoff C, Reinhardt D, et al. Consequent and intensified relapse therapy improved survival in pediatric AML: results of relapse treatment in 379 patients of three consecutive AML-BFM trials. Leukemia. 2010;24:1422-8.

[18] Kaspers GJ, Zimmermann M, Reinhardt D, Gibson BE, Tamminga RY, Aleinikova O, et al. Improved outcome in pediatric relapsed acute myeloid leukemia: results of a randomized trial on liposomal daunorubicin by the International BFM Study Group. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31:599-607.

[19] Slats AM, Egeler RM, van der Does-van den Berg A, Korbijn C, Hählen K, Kamps WA, et al. Causes of death--other than progressive leukemia--in childhood acute lymphoblastic (ALL) and myeloid leukemia (AML): the Dutch Childhood Oncology Group experience. Leukemia. 2005;19:537-44.

[20] Kelly LM, Gilliland DG. Genetics of myeloid leukemias. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2002;3:179-98.

[21] Gilliland DG, Jordan CT, Felix CA. The molecular basis of leukemia. Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program. 2004:80-97.

[22] Ahmed M, Sternberg A, Hall G, Thomas A, Smith O, O'Marcaigh A, et al. Natural history of GATA1 mutations in Down syndrome. Blood. 2004;103:2480-9.

[23] Hollink IH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Arentsen-Peters ST, Zimmermann M, Peeters JK, Valk PJ, et al. Characterization of CEBPA mutations and promoter hypermethylation in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica. 2011;96:384-92.

[24] Hollink IH, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Zimmermann M, Balgobind BV, Arentsen-Peters ST, Alders M, et al. Clinical relevance of Wilms tumor 1 gene mutations in childhood acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2009;113:5951-60.

[25] Balgobind BV, Hollink IH, Arentsen-Peters ST, Zimmermann M, Harbott J, Beverloo HB, et al. Integrative analysis of type-I and type-II aberrations underscores the genetic heterogeneity of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica. 2011;96:1478-87.

[26] Betts DR, Ammann RA, Hirt A, Hengartner H, Beck-Popovic M, Kuhne T, et al. The prognostic significance of cytogenetic aberrations in childhood acute myeloid leukaemia. A study of the Swiss Paediatric Oncology Group (SPOG). Eur J Haematol. 2007;78:468-76.

[27] Gilliland DG, Griffin JD. The roles of FLT3 in hematopoiesis and leukemia. Blood. 2002;100:1532-42.

[28] Birg F, Courcoul M, Rosnet O, Bardin F, Pébusque MJ, Marchetto S, et al. Expression of the FMS/KIT-

like gene FLT3 in human acute leukemias of the myeloid and lymphoid lineages. Blood. 1992;80:2584-93.

[29] Carow CE, Levenstein M, Kaufmann SH, Chen J, Amin S, Rockwell P, et al. Expression of the hematopoietic growth factor receptor FLT3 (STK-1/Flk2) in human leukemias. Blood. 1996;87:1089-96.

[30] Meshinchi S, Appelbaum FR. Structural and functional alterations of FLT3 in acute myeloid leukemia. Clin Cancer Res. 2009;15:4263-9.

[31] Manara E, Basso G, Zampini M, Buldini B, Tregnago C, Rondelli R, et al. Characterization of children with FLT3-ITD acute myeloid leukemia: a report from the AIEOP AML-2002 study group. Leukemia. 2017;31:18-25.

[32] Schnittger S, Schoch C, Dugas M, Kern W, Staib P, Wuchter C, et al. Analysis of FLT3 length mutations in 1003 patients with acute myeloid leukemia: correlation to cytogenetics, FAB subtype, and prognosis in the AMLCG study and usefulness as a marker for the detection of minimal residual disease. Blood. 2002;100:59-66.

[33] Thiede C, Steudel C, Mohr B, Schaich M, Schäkel U, Platzbecker U, et al. Analysis of FLT3-activating mutations in 979 patients with acute myelogenous leukemia: association with FAB subtypes and identification of subgroups with poor prognosis. Blood. 2002;99:4326-35.

[34] Takahashi S. Downstream molecular pathways of FLT3 in the pathogenesis of acute myeloid leukemia: biology and therapeutic implications. J Hematol Oncol. 2011;4:13.

[35] Peschel I, Podmirseg SR, Taschler M, Duyster J, Götze KS, Sill H, et al. FLT3 and FLT3-ITD phosphorylate and inactivate the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27(Kip1) in acute myeloid leukemia. Haematologica. 2017;102:1378-89.

[36] Uras IZ, Bellutti F, Sexl V. p27 in FLT3-driven acute myeloid leukemia: many roads lead to ruin. Haematologica. 2017;102:1299-301.

[37] Abbaspour Babaei M, Kamalidehghan B, Saleem M, Huri HZ, Ahmadipour F. Receptor tyrosine kinase (c-Kit) inhibitors: a potential therapeutic target in cancer cells. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2016;10:2443-59.

[38] Gao X, Lin J, Gao L, Deng A, Lu X, Li Y, et al. High expression of c-kit mRNA predicts unfavorable outcome in adult patients with t(8;21) acute myeloid leukemia. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0124241.

[39] Ikeda H, Kanakura Y, Tamaki T, Kuriu A, Kitayama H, Ishikawa J, et al. Expression and functional role of the proto-oncogene c-kit in acute myeloblastic leukemia cells. Blood. 1991;78:2962-8.

[40] Ayatollahi H, Shajiei A, Sadeghian MH, Sheikhi M, Yazdandoust E, Ghazanfarpour M, et al. Prognostic Importance of C-KIT Mutations in Core Binding Factor Acute Myeloid Leukemia: A Systematic Review. Hematol Oncol Stem Cell Ther. 2017;10:1-7.

[41] Heo SK, Noh EK, Kim JY, Jeong YK, Jo JC, Choi Y, et al. Targeting c-KIT (CD117) by dasatinib and radotinib promotes acute myeloid leukemia cell death. Sci Rep. 2017;7:15278.

[42] Alvarado Y, Giles FJ. Ras as a therapeutic target in hematologic malignancies. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs. 2007;12:271-84.

[43] Le DT, Shannon KM. Ras processing as a therapeutic target in hematologic malignancies. Curr Opin Hematol. 2002;9:308-15.

[44] Braun BS, Shannon K. Targeting Ras in myeloid leukemias. Clin Cancer Res. 2008;14:2249-52.

[45] Zhou JD, Yao DM, Li XX, Zhang TJ, Zhang W, Ma JC, et al. KRAS overexpression independent of RAS mutations confers an adverse prognosis in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. Oncotarget. 2017;8:66087-97.

[46] Bowen DT, Frew ME, Hills R, Gale RE, Wheatley K, Groves MJ, et al. RAS mutation in acute myeloid leukemia is associated with distinct cytogenetic subgroups but does not influence outcome in patients younger than 60 years. Blood. 2005;106:2113-9.

[47] Hou HA, Chou WC, Lin LI, Chen CY, Tang JL, Tseng MH, et al. Characterization of acute myeloid leukemia with PTPN11 mutation: the mutation is closely associated with NPM1 mutation but inversely related to FLT3/ITD. Leukemia. 2008;22:1075-8.

[48] Tartaglia M, Mehler EL, Goldberg R, Zampino G, Brunner HG, Kremer H, et al. Mutations in PTPN11, encoding the protein tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2, cause Noonan syndrome. Nat Genet. 2001;29:465-8.

[49] Xu D, Liu X, Yu WM, Meyerson HJ, Guo C, Gerson SL, et al. Non-lineage/stage-restricted effects of a gain-of-function mutation in tyrosine phosphatase Ptpn11 (Shp2) on malignant transformation of hematopoietic cells. J Exp Med. 2011;208:1977-88.

[50] Tartaglia M, Martinelli S, Stella L, Bocchinfuso G, Flex E, Cordeddu V, et al. Diversity and functional consequences of germline and somatic PTPN11 mutations in human disease. Am J Hum Genet. 2006;78:279-90.

[51] Tartaglia M, Niemeyer CM, Shannon KM, Loh ML. SHP-2 and myeloid malignancies. Curr Opin Hematol. 2004;11:44-50.

[52] Tartaglia M, Martinelli S, Iavarone I, Cazzaniga G, Spinelli M, Giarin E, et al. Somatic PTPN11 mutations in childhood acute myeloid leukaemia. Br J Haematol. 2005;129:333-9.

[53] Loh ML, Reynolds MG, Vattikuti S, Gerbing RB, Alonzo TA, Carlson E, et al. PTPN11 mutations in pediatric patients with acute myeloid leukemia: results from the Children's Cancer Group. Leukemia. 2004;18:1831-4.

[54] Dahl NA, Michaels ST, McMasters RL, Chandra S, O'Brien MM. Azacitidine and Sorafenib Therapy in a Pediatric Patient With Refractory Acute Myeloid Leukemia With Monosomy 7 and Somatic PTPN11 Mutation. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2016;63:551-3.

[55] Rau R, Brown P. Nucleophosmin (NPM1) mutations in adult and childhood acute myeloid leukaemia: towards definition of a new leukaemia entity. Hematol Oncol. 2009;27:171-81.

[56] Paschka P, Schlenk RF, Gaidzik VI, Habdank M, Krönke J, Bullinger L, et al. IDH1 and IDH2 mutations are frequent genetic alterations in acute myeloid leukemia and confer adverse prognosis in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia with NPM1 mutation without FLT3 internal tandem duplication. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:3636-43.

[57] Marcucci G, Metzeler KH, Schwind S, Becker H, Maharry K, Mrózek K, et al. Age-related prognostic impact of different types of DNMT3A mutations in adults with primary cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:742-50.

[58] Medinger M, Passweg JR. Acute myeloid leukaemia genomics. Br J Haematol. 2017.

48

[59] Schindler C, Levy DE, Decker T. JAK-STAT signaling: from interferons to cytokines. J Biol Chem. 2007;282:20059-63.

[60] Boerner JL, Gibson MA, Fox EM, Posner ED, Parsons SJ, Silva CM, et al. Estrogen negatively regulates epidermal growth factor (EGF)-mediated signal transducer and activator of transcription 5 signaling in human EGF family receptor-overexpressing breast cancer cells. Mol Endocrinol. 2005;19:2660-70.

[61] Heuser M, Park G, Moon Y, Berg T, Xiang P, Kuchenbauer F, et al. Extrinsic signals determine myeloid-erythroid lineage switch in MN1 leukemia. Exp Hematol. 2010;38:174-9.

[62] Hedvat M, Huszar D, Herrmann A, Gozgit JM, Schroeder A, Sheehy A, et al. The JAK2 inhibitor AZD1480 potently blocks Stat3 signaling and oncogenesis in solid tumors. Cancer Cell. 2009;16:487-97.

[63] Kralovics R, Passamonti F, Buser AS, Teo SS, Tiedt R, Passweg JR, et al. A gain-of-function mutation of JAK2 in myeloproliferative disorders. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:1779-90.

[64] Fröhling S, Scholl C, Levine RL, Loriaux M, Boggon TJ, Bernard OA, et al. Identification of driver and passenger mutations of FLT3 by high-throughput DNA sequence analysis and functional assessment of candidate alleles. Cancer Cell. 2007;12:501-13.

[65] Cook AM, Li L, Ho Y, Lin A, Stein A, Forman S, et al. Role of altered growth factor receptor-mediated JAK2 signaling in growth and maintenance of human acute myeloid leukemia stem cells. Blood. 2014;123:2826-37.

[66] Grimwade D. The clinical significance of cytogenetic abnormalities in acute myeloid leukaemia. Best Pract Res Clin Haematol. 2001;14:497-529.

[67] Harrison CJ, Hills RK, Moorman AV, Grimwade DJ, Hann I, Webb DK, et al. Cytogenetics of childhood acute myeloid leukemia: United Kingdom Medical Research Council Treatment trials AML 10 and 12. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:2674-81.

[68] von Neuhoff C, Reinhardt D, Sander A, Zimmermann M, Bradtke J, Betts DR, et al. Prognostic impact of specific chromosomal aberrations in a large group of pediatric patients with acute myeloid leukemia treated uniformly according to trial AML-BFM 98. J Clin Oncol. 2010;28:2682-9.

[69] Pession A, Masetti R, Rizzari C, Putti MC, Casale F, Fagioli F, et al. Results of the AIEOP AML 2002/01 multicenter prospective trial for the treatment of children with acute myeloid leukemia. Blood. 2013;122:170-8.

[70] Downing JR. The AML1-ETO chimaeric transcription factor in acute myeloid leukaemia: biology and clinical significance. Br J Haematol. 1999;106:296-308.

[71] Castilla LH, Garrett L, Adya N, Orlic D, Dutra A, Anderson S, et al. The fusion gene Cbfb-MYH11 blocks myeloid differentiation and predisposes mice to acute myelomonocytic leukaemia. Nat Genet. 1999;23:144-6.

[72] Higuchi M, O'Brien D, Kumaravelu P, Lenny N, Yeoh EJ, Downing JR. Expression of a conditional AML1-ETO oncogene bypasses embryonic lethality and establishes a murine model of human t(8;21) acute myeloid leukemia. Cancer Cell. 2002;1:63-74.

[73] Yuan Y, Zhou L, Miyamoto T, Iwasaki H, Harakawa N, Hetherington CJ, et al. AML1-ETO expression is directly involved in the development of acute myeloid leukemia in the presence of additional mutations. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98:10398-403.

[74] Zuber J, Radtke I, Pardee TS, Zhao Z, Rappaport AR, Luo W, et al. Mouse models of human AML accurately predict chemotherapy response. Genes Dev. 2009;23:877-89.

[75] Kawashima N, Shimada A, Taketani T, Hayashi Y, Yoshida N, Matsumoto K, et al. Childhood acute myeloid leukemia with bone marrow eosinophilia caused by t(16;21)(q24;q22). Int J Hematol. 2012;95:577-80.

[76] Manola KN. Cytogenetics of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. Eur J Haematol. 2009;83:391-405.

[77] Mrózek K, Marcucci G, Paschka P, Whitman SP, Bloomfield CD. Clinical relevance of mutations and gene-expression changes in adult acute myeloid leukemia with normal cytogenetics: are we ready for a prognostically prioritized molecular classification? Blood. 2007;109:431-48.

[78] Döhner H, Weisdorf DJ, Bloomfield CD. Acute Myeloid Leukemia. N Engl J Med. 2015;373:1136-52.

[79] Koschmieder S, Halmos B, Levantini E, Tenen DG. Dysregulation of the C/EBPalpha differentiation pathway in human cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2009;27:619-28.

[80] Fasan A, Haferlach C, Alpermann T, Jeromin S, Grossmann V, Eder C, et al. The role of different genetic subtypes of CEBPA mutated AML. Leukemia. 2014;28:794-803.

[81] Bullinger L, Döhner K, Döhner H. Genomics of Acute Myeloid Leukemia Diagnosis and Pathways. J Clin Oncol. 2017;35:934-46.

[82] Wouters BJ, Löwenberg B, Erpelinck-Verschueren CA, van Putten WL, Valk PJ, Delwel R. Double CEBPA mutations, but not single CEBPA mutations, define a subgroup of acute myeloid leukemia with a distinctive gene expression profile that is uniquely associated with a favorable outcome. Blood. 2009;113:3088-91.

[83] Mendler JH, Maharry K, Radmacher MD, Mrózek K, Becker H, Metzeler KH, et al. RUNX1 mutations are associated with poor outcome in younger and older patients with cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia and with distinct gene and MicroRNA expression signatures. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30:3109-18.

[84] Masetti R, Castelli I, Astolfi A, Bertuccio SN, Indio V, Togni M, et al. Genomic complexity and dynamics of clonal evolution in childhood acute myeloid leukemia studied with whole-exome sequencing. Oncotarget. 2016;7:56746-57.

[85] Testa U, Lo-Coco F. Targeting of leukemia-initiating cells in acute promyelocytic leukemia. Stem Cell Investig. 2015;2:8.

[86] Winters AC, Bernt KM. MLL-Rearranged Leukemias-An Update on Science and Clinical Approaches. Front Pediatr. 2017;5:4.

[87] Ayton PM, Cleary ML. Molecular mechanisms of leukemogenesis mediated by MLL fusion proteins. Oncogene. 2001;20:5695-707.

[88] Drabkin HA, Parsy C, Ferguson K, Guilhot F, Lacotte L, Roy L, et al. Quantitative HOX expression in chromosomally defined subsets of acute myelogenous leukemia. Leukemia. 2002;16:186-95.

[89] Ayton PM, Cleary ML. Transformation of myeloid progenitors by MLL oncoproteins is dependent on Hoxa7 and Hoxa9. Genes Dev. 2003;17:2298-307.

[90] Filippakopoulos P, Qi J, Picaud S, Shen Y, Smith WB, Fedorov O, et al. Selective inhibition of BET bromodomains. Nature. 2010;468:1067-73.

[91] Desimmie BA, Humbert M, Lescrinier E, Hendrix J, Vets S, Gijsbers R, et al. Phage display-directed discovery of LEDGF/p75 binding cyclic peptide inhibitors of HIV replication. Mol Ther. 2012;20:2064-75.

[92] Shi A, Murai MJ, He S, Lund G, Hartley T, Purohit T, et al. Structural insights into inhibition of the bivalent menin-MLL interaction by small molecules in leukemia. Blood. 2012;120:4461-9.

[93] Daigle SR, Olhava EJ, Therkelsen CA, Basavapathruni A, Jin L, Boriack-Sjodin PA, et al. Potent inhibition of DOT1L as treatment of MLL-fusion leukemia. Blood. 2013;122:1017-25.

[94] Picaud S, Da Costa D, Thanasopoulou A, Filippakopoulos P, Fish PV, Philpott M, et al. PFI-1, a highly selective protein interaction inhibitor, targeting BET Bromodomains. Cancer Res. 2013;73:3336-46.

[95] Borkin D, He S, Miao H, Kempinska K, Pollock J, Chase J, et al. Pharmacologic inhibition of the Menin-MLL interaction blocks progression of MLL leukemia in vivo. Cancer Cell. 2015;27:589-602.

[96] Steinhilber D, Marschalek R. How to effectively treat acute leukemia patients bearing MLLrearrangements ? Biochem Pharmacol. 2017.

[97] Lewis DS. Association between megakaryoblastic leukaemia and Down syndrome. Lancet. 1981;2:695.

[98] Hara Y, Shiba N, Ohki K, Tabuchi K, Yamato G, Park MJ, et al. Prognostic impact of specific molecular profiles in pediatric acute megakaryoblastic leukemia in non-Down syndrome. Genes Chromosomes Cancer. 2017;56:394-404.

[99] Sait SN, Brecher ML, Green DM, Sandberg AA. Translocation t(1;22) in congenital acute megakaryocytic leukemia. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1988;34:277-80.

[100] Carroll A, Civin C, Schneider N, Dahl G, Pappo A, Bowman P, et al. The t(1;22) (p13;q13) is nonrandom and restricted to infants with acute megakaryoblastic leukemia: a Pediatric Oncology Group Study. Blood. 1991;78:748-52.

[101] Baruchel A, Daniel MT, Schaison G, Berger R. Nonrandom t(1;22)(p12-p13;q13) in acute megakaryocytic malignant proliferation. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 1991;54:239-43.

[102] Mercher T, Coniat MB, Monni R, Mauchauffe M, Nguyen Khac F, Gressin L, et al. Involvement of a human gene related to the Drosophila spen gene in the recurrent t(1;22) translocation of acute megakaryocytic leukemia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98:5776-9.

[103] Ma Z, Morris SW, Valentine V, Li M, Herbrick JA, Cui X, et al. Fusion of two novel genes, RBM15 and MKL1, in the t(1;22)(p13;q13) of acute megakaryoblastic leukemia. Nat Genet. 2001;28:220-1.

[104] Ma X, Renda MJ, Wang L, Cheng EC, Niu C, Morris SW, et al. Rbm15 modulates Notch-induced transcriptional activation and affects myeloid differentiation. Mol Cell Biol. 2007;27:3056-64.

[105] Butko E, Pouget C, Traver D. Complex regulation of HSC emergence by the Notch signaling pathway. Dev Biol. 2016;409:129-38.

[106] Kling JC, Blumenthal A. Roles of WNT, NOTCH, and Hedgehog signaling in the differentiation and function of innate and innate-like lymphocytes. J Leukoc Biol. 2017;101:827-40.

[107] Mercher T, Raffel GD, Moore SA, Cornejo MG, Baudry-Bluteau D, Cagnard N, et al. The OTT-MAL fusion oncogene activates RBPJ-mediated transcription and induces acute megakaryoblastic leukemia in a knockin mouse model. J Clin Invest. 2009;119:852-64.

[108] Weiss-Gayet M, Starck J, Chaabouni A, Chazaud B, Morlé F. Notch Stimulates Both Self-Renewal and Lineage Plasticity in a Subset of Murine CD9High Committed Megakaryocytic Progenitors. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0153860.

[109] Tijssen MR, Ghevaert C. Transcription factors in late megakaryopoiesis and related platelet disorders.J Thromb Haemost. 2013;11:593-604.

[110] Song M, Fang F, Dai X, Yu L, Fang M, Xu Y. MKL1 is an epigenetic mediator of $TNF-\alpha$ -induced proinflammatory transcription in macrophages by interacting with ASH2. FEBS Lett. 2017;591:934-45.

[111] de Rooij JD, Branstetter C, Ma J, Li Y, Walsh MP, Cheng J, et al. Pediatric non-Down syndrome acute megakaryoblastic leukemia is characterized by distinct genomic subsets with varying outcomes. Nat Genet. 2017;49:451-6.

[112] Dastugue N, Lafage-Pochitaloff M, Pagès MP, Radford I, Bastard C, Talmant P, et al. Cytogenetic profile of childhood and adult megakaryoblastic leukemia (M7): a study of the Groupe Français de Cytogénétique Hématologique (GFCH). Blood. 2002;100:618-26.

[113] Lopez CK, Malinge S, Gaudry M, Bernard OA, Mercher T. Pediatric Acute Megakaryoblastic Leukemia: Multitasking Fusion Proteins and Oncogenic Cooperations. Trends Cancer. 2017;3:631-42.

[114] Thirant C, Ignacimouttou C, Lopez CK, Diop M, Le Mouël L, Thiollier C, et al. ETO2-GLIS2 Hijacks Transcriptional Complexes to Drive Cellular Identity and Self-Renewal in Pediatric Acute Megakaryoblastic Leukemia. Cancer Cell. 2017;31:452-65.

[115] Gruber TA, Larson Gedman A, Zhang J, Koss CS, Marada S, Ta HQ, et al. An Inv(16)(p13.3q24.3)encoded CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion protein defines an aggressive subtype of pediatric acute megakaryoblastic leukemia. Cancer Cell.22:683-97.

[116] Masetti R, Pigazzi M, Togni M, Astolfi A, Indio V, Manara E, et al. CBFA2T3-GLIS2 fusion transcript is a novel common feature in pediatric, cytogenetically normal AML, not restricted to FAB M7 subtype. Blood.121:3469-72.

[117] Thiollier C, Lopez CK, Gerby B, Ignacimouttou C, Poglio S, Duffourd Y, et al. Characterization of novel genomic alterations and therapeutic approaches using acute megakaryoblastic leukemia xenograft models. J Exp Med. 2012;209:2017-31.

[118] de Rooij JD, Masetti R, van den Heuvel-Eibrink MM, Cayuela JM, Trka J, Reinhardt D, et al. Recurrent abnormalities can be used for risk group stratification in pediatric AMKL: a retrospective intergroup study. Blood.127:3424-30.

[119] Fischer MA, Moreno-Miralles I, Hunt A, Chyla BJ, Hiebert SW. Myeloid translocation gene 16 is required for maintenance of haematopoietic stem cell quiescence. EMBO J. 2012;31:1494-505.

[120] Leung A, Ciau-Uitz A, Pinheiro P, Monteiro R, Zuo J, Vyas P, et al. Uncoupling VEGFA functions in arteriogenesis and hematopoietic stem cell specification. Dev Cell. 2013;24:144-58.

[121] Bresnick EH, Katsumura KR, Lee HY, Johnson KD, Perkins AS. Master regulatory GATA transcription factors: mechanistic principles and emerging links to hematologic malignancies. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:5819-31.

[122] Chyla BJ, Moreno-Miralles I, Steapleton MA, Thompson MA, Bhaskara S, Engel M, et al. Deletion of Mtg16, a target of t(16;21), alters hematopoietic progenitor cell proliferation and lineage allocation. Mol Cell Biol. 2008;28:6234-47.

[123] Doré LC, Crispino JD. Transcription factor networks in erythroid cell and megakaryocyte development. Blood. 2011;118:231-9.

[124] Stadhouders R, Cico A, Stephen T, Thongjuea S, Kolovos P, Baymaz HI, et al. Control of developmentally primed erythroid genes by combinatorial co-repressor actions. Nat Commun. 2015;6:8893.

[125] van Riel B, Pakozdi T, Brouwer R, Monteiro R, Tuladhar K, Franke V, et al. A novel complex, RUNX1-MYEF2, represses hematopoietic genes in erythroid cells. Mol Cell Biol. 2012;32:3814-22.

[126] Hamlett I, Draper J, Strouboulis J, Iborra F, Porcher C, Vyas P. Characterization of megakaryocyte GATA1-interacting proteins: the corepressor ETO2 and GATA1 interact to regulate terminal megakaryocyte maturation. Blood. 2008;112:2738-49.

[127] Lichti-Kaiser K, ZeRuth G, Kang HS, Vasanth S, Jetten AM. Gli-similar proteins: their mechanisms of action, physiological functions, and roles in disease. Vitam Horm. 2012;88:141-71.

[128] Attanasio M, Uhlenhaut NH, Sousa VH, O'Toole JF, Otto E, Anlag K, et al. Loss of GLIS2 causes nephronophthisis in humans and mice by increased apoptosis and fibrosis. Nat Genet. 2007;39:1018-24.

[129] Pells S, Koutsouraki E, Morfopoulou S, Valencia-Cadavid S, Tomlinson SR, Kalathur R, et al. Novel Human Embryonic Stem Cell Regulators Identified by Conserved and Distinct CpG Island Methylation State. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0131102.

[130] Yoshioka N, Gros E, Li HR, Kumar S, Deacon DC, Maron C, et al. Efficient generation of human iPSCs by a synthetic self-replicative RNA. Cell Stem Cell. 2013;13:246-54.

[131] Briscoe J, Thérond PP. The mechanisms of Hedgehog signalling and its roles in development and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2013;14:416-29.

[132] Wellbrock J, Latuske E, Kohler J, Wagner K, Stamm H, Vettorazzi E, et al. Expression of Hedgehog Pathway Mediator GLI Represents a Negative Prognostic Marker in Human Acute Myeloid Leukemia and Its Inhibition Exerts Antileukemic Effects. Clin Cancer Res.21:2388-98.

[133] Zou J, Hong Y, Tong Y, Wei J, Qin Y, Shao S, et al. Sonic hedgehog produced by bone marrowderived mesenchymal stromal cells supports cell survival in myelodysplastic syndrome. Stem Cells Int. 2015;2015:957502.

[134] Aberger F, Hutterer E, Sternberg C, Del Burgo PJ, Hartmann TN. Acute myeloid leukemia - strategies and challenges for targeting oncogenic Hedgehog/GLI signaling. Cell Commun Signal. 2017;15:8.

[135] Zhou J, Zhu G, Huang J, Li L, Du Y, Gao Y, et al. Non-canonical GLI1/2 activation by PI3K/AKT signaling in renal cell carcinoma: A novel potential therapeutic target. Cancer Lett. 2016;370:313-23.

[136] Stecca B, Mas C, Clement V, Zbinden M, Correa R, Piguet V, et al. Melanomas require HEDGEHOG-GLI signaling regulated by interactions between GLI1 and the RAS-MEK/AKT pathways. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104:5895-900.

[137] Pan D, Li Y, Li Z, Wang Y, Wang P, Liang Y. Gli inhibitor GANT61 causes apoptosis in myeloid leukemia cells and acts in synergy with rapamycin. Leuk Res.36:742-8.

[138] Agyeman A, Jha BK, Mazumdar T, Houghton JA. Mode and specificity of binding of the small molecule GANT61 to GLI determines inhibition of GLI-DNA binding. Oncotarget.5:4492-503.

[139] Lauth M, Bergström A, Shimokawa T, Toftgård R. Inhibition of GLI-mediated transcription and tumor cell growth by small-molecule antagonists. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2007;104:8455-60.

[140] Nicoletti I, Migliorati G, Pagliacci MC, Grignani F, Riccardi C. A rapid and simple method for measuring thymocyte apoptosis by propidium iodide staining and flow cytometry. J Immunol Methods. 1991;139:271-9.

[141] Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, Scherf U, et al. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. Biostatistics. 2003;4:249-64.

[142] Chou ST, Byrska-Bishop M, Tober JM, Yao Y, Vandorn D, Opalinska JB, et al. Trisomy 21-associated defects in human primitive hematopoiesis revealed through induced pluripotent stem cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109:17573-8.

[143] Thiollier C, Lopez CK, Gerby B, Ignacimouttou C, Poglio S, Duffourd Y, et al. Characterization of novel genomic alterations and therapeutic approaches using acute megakaryoblastic leukemia xenograft models. J Exp Med.209:2017-31.

[144] Xavier-Ferrucio JM, Pericole FV, Lopes MR, Latuf-Filho P, Barcellos KS, Dias AI, et al. Abnormal Hedgehog pathway in myelodysplastic syndrome and its impact on patients' outcome. Haematologica.100:e491-3.

[145] Baylin SB. DNA methylation and gene silencing in cancer. Nat Clin Pract Oncol. 2005;2 Suppl 1:S4-11.

[146] Trowbridge JJ, Sinha AU, Zhu N, Li M, Armstrong SA, Orkin SH. Haploinsufficiency of Dnmt1 impairs leukemia stem cell function through derepression of bivalent chromatin domains. Genes Dev.26:344-9.

[147] Niederwieser C, Kohlschmidt J, Volinia S, Whitman SP, Metzeler KH, Eisfeld AK, et al. Prognostic and biologic significance of DNMT3B expression in older patients with cytogenetically normal primary acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia.29:567-75.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Prof. Andrea Pession for his mentoring during all these years, Dr. Riccardo Masetti, Dr. Annalisa Astolfi and Dr Salvatore Serravalle for our continuous productive scientific discussions and for their guide in the laboratory.

I thank Prof. Thomas Mercher for the great opportunity to perform part of my research in his laboratory at Gustave Roussy institute, for being a dedicated and careful mentor in this time. I would like to thank all members of Gustave Roussy institute in particular Lopez CK, Thirant C., Vainchenker W., Aid Z., Malinge S., Cambot M., Donada A., Lordier L. for their indispensable support in the laboratory. I would like to thank also Prof. Pierluigi Lollini and Patrizia Parenti for excellent administrative assistance.

This study has been supported by Department of Experimental, Diagnostic and Specialty Medicine (DIMES) – University of Bologna, Department of Medical and Surgical Science (DIMEC) – University of Bologna, Fondazione Umberto Veronesi, Milan and AIRC (Associazione italiana ricerca sul cancro).