Alma Mater Studiorum – Università di Bologna

DOTTORATO DI RICERCA IN BIOLOGIA CELLULARE E MOLECOLARE Ciclo XXVII Settore concorsuale: 05/E2

Settore scientifico-disciplinare: BIO/11

Regulatory networks of *Neisseria meningitidis* and their implications for pathogenesis

Presentata da: GIACOMO GOLFIERI

Coordinatore Dottorato

Chiar.mo Prof.

DAVIDE ZANNONI

Relatori Dott.ssa

ISABEL DELANY

Chiar.mo Prof. VINCENZO SCARLATO

Sessione II

Esame Finale Anno 2015

ATTIVITÀ DI RICERCA

patogene.

Durante il Dottorato di Ricerca mi sono occupato dello studio della regolazione genica in *Neisseria meningitidis*. In particolare, ho studiato la risposta in termini di espressione genica alla disponibilità di glucosio, identificando un regolatore trascrizionale HexR che coordina il metabolismo centrale di meningococco. Ho caratterizzato le interazioni molecolari tra la proteina HexR e i suoi geni target, e ho studiato l'impatto della regolazione mediata da HexR sulla capacità di *N. meningitidis* di causare batteremia in un modello murino di infezione. In parallelo, ho indagato il ruolo dei piccoli RNA non codificanti nella regolazione genica di meningococco. Tramite sequenziamento dell'RNA ad alta risoluzione ho identificato e mappato nuovi trascritti potenzialmente regolatori, e ho studiato l'impatto di candidati selezionati sulla batteremia di *N. meningitidis*. Infine, ho iniziato la caratterizzazione molecolare di un nuovo piccolo RNA unico di meningococco, strettamente associato a geni rilevanti per le *Neisseriae*

Nel periodo del Dottorato di Ricerca sono stato co-autore dei seguenti lavori scientifici:

Antunes A, **Golfieri G**, Ferlicca F, Guadagnuolo S, Scarlato V, Delany I. "Impact of glucose in *Neisseria meningitidis* transcriptome: characterization of the HexR regulon, a glucose-responsive regulator". *manuscript in preparation*

Fagnocchi L, Bottini S, **Golfieri G**, Fantappiè L, Ferlicca F, Antunes A, Guadagnuolo S, Del Tordello E, Siena E, Serruto D, Scarlato V, Muzzi A, Delany I. "Global transcriptomic analysis reveals small RNAs affecting *Neisseria meningitidis* bacteraemia". PLoS One *submitted, under review*

Donnarumma D, **Golfieri G**, Brier S, Castagnini M, Veggi D, Bottomley MJ, Delany I, Norais N. "*Neisseria meningitidis* GNA1030 is a ubiquinone-8 binding protein". FASEB J. 2015 Feb 20. pii: fj.14-263954. [Epub ahead of print]

Contents

1		ABS	STRACT	.3
2		INT	RODUCTION	.5
	2.1	1 M	ENINGOCOCCAL DISEASE	. 5
	2.2	2 NE	SISSERIA MENINGITIDIS: PATHOGEN AND PATHOGENESIS	. 6
		2.2.1	The pathogen	. 6
		2.2.2	Colonization and invasion	. 8
		2.2.3	Virulence factors	10
	2.3	3 M	ENINGOCOCCAL VACCINES	14
	2.4	4 Ge	ENETICS OF <i>N. MENINGITIDIS</i>	15
	2.5	5 Ge	ENE REGULATION AND ADAPTATION TO THE HOST ENVIRONMENT	16
		2.5.1	Genome plasticity	16
		2.5.2	Transcriptional regulators	18
		2.5.3	Small regulatory RNAs	20
		2.5.4	Identification of novel sRNAs	22
	2.6	5 Of	BJECTIVE OF THE STUDY	24
3		RES	SULTS I2	25
	3.1	I GI	OBAL ANALYSIS OF <i>N. MENINGITIDIS</i> EXPRESSION IN RESPONSE TO GLUCOSE	25
	3.2	2 NN	MB1389, A HEXR TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATOR	27
		3.2.1	HexR directly binds to <i>edd</i> and <i>zwf</i> promoter regions	32
		3.2.2	In silico prediction of <i>N. meningitidis</i> HexR DNA-binding consensus sequence	32
		3.2.3	HexR binding affinity is not altered by KDPG (2-keto-3-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate)	34
		3.2.4	HexR deletion does not alter N. meningitidis resistance to oxidative stress	36
		3.2.5	HexR deletion impairs survival of <i>N. meningitidis</i> during infection <i>in vivo</i>	37

4	R	RESU	JLTS II	39
	4.1	Deer	P SEQUENCING ANALYSIS OF <i>N. MENINGITIDIS</i> IDENTIFIES NOVEL PUTATIVE SRNAS	. 39
	4.	1.1	Validation of novel meningococcal small RNAs	.42
	4.	1.2	Deletion of selected sRNAs impairs meningococcal fitness in vivo	.43
	4.2	SRN	A1880-1881 IS A NOVEL SMALL RNA UNIQUE TO MENINGOCOCCUS THAT CLOSELY	
	ASSO	DCIAT	ES WITH PATHOGEN-SPECIFIC GENES	. 47
	4.	2.1	sRNA1880-1881 is not part of a regulatory network under in vitro conditions	.51
	4.	2.2	Expression of sRNA1880-1881 limits transcription of neighboring genes in vitro	. 52
5	D	DISC	USSION	55
6	Ν	IAT	ERIALS AND METHODS	63
	6.1	BAC	TERIAL STRAINS AND CULTURE CONDITIONS	63
	6.2	CON	STRUCTION OF MUTANT AND COMPLEMENTATION STRAINS	. 63
	6.3	RNA	A PREPARATION	. 65
	6.4	NOR	THERN BLOT	. 66
	6.5	MICI	ROARRAY PROCEDURES, HYBRIDIZATION AND ANALYSIS	. 66
	6.6	RNA	A SEQUENCING	66
	6.7	QUA	NTITATIVE REAL-TIME PCR (QRT-PCR) EXPERIMENTS	. 67
	6.8	Expr	RESSION AND PURIFICATION OF RECOMBINANT HEXR	. 67
	6.9	DNA	SE I FOOTPRINTING	. 68
	6.10	BIOI	NFORMATIC ANALYSIS OF THE HEXR BINDING SITE	. 69
	6.11	BIOI	NFORMATIC ANALYSES OF SMALL RNAS	. 69
	6.12	Amp	LIFICATION AND SEQUENCING OF NMB1880-1881 INTERGENIC REGION	. 69
	6.13	DISC	DIFFUSION VIABILITY ASSAYS	. 70
	6.14	IN VI	VO INFANT RAT MODEL	. 70
	6.15	Етні	ICS STATEMENT	.71
7	В	BIBL	IOGRAPHY	75

1 Abstract

Neisseria meningitidis, the leading cause of bacterial meningitis, can adapt to different host niches during human infection. Both transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulatory networks have been identified as playing a crucial role for bacterial stress responses and virulence. We investigated the *N. meningitidis* transcriptional landscape both by microarray and by RNA sequencing (RNAseq).

Microarray analysis of *N. meningitidis* grown in chemically defined medium in the presence or absence of glucose allowed us to identify genes regulated by carbon source availability. In particular, we identified a glucose-responsive *hexR*-like transcriptional regulator in *N. meningitidis*. Deletion analysis showed that the *hexR* gene is accountable for a subset of the glucose-responsive regulation, and *in vitro* assays with the purified protein showed that HexR binds to the promoters of the central metabolic operons of meningococcus, by targeting a DNA region overlapping putative regulatory sequences. Our results indicate that HexR coordinates the central metabolism of meningococcus in response to the availability of glucose, and *N. meningitidis* strains lacking the *hexR* gene are also deficient in establishing successful bacteremia in a mouse model of infection.

In parallel, RNAseq analysis of *N. meningitidis* cultured under standard or iron-limiting *in vitro* growth conditions allowed us to identify novel small non-coding RNAs (sRNAs) potentially involved in *N. meningitidis* regulatory networks. Manual curation of the RNAseq data generated a list of 51 sRNAs, 8 of which were validated by Northern blotting. Deletion of selected sRNAs caused attenuation of *N. meningitidis* infection in a murine model, leading to the identification of the first sRNAs influencing meningococcal bacteraemia. Furthermore, we describe the identification and initial characterization of a novel sRNA unique to meningococcus, closely associated to genes relevant for the intracellular survival of pathogenic *Neisseriae*.

Taken together, our findings could help unravel the regulation of *N. meningitidis* adaptation to the host environment and its implications for pathogenesis.

2 Introduction

2.1 Meningococcal disease

Neisseria meningitidis is a strictly human pathogen responsible for meningitis and sepsis, two devastating diseases that can kill children and young adults within hours, despite the availability of effective antibiotics. The meningococcal disease was first discovered in 1887 by Anton Weichselbaum, who described the meningococcal infection of the cerebrospinal fluid of a patient (1). The meningococcal disease occurs mainly as sporadic cases in industrialized countries, even if small regions suffer from epidemic outbreaks (e.g. New Zealand). On the contrary, it is largely epidemic in the so-called "meningitidis belt" in the sub-Saharan Africa. Studies performed in Europe (2) have demonstrated that carriage rates are very low in the first few years of life, but sharply rise during adolescence, peaking at 10– 35% in 20–24-year olds, then decreasing to less than 10% in older age groups (2, 3). The reported annual incidence of meningococcal disease varies from 0.5 to 10 per 100,000 persons; however, during epidemics the incidence can rise above 1 per 1,000 (4, 5). The case fatality rate ranges from 5 to 15%, and approximately 11 to 19% of individuals surviving the disease often suffer from permanent sequelae, including neurodevelopmental deficits, hearing loss, seizures, ataxia, hemiplegia as well as amputation of limbs (6-10). What changes the colonization state of the organism into a disease state is not entirely clear. Most cases of meningococcal disease occur in otherwise healthy individuals without identified risk factors and for reasons not fully understood. However, certain biological, environmental and social factors have been associated with an increased risk of disease. Infants under 1 year of age, with a peak between 0 and 7 months, are the population at highest risk of infection due to their immature immune systems (6.33-7.08 cases per 100,000). A second peak in incidence is observed in adolescents and young adults (14-24 years; 0.75 cases per 100,000) (11). Microbial virulence factors, environmental conditions facilitating exposure and/or acquisition, impaired immune system, human genetic polymorphisms as well as naso- and oro-pharyngeal irritation caused by smoking and/or respiratory tract infection represent important factors for disease development (6, 12-17). Diagnosis of meningococcal disease can be challenging as its classic signs and symptoms, such as rash, fever, and headache are unspecific especially in the early course of the illness, and may be diagnosed as a more

benign infection. Due to the rapid progression of meningococcal disease, however, if appropriate treatment is delayed it can lead to death within 24 to 48 hours from the first onset of symptoms (7).

2.2 Neisseria meningitidis: pathogen and pathogenesis

2.2.1 The pathogen

N. meningitidis is a β -proteobacterium, Gram-negative diplococcus (Figure 1). It is aerobic, non-motile, non-sporulating, usually encapsulated and piliated. It is surrounded by an outer membrane composed of lipids, outer membrane proteins (OMPs), and lipooligosaccharide (LOS), a peptidoglycan layer and an inner membrane. Some meningococcal strains have a polysaccharide capsule attached to their outer membrane, and pathogenic strains are almost always encapsulated. The invasive potential of non-encapsulated disease isolates has recently been reported (18).



Figure 1 Immuno-gold labelling and transmission electron microscopy of *Neisseria meningitidis*. Analysis of the strain was performed with antisera raised against the NadA adhesin. Scale bars: 200 nm (19).

Traditionally, *N. meningitidis* strains are classified into serogroups according to the immunological reactivity of their capsules. With this method 13 different serogroups have been identified, although only the A, B, C, Y, X and W135 serogroups commonly cause invasive infections (Figure 1). Meningococci are further classified into serotype and serosubtype, based on antigenic differences in their major OMPs, PorA and PorB. The serological classification system, however, is limited due to high frequency of phase and

antigenic variation of outer-membrane structures, which has led to the development of DNAbased approaches to characterize meningococcal strains. A genetic typing system based upon polymorphisms in seven housekeeping genes called Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST) is now the golden standard for molecular typing and epidemiologic studies (20). Menigococci can thus be classified into lineages, termed clonal complexes. A clonal complex is a group of sequence types (STs) that share at least four of the seven loci in common with a central ancestral genotype (21). MLST technique has shown that the majority of disease associated isolates cluster into a minority of STs called hyperinvasive lineages (22). Why hyperinvasive meningococcal lineages are more pathogenic than others still remains unknown.



Figure 1 Global distribution of invasive meningococcal serogroups. Graphical representation of serogroup-specific incidence in different geographical areas of the world (adapted from <u>www.meningitisinfo.com</u>).

2.2.2 Colonization and invasion

N. meningitidis colonizes the upper respiratory tract in about 25% of the human population where it can live as commensal. This carrier state represents a successful commensal relationship between the host and the bacterium: it provides the only known reservoir for the human-adapted meningococcal infection and may also contribute to establishing host immunity (23). For largely unknown reasons, in a small subset of carriers meningococcus can invade the pharyngeal mucosal epithelium and disseminate into the bloodstream, causing septicemia. In a subset of cases, the bacteria can also cross the blood-brain barrier and infect the cerebrospinal fluid, causing meningitis.

The pathogenesis of *N. meningitidis* is a complex multi-stage process (Figure 2). Meningococci may be acquired via respiratory droplets or saliva. Colonization of the upper respiratory mucosal surfaces by N. meningitidis is the first step in establishment of both the human carrier state and the invasive meningococcal disease (23). The first step in meningococcal colonization is the initial contact with nasopharyngeal epithelial cells mediated by Type IV pili, which may recognize the host receptor CD46 (24), then bacteria proceed to proliferate on the surface of human non-ciliated epithelial cells, forming small microcolonies at the site of initial attachment (23). After the initial colonization, there is a loss or down-regulation of the capsule, whose presence masks the outer membrane proteins by steric hindrance. This event can occur both via cell-contact induced repression (24) and by selection of low or no-capsule expressing bacteria due to phase variation (25). Close adherence of meningococci to host epithelial cells is then mediated by a variety of possibly redundant adhesins that were previously masked by the capsule. One trigger of meningococcal internalization is represented by interaction of the bacterial opacity proteins, Opa and Opc, with CD66/CEACAMs and integrins, respectively, on the surface of the epithelial cell (26). This results in the appearance of cortical plaques and the recruitment of factors leading to the formation and extension of epithelial cell pseudopodia within intracellular vacuoles (27). Once internalized in the epithelial cells, meningococcal survival depends on factors such as the IgA1 protease, which degrades lysosome-associated membrane proteins (28). Meningococci are capable of intracellular replication and this is due in part to the capacity of the organism to acquire iron through specialized transport systems, such as the hemoglobin binding receptor (HmbR), transferring binding protein (TbpAB) and lactoferrin binding protein (LbpAB) (29). This intracellular lifestyle gives the bacteria an

opportunity to evade the host immune response as well as to find new sources of nutrients, and is also a way to cross the epithelium and enter the bloodstream (23). In healthy individuals, bacteria that cross the mucosal epithelium are eliminated by serum bactericidal activity. However, in susceptible individuals meningococcus can occasionally cross the mucosal epithelial barrier, either through transcytosis or directly following damage to the monolayer integrity, or through phagocytes (30). Eventually, bacteria enter the bloodstream and have to evade the host defence mechanisms using strategies such as up-regulation of capsule expression, which can prevent antibody and complement deposition (31) and is both anti-opsonic and anti-phagocytic (30). Meningococcus can also recruit negative regulators of the complement cascade such as factor H, recruited by the factor H-binding protein (fHBP) (32), and complement regulators such as the C4-binding protein (C4bp) bound by PorA porins (33). Once inside the bloodstream, bacteria either multiply slowly, eventually passing across the brain vascular endothelium or the epithelium of the choroid plexus, resulting in infection of the meninges and the cerebrospinal fluid (34), or they undergo rapid multiplication in the bloodstream, resulting in clinical features of bacterial septicemia or meningococcemia (35).



Figure 2 Stages in the pathogenesis of Neisseria meningitidis. Schematic representation of the steps of meningococcal colonization and infection (adapted from (30)).

Meningococcal adaptation to the different host niches also occurs at the level of metabolism (36). Therefore, acquisition of nutrients that enable the bacterium to sustain growth and multiply is critical for the outcome of meningococcal disease. In fact, *N. meningitidis* is capable of adapting to different anatomical compartments of the host (37), where the

availability of key nutrients such as carbon sources is diverse. However, this bacterium requires a restricted variety of substrates such as glucose, lactate or pyruvate as sole carbon sources to initiate growth (38, 39). Glucose is one of the few carbon energy sources that *N. meningitidis* can use as sole energy source, and the preferred carbon substrate for growth of meningococcus in terms of biomass yield (40). Lactate has been shown to be essential for effective colonization and its acquisition has been implicated in the virulence of *N. meningitidis* (41). Studies of *N. gonorrhoeae* have shown that in media containing glucose, lactate stimulates metabolism and that this could affect pathogenicity (42). Nevertheless, glucose is the predominant carbon source in blood as well as in the cerebrospinal fluid (43), the two main niches of meningococcal infection. Moreover, about half of the genes essential for systemic infection encode enzymes involved in the metabolism and transport of nutrients (44). The ability to obtain and synthesize nutrients is therefore essential for meningococcus to survive in the different microenvironments that it encounters within the human host during the course of infection.

Overall, the onset of meningococcal disease can be seen as a failed relationship between the meningococcus and the host. While factors that trigger meningococcal entrance in the bloodstream are not yet fully understood, they are likely dependent on both the host and pathogen sides and include impairing of the integrity of the human nasopharyngeal mucosa, the lack of a protective immune response and microbial factors influencing virulence (4, 5).

2.2.3 Virulence factors

The major virulence factor of *N. meningitidis* is the polysaccharide capsule (Figure 3), which plays a crucial role in meningococcal fitness, protecting the bacterium during airborne transmission between hosts (45), and facilitating colonization and virulence by protecting the meningococcus from desiccation and the host innate and adaptive immune effector mechanisms such as phagocytic killing, opsonization, antimicrobial peptides and complement-mediated bactericidal killing (46, 47). Like many other virulence factors its expression is phase variable (25) and capsule switching between one serogroup to another provides a selective advantage that allows the bacterium to evade opsonization or neutralization by natural or vaccine-induced protective anti-capsular antibodies (48).

The lipo-polysaccharides of meningococcus are more accurately referred to as lipooligosaccharide (LOS), because of the presence of repeating short saccharides instead of long chain saccharides (Figure 3). LOS are the major constituent of the outer leaflet of the meningococcal outer membrane, responsible for the physical integrity and proper functioning of the membrane and required for resistance of *N. meningitidis* to complement (49). Phase and antigenic variations lead to different saccharide chains altering dramatically the antigenic properties of LOS and enabling individual meningococci to display a repertoire of multiple LOS structures simultaneously (50).



Figure 3 Meningococcal cell compartments. Schematic representation of the different bacterial compartments and of the main components of the outer membrane, together with their known functions (adapted from (6)).

Another group of major virulence factors involved in the interface between the bacterium and the host are the pili (Figure 3), long surface proteins that extend from the bacterial surface beyond the capsule (51, 52). The pilus is composed of identical subunits of pilin, expressed from the *pilE* locus. The *pilE* gene undergoes sequence variation due to homologous recombination with multiple non-expressed truncated *pilS* genes, resulting in different adhesive and immunogenic pili variants (53). Meningococcal pili belong to type IV pilus family, members of which undergo rapid extension and retraction. They represent the major contributor to adhesive property of the capsule and are involved in the initiation of the meningococcus-host cell interaction (54, 55). Together with the outer membrane adhesins, pili facilitate adhesion to host tissues having a crucial role in the initial establishment of encapsulated bacteria on mucosal surfaces, helping the penetration of the negatively charged barrier at the host-pathogen interface (56). In addition to adhesion, pili are involved in several other functions such as facilitating the uptake of foreign DNA from the extracellular environment, a property that contributes to virulence by promoting genetic adaptability (57). Twitching motility generated by pilus retraction is important for passage through the mucosal layer, movement over epithelial surface and micro-colonies formation (58).

N. meningitidis has evolved a number of other surface structures that mediate interaction with host cells. The two opacity proteins (Opa and Opc) are integral outer membrane proteins that mediate pathogen-host interaction (Figure 3), adhering to and invading of epithelial and endothelial cells (52). Numerous adhesins are generally expressed at low levels during in vitro growth but may be important during in vivo infections. Furthermore, several adhesins are subject to antigenic variation and/or phase variation, which allow bacteria to generate a broad and variable repertoire of surface structure that facilitates evasion of immune effectors mechanisms and adaptation to different niches (30). The neisserial adhesin A (NadA) was firstly identified during a bioinformatic analysis of the genome of a virulent N. meningitidis B strain looking for novel vaccine candidates (19). NadA is a surface-exposed member of the oligomeric coiled-coil adhesin family of bacterial trimeric autotransporter adhesins, such as YadA of Yersinia spp. (59) and HadA of Haemophilus influenzae biogroup aegyptius (60). It has been shown that NadA mediates adhesion to and invasion of human epithelial cells (61), suggesting a key role of NadA in bacterial adhesion to the naso- and oro-pharyngeal epithelia during meningococcal colonization of the human upper respiratory tract. NadA is a risk factor for the development of meningococcal disease, as it is present in 50% of the disease-associated strains and overrepresented, almost 100%, in hypervirulent meningococcal lineages (62, 63). Several other minor adhesins belong to the family of autotransporter adhesin. Among them, Neisseria Hia homologue A (NhhA), mediates low levels of adhesion to epithelial cells and to extracellular matrix components (64). The adhesion penetration protein (App), an autotransporter protein with a highly conserved aminoacid sequence, has been shown to mediate bacterial interaction to epithelial cells during the early stages of colonization. At later stages, App autocleavage may allow bacterial detachment, therefore facilitating bacterial spread (65). Meningococcal serine protease A (MspA) is homologous to App and may also be cleaved and secreted (66). The multiple adhesin family (Maf) is a family of glycolipid adhesins, characterised first in gonococci, which may play a role in Opaindependent cell invasion (67).

PorA and PorB are the most abundant proteins present in the meningococcal outer membrane (Figure 3). These antigens comprise regions of relatively conserved sequence, which are predicted to form the beta-barrel structure of the proteins, interspersed with more variable regions, which form the putative surface-exposed loops. The monomers associate in trimers creating pores for the passage of small hydrophilic solutes necessary for bacterial metabolism. While not considered adhesins, they interact with numerous human cell types and proteins (68). PorA elicits a protective immune response in humans (69), while the role of PorB in stimulating immune protection is less clear, being immunogenic but poorly accessible for antibodies (70).

The ability to escape the elaborate machinery of the human immune system is a key determinant in the virulence of human pathogens. Many factors contribute to the virulence of N. meningitidis, involving mechanism to face antimicrobial peptides, reactive nitrogen and oxygen species, complement-mediated killing and, ultimately, the humoral and cellular components of the immune system. Efflux pump have been shown to have a critical contribution to antimicrobial peptide resistance (71). Enzymes such as catalases (Kat), superoxide dismutase (SodB and SodC), nitrite reductase (AniA) and nitric oxide reductase (NorB) neutralize the toxic effects of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species generated by neutrophilis and macrophages (72-74). Also the complement system, an essential component of the innate immune response, plays a significant role in defence against meningococcal infection, as indicated by the increased susceptibility to *N. meningitidis* infections of patients with complement deficiencies (75). The complement system consists of a well-balanced network of circulating and cell surface-bound proteins that act as substrates, enzymes or modulators of a hierarchical series of extracellular proteolytic cascades. The complement activation is initiated by the classical (CP) or the lectin (LP) pathways and is amplified by the alternative pathway (AP). All of these pathways converge at the level of the C3 complex, leading to cleavage of C3 to C3b by C3 convertases. Deposition of C3b on the surface of an invading pathogen results in its elimination through phagocytosis or lysis following assembly of the membrane attack complex (MAC). N. meningitidis uses a variety of mechanisms to survive to the bactericidal action of the complement system, involving its capsule, LOS and other factors (47). One such factor is fHBP, a surface-exposed lipoprotein which binds human factor H (fH), the main inhibitor of the complement AP (76). Sequestering fH allows meningococci to use this down-regulator to limit complement activation on their surface. In

addition, the Neisserial Heparin-Binding Antigen (NHBA) has been described to bind heparin, which may increase bacterial serum resistance due to the potential interactions of heparin with fH (77).

2.3 Meningococcal vaccines

Meningococcal disease progresses rapidly and in its early stages it is easily misdiagnosed (6, 7), making vaccination the best public health option worldwide and the most effective way to prevent outbreaks. No broadly protective vaccine is currently available to provide protection against all serogroups of *N. meningitidis*. Capsular polysaccharides have been successfully used as antigens to produce polysaccharide and glycoconjugate vaccines against four of the five disease-associated serogroups A, C, W-135 and Y. Quadrivalent vaccines against serogroups A, C, W-135 and Y include the conjugate vaccines Menactra (Sanofi Pasteur) and Menveo (Novartis), and the polysaccharide vaccine Menomune (Sanofi Pasteur) and Mencevax (GlaxoSmithKline) (78). A vaccine called MenAfriVac has been developed through a program called the Meningitis Vaccine Project to prevent meningitis group A infections in the African 'meningitidis belt' (79).

In contrast, the group B capsule polysaccharide is not suitable as vaccine antigen because it consists of a homolinear polymer of $\alpha(2\rightarrow 8)$ N-acetyl neuraminic acid, also known as polysialic acid, which is structurally similarity to the sialic acid found in human neural tissue (80, 81). Consequently, it is a poor immunogen in humans and may elicit autoantibodies. Therefore, efforts to develop a group B vaccine focused mainly on non-capsular antigens, such as proteins or LOS. Detergent-extracted Outer Membrane Vesicles (dOMV) have been successfully used in Norway (82), Cuba (83), Chile (84) and New Zealand (85) to control epidemic disease outbreaks caused by specific MenB strains.

A significant limitation of these vaccines is the breadth of coverage provided. The detergent treatment extracts the toxic LOS, but it also extracts other desirable antigens such as fHbp. Consequently, the porin protein PorA results to be the immuno-dominant antigen (86, 87). However, PorA is antigenically variable (88) so the immune response elicited is effective only against strains expressing the same PorA serosubtypes.

The availability of whole genome sequences has contributed radically to change the approach to vaccine development, laying the fundaments for an *in silico* genome-based approach named Reverse Vaccinology (RV). RV aims to identify surface-exposed non-

capsular antigens that are antigenically conserved among strains and that elicit a bactericidal serum response. This approach has led to the development of the recombinant protein vaccine 4CMenB (89, 90). The 4CMenB vaccine contains five genome-derived *Neisseria* antigens (GNA), which are formulated together. The vaccine formulation joins NadA (61, 91), as well as two recombinant fusion proteins of fHbp (92, 93) and NHBA (77, 94) fused to the conserved meningococcal gene products GNA2091 and GNA1030, respectively. The vaccine formulation also includes detergent-extracted outer membrane vesicles (dOMVs) from the NZ98/254 strain (87). The 4CMenB vaccine was licensed as Bexsero in 2013, following its progression through clinical trials that have demonstrated its safety (95, 96) and its efficacy in inducing a protective immune response in infants, children, adolescents and adults against the majority of MenB strains (97, 98).

Another licensed vaccine against meningococcal serogroup B is the recombinant proteinbased vaccine composed of equal amounts of lipidated fHbp variants from different subfamilies. This vaccine was licensed in October 2014 in the US for a target population of adolescents and young adults. In preclinical study, the bivalent vaccine elicited high bactericidal titers against different MenB strains, suggesting a good breadth of coverage (99). In a phase I trial, it was assessed that the vaccine was well tolerated in adults, adolescents and young children (99). However, it is not suitable for use in infants considering that it consists or purified lipoproteins known as TLR-2 agonists (100). In addition, the *in vivo* level of fHbp expression strongly affects the effectiveness of the bivalent vaccine.

2.4 Genetics of N. meningitidis

Genome sequences are available for a growing number of *N. meningitidis* strains. These data show that the meningococcal chromosome is between 2.0 and 2.2 megabases in size and contains about 2000 genes (101-104). The meningococcus shares about 90% nucleotide homology with either *N. gonorrhoeae* or the commensal *N. lactamica*. While approximately 70% of the genome encodes for essential metabolic functions, about 10% of the genome is represented by mobile elements such as IS elements and prophage sequences (101), leading to DNA transfer between meningococci, gonococci, commensal spp. as well as other bacteria (105). Another evident characteristic of the neisserial genome is the high abundance of repetitive DNA sequences, polymorphic regions and genetic switch mechanisms (e.g.

slipped-strand mispairing) which lead to genetic instability, facilitating duplication or deletion of regions in the genome, as well as recombination (105). Except for the IHT-A1 capsule locus, no specific core pathogenome has been identified (106), suggesting that virulence may be dependent on multiple redundant genes. The GC percentage is variable along the chromosome with an average of 51.63%, with defined regions of low GC content that likely have been acquired by relatively recent horizontal gene transfer events (107). These events are relatively common in *N. meningitidis* due to its natural transformation competence (108). For example, the acquisition of the capsule locus by horizontal gene transfer, possibly from *Pasteurella multocida* or *P. hemolytica (104)*, appears to be a major event in the evolution of the pathogenicity of the meningococcus from an un-encapsulated ancestor (23). A central characteristic of the genome is its plasticity contributing to the non-clonal behavior of meningococcus and its phenotypic diversity, which allow the bacteria to successfully adapt to the host.

2.5 Gene regulation and adaptation to the host environment

During infection, *N. meningitidis* can invade diverse sites within the human host, which represent different niches with respect to nutrient availability, environmental stress factors and competing microorganisms. Therefore meningococcus is subjected to constant selective pressures and its ability to rapidly adapt its metabolism and cellular composition to environmental changes is essential for its survival (109). Bacteria achieve adaptation to the environment either by changing their genotype (genome plasticity) or by transient alterations in gene expression. These two mechanisms are complementary and both lead to phenotypic variations.

2.5.1 Genome plasticity

The high natural competence of meningococci is a leading cause of horizontal gene transfer and therefore genome variability (110). In addition, the abundance of repetitive DNA sequences contributes to meningococcal genome plasticity. The most frequent repeat sequence element is the neisserial DNA uptake sequence (DUS). Nearly 2000 copies of this 12-bp sequence involved in recognition and uptake of DNA from the environment (111) are found in the *N. meningitidis* genome. On the other hand, the 20-bp long dRS3 elements promote both permanent genomic changes, such as insertions and chromosomal rearrangements (104) and recombination with exogenous DNA (103). Correia elements are mobile elements of 100-150 bp, which carry transcription initiation sequences as well as binding sites for DNA bending protein, suggesting that they may have a role in modulating the expression of nearby genes (112). Finally, the meningococcal genome is also littered with insertion sequences (IS) and other repeat sequences whose function has not been completely determined yet, such as AT-rich repeats (101) and REP2 repeats (113).

Another major source of genome plasticity is phase variation (PV), the adaptive process by which bacteria undergo frequent and reversible phenotypic changes resulting from genetic alterations in specific loci of their genomes. Short tandem sequence repeats are the basis for PV, which can occur during replication through slipped-strand mispairing, altering the unit number of these repeats. The presence of repeat units may cause a slippage during replication of either the synthesis strand, leading to addition events, or the template strand, leading to deletions in the newly synthesized filament (105). When occurring in the coding sequence of a gene or within its promoter region, PV can change the transcriptional and translational state of the gene by introducing frameshift mutations or changing the spacing between critical promoter elements. It has been proposed that in *N. meningitidis* over 100 genes are potentially phase variable, altering mainly virulence-associated, surface-exposed molecules such as outer membrane proteins PorA, Opc, Opa, pili and adhesins, as well as LOS and capsule (105, 114-116). Meningococcal strains associated with disease have high frequency of PV, indicating that varying surface-exposed components provides substantial benefits during transmission between hosts (117).

Distinct from phase variation, antigenic variation is a mechanism of immune evasion where bacteria express different moieties of functionally conserved molecules that are antigenically distinct within a clonal population. This process is distinct from phase variation, as only one variant is expressed at any given time, although the cell still contains the genetic information to produce a whole range of antigenic variants. In the pathogenic *Neisseria* species, antigenic variation occurs in several surface components, including type IV pili, LOS and Opa proteins (105).

2.5.2 Transcriptional regulators

Survival under the rapidly changing conditions encountered within the host requires timely alterations in gene expression. Several environmental signals have been shown to have an impact on the N. meningitidis transcriptional regulation during host infection, such as iron (118, 119), zinc (120), nitric oxide (121) human saliva (122) and human blood (123, 124). At the transcriptional level, these alterations could be controlled by global factors, for example through changes in associations between different alternative sigma factors and core RNA polymerase, which reprogram the specificity of promoter recognition by the enzyme to allow expression of entirely new sets of target genes (125). In addition, different transcriptional regulators activated by various stresses can regulate the transcription of many genes important for survival and virulence. Although extensive transcriptional regulation is expected to accompany the infection process of N. meningitidis, only 36 putative transcriptional regulators are encoded by the meningococcal genome. This number is especially striking if compared to Escherichia coli, which harbors more than 200 transcriptional regulators. This striking limitation for transcriptional regulation is possibly related to the restricted ecological niches of the Neisseriaceae (126). Only 5 of the predicted regulators have been characterized so far, and the regulons of 4 have been dissected in detail. Bacterial pathogenesis and survival are dependent on the ability to acquire iron (127), which is limiting during human infection being sequestrated by host iron-binding proteins. Although N. meningitidis does not produce siderophores for iron acquisition, it possesses outer membrane receptors that have been postulated to scavenge the iron-loaded siderophores secreted by other bacteria colonizing the nasopharyngeal tract (128) such as the hemoglobin binding receptor (HmbR), transferring binding protein (TbpAB) and lactoferrin binding protein (LbpAB) (29). However, since iron overload results in toxicity for the bacterium, meningococcus tightly regulates iron uptake by the ferric uptake regulator Fur (129). The Fur protein senses the intracellular iron concentration and binds to and represses iron uptake genes using ferrous iron as a co-repressor (118, 119, 130). Fur has been also reported to act positively in the expression of certain genes. The regulon of Fur comprises more than 200 genes (131) regulated either directly or by an indirect mechanism which involves a Fur-repressed small regulatory RNA named NrrF (132, 133).

During colonization and infection, *N. meningitidis* is also exposed to highly divergent partial pressures of oxygen (72). The fumarate and nitrate reductase regulator protein (FNR) is a

transcriptional activator that enables meningococci to survive under oxygen limitation by inducing sugar fermentation and denitrification pathways, utilizing nitrite and nitric oxide as electron acceptors (134, 135). Under oxygen limitation, FNR binds to DNA and activates target genes as a dimer containing a [4Fe-4S] cluster. This cluster dissociates in the presence of oxygen, destabilizing the dimer, with loss of FNR activity (135, 136). Interestingly, the mediator of complement evasion fHBP has been shown to be positively regulated by oxygen limitation through a FNR dedicated promoter (137).

N. meningitidis is often exposed to the gaseous free-radical nitric oxide (NO), generated both internally by its own metabolism and externally by the human host tissue, which is rich in macrophages, a potent source of NO during infection (138). The nitric oxide sensitive repressor (NsrR) is the major NO-responsive transcriptional regulator, repressing a small regulon of 4 genes. As NO concentration increases, NsrR is specifically inactivated through the alteration of its iron-sulfur cluster, thus leading to up-regulation of denitrifying genes (121, 139). Another regulator potentially relevant to the infection process is the Neisserial adhesin Regulator (NadR), a MarR-like protein demonstrated to regulate expression of both the phase-variable meningococcal adhesin NadA (116, 140, 141) and the MafA1 and MafA2 adhesins, shown to adhere to glycolipid receptors on human cells (142, 143). These genes are affected by NadR in opposing ways, depending on their specific promoter architectures (122). As typical of MarR-like proteins, a small molecule ligand, the 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid (4HPA) catabolite of aromatic amino acids found in human saliva (144), acts as signal to alter the DNA binding activity of NadR in vivo, leading to repression or activation of its target genes (122, 145). Other transcriptional regulators of N. meningitidis include the LysRtype regulator CrgA, that is upregulated upon contact with human epithelial cells and acts as a repressor of its own transcription and type IV pili subunits (146, 147); AsnC is a global regulator that controls the response to poor nutrient conditions by binding to leucine and methionine, two amino acids representing general nutrient abundance (148); the Zinc uptake regulator (Zur) is a Fur-like regulator that responds specifically to zinc and controls zinc uptake by regulating a TonB-dependent receptor that allows high affinity zinc acquisition (149, 150).

2.5.3 Small regulatory RNAs

Small non coding RNAs (sRNAs) are regulatory elements whose essential role is wellestablished in all organisms (151). In pathogenic bacteria, regulatory sRNAs are a heterogeneous group of transcripts, which modulate a wide range of physiological processes through different mechanisms (152, 153). These regulators often function as coordinators of adaptation and/or virulence, integrating environmental signals and controlling target gene expression (154-156). sRNAs are commonly classified according to their mechanism of action within bacterial cells. Riboswitches are RNA sequences within the 5'-untranslated region (UTR) of the messenger RNAs (mRNAs) they regulate, that can adopt different conformations in response to environmental changes or the binding of metabolites (157). RNA thermometers are structured cis-regulatory elements that alter the efficiency of translational initiation in response to temperature (158, 159). The CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats) RNAs contain short regions of homology to foreign DNA sequences and can interfere with bacteriophage infection, plasmid conjugation and natural transformation (160-162). In a recent publication, an archaeal CRISPR system has been reported to target both RNA and DNA molecules (163). Some sRNAs can bind proteins and alter their functions (164). Finally, the most extensively studied class are sRNAs modulating translation and stability of target mRNAs through direct base pairing. This class of regulatory RNAs can be further divided into two distinct broad classes: the cisacting antisense sRNAs, encoded from the strand of DNA opposite to their mRNA targets and having extensive complementarity to these (165), and the trans-acting sRNAs, acting on multiple distal targets with a limited complementarity (153). RNA base-pairing interactions are usually in the 5-UTR of the target mRNA and have been shown to alter mRNA structure ultimately leading to changes in translation efficiency and, as a consequence, mRNA stability (154, 164). However, sRNAs can also interact with coding regions, regulating their targets not by translational control but by accelerating decay of the sRNA-mRNA duplex through RNase E, often in concert with the RNA chaperone Hfq (166-169). The majority of the regulation by the known trans-encoded sRNAs is negative (155, 170): base pairing with the target mRNA usually leads to repression of protein levels through translational inhibition, mRNA degradation, or both (171-173). However, activation of gene expression by sRNAs has also been reported (174). In such cases, base pairing of the sRNA disrupt an inhibitory secondary structure which sequesters the ribosome binding site (155, 175, 176). In

addition to their function as post-transcriptional regulators, some sRNAs may also encode for protein functions, providing for a dual role within the cell (177).

The role of many different sRNAs in pathogenic bacteria has been elucidated so far, and sRNAs have been found to be involved in a number of cellular mechanisms, from remodelling of the metabolism and regulation of homeostasis (178), to repression of outer membrane protein synthesis (179-183), to adaptation and resistance to stress (184-186), to virulence and pathogenesis (184, 187-190), as well as biofilm formation (191) and quorum sensing system regulation (184, 192). Interestingly, in recent years evidence of regulation of host transcripts by pathogen- or symbiont-encoded sRNAs had been arising (163, 193), indicating that sRNA-mediated regulation could extend outside the bacterial cell and play a role in cross-communication between invading bacteria and their host.

Activity of *trans*-encoded base-pairing sRNAs in Gram-negative bacteria often depends on the hexameric RNA chaperone Hfq, a homologue of the Sm-like proteins involved in splicing and mRNA decay in eukaryotes (168, 170). The Hfq protein is conserved in a wide range of bacteria and ranges in length from 70 to 100 amino acids (194). In all cases, the Sm motif is located in the N-terminal region of the molecule. The C-terminal domain seems not to play a significant role in the major functions of Hfq. In fact, a C-terminal truncated form of the E. coli Hfq lacking the C-terminal amino acids can replace the intact E. coli Hfq (195). The main role of Hfq in assisting sRNA regulation is to promote sRNA-mRNA base pairing via multiple mechanisms: it increases the annealing rates of RNA molecules (196-198), stabilizes cognate sRNA-mRNA duplexes (199), promotes the structural remodelling of sRNA and target mRNAs (200), and increases the local concentration of both RNA species (201). The centrality of Hfq in assisting regulatory circuits involved in fitness and virulence is highlighted by the pleiotropic effects of Hfq-KO in many pathogens, whose phenotypes include increased sensitivity to host defence mechanisms and attenuation in animal models (133, 202-205). Hfq-independent post-transcriptional regulation by sRNAs has been shown to occur in Gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus aureus and Bacillus subtilis, even though Hfq is present in these organisms (206, 207).

The role of sRNA-mediated regulation in *N. meningitidis* has been investigated only in the last decade. Even as more and more high-resolution transcriptomic analyses of pathogenic *Neisseriae* become available (208-211), only few sRNAs have been characterized to date in meningococcus or the closely related gonococcus, and are involved in a number of critical

pathogenic processes including regulation of gene expression, natural transformation and antigenic variation (133, 162, 212-214). The *trans*-acting sRNA NrrF is synthesized during iron starvation and is involved in controlling iron metabolism and maintaining homeostasis (132, 133, 215). AniS, another *trans*-acting sRNA, was identified by a microarray screening of differentially expressed transcripts between *N. meningitidis* wild type and Δhfq mutant strains, is induced under anoxia and may be involved in down regulation of FNR-repressed genes (212). A third sRNA had been identified in *N. meningitidis* strain H44/76 by RNAsequencing of a mutant in which σ^{E} is highly expressed (209). This was subsequently clarified to be the tracrRNA of the Type II CRISPR/Cas system of meningococcus, which has been recently shown to limit natural transformation of the bacterium (162). In addition, RNA thermometers have been identified in the 5'-UTRs of three meningococcal genes that are essential for resistance against immune killing (213). Interestingly, another novel sRNA has been proposed to facilitate the formation of a G-quadruplex DNA structure involved in antigenic variation of the pilus in gonococcus (214).

2.5.4 Identification of novel sRNAs

Despite the critical regulatory roles they play in many bacterial processes, non-coding regulatory sRNAs have not been readily identified and annotated within available bacterial genome sequences. Because of this, experimental strategies paired with bioinformatics analyses have become increasingly important for sRNA discovery (216, 217). The first sRNAs were fortuitously discovered using genetic screens, or through radiolabeling of total RNA and subsequent isolation of short-length fractions from gels (218). It was only very recently that many new sRNAs have been identified and characterized in a wide range of bacterial species. This was mainly possible thanks to the increased availability of novel technologies such as computational predictions of sRNAs (219, 220), high density (tiling) microarrays (208) and high-throughput cDNA sequencing (RNAseq) that are used to study sRNAs at the genome-wide level (221, 222). These latter techniques not only allow the identification of novel sRNAs, but also the analysis of the whole transcriptome of bacteria under different growth conditions. Tiling arrays carry up to hundreds of thousands of DNA oligonucleotides systematically covering the sense and antisense strand of a genome, including the intergenic regions (IGRs) from which most known sRNAs are expressed (219). An important issue with this kind of technique, as well as with RNAseq, is choosing

physiologically significant conditions to assess expression of sRNAs. Genomic tiling arrays have been successfully used to study the transcriptome of Neisseria meningitidis (208), Listeria monocytogenes (223), Bacillus subtilis (224), Halobacterium salinarum (225) and Mycoplasma pneumoniae (226), as well as specific genomic features in Escherichia coli (227) and Caulobacter crescentus (228). However, array-based approaches require hundreds of thousands probes and are limited by background noise and cross hybridization, and therefore requires extensive normalization (229). On the other hand, the RNAseq approach directly determines the cDNA sequence. A population of RNA is converted to a library of cDNA fragments with appropriate adaptors attached to one or both ends. Each molecule is then sequenced at a high-throughput rate, generating extremely high numbers of short reads, which are subsequently mapped to the reference genome to assemble a transcriptome map. In principle, any high-throughput sequencing technology can be used for RNAseq, and the Illumina, SOLiD and Roche 454 Life Science systems have already been applied for this purpose in bacteria (210, 230-235). A transcriptome analysis at nucleotide resolution can be used to improve genome annotation by facilitating the discovery of new genes or transcripts, the correction of gene annotation, the detection of UTRs and transcription start sites and the determination of operon structure (229). Furthermore, whole-transcriptome analysis now allows the global interrogation of sRNA abundance and antisense RNAs by allowing detection of transcripts arising from non-coding regions. For example, Perkins et al. detected 55 intergenic regions that are likely to encode new sRNAs in Salmonella Typhi Ty2 (236), and the number of known sRNAs in L. monocytogenes has been more than doubled by a tiling-array based study (223). Recently, high-density arrays together with a new bioinformatic tool named chipSAD revealed the presence of 91 differentially expressed putative sRNAs after incubation of N. meningitidis in whole human blood (208). RNAseq studies have become increasingly widespread in recent years, allowing the identification of more putative sRNAs in various bacterial species ranging from B. subtilis (237) to Helicobacter pylori (232) and the related Campylobacter jejuni (238), to Vibrio cholerae (191) L. monocytogenes (239) and N. gonorrhoeae (210). Interestingly, RNAseq also allowed for the exploration of the relationship between sRNAs and the Hfq protein by coimmunoprecipitation (231, 240, 241).

In conclusion, the technical evolution of whole-transcriptome analyses during recent years made possible to study in detail, possibly down to single-nucleotide resolution, the involvement of elements such as transcriptional regulators, sRNAs, riboswitches and *cis*antisense regulators in the physiology and pathogenicity of any prokaryote.

2.6 Objective of the study

The aim of this work is to investigate the *N. meningitidis* transcriptome and global regulation under infection-relevant conditions, both at the transcriptional and post-transcriptional level. Here we assess for the first time the effect of glucose on *N. meningitidis* at the transcriptional level. Following this, we identify and characterize a HexR-like transcriptional regulator implicated in the glucose-responsive regulation, and we show that this regulator has an impact on the fitness of *N. meningitidis* during infection.

In order to identify novel post-transcriptional regulators in *N. meningitidis*, we perform curated RNAseq analysis of meningococci grown under *in vitro* conditions. From this approach we derive a list of 42 putative small non-coding RNAs potentially involved in *N. meningitidis* regulatory networks. Deletion analysis of selected candidates leads us to the identification of the first sRNAs influencing meningococcal bacteraemia. Furthermore, we describe the initial characterization of a novel sRNA unique to meningococcus, closely associated to genes relevant for the intracellular survival of pathogenic *Neisseriae*.

3 Results I

3.1 Global analysis of *Neisseria meningitidis* expression in response to glucose

In order to investigate the effect of glucose on global transcription in *Neisseria meningitidis* and its involvement in the regulation of metabolic and cellular processes, we compared the expression profiles of bacteria grown in the presence or absence of glucose to exponential growth phase using custom Agilent oligonucleotide microarrays (212). A gene was considered differentially expressed when it displayed more than two-fold induction or repression in the glucose samples compared to the reference samples (*t*-test $p \le 0.05$). The global gene expression could be grouped in 13 functional categories (Figure 5). Four categories are found over-represented: energy metabolism (30%), hypothetical proteins (26%), transport and binding proteins (11%) and cell envelope (9%).



Figure 4 Graphical representation of genes differently expressed in presence of glucose. Genes are grouped in functional categories according to the classification of TIGR fams. Transcriptional regulators found differently expressed are highlighted.

Growth of N. meningitidis MC58 strain in the presence of glucose altered the expression of 82 genes (3.8% of the MC58 genome). Among these, 49 and 33 genes were up- and downregulated, respectively (Figure 5A). Those contiguous genes present in the same orientation and that exhibit similar regulation have been grouped into likely operons. The majority of the genes found to respond to glucose belong to energy metabolism. We found genes belonging to the Entner-Doudoroff (ED) pathway (zwf, pgl, edd, eda), the pentose phosphate pathway (tal) and the catabolic branch of the Embden–Meyerhof–Parnas (EMP) pathway (pgil, gapB, *pykA*) to be highly up-regulated. On the other hand, genes belonging to the anabolic branch of the EMP pathway (*pgi2, gapA*) were found to be down-regulated. The presence of glucose therefore up-regulates genes that encode functions leading to sugar catabolism, and downregulates genes whose products catalyze the inverse reactions, thus promoting the utilization of available sugar energy sources. We also observed down-regulation of all genes involved in the tricarboxylic acids cycle (aldA, prpB, prpC, lpdA3, sdhABCD, sucCD) as well as in acetate production (ackA2). Genes related to aminoacid metabolism and transport were also differentially expressed. The NADPH-specific glutamate dehydrogenase (gdhA) was found up-regulated, together with genes related to aminoacid metabolism (trpF, purF). On the other hand, the NADH-specific counterpart to gdhA (gluD) was found downregulated, as well as the proline importer protein (*putP*). Coherently with the availability of a highly energetic carbon source such as glucose, we also found the lactate importer protein (lctP) to be down-regulated, together with a gene encoding a putative uracil permease (NMB1048), possibly the first step in the pyrimidine salvage pathway.

In addition to metabolic changes, we also observed genes related to meningococcal pathogenesis being induced by glucose (Figure 5A). For instance, *nspA* encoding the Neisseria surface protein A implicated in binding of factor H and therefore immune evasion, was up-regulated by glucose as well as the capsule gene NMB0067 (*siaD*). Furthermore, several surface exposed proteins with immunogenic properties and proposed as vaccine candidates such as NMB0390 and NMB1468 were up- and down-regulated in the presence of glucose, respectively. Genes coding for proteins involved in the contact and interaction with the host were also differentially expressed in presence of glucose. As an example, the loci NMB0375 and NMB0652 encoding for the *mafA* (multiple adhesion family A), were up-regulated by glucose. Interestingly, also NMB1214 encoding for a hemagglutinin/hemolysin-related protein (Hrp), an adhesin highly immunogenic (242) was up-regulated in presence of

glucose. Other factors related to the cell envelope were found down-regulated in presence of glucose, such as NMB1807 (*ponA*) encoding penicillin-binding protein 1 and NMB0342 (*ispA*) encoding intracellular septation protein A. Interestingly, both these genes have been found down-regulated in the glucose-rich human blood (124).

Taken together, our data indicate that glucose is not only involved in the regulation of gene expression related to metabolism, but it also impacts on other majors pathways that are important during *N. meningitidis* interaction with the host.

In order to confirm the results obtained in the microarrays expression profiling, we selected a subset of eight genes with fold change values ranging from highly up-regulated to down-regulated and performed real-time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR) (Figure 5B). The results obtained are similar to the microarray data with a good coefficient of correlation (r^2 =0.82) (Figure 5C).

3.2 NMB1389, a HexR transcriptional regulator

Having mapped the transcriptomic profile of *N. meningitidis* in response to glucose, we moved to an *in silico* analysis looking for potential glucose-responsive regulators involved in this molecular mechanism. Analysis of the *N. meningitidis* strain MC58 genome identified two potential carbon-related transcriptional regulators that were also differentially expressed in response to glucose: NMB1711 (*gdhR*) was down-regulated by glucose and has been previously described to be involved in the regulation of glutamate transport (243), and NMB1389 was up-regulated in presence of glucose (Figure 5 and Figure 6). We also searched for potential orthologues of the cAMP receptor protein (Crp) and the catabolic repressor/activator protein (Cra) in the *N. meningitidis* genome, however orthologues of these major carbon-source responsive regulators were not found.

The NMB1389 gene encodes for a HexR-like transcriptional regulator from the RpiR family, whose members are often involved in sugar catabolism regulation in proteobacteria. It contains two domains, a helix-turn-helix (HTH) binding domain at the N-terminal region and a Sugar Isomerase (SIS) domain at the C-terminal region, which is predicted to bind phosphosugars. The NMB1389 (*hexR*) nucleotide sequence is highly conserved among the available *N. meningitidis* genome sequences and is present in several species of the *Neisseria* genus such as *N. gonorrhoeae*, *N. lactamica*, *N. macacae*, *N. sicca*, *N. mucosa*, *N*, *flavescens* and *N. elongata*.



Figure 5 Transcriptional profile of *N. meningitidis* MC58 in response to glucose. (A) The relative ratios of the microarray competitive hybridizations are shown for glucose-responsive expression (MC58 +Glc versus MC58 -Glc). Differentially expressed genes are shown with fold change larger than 2-fold and $p \le 0.05$. ED, Entner-Doudoroff pathway; PP, pentose phosphate pathway; EMP, Embden–Meyerhof–Parnas pathway; TCA, tri-carboxylic acids. (B) Comparison of microarray (grey bars) and qRT-PCR (black bars) expression data for eight selected genes. (C) Correlation between microarray and qRT-PCR results for the eight genes shown in (B).

In order to assess the role of HexR in meningococcal carbon metabolism regulation, we generated a knockout $\Delta hexR$ strain and we performed a global transcriptional analysis of wild type MC58 strain and its isogenic $\Delta hexR$ mutant strain grown in modified Catlin 6 medium (C6) or in C6 with 1% glucose (C6+Glc) until mid-logarithmic growth phase. Thirty-six genes were found to be differentially expressed in the $\Delta hexR$ strain compared to the wild type in at least one condition, with a fold change threshold of two-fold and t-test $p \leq t$ 0.05 (Table 1). Although only 27% of the total glucose-regulated genes in N. meningitidis are also controlled by HexR, this regulator controls the majority (60%) of the glucoseregulated genes belonging to the functional category "energy metabolism". Interestingly, all genes differently expressed in the $\Delta hexR$ mutant grown in presence of glucose were found up-regulated (with the exception of pgil, that is in operon with hexR and therefore susceptible to polar effects of the deletion), suggesting that HexR represses a variety of genes in response to glucose (Table 1). On the other hand, in the absence of glucose within the growth medium, the lack of hexR induced both up- and down-regulation of its target genes. Finally, we could observe 13 genes regulated by HexR and found with a fold change below the threshold in the wild type strain grown in presence or in absence of glucose, therefore suggesting that their control by HexR is not glucose-mediated (Table 1).

In order to validate the results obtained in the *hexR* microarray experiments, we selected six genes showing diverse fold change levels across the two experimental conditions (C6 in the presence or absence of glucose) and performed qRT-PCR on RNAs extracted from the $\Delta hexR$ and the WT strains under those conditions. Results show good agreement with the microarray data (Figure 6A). We also performed the same analysis comparing the $\Delta hexR$ strain to either the WT strain or the complemented c-*hexR* strain in which expression of HexR had been induced with 1 mM IPTG. We observed similar trends and expression levels for the selected genes in the $\Delta hexR$ strain, whether comparing it to the WT or the c-*hexR* strain (Figure 6B). Taken together, these results confirm that the expression of these genes is regulated by HexR.

Table 1 List of genes differentially expressed in the Δ hexR mutant strain in the absence or in the presence of glucose.

				Transcriptome analysis ^a						
				ΔhexR vs MC58 in C6		ΔhexR vs MC58 in C6+Glc		MC58 C6+Glc vs.C6		
Gene ID	Gene name	Function	Putative Operon	fold change	р	fold change	р	fold change	р	_
NMB1393	edd	phosphooluconate dehydratase	NMB1393-1394	52.2	3.1E-10	4.8	2.2E-06	9.7	4.0E-05	
NMR1394	eda	4-bydroxy-2-oxodutarate aldolase	NMB1393-1394	45.9	4 6E-04	59	4 8E-02	74	3.2E-02	
NMB1392	zwf	ducose-6-phosphate 1-debydrogenase	NMB1392-1387	85	0.0E+00	3.1	0.0E+00	27	0.0E+00	
NMB2159	aan B	divceraldebyde 3-phosphate debydrogenase	NMB2159	7.2	4 2E-10	1.8	2 0E-05	6.7	1 4F-07	
NMB0089	pvk A	pyruvate kinase	NMB0089-0088	6.6	1.4E-07	1.6	8.4E-04	3.1	2.5E-05	
NMB1391	pgra	6-phosphogluconolactonase	NMB1392-1387	6,2	5.6E-05	2.0	1 0E-02	2.5	3.0E-04	
NMB1390	alk	ducokinase	NMB1392-1387	4.5	2 3E-03	1.4	4 0E-01	24	1 4E-02	
NMB0351	tal	transaldolase	NMB0351-0349	4,0	3.3E-08	1.5	7.8E-04	2,4	4 6E-06	
NMB0350	ten -	hypothetical protein	NMB0351-0349	2.4	2 2E-02	1.4	4 7E-01	23	1.0E-02	
NMB1624	nir\/	nutative nitrite reductase	NMB1623-1624	2.2	4 5E-02	-1 2	6.8E-01	2,0	1,0E 02	
NMB0207	ganA	alvceraldebyde 3-phosphate debydrogenase	NMB0207	19	1.9E-02	11.6	2 0E-05	-5.7	1 3E-03	
NMB1476	aluD	dutamate debydrogenase NAD-specific	NMB1477-1476	1.2	3.9E-01	3.2	2.0E-04	-73	6.0E-07	
NMB0429	giuz	hypothetical protein	NMB0429-0434	1.1	6.7E-01	7.1	2,5E-06	-3.3	3.6E-13	
NMB0334	nai2	ducose-6-phosphate isomerase	NMB0334-0336	1 1	6 2E-01	21	9.8E-04	-4.2	1.3E-08	
NMB1968	aldA	aldehvde dehvdrogenase A	NMB1968	-1.2	2.6E-01	3.2	8.2E-05	-5.6	4.5E-07	
NMB0430	nmB	2-methylisocitrate lyase	NMB0429-0434	-1 4	7 2E-02	6.1	7.8E-05	-3.7	1 1E-06	
NMB0432	p.p2	conserved hypothetical protein	NMB0429-0434	-1 4	1.3E-01	4.0	5.5E-05	-2.8	3.6E-04	
NMB0431	pmC.	methylcitrate synthase	NMB0429-0434	-1.6	3.6E-02	7.0	7.5E-05	-4.5	1 2E-06	
NMB0433	acnA	aconitate bydratase	NMB0429-0434	-1 7	2.5E-01	3.6	2 0E-02	-2.4	9.2E-02	
NMB0435	ack A2	acetate kinase	NMB0435	-2.6	3 1E-02	3.0	1.5E-02	-2.2	4 1E-03	
NMB1048		hypothetical protein, putative integral membrane protein	NMB1048	-2,9	4,5E-02	-1,5	4,2E-01	-16,9	9,5E-05	
NMB0792		transporter NadC family	NMB0794-0792	22	2.0E-03	3.6	1.5E-06	-1 9	6 4F-02	
NMB0791	nniB	nentidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase	NMB0791-0790	1.5	4 1E-02	2.2	2 0E-03	1 1	5 1E-01	
NMB0401	putA	proline debydrogenase	NMB0401	1,0	6 2E-01	2.2	1 4E-04	-1 7	1.8E-02	
NMB0434	proF	putative AcnD-accessory protein	NMB0429-0434	-1 4	5.0E-01	2.4	1 3E-02	-1.8	1,0E-01	
NMB1088	p.p.	conserved hypothetical protein	NMB1088	-2.0	1 0E-02	12	3.6E-01	-1.5	1.8E-03	
NMB2095		putative adhesin complex protein	NMB2095	-2.0	1 1E-03	-1 1	6.8E-01	-1.6	5.9E-03	
NMB0866		hypothetical protein, putative periplasmic protein	NMB0866-0864	-2.0	4.4E-02	1.2	5.1E-01	-1.2	5.3E-01	
NMB0763	cvsK	cysteine synthase	NMB0761-0763	-2.1	6.5E-03	-1.2	2.6E-01	-1.7	4.4E-02	
NMB1154	cvsD	sulfate adenvlvltransferase, subunit 2	NMB1158-1151	-2.2	1 2E-04	-1.3	1 2E-01	-1.2	4 8E-01	
NMB1191	cvsN	sulfate adenvivitransferase, subunit 1	NMB1196-1189	-2.2	7.5E-07	-1.2	3.0E-01	-1.2	4.7E-01	
NMB1189	cvsl	sulfite reductase hemoprotein, beta-component	NMB1196-1189	-2.3	1.6E-08	-1.2	2.6E-01	-1.8	1.7E-05	
NMB1151	cvsl	sulfite reductase hemoprotein, beta-component	NMB1158-1151	-2.4	3.8E-08	-1.2	8.3E-02	-1.7	2.3E-05	
NMB0865	0,0.	hypothetical protein	NMB0866-0864	-2.5	5.0E-03	1.1	6.7E-01	-1.9	6.4E-02	
				2,0	0,02 00	.,.	0,. 2 0 .	.,•	5, 52	
NMB1388	pqi1	glucose-6-phosphate isomerase	NMB1392-1387	-1,9	2,5E-02	-3,9	4,4E-04	3,5	4,0E-04	
NMB1389	hexR	RpiR/YebK/YfhH family protein transcriptional regulator	NMB1392-1387	-154,1	2,3E-09	-166,7	6,6E-12	2,5	1,7E-05	

^aMicroarray results are average values from three separate experiments (p \leq 0.05).



Figure 6 Validation of the $\Delta hexR$ **microarray data**. (A) Comparison of microarray (grey bars) and qRT-PCR (black bars) expression data for six selected genes, under glucose-lacking (left) or glucose-replete (right) conditions. (B) Comparison of qRT-PCR expression levels for the same genes as in (A), in the $\Delta hexR$ strain versus the WT strain (grey bars) and in the $\Delta hexR$ strain versus the complemented strain (black bars).

3.2.1 HexR directly binds to edd and zwf promoter regions

The meningococcal hexR gene co-localizes with genes of the central carbohydrate metabolism (Figure 8A). We first expressed HexR as a fusion protein to a N-terminal histidine tag, and purified it by nickel affinity chromatography. We obtained good purity of the His-HexR protein and stability across dialysis steps as assessed by SDS-PAGE (Figure 8B). We then analyzed the binding of purified HexR to the promoter regions of the central carbon metabolism genes we had found differently expressed in the $\Delta hexR$ transcriptional analysis. DNase I footprinting experiments showed that HexR is able to bind the promoter regions of the two divergent operons that control central carbohydrate metabolism in N. meningitidis. Specifically, HexR is able to protect a region inside the promoter of zwf, as well as two regions inside the promoter of edd (Figure 8A and C). However, the affinity of HexR for the mapped sites seems to be different between the two promoters. In fact, we identified a higher affinity site in the *zwf* promoter overlapping a putative -10 box and transcriptional start site (protected at 100 nM HexR) as well as a similar affinity site in the -35 box of the edd promoter (protected at 128 nM); on the other hand, higher concentrations of HexR were needed to protect the region overlapping the -10 box and the +1 site of the edd promoter (640 nM) (Figure 8C).

3.2.2 In silico prediction of N. meningitidis HexR DNA-binding consensus sequence

From DNase I footprinting analysis we were able to identify three HexR-binding sites. These three operators were used to define a HexR-binding consensus sequence with a 17-bp pseudopalindromic motif (**KTGTANTWWWANTACAM**) (Figure 8D). This consensus sequence resembles the *in-silico* predicted HexR binding motif for *Betaproteobacteria* in the RegPrecise database (245). We then used this motif to search *in silico* for similar HexR-binding sites in the *N. meningitidis* MC58 genome and correlated the results with our transcriptome data (Table 1). We identified five HexR-regulated operons with a potential HexR-binding site overlapping their promoter region (Figure 8E). This is the case of the central carbon metabolism genes *zwf* and *edd* (part of the ED pathway), *gapB* and *pykA* (part of the glycolytic branch of the EMP pathway) and *tal* (part of the pentose phosphate pathway).
Α

в





С His-Hex R (nM) His-HexR (nM) \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ 0.19.19.39.19° 0 G+A GTG -117 \$11 -101 -274 TH 10 10 10 10 22 E8 44 2011 I I I I 28833 ATG P_{zwr} Pedd





33

Figure 7 (cont.) HexR directly binds to the promoter regions of genes involved in the central carbon metabolism. (A) Genetic organization of hexR locus and sequence of zwf-edd intergenic region. Bent arrows indicate operon transcriptional start sites identified by RNA sequencing experiment (data not shown). Putative promoter sequences are underscored. Probes used for DNase I footprinting experiments are highlighted. Bars indicate regions protected against DNase I digestion by HexR. (B) SDS-PAGE analysis of fractions from nickel-affinity chromatography of the HexR recombinant protein. SOL, soluble fraction after sonication; INS, insoluble pellet after sonication; FT, flow-through; 1-10, washing step fractions; E1-10 POOL, pooled eluates; POST1-4, pooled eluates after each dialysis step. (C) DNase I footprinting of HexR binding to zwf and edd promoter regions. (D) HexR-binding consensus sequence derived from mapped sites on zwf and edd promoters (WebLogo 3.2). (E) Sequences matching HexR-binding consensus found upstream of HexR-regulated operons (fuzznuc, EMBOSS). Brackets indicate predicted HexR-binding sequences overlapping putative promoter elements.

3.2.3 HexR binding affinity is not altered by KDPG (2-keto-3-deoxy-6phosphogluconate)

Since HexR mainly regulates gene expression encoding for the central carbon metabolism, it is reasonable to speculate that one or more of the intermediate products of carbon metabolism could be an effector for HexR action. Therefore we tested several carbon metabolic intermediates such as keto-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate (KDPG), glucose-6-phosphate, fructose-1,6-diphosphate and 6-phosphogluconic acid as putative effectors for *N. meningitidis* HexR binding *in vitro*. However, we could not observe any difference on the HexR binding affinity to its cognate targets in the presence of any of these molecules (Figure 9). On the other hand, in *P. putida* and *S. oneidensis*, KDPG was reported to dissociate HexR shows 41% identity with its orthologue in *P. putida* and 40% with *S. oneidensis* (strain MR-1), whereas *P. putida* and *S. oneidensis* proteins have higher reciprocal identity (58%) (Figure 9). This difference may explain why we did not observe an effect for KDPG on HexR DNA-binding affinity under the *in-vitro* conditions used. Differences in the phosphosugar-binding C-terminal region of the protein could imply that *N. meningitidis* HexR may use a different effector than other proteobacteria.



Figure 8 HexR binding to the promoter region of *zwf* is unaffected by addition of phosphosugars. (A) DNase I footprinting of HexR binding to *zwf* promoter region. Bars indicate regions protected against DNase I digestion by HexR. Increasing concentrations of HexR protein were incubated with radiolabeled DNA in the presence of 400 μ M of the indicated phosphosugars, representing intermediates of the central carbon metabolism pathways. KDPG, 3-Deoxy-2-keto-6-phosphogluconic acid; G6P, glucose 6-phosphate; FBP, fructose 1,6-diphosphate; 6-PGA, 6-phosphogluconic acid. (B) Increasing concentrations of KDPG were incubated with radiolabeled DNA in the presence of a binding concentration of HexR protein.

	N-terminal HTH region					
hexR_S.oneidensis hexR_P.putida hexR_N.meningitidis	MNTLEKVQKSLTHFSKSERKVAEVILASPQTAIHSSIATLAKMADVSEPTVNRFCR MDRVRNLLEQIQGRLDELNKAERKVAEVILLNPQQATRFSIAALAQAAKVSEPTVNRFCR MLSKISESLANLSGAERKVAECALAEPKWFVHAAVAEIAERASVSQPTVIRFCR *.::. * .:. :****** * .*: : ::* :*: *.**:*** ****					
hexR_S.oneidensis hexR_P.putida hexR_N.meningitidis	RLDTKGFPDFKLHLAQSLA-NGTPYVSRHVEEDDSPESYTTKIFESSMASLDTARQSLDV SFGVSGYPELKLQLAQSLA-SGAAYVSRAVEADDDPAAYTQKIFASAIASLDSACQQLDP SLGYKGLPEFKLALSASIGHEGMPYVHEELNADDDMASVVEKVLGNAAASLLGERRFLKE :* *::** *: *: *: *: *: *: *: : ** : : ** : : *: : ***					
	C-terminal SIS_RpiR region					
hexR_S.oneidensis hexR_P.putida hexR_N.meningitidis	AAINKAVDILTQAKTISFFGLGASASVAHDAQNKFFRFNVPVICFDDVLMQRMSCINSNE QQVSRAVDMMIQARQIHFFGLGASAPVALDAQHKFFRFNLAVSAHADVLMQRMLASVAHT SELENAIATLMHARRVEFYGVGNSGIVAQDAQHKFFRFGMSTVAYVDTHTQLMAASVLSD :*: ::*: :*: *:** *. ** ******* :*. *. * *					
hexR_S.oneidensis hexR_P.putida hexR_N.meningitidis	GDVVVLISHTGRTKSLIEIARLARENGAAVIGITARNSPLSMECTLPVTMEVPEDTDMYL GDLFVIISYTGRTRELVEVARLARENGASVLGLTAAGSPLANACSLSLHIPLPEDTDIYM QDVLVAISNTGSSIELLDAVSIAKENGASVIALTRNDSPLAQLADCVLSVATQENAELYT *:.* ** ** : .*:: . :*:****:*:* ***: . : : *:::*					
hexR_S.oneidensis hexR_P.putida hexR_N.meningitidis	PMASRLAQLVTIDVLATGFTLRRGPRFRDNLKRVKEVLKESRINKDPIL PMTSRIIQLTVLDVLATGMTLRRGVDFQPHLRKIKESLNASRYPIEDDDLN PMVSRLLQLAVIDILAIGLALRLGDAASLQLQKSKKSIHNKHIDYDKD **.**: **:*:** *::** **: *: :: :.*					

Figure 9 Comparison of HexR proteins in proteobacteria. Multiple alignment of HexR protein sequences (Clustal Omega). Common amino acids among the three protein sequences are shaded in grey.

3.2.4 HexR deletion does not alter *N. meningitidis* resistance to oxidative stress

The expression of *nirV* (NMB1624), encoding for a putative nitrite reductase, was found upregulated by glucose through HexR. Furthermore, upstream of NMB1624 it is located *aniA* (copper-containing nitrite reductase), and the *aniA-nirV* operon has been shown to be involved in *N. meningitidis* anaerobic respiration (121). Since anaerobic respiration also contributes to the ability of *N. meningitidis* to tolerate oxidative stress (121), we decided to test if the absence of HexR could affect sensitivity to oxidative stress agents, like H₂O₂ or paraquat. We performed disc-diffusion stress assays following the Kirby-Bauer method, but we did not observe any difference between the wild type and the $\Delta hexR$ mutant strains under the conditions used (data not shown).

3.2.5 HexR deletion impairs survival of N. meningitidis during infection in vivo

In order to assess the viability of the $\Delta hexR$ mutant strain *in vivo*, a competitive index (CI) assay was performed in infant rats to determine the fitness of the mutant relative to the wild type strain. Growth curves in GC rich medium as well as in C6 medium with and without glucose showed no significant differences for the $\Delta hexR$ mutant as compared to the wild type strain (data not shown). The median CI observed for the challenged infant rats in the *hexR* experiment is larger than 1, indicating that more wild type bacteria (approximately 10-fold) survived in the animal model than $\Delta hexR$ mutant bacteria. As a comparison, the median CI for an unrelated KO strain that has no effect on meningococcal fitness *in vivo* is very close to 1 (Figure 10). This suggests that the lack of HexR expression significantly affects the survival of *N. meningitidis* during *in vivo* infection.



Figure 10 Deletion of *hexR* impairs survival during infection *in vivo*. Individual competitive indices (CI) from intraperitoneal challenge of infant rats with *N. meningitidis* WT and *hexR*-KO strains at a 1:1 ratio are shown. Circles indicate individual animals. Solid line indicates median, dashed line indicates CI=1. A CI >1 means the WT is more competitive than the mutant. Statistical significance was assessed with the Mann-Whitney test (ns, not significant).

4 Results II

4.1 Deep sequencing analysis of *N. meningitidis* identifies novel putative small RNAs

In order to explore the transcriptional landscape of *N. meningitidis* at nucleotide resolution, we performed RNAsequencing analysis (RNAseq) on total RNAs extracted from *N. meningitidis* MC58 strain cultured under standard or iron-limiting *in vitro* growth conditions, using a custom Illumina pipeline (ref. Materials and Methods).

After read mapping and assembly, the resulting dataset was manually curated in search of novel small transcripts. As a proof of concept, we could detect the known iron-responsive regulatory small RNA (sRNA) NrrF (132) being more expressed under iron limitation (Figure 12A, left panel), as well as another meningococcal sRNA regulator, AniS (212), being repressed under iron limitation (Figure 12B, left panel). These findings are in line with previous reports and with Northern blot experiments performed under the same conditions as our RNAseq (Figure 12A-B, right panels). We could also detect the expected expression profiles of various housekeeping bacterial sRNAs, such as the 6S RNA known to regulate RNA polymerase activity (246) (Figure 12C) and the transfer-messenger RNA involved in the rescue of stalled ribosomes (247) (Figure 12D). Taken together, these findings highlighted the power of our RNAseq analysis in identifying sRNAs.

In curating our dataset, we selected areas of transcription longer than 50 base pairs (bp) that did not fall entirely within annotated open reading frames (ORFs) or tRNA genes on the same strand. Then we looked for promoter-like elements in the vicinity of each putative transcriptional start site, and for sequences capable of rho-independent termination near the end of identified transcripts. We also annotated the presence of known neisserial repeat sequences within each area of transcription. In total, we found 51 non-ORF, non-tRNA associated transcripts expressed in the MC58 strain of *N. meningitidis* (Table 2). These include transcripts of known function such as the 4.5S signal recognition particle RNA (248), the RNase P ribozyme (249) and the tracrRNA of the minimal Type II CRISPR/cas system of *N. meningitidis*, which has been shown to limit natural transformation of the bacterium (162). We also found known meningococcal sRNAs within our analysis, such as the abovementioned NrrF and AniS (Table 2). Interestingly, we found two sRNAs (BNS1)

and BNS2) reported to be induced upon exposure of *N. meningitidis* to human whole blood (208) to be also synthesized under standard *in vitro* conditions (Table 2).

Overall, this analysis identified 42 previously unreported transcripts expressed by *N*. *meningitidis* during *in vitro* growth.



Figure 11 Examples of RNA sequencing profiles for known small RNAs. Read mapping (upper panel), schematic representation of locus (lower panel) and Northern blot (right panel) for the regulatory sRNAs NrrF (A) and AniS (B), as well as the two housekeeping sRNAs 6S (C) and tmRNA (D). GC, mid-log sample; Dip 1-2, dipyridyl-treated samples.

10010012001200120013<	No.	UP ORF	DOWN ORF	Orientation ^a	Signal start ^b	Signal End ^c	Length (nt)	Terminator	Notes	Common to tiling microarray analysis ^d		
2 0019 0020 ~ 18889 19896 238 N MM-mascellerd 4 0021 0022 0<4	1	0011	0012	<<<	9156	8896	260	Y		Y		
3 0019 0020	2	0018	0019	~~	18388	18686	298	N	NIME-associated			
4 0021 0022 0022 0023 0024 19730 19730 N NNE-associand 6 0049 0050 51730 52011 21 Y NNE-associand 7 0049 0056 5720 52011 21 Y NNE-associand 8 0054 0056 57303 73330 2364 Y 10 0222 0226 23453 232974 464 Y 11 0322 0754 04754 48677 236 Y Y 12 0467 0563 78330 2058 Y Y 13 0974 0989 0980 903783 90381 20 N Y 14 0989 0999 101671 101620 90 Y 4.55 NA 15 <td< td=""><td>3</td><td>0019</td><td>0020</td><td>~</td><td>18958</td><td>19276</td><td>318</td><td>Y</td><td>NIME-associated</td><td></td></td<>	3	0019	0020	~	18958	19276	318	Y	NIME-associated			
5 002 002 002 022 1710 52011 212 N NME-associated 6 0060 0060 000 000 52054 52011 211 N NME-associated 8 0064 0005 000 7113 7442 324 N N NME-associated 10 0025 0025 0026 224513 239314 461 Y Y Y 11 0037 0058 33319 33133 314 461 Y Y Y 12 0444 0445 33319 33133 136 N N Marg SUTR Y 13 0937 0598 300 91175 91549 115 N Marg SUTR Y 14 0947 0969 500 91175 91549 116 N Y Y 15 0969 500 91175 91549 10234 Y Y Y Y 16 09697 09690 500 1020541	4	0021	0022	~	19660	19730	70	N	NIME-associated			
6 00-9 000-9 00-20 0-2-4 5120-4 5201-4 2011 201 NNE-associated 7 0004 0005	5	0022	0023	~~	21179	21281	102	N	NIME-associated			
7 00-94 0050 ~~ 50.94 53.9 31 N MME-associated 8 0024 0225 ~~ 238.07 74482 248 Y 10 0225 0226 ~~ 238.07 232.01 246 Y 11 0027 0226 ~~ 238.07 458.07 246 Y 12 0444 0445 ~~ 793.30 250 Y Y 14 0437 0338 ~~ 793.130 250 Y Y Y 15 0496 0493 ~~ 90.171 918.19 116 N Kong GUTR Y 16 0497 0498 ~~ 918.175 918.19 136.0 230 Y Y 17 0499 0490 ~~ 1017.4 1018.0 230 Y 4.55 RMA 19 0497 0498 ~~ 1028.05 1028.05 169 Y 4.55 RMA 10 0497	6	0049	0050	~~	51720	52011	291	Y	NIME-associated			
8006400650070	7	0049	0050	~~	52504	52835	331	N	NIME-associated			
90224022502260220226 </td <td>8</td> <td>0064</td> <td>0065</td> <td><>></td> <td>74158</td> <td>74482</td> <td>324</td> <td>N</td> <td>long 5'UTR</td> <td></td>	8	0064	0065	<>>	74158	74482	324	N	long 5'UTR			
10 0225 0226 00000 00000 0000 00000 0000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 000000 00000 00000 00000 00000 000000 00000 000000	9	0224	0225	><<	233537	233291	246	Y				
11 0322 0233 >> 33319 33143 124 Y 12 0444 0445 >>>> 48974 48977 216 Y 14 0637 0638 >>> 68414 68429 115 N kng 5UTR Y 14 0637 0638 >>> 68414 68429 115 N kng 5UTR Y 16 0698 0699 >>> 918175 918349 174 Y Y Y 177 0699 0690 >>> 91818 91331 233 Y Y Y 19 0997 0998 022073 2223 340 N Y Y 21 1000 1001 > 102637 10204 768 Y A 22 1015 1016 ><	10	0225	0226	~~	234513	234974	461	Y				
12 0444 0445 >>> 45877 45877 216 Y 13 0754 0755 >>> 783358 783130 205 Y 14 0877 0883 >>> 08444 86429 115 N kng 5UR Y 15 0882 0683 >> 091815 91818 91815 91817 233 Y Y 16 0899 0690 >> 919181 919381 233 Y Y 18 0914 0915 <	11	0322	0323	>><	333019	333143	124	Y				
1 0754 0755 2.00 7833 30 2.05 V 14 0837 0638 200 84414 66422 115 N Imp SUTR Y 16 0688 0699 200 937 168 N Y 17 06914 0915 200 174 Y Y Y 18 0914 0915 200 191818 919313 340 N Y 19 0997 0998 200 1014714 1014620 44 Y =4.55 RVA Y 20 0099 1000 200 1022832 102184 788 Y =4.55 RVA Y 21 1000 1001 200 1022832 102184 788 Y =4.55 RVA Y 22 1015 1016 200 103805 898 Y =4.458 RVA Y 24 1039 1040 200 1060231 <t< td=""><td>12</td><td>0444</td><td>0445</td><td>>>></td><td>458754</td><td>458970</td><td>216</td><td>Ŷ</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	12	0444	0445	>>>	458754	458970	216	Ŷ				
14 0837 0838 > 00000 00000 000000 0000000 0000000 00000000 000000000 0000000000 00000000000000 000000000000000000000000000000000000	13	0754	0755	~	783335	783130	205	×				
in in in in in in 15 0882 0883 >>> 918175 918349 174 N Y 16 0899 0000 >>> 918175 918349 174 N Y 18 0914 0915 $<<<<$	14	0837	0838	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	864414	864529	115	N	long 5'UTR	Y		
16 180 1	15	0882	0883		002792	003597	106	N				
10 100 2000 <	10	0898	0899	~~~	903783	903387	174	N		Y		
118 0014 0015 ~ 919178 919281 2.33 * * * 119 0097 0098 ~ 1014714 1014620 94 Y =4.55 RNA 20 0999 1000 ~ 1020376 1020304 72 N Y 21 1000 1001 ~ 1020376 1020304 72 N Y 22 1015 1016 ~ 1038476 1038656 89 Y Y 23 1002 1023 1038476 1038626 89 Y = Y 24 1039 1040 ><	10	0899	0900	>>>	916175	916349	174	f		Ŷ		
18 0.17 0.16 322 0.1744 0.1142 0.142 N 19 0097 0098 0000 >> 1020376 1223304 72 N Y 21 1000 1001 >>> 1022632 1021864 768 Y =4.55 RVA 22 1015 1006 >> 1022632 1021864 768 Y = Y 23 1022 1023 <	17	0914	0915	>>>	919118	919351	233	ř		i.		
19 0.397 0.398 \sim 101474 101420 94 Y = 0.000 20 00999 1000 \sim 1023676 1020376 Y Y 21 1000 1001 \sim 1022632 1021664 768 Y Y 22 1015 1016 \sim 103165 1030865 360 Y =mRNA Y 23 10022 1023 \sim 1054878 10548624 54 Y 24 1039 1040 \sim 1064633 120546 Y 26 1069 1070 \sim 1067603 1069427 168 N 27 1205 1205 \sim 1210463 121048 72 Y AniS Y 28 1205 12056 \sim 1431963 1432411 448 N Y 29 1400 1401 \sim 1431963 1423411 448<	18	0007	0008	~~	928073	928413	340	N				
21 000 000 \sim 1020376 1020304 72 N T 21 1000 1001 \sim 1026321 1021864 78 Y T 22 1015 1016 \sim 1031165 1030805 360 Y =tnRNA 23 1022 1023 \sim 1038478 1058565 89 Y 24 1039 1050 \sim 1067603 1067433 170 N 26 1069 1070 \sim 1090231 1090427 196 N 27 1205 1205 \sim 1210463 1210488 172 Y 29 1251 1252 \sim 128977 1349888 80 N P 31 1400 1401 \sim 1624303 1624382 79 Y =BNS1 Y 33 1649 1650 \sim 1717607 1717669 Q Y 34 1649 1651 \sim 1717807 177869	19	0997	0998	<<>>	1014714	1014620	94	Y	= 4.55 KINA	V		
21 1000 1001 ><	20	0999	1000	~~>	1020376	1020304	72	N		Ŷ		
22 1015 1016 ><	21	1000	1001	><<	1022632	1021864	768	Y		ř		
23 1022 1033 \sim 1034676 1035656 89 Y 24 1039 1040 \sim 1056478 1054878 1054878 Y 25 1049 1050 \sim 1067603 1070 N 26 1009 1070 \sim 1090231 1090427 196 N 27 1205 1206 \sim 1210483 1210486 177 Y = AniS Y 29 1251 1252 \sim 1210498 187 Y Y Y 30 1400 1401 \sim 1431963 1432411 448 N Y 31 1410 1411 \sim 1431963 162432 79 Y =BNS1 Y 33 1649 1650 \sim 1717407 1717699 61 Y Y 34 1649 1650 \sim 1717807 1717669 62 Y BNS1 Y 35 1663 1752 1753 183475 <t< td=""><td>22</td><td>1015</td><td>1016</td><td>><<</td><td>1031165</td><td>1030805</td><td>360</td><td>Y</td><td>= tmrna</td><td></td></t<>	22	1015	1016	><<	1031165	1030805	360	Y	= tmrna			
24 1039 1040 \sim 1064776 105424 54 Y 25 1009 1050 \sim 1067603 1070 N 26 1009 1070 \sim 1090231 1090437 196 N 27 1205 1206 \sim 1210463 1210346 117 Y $= AniS$ Y 28 12251 1252 \sim 1210426 1210498 72 Y 30 1400 1401 \sim 123977 1439888 89 N Y 31 1410 1411 \sim 1439977 1439888 89 N Y 33 1649 1560 \sim 1717607 1717669 61 Y Y 34 1649 1650 \sim 1717807 178539 128 N $NmF = associated$ 37 1752 1753 1775670 1875299 208 Y $MMF = associated$	23	1022	1023	~~	1038476	1038565	89	Y				
25 1049 1050 \sim 106703 1067433 170 N 26 1069 1070 \sim 1090231 1090427 176 N 27 1205 1205 1206 \sim 1210426 1210498 72 Y $=$ AnS Y 28 1205 1205 \sim 1258764 1258851 87 Y Y 30 1400 1401 \sim 143963 1424382 79 Y $=$ BNS1 Y 31 1410 1411 \sim 1624303 1624382 79 Y $=$ BNS1 Y 33 1649 1650 \sim 1717408 61 Y Y $=$ AnS Y 34 1649 1651 \sim 1717607 171769 61 Y Y Y 35 1650 1651 \sim 1717807 178859 209 N Nog 5UTR Y 36 1772 1788 \sim 1875029 208 N NME-associated <td>24</td> <td>1039</td> <td>1040</td> <td>><></td> <td>1054878</td> <td>1054824</td> <td>54</td> <td>Y</td> <td></td> <td></td>	24	1039	1040	><>	1054878	1054824	54	Y				
26 1069 1070 \Rightarrow 1090231 1090427 196 N 27 1205 1205 \approx 1210463 1210346 177 Y =AniS Y 28 1205 1206 \propto 1210426 1210486 72 Y Y 30 1400 1401 \sim 125574 125851 87 Y Y 31 1410 1411 \sim 1439977 1439888 89 N Y =BNS1 Y 32 1569 1650 \sim 1717407 171769 61 Y Y Y 34 1649 1650 \sim 1717807 1717869 62 Y Y Y 35 1650 1651 \Rightarrow 1717807 1717869 228 N MmE-associated Y 39 1787 1788 \approx 187559 229 N MME-associated Y 41 1880 1897507 187529 208 Y MME-associated Y	25	1049	1050	~~	1067603	1067433	170	N				
27 1205 1205 $\sim \sim$ 1210463 121046 117 Y $= AnlS$ Y 28 1205 1206 $\sim \sim$ 1210426 1210498 72 Y Y 29 1251 1252 $\sim \sim$ 1258764 128881 87 Y Y 30 1400 1401 $\sim \sim$ 1439977 1439888 89 N Y 31 1410 1411 $\sim \sim$ 1439977 1439888 89 N Y 32 1563 1564 $\sim \sim$ 1624303 1624382 79 Y $=BNS1$ Y 34 1649 1650 $\sim <$ 1717607 1717666 62 Y Y 35 1650 1651 $\sim <$ 1717817 1718559 228 N NME associated Y 36 1777 17788 $\sim <$ 187507 187529 208 Y MME associated 39 1787 1788 $\sim <$ 1919690 1919756 66 Y	26	1069	1070	<>>	1090231	1090427	196	N				
28 1205 1206 \sim 1210426 1210498 72 Y 29 1251 1252 \sim 1258764 1258851 87 Y 30 1400 1401 \sim 1431963 1432411 448 N Y 31 1410 1411 \sim 1431967 1439883 89 N Y 32 1563 1564 \sim 1624303 1624382 79 Y $=$ BNS1 Y 33 1649 1650 \sim 171707 171769 62 Y Y 34 1649 1651 \sim 171851 1718539 188 N kong 5UTR Y 35 1752 1787 1786 \approx 187509 228 N NME-associated 39 1787 1788 \approx 1875578 187559 208 Y NME-associated 40 1826 1887 1895677 187529 208 Y ItacrRNA (CRISPR) Y 41	27	1205	1205	<<<	1210463	1210346	117	Y	= AniS	Y		
29 1251 1252 \sim 1258764 1258851 67 Y 30 1400 1401 \sim 1431963 1432411 448 N Y 31 1440 1410 \sim 1439977 1439888 89 N Y 32 1563 1564 \sim 1624302 79 Y =BNS1 Y 33 1649 1650 \sim 1717807 1717669 62 Y	28	1205	1206	~~	1210426	1210498	72	Y				
3014001401 \sim 14319631432411448NY3114101411 \sim 1439977143988889NY3215631564 \sim 1624303162438279Y $=$ ENS1Y3316491650 \sim 17170817176962YY3416691651 \sim 171783188Nlong 5'UTRY3516501651 \sim 1718311718539188Nlong 5'UTRY3617521753 \sim 18346751834684209Nlong 5'UTRY3717871788 \sim 1876028187500228NNMME-associatedY3817871788 \sim 1875788187559229NNMME-associatedY391881881 \sim 199507187529208YNMME-associatedY4118801881 \sim 199507187529208YYY4219231924 \sim 2020332021848185YYY4319411942 \sim 20387292038619110NYY4419691970 \sim 2064562064740116YENS2Y451982198617218585218549398Y $=$ ENS2Y4620572058	29	1251	1252	~~	1258764	1258851	87	Y				
3114101411 \sim 1439977143988889NY3215631564 \sim 1624303162438279Y=BNS1Y3316491650 \sim 1717408171746961YY3416491650 \sim 17171007171769962YY3516501651 \sim 1718359188Nlong 5UTRY3617521753 \sim 1834671834684209Nlong 5UTRY3717871788 \sim 187508187559229NNME-associated3917871788 \sim 187507187529208YNME-associated4018261827 \sim 1919600191975666Y=tracrRNA (CRISPR)Y4118801881 \sim 1985071985247370NYY4219231924 \sim 2020332021848185YYY4419691970 \sim 2086470116YYY4519821983 \gg 20816472082159512YNME-associated4620572058 \sim 21796662179624242Y=6S RNA4720622063 \sim 218595218549398Y=ENS2Y4820732074 \sim 218596219966 <td< td=""><td>30</td><td>1400</td><td>1401</td><td>~</td><td>1431963</td><td>1432411</td><td>448</td><td>N</td><td></td><td>Y</td></td<>	30	1400	1401	~	1431963	1432411	448	N		Y		
3215631564 \sim 1624303162438279Y $=$ BNS1Y3316491650 \sim 171740817176961Y3416491650 \sim 17178071776962Y3516501651 \sim 17183517183180Nlong 5UTRY3617521753 \sim 18344751834684208Nlong 5UTRY3717871788 \sim 1876028187569229NNME-associated3817871788 \sim 187578187529208YNME-associated3917871788 \sim 1919690191975666Y $=$ tracrRNA (CRISFR)Y4118801881 \sim 19856171985247370NYY4219231924 \sim 2020332021848185YYY4319411942 \sim 2038729208619110NYY4419691970 \sim 20645620670116YYY4519821983 \sim 218505212YNME-associated4620572058 $<$ 2198667218595512YSS4720622063 \sim 2185095218549398Y $=$ BNS2Y4820732074 \sim 219566721	31	1410	1411	~~	1439977	1439888	89	N		Y		
33 1649 1650 \sim 1717408 1717469 61 Y 34 1649 1650 \sim 1717607 1717669 62 Y 35 1650 1651 \sim 1718351 1718539 188 N long 5UTR Y 36 1752 1753 \sim 1834475 1834684 209 N long 5UTR Y 37 1787 1788 \sim 1876028 1875509 228 N NME-associated Y 38 1787 1788 \sim 1875788 1875599 229 N NME-associated Y 40 1880 1881 \sim 1875507 1875299 208 Y IME-associated Y 41 1880 1881 \sim 1919690 1919756 66 Y ItacrNNA (CRISPR) Y 42 1923 1924 \sim 20238517 1985247 370 N Y Y 43 1941 1942 \sim 20264856 2064	32	1563	1564	\sim	1624303	1624382	79	Y	= BNS1	Y		
3416491650 \sim 1717607171766962Y3516501651 \Rightarrow 17183511718539188Nlong 5'UTRY3617521753 \Rightarrow 18344751834684209Nlong 5'UTRY3717871788 \sim 18760281875800228NNME-associated3817871778 \sim 1875788187559229NNME-associated39178717788 \sim 1875071875299208YNME-associated4018261827 \Rightarrow 1919690191976666Y=tracrRNA (CRISPR)Y4118801881 \Rightarrow 19856171985247370NYY4319411942 \sim 2020332021848185YYY4419691970 \Rightarrow 20648562064740116YY4519821983 \Rightarrow 20816472082159512YNME-associated4620572058 $<$ 21798662179624242Y=6S RNA4720622063 $><$ 2185395218549398Y=BNS2Y4820732074 $><$ 21958672195966129Y=NrFY4921322138 $<$ 24018122409487Y4921322138	33	1649	1650	\sim	1717408	1717469	61	Y				
3516501651 \sim 17183511718539188Nlong 5'UTRY3617521753 \sim 18344751834684209Nlong 5'UTRY3717871788 \sim 18760281875800228NNME-associated3817871788 \sim 1875788187559229NNME-associated3917871788 \sim 1875071875299208YNME-associated4018261827 \sim 1919690191975666Y=tracrRNA (CRISPR)Y4118801881 \sim 19856171985247370NYY4219231924 \sim 2020332021848185YYY4319411942 $<$ 20387292038619110NYY4419691970 \sim 20648562064740116YY4519821983 \gg 20816472082159512YNME-associated4620572058 $<$ 21798662179624242Y=6S RNA4720622063 $><$ 2185395218549398Y=BNS2Y4820732074 $><$ 2195867219596129Y=NrFY4921322133 $<<$	34	1649	1650	~~	1717607	1717669	62	Y				
36 1752 1753 $\sim >$ 1834475 1834684 209 N $long 5UTR$ Y 37 1787 1788 $\sim <$ 1876028 1875800 228 NNME-associated 38 1787 1788 $\sim <$ 1875788 187559 229 NNME-associated 39 1787 1788 $\sim <$ 1875787 1875299 208 YNME-associated 40 1826 1827 $\sim >$ 1919690 1919756 66 Y $= tracrRNA (CRISPR)$ Y 41 1880 1881 $\sim >$ 1985617 1985247 370 NYY 42 1923 1924 $\sim <$ 202033 2021848 185 YYY 43 1941 1942 $<<$	35	1650	1651	<>>	1718351	1718539	188	N	long 5'UTR	Y		
3717871788><1876028187580228NNME-associated3817871788><	36	1752	1753	<>>	1834475	1834684	209	N	long 5'UTR	Y		
3817871788><18757881875559229NNME-associated3917871788><	37	1787	1788	><<	1876028	1875800	228	N	NIME-associated			
3917871788><1875071875299208YNME-associated4018261827 \Rightarrow 1919690191975666Y=tracrRNA (CRISPR)Y4118801881 \Rightarrow 19856171985247370NY4219231924 \Rightarrow 2020332021848185YY4319411942 $<$ 20387292038619110NY4419691970 \Rightarrow 20648562064740116YY4519821983 \Rightarrow 20816472082159512YNME-associated4620572058 $<$ 21788662179624242Y=6S RNA4720622063 \Rightarrow 2185395218549398Y=BNS2Y4820732074 \Rightarrow 2195867219596129Y=NrFY4921322138 $<$ 20418122400487Y=ENASP P	38	1787	1788	><<	1875788	1875559	229	N	NIME-associated			
4018261827 \Rightarrow 1919690191975666Y $= tracrRNA (CRISPR)$ Y4118801881 \Rightarrow 19856171985247370NY4219231924 \Rightarrow 2020332021848185YY4319411942 $<$ 20387292038619110NY4419691970 \Rightarrow 20648562064740116YY4519821983 \Rightarrow 20816472082159512YNME-associated4620572058 $<$ 21798662179624242Y $=$ 6S RNA4720622063 \Rightarrow 2185395218549398Y $=$ BNS2Y4820732074 \Rightarrow 2195867219596129Y $=$ Nrr FY4921322133 $<$ 224018122400487Y $=$ ENASP P	39	1787	1788	~~	1875507	1875299	208	Y	NIME-associated			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	40	1826	1827	\Leftrightarrow	1919690	1919756	66	Y	= tracrRNA (CRISPR)	Y		
42 1923 1924 >< 2022033 2021848 185 Y Y 43 1941 1942 $<<<<$	41	1880	1881	~~>	1985617	1985247	370	N		Y		
43 1941 1942 $\sim\sim$ 2038729 2038619 110 N Y 44 1969 1970 $\sim\sim$ 2064856 2064740 116 Y 45 1982 1983 $\sim\sim$ 2081647 2082159 512 Y NME-associated 46 2057 2058 $<\sim<$ 2179866 2179624 242 Y =6S RNA 47 2062 2063 $\sim\sim$ 218595 2185493 98 Y =BNS2 Y 48 2073 2074 $\sim\sim$ 2195867 2195996 129 Y =NrrF Y 49 2132 2133 $<<<<<<<<<<<<<>2240181 224094 87 Y = NrF Y $	42	1923	1924	><<	2022033	2021848	185	Y		Y		
44 1969 1970 >>> 2064856 2064740 116 Y 45 1982 1983 >>> 2081647 2082159 512 Y NME-associated 46 2057 2058 << <td>2179866 2179624 242 Y =6S RNA 47 2062 2063 >> 2185395 2185493 98 Y =BNS2 Y 48 2073 2074 >> 2195867 2195996 129 Y = NrrF Y 49 2132 2133 <<<td>2240181 224004 87 Y = Phase P</td><td>43</td><td>1941</td><td>1942</td><td><<<</td><td>2038729</td><td>2038619</td><td>110</td><td>N</td><td></td><td>Y</td></td>	2179866 2179624 242 Y =6S RNA 47 2062 2063 >> 2185395 2185493 98 Y =BNS2 Y 48 2073 2074 >> 2195867 2195996 129 Y = NrrF Y 49 2132 2133 << <td>2240181 224004 87 Y = Phase P</td> <td>43</td> <td>1941</td> <td>1942</td> <td><<<</td> <td>2038729</td> <td>2038619</td> <td>110</td> <td>N</td> <td></td> <td>Y</td>	2240181 224004 87 Y = Phase P	43	1941	1942	<<<	2038729	2038619	110	N		Y
45 1982 1983 >>> 2081647 2082159 512 Y NME-associated 46 2057 2058 <<	44	1969	1970	~~>	2064856	2064740	116	Y				
46 2057 2058 <<	45	1982	1983	>>>	2081647	2082159	512	Y	NIME-associated			
47 2062 2063 >><	46	2057	2058	<<<	2179866	2179624	242	Ŷ	= 6S RNA			
48 2073 2074 >>< 2195867 2195996 129 Y = NrrF Y 49 2132 2133 <<<	47	2062	2063	~	2185395	2185493	98	Y	= BNS2	Y		
49 2132 2133 <<< 2240181 2240094 87 Y	48	2073	2074	~	2195867	2195996	129	Ý	= NrrF	Y		
το <u>Cartorio zeroso</u> or i εο 2137 2138 ορείται ορεί οι γ = PNase P	40	2132	2133		2240181	2240004	87	Ý				
	43 E0	2137	2138	~~~	2240101	2240084	391	í V	= RNase P			
51 2149 2150 XC 2201791 2201410 301 1	50	2149	2150	~~	2201/91	2201410	301	ſ				
UI <<< 2200429 2200201 190 N	UI UI			<<<	2200429	2200231	190	IN				

Table 2 List of sRNAs identified by manual curation of the RNA sequencing dataset.

 $^{\rm a}:>$ and < indicate the forward and the reverse strand, respectively .

^b : genomic coordinate of the first nucleotide giving a signal peak in the RNA sequencing dataset (MC58 genome)

^c : genomic coordinate of the last nucleotide giving a signal peak in the RNA sequencing dataset (MC58 genome).

^d : Fagnocchi et al., PLoS One submitted

4.1.1 Validation of novel meningococcal small RNAs

In a parallel study to our RNAseq, we performed tiling microarray analysis of *in vitro* cultured meningococcus to assess differential expression of transcripts arising from intergenic regions (IGRs) under seven conditions mimicking physiologically relevant stresses (Fagnocchi et al., *submitted*). Interestingly, 18 out of the 51 putative sRNAs identified by RNAseq were also found in the tiling microarray dataset (Table 2), suggesting that these transcripts are being synthesized during *in vitro* growth, and further up-regulated under specific stress conditions.

We selected 8 putative sRNAs for validation, comprising 2 BNS previously validated by RACE (208) as well as 6 putative new transcripts. From the tiling microarray dataset we derived the conditions that induced maximal expression of each of these sRNAs and validated their presence by Northern blot (Figure 13). We obtained positive signals for all of the candidate sRNAs analyzed, corresponding to small transcripts in the range of 100-400 nucleotides (nt) in length (Figure 13, left panel). As expected from the microarray data, the signal from sRNA0837-0838 was detected only in RNA extracted from logarithmic phase, while the other signals from sRNA0898-0899, sRNA0899-0900, sRNA1400-1401 and BNS2 were significantly induced in stationary phase (Figure 13, left panel). sRNA1880-1881 and sRNA1923-1924 instead showed similar expression levels both in logarithmic and in stationary phase samples. A signal for BNS1 was detected only in Northern blot performed on total RNA from C6 minimal medium supplemented with glucose (Figure 13, left panel), a condition in which it shows induction in the microarray results. All of the validated sRNAs appear to be short intergenic transcripts having their putative promoters, transcriptional start sites and putative terminator sequences located in the IGR between two flanking ORFs. The exception to this is sRNA0837-0838, which is detected as a specific transcript of about 100 nt possibly arising from the processing of a longer primary transcript that encompasses also NMB0838 (Figure 13, right panel).

Putative ORFs have been identified only within the sequence of validated sRNA0899-0900, raising the question whether this transcript may code for a small protein or peptide. However, no ribosomal binding site could be identified upstream of the start codon for this ORF, and no function could be inferred from the predicted amino acid sequence (data not shown).

42

Altogether, experimental validation by Northern blot confirmed the identification by RNAseq of 6 novel and 2 previously identified meningococcal sRNAs.

4.1.2 Deletion of selected sRNAs impairs meningococcal fitness in vivo

In order to assess the role of validated sRNAs in meningococcal fitness *in vivo*, we generated knockout mutants in *N. meningitidis* strain 2996 and performed a competitive index (CI) assay was performed in infant rats to determine the viability of each mutant relative to the wild-type strain. We successfully deleted six validated sRNAs, comprising the two BNS (Figure 14A). Growth curves in GC medium showed no significant differences for the sRNA-KO mutants as compared to the wild type strain (data not shown). The median CI observed for the challenged infant rats in 3 out of 6 sRNA-KO experiments (BNS1, sRNA0898-0899 and sRNA1400-1401) is significantly larger than 1, indicating that more wild type bacteria survived in the infant rat blood than sRNA-KO bacteria (Figure 14B). This suggests that lack of expression of these sRNAs affects *in vivo* survival of *N. meningitidis* in the bacteraemia model. The remaining 3 sRNA-KO strains (BNS2, sRNA0899-0900, sRNA1923-1934) exhibit CIs not significantly different from 1, indicating that lack of their expression is not sufficient to alter meningococcal fitness *in vivo*.



Figure 13 Experimental validation of novel meningococcal small RNAs. Northern blot analysis (left), read mapping (upper right) and schematic representation of locus (lower right) for newly discovered *N. meningitidis* MC58 sRNAs. GC, mid-log sample; Dip 1-2, dipyridyl-treated samples. Conditions of maximum sRNA expression used for Northern blot validation were derived from a parallel analysis of the MC58 strain using tiling microarrays (Fagnocchi et al., PLoS One *submitted*).



Figure 13 (continued) Experimental validation of novel meningococcal small RNAs. Northern blot analysis (left), read mapping (upper right) and schematic representation of locus (lower right) for newly discovered *N. meningitidis* MC58 sRNAs. GC, mid-log sample; Dip 1-2, dipyridyl-treated samples. Conditions of maximum sRNA expression used for Northern blot validation were derived from a parallel analysis of the MC58 strain using tiling microarrays (Fagnocchi et al., PLoS One *submitted*).



Figure 14 Deletion of sRNAs impairs meningococcal fitness *in vivo*. (A) Northern blot validation of the indicated sRNAs KO strains. RNAs were extracted from *N. meningitidis* WT and relative KO strains each grown in the condition of maximal sRNA expression (ref. Figure 13). (B) Competitive indices (CI) from intraperitoneal infection of infant rats with *N. meningitidis* WT and the indicated sRNA KO strains at a 1:1 ratio. Circles indicate individual animals. Solid line indicates median, dashed line indicates mean. A CI >1 means the WT is more competitive than the mutant. The numerosity of each group and the results of statistical analysis are shown above the graph (*, $p \le 0.05$; ns, not significant).

4.2 sRNA1880-1881 is a novel small RNA unique to meningococcus that closely associates with pathogen-specific genes

In our RNAseq results, the novel sRNA1880-1881 appears as two peaks next to each other of approximately 160 nt in length, suggesting that the NMB1880-1881 IGR might actually harbor more than one sRNA transcript (Figure 15A). However, Northern blot validation of sRNA1880-1881 detected a single signal close to 400 nt in length (Figure 13). To elucidate the nature of the transcript arising from this IGR, we generated a knockout mutant of sRNA1880-1881 in the MC58 strain of N. meningitidis, and probed total RNAs from both the mutant and the wild type strain with two different probes, each specific for one of the peaks detected in the RNAseq. Our results show that both probes detect the same specific signal close to 400 nt, a length compatible with the 370 nt that a transcript spanning both peaks would cover according to RNAseq (Figure 15B). Sequence analysis of the validated sRNA1880-1881 shows that this sRNA has putative promoter elements in the vicinity of its transcriptional start site as defined by RNAseq, and its sequence harbors two long complementary GC-rich stretches that are predicted to form a highly stable structure (Figure 15C). The operon surrounding sRNA1880-1881 is absent in commensal Neisseriae and horizontally acquired by pathogenic species (250) and has been shown to be associated with the intracellular survival of invading gonococci (251). Analysis of the conservation of the locus in available neisserial genomes showed that the sRNA sequence is not present in N. gonorrhoeae and is exclusive to N. meningitidis, and is often subject to duplication across meningococcal strains (Figure 16). Interestingly, duplication of sRNA1880-1881 is always associated with sequence changes upstream of the +1 site of the newly inserted sRNA copy (Figure 16). These changes disrupt the predicted sRNA promoter by altering the spacing between its -10 and -35 elements (Figure 15C), possibly affecting expression of the duplicated sRNA copy in these strains. The sRNA1880-1881 gene also shows sequence variability across meningococcal genomes, as short deletions of 12-55 bp in length that are not exclusively associated with duplication events (Figure 16). Interestingly, deletions always affect the region between the two complementary GC-rich stretches located at the opposite ends of the sRNA sequence (Figure 15C), raising the question whether conservation of structural elements may be relevant for the function of sRNA1880-1881. However, searches for sRNA1880-1881 against the Rfam database of RNA families (252) returned no

results (data not shown), indicating that this small transcript is not found in any other bacterium.

Α Regulator ABC transporter hyp TonB-dependent receptor 1000 0 A. Reads per strand GC 🔴 Dip 1 Dip 2 4500 probe 2 probe 1 В probe 1 probe 2 bp bp 800 600 500 400 300 200 200 100 ¥ 8 ð ð ž ž С ← <u>NMB1881</u> TTCGTTTGCATGGGGTTCTCCTATGGGTTGGGTGGTTTACGATGCGGTTTGTCGTTTGGCTTCGTTGCAGGCTGCTTTCAGTG -35 -10 +1 GGCGGAGTTGTAACGGAAGCGTTATCGGCTTTGATGTAAGCCTGCGGCCTTACCGCCCTCTCCCCTAACCCTCTCCCACAGGGA GAGGGGGGGGGGGTTGCCGTAGCCTCGCTGTTTGCCGCATAAACTCGGGTGCGGACTGCCATCGGTCTGAATCTTTGATTCAGCC CGGAGCTTCTGAAATCGCAGCTTCAACGAAGTTAAAAATTTGCCTAAACCTTAAAGGCAGCCTGCAA**CCCAATCCCCTCTCCCTG** TGGGAGAGGGC TAGGGAGAGGGCGGCAAACCGTAGGTTTGCTTGAGCGGTATTTTC AGGCTGCTTTTCAATTCGTT - NMB1880 GAAACCGCACTTTAGCTTCGCAGAAACCCCCCGCTTCCTCGGAAGCTCCGTTTTCAGACGACTCCCTACTTTTTCCCCCGCCGC

Figure 15 Validation of sRNA1880-1881 and generation of sRNA KO strain. (A) Schematic representation of sRNA1880-1881 locus and its expression profile on RNAseq. White and grey arrows indicate ORFs and sRNAs, respectively. Putative promoters are indicated by a bent arrow. Approximate positions of probes used for Northern blot experiments are highlighted. (B) Northern blot for sRNA1880-1881 expression in *N. meningitidis* WT and sRNA KO strains, using probes mapping on different regions of the RNAseq signal area. (C) Sequence (left) and predicted structure (right) of sRNA1880-1881. Putative regulatory and structural elements are highlighted.

48



Figure 16 sRNA1880-1881 is unique to meningococcus and closely associated with pathogen-specific genes. Schematic representation of sRNA1880-1881 locus and its conservation across *Neisseria* species. White and grey arrows indicate ORFs and sRNAs, respectively. Putative promoters are indicated by a bent arrow. Deletions in the sRNA sequence and changes in the putative promoter sequences are highlighted.

When amplifying across the NMB1880-1881 IGR, multiple PCR bands could be observed arising from *N. meningitidis* MC58 genomic DNA (Figure 17A). This suggests that amplicons of different sizes are present within the DNA population, reflecting variability in the IGR structure. Sequencing of cloned PCR products from NMB1880-1881 IGR amplification confirmed the presence of a duplicated sRNA1880-1881 sequence in our MC58 strain (Figure 17B), including the changes in the promoter sequence that are specific to strains harboring duplications (Figure 16). These findings indicate that the sRNA-encoding NMB1880-1881 IGR is a locus of high plasticity and subject to both in-strain and between-strain duplication events.

Taking our results together, we identified and validated a unique meningococcal sRNA that is closely associated to pathogen-specific genes and is prone to genetic rearrangement.



Figure 17 Evidence for in-strain duplication of sRNA1880-1881. (A) PCR amplification strategy for sRNA1880-1881 locus. (B) Schematic representation of expected locus sequence based on MC58 genome data and experimental results for sequencing of main IGR PCR band obtained in (A). White and grey arrows indicate ORFs and sRNAs, respectively. Putative promoters are indicated by a bent arrow. Changes in the putative promoter sequences are highlighted.

4.2.1 sRNA1880-1881 is not part of a regulatory network under *in vitro* conditions

To better understand the role of sRNA1880-1881 within the regulatory networks of meningococcus, we tested its expression by Northern blot on total RNAs from *N. meningitidis* exposed to different *in vitro* conditions mimicking physiologically relevant stresses, or from mutant strains lacking known regulators or factors involved in sRNA activity and stability. Our results indicate that sRNA1880-1881 is stably transcribed across all conditions tested, and shows little or no changes in expression compared to known regulated sRNAs such as NrrF (Figure 18A). Having already generated a knockout mutant of sRNA1880-1881 in the MC58 strain of *N. meningitidis* (Figure 15B and Figure 18B), we compared the expression profiles of the sRNA1880-1881 KO to the wild type strain using custom Agilent oligonucleotide microarrays (212). However, deletion of this sRNA did not impact global gene expression at either logarithmic or stationary phase of growth, apart from a polar effect of the resistance marker used in engineering the KO strain (Figure 18C).

Taken together, these results indicate that during *in vitro* growth sRNA1880-1881 does not integrate into a global regulatory network, either upstream or downstream of itself. Therefore, we hypothesized that this sRNA may have functional interactions with the pathogen-specific operon it is closely associated with.



Figure 18 sRNA1880-1881 is not part of a regulatory network under *in vitro* conditions. (A) Northern blot showing expression of sRNA1880-1881 compared to the known regulated sRNA NrrF. (B) Genetic makeup of the MC58 sRNA KO strain used for microarray experiments. (C) Representation of microarray results comparing MC58 WT and sRNA KO strains at logarithmic or stationary phase of growth ($p \le 0.05$). Red and green circles indicate the threshold used for calling genes up- and down-regulated, respectively.

4.2.2 Expression of sRNA1880-1881 limits transcription of neighboring genes in vitro.

As the NMB1880-1881-1882 operon is not expressed in vitro according to our RNAseq (Figure 19A), any effect of the sRNA1880-1881 deletion on the surrounding genes is difficult to measure. To elucidate the relationship between the sRNA and its neighboring genes, we generated a set of isogenic mutants of N. meningitidis strain MC58 driving inducible expression of the operon surrounding the sRNA. All the mutants in our panel express the NMB1880-1881-1882 genes under the control of a *lac*-repressed P_{tac} promoter, and they differ only by the architecture of the NMB1880-1881 IGR. In addition to reconstructing the wild-type IGR (sRNA wt strain) and generating a complete deletion mutant of sRNA1880-1881 (sRNA null strain), we also introduced engineered copies of sRNA1880-1881, carrying multiple point mutations in the putative promoter (sRNA mutP strain) or in the GC-rich stretches (sRNA mutGC strain) which are predicted to disrupt transcription of the sRNA and its structural features, respectively (Figure 19B). We induced expression of the operon from the isogenic mutants and compared the level of steady-state RNA for each gene to the wild type MC58 strain by qRT-PCR. Interestingly, in the sRNA wt strain we observed operon and sRNA expression at a very similar level to that of MC58, confirming that our isogenic mutants are correctly reproducing the functional architecture of this region in meningococcus (Fig. 19C, first and second cluster). Changing the putative promoter sequence from the optimal consensus reduces sRNA transcription almost as dramatically as a complete deletion, as we observed in strains sRNA mutP and sRNA null, respectively (Figure 19C, third and fourth cluster). This result highlights the relevance of the identified promoter elements for sRNA expression. On the other hand, disrupting the GCrich structural features as in the sRNA mutGC strain does not seem to impact the steady-state level of sRNA1880-1881 in a significant way (Figure 19C, fifth cluster). Regarding NMB1880-1881-1882 expression, we observe that lack of transcription of the sRNA increases IPTG induction of the operon RNA (Figure 19C, third and fourth cluster), suggesting that active transcription of sRNA1880-1881 may limit operon expression, either by interfering with the transcription machinery on the opposite DNA strand or by modulating the steady-state level of the operon messenger RNA.

Further studies will be needed to elucidate the molecular mechanisms governing the functional interaction of sRNA1880-1881 with its neighboring genes, and to identify the physiological relevance of this regulation for meningococcus.

52



Figure 19 Expression of sRNA1880-1881 limits transcription of neighboring genes *in vitro*. (A) Schematic representation of sRNA1880-1881 locus and its expression profile on RNAseq. Solid and striped arrows indicate ORFs and sRNA, respectively. (B) Schematic representation of MC58 isogenic mutants for inducible expression of the NMB1880-1881-1882 operon. Squares indicate elements of the inducible P_{ind} promoter system. Engineered mutations in the sRNA promoter and in the GC-rich sequence are highlighted. (C) qRT-PCR expression levels for sRNA1880-1881 and the surrounding genes in the same panel of strains as in (B), upon induction of the P_{ind} promoter with 1 µM IPTG.

5 Discussion

The ability of microorganisms to detect and respond to variable external conditions, such as environmental stress and carbon sources availability in different niches, requires a coordination of sensing mechanisms and regulatory circuits (253) and is often crucial for the adaptation of pathogenic bacteria to the host environment.

In the first part of this work, we show the transcriptional profile of N. meningitidis in response to glucose, one of the main carbon sources that meningococcus encounters in its different niches of colonization. Glucose induces the differential expression of a high number of genes, and interestingly some of them are found to have the same trend of regulation in response to human blood (123), where glucose is abundant. In N. meningitidis, glucose is mainly metabolized through the Entner-Doudoroff (ED) pathway and to a lesser extent by the pentose phosphate (PP) pathway (254, 255), while the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) pathway is not fully functional, because it lacks the phosphofructokinase gene (40). Similarly, in *Pseudomonas putida* the ED pathway synthesizes the major part of the pyruvate (67-87%) and the PP pathway accounts for the remaining part (256). Accordingly, in our microarray analysis we found genes driving glucose catabolism through these pathways (glk, zwf, edd, eda, pgl, pgil, gapB, pykA) to be highly up-regulated by the presence of glucose (Figure 20). On the other hand, genes from the gluconeogenesis (pgi2, gapA) are down-regulated in presence of glucose (Figure 20). This can have physiologically relevant consequences since gapA was shown to play a role also in adhesion (257) and is controlled by the repressor NadR (122). Furthermore, also the acetate kinase *ackA2*, involved in acetate production from acetyl-coenzyme-A (acetyl-CoA), was down-regulated by glucose (Figure 20). Since the catabolism of glucose results in the accumulation of acetate, a feedback effect of acetate surplus could explain this observation. Similarly, other pathways that would be directly affected by an overabundance of acetyl-CoA are found repressed in presence of glucose, such as the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle enzymes prpB, prpC, lpdA3, sdhABCD and sucCD (Figure 20). Indeed, growth on glucose has been reported to reduce the levels of TCA cycle enzymes also in gonococci (258, 259). Interestingly, the glucoserepressed genes encoding for the TCA cycle enzymes are also repressed in the glucose-rich human blood (123, 124) and in a recent report, the TCA cycle prp operon has been suggested

to help *N. meningitidis* in colonization of the propionate-rich and glucose-poor oral cavity of adults (260). Also the NADH-specific glutamate dehydrogenase *gludD* was found repressed in presence of glucose as well as the *putP-putA* operon involved in glutamate degradation and proline utilization. This suggests an interconnection between the carbon catabolism and the nitrogen metabolism in response to carbon source availability. On the other hand, the NADPH-specific *gdhA* was up-regulated by glucose. High-level expression of *gdhA* is dependent on ammonia assimilation from the TCA cycle intermediate 2-oxoglutarate and may result in growth advantages when glucose concentration is higher than that of lactate (261), such as in human whole blood, where *gdhA* has been found upregulated (123).

Overall, we observed that *N. meningitidis* response to glucose modulates a higher number of genes compared to its response to other environmental signals such as zinc (17 genes, (120)), lactate (23 genes, data not shown) or iron (83 genes, (118, 119)). Furthermore, 11 genes found differentially expressed in presence of glucose show a similar pattern of expression when *N. meningitidis* is exposed to lactate (data not shown), suggesting that these genes respond to the availability of a carbon source rather than to the type of sugar added. Most of these genes encode for hypothetical proteins, but also proteins related to cell envelope such as NMB0342 (*ispA*), NMB1729 (*exbB*) and NMB0543 (*lctP*).

This work identified a RpiR-family HexR regulator controlling the central carbon metabolism of *N. meningitidis* in response to glucose (Figure 20). The number of genes differentially expressed in a $\Delta hexR$ strain of *N. meningitidis* is similar to what has beeen reported for other proteobacteria (262). In other species, members of this family can be repressors such as RpiR *in Escherichia coli* (263), transcriptional activators like the GlvR of *B. subtilis* that modulates maltose metabolism (264) or dual-purpose transcriptional factors like HexR in *Pseudomonas putida* (244), *P. aeruginosa* (265) and *Shewanella oneidensis* (262). The *N. meningitidis* HexR acts as a repressor by binding specific DNA sequences within the promoters of its target genes, albeit with different affinities. In our DNA-protein footprinting experiments, we identified a 100 nM HexR affinity site within the promoter of *zwf* as well as a similar affinity site (128 nM) in the *edd* promoter, while a second site within the *edd* promoter required 640 nM HexR for protection. Similar results were obtained in *P. putida*, where 100 nM - 3µM of HexR were necessary to bind to the operators within the *zwf* and *edd/gap-1* promoter regions by DNA footprinting (244). Perhaps interestingly, when looking at the transcriptome data the fold change for *edd* containing two HexR operators is

almost double that for *zwf*, where only one operator was identified. Overall, our *in vitro* data agree with what has been reported for HexR in other proteobacteria species, where it directly regulates the transcription of central carbon metabolism encoding genes (262). This is the case of *P. putida*, where HexR binds to the promoter region of the *zwf-1* gene and functions as a repressor (266) or in *S. oneidensis*, where HexR was shown to bind to DNA regions of several genes including the *zwf-pgl-edd-eda* operon (262). In other species, HexR glucose-responsive binding to the promoters of its target genes has been shown to be mediated by the ED pathway metabolite KDPG. Since the *N. meningitidis* HexR protein is divergent from its homologs in *P. putida* and *S. oneidensis*, this could explain why we did not observe an effect for KDPG on HexR DNA-binding affinity under the *in vitro* conditions used. Nevertheless, the HexR-binding DNA consensus is very similar between *N. meningitidis*, *P. putida* and *Shewanella spp.*, and common HexR-responsive genes are found between these species (244).



Figure 20 Model of glucose- and HexR-mediated regulation in *N. meningitidis.* Schematic representation of the main metabolic pathways affected by glucose availability. Genes significantly up- (red) and down-regulated (green) by glucose are shown. Genes subject to glucose-responsive HexR repression are highlighted. ED, Entner-Doudoroff pathway; PP, pentose phosphate pathway; EMP, Embden–Meyerhof–Parnas pathway; TCA, tri-carboxylic acids cycle.

In *E. coli*, expression of the enzymes in the ED pathway is essential for the colonization of the gastrointestinal tract (267), whereas in *P. putida* the ED pathway plays an important role for the generation of redox currency that is required to counteract oxidative stress (268). Similarly, our results indicate that a meningococcal strain lacking *hexR* shows reduced fitness during *in vivo* infection, indicating the importance of this transcriptional regulator not only in the metabolic adaptation but also in the survival of *N. meningitidis* within the host. It would be interesting to investigate during which steps of the infection, such as adhesion, colonization, and/or multiplication is HexR mostly expressed *in vivo* and therefore regulating its targets.

It is interesting to note that although *N. meningitidis* inhabits different niches in the host (such as the nasopharynx, blood or meninges) where nutrient availability is very diverse, it uses a restrict range of carbon sources, does not have a complete EMP pathway for carbon metabolism and has no equivalent to known global carbon catabolite regulators. This means that meningococcus does not follow the same paradigm of carbon catabolite repression (CCR) as reported for *Enterobacteria* or gram-positive low G+C bacteria, and that HexR plays a major role in the biology of *N. meningitidis* by regulating its central carbon metabolism in response to environmental signals. However, we have also shown that not all glucose responsive genes are regulated through HexR, such as *nspA*, suggesting that other mechanisms either transcriptional or post-transcriptional could impact gene expression in response to glucose.

In the second part of this work, we report the curated identification of novel non-coding transcripts in *N. meningitidis*. RNAseq experiments are the *de facto* golden standard for discovery of novel small non-coding RNAs (221, 269, 270). We chose to explore the transcriptome of meningococci grown either under standard *in vitro* conditions or under iron limitation. Iron-regulated genes of *N. meningitidis* are both relevant to the infection process (109) and well characterized in the literature (118, 119, 132), providing us with solid benchmarks against which to validate our analyses. The number of small intergenic transcripts identified by curation of our RNAseq data is in line with recent findings on *in vitro* cultured *Neisseria* (210). In another recent report, a notably larger number of candidate sRNAs has been reported in gonococcus (211), highlighting the stringency of the criteria applied to our RNAseq curation. We identified different classes of sRNA transcripts, the most numerous being intergenic sRNAs, but we also identified 4 particularly long (100-300

nt) 5'-untranslated regions (UTRs) of genes. These may have regulatory functions in cis as riboswitches or thermosensors (158, 271) or even act as independent sRNAs after processing of a primary longer transcript (272). Interestingly, we also identified 10 putative transcripts of diverse lengths (70-500 nt) arising from the NIME repeat regions of the meningococcal genome (101). In order to validate our candidates, we derived information from a microarray analysis of meningococcal sRNAs differentially expressed under diverse in vitro conditions (Fagnocchi et al., submitted). In this report, the stationary phase of growth represents the condition in which most differentially expressed intergenic transcripts were identified, comprising 68% and 76% of total up- and down-regulated putative sRNAs, respectively. Accordingly, in our Northern blot experiments we could confirm the identification of 5 novel and 1 previously reported meningococcal sRNAs being induced in stationary phase, 1 novel sRNA arising from the processing of a 5'-UTR being repressed in stationary phase, as well as 1 previously identified sRNA expressed in presence of glucose. It should be noted that while putative sRNAs were identified based on the RNAseq profile of a standard midlogarithmic in vitro growth, Northern blot experiments for 4 out of the 8 tested sRNAs did not detect any signal from log phase total RNA samples. Due to the large difference in sensitivity between the two techniques, even a high number of reads for a sRNA in the midlogarithmic RNAseq dataset does not necessarily correlate to a commensurate Northern blot signal, in particular when the level of expression in the condition of maximum induction (i.e. stationary phase) is many fold higher than in the reference condition.

Our *in vivo* experiments using a murine model of bacteremia showed that knocking out three sRNAs (BNS1, sRNA0898-0899 and sRNA1400-1401) affects the *in vivo* survival of *N. meningitidis*. Interestingly, the knockout of BNS1 generated the lergest CI values, suggesting a relevant role for this sRNA on meningococcal survival during infection. A genome-wide screening of insertional mutants of *N. meningitidis* (44) identified 73 genes that are essential for bacteremia, comprising genes involved in the same processes in which BNS1 has been implicated through microarray experiments: energy metabolism and transport of metabolic molecules, amino acid biosynthesis and purine, pyrimidine, nucleosides and nucleotides biosynthesis (Fagnocchi et al., *submitted*). Taken together with the results of HexR deletion in the same model of bacteremia, this highlights the tight correlation between the carbon metabolism of *N. meningitidis* and its survival in the host environment during infection. Several studies describe the emergence of sRNAs as

regulators of metabolism, with several found to act at the interface of bacterial metabolism and virulence factor expression. For instance, the *E. coli* Spot42 sRNA selectively turns off the synthesis of enzymes required for galactose metabolism when a preferred carbon source is available (273) and synergizes with the global transcriptional regulator Crp to contribute to the overall efficiency of CCR (274). Since there is no evidence of CCR regulators in the *N. meningitidis* genome, this highlights the importance of other mechanisms for regulation of metabolism, such as the HexR and BNS1/GntR regulatory networks. Furthermore, although deletion of three more sRNAs (BNS2, sRNA0899-0900, sRNA1923-1934) does not alter meningococcal fitness in this model, we cannot exclude that they may be involved in functions related to meningococcal pathogenesis that are not assessed by the model used.

In this study we also report the discovery and initial characterization of a novel sRNA unique to meningococcus, transcribed antisense to an operon silent *in vitro*. The sequence of sRNA1880-1881 harbors two long complementary GC-rich stretches and is highly susceptible to copy number variation (CNV) both between different *N. meningitidis* strains as well as within different clones of the same strain. Recently, another sRNA harboring a GC-rich sequence capable of forming a complex secondary structure has been implicated in the antigenic variation of the gonococcal pilus via a recombination-dependent mechanism (214). The gonococcal *pilE* sRNA and the novel sRNA1880-1881 share no similarities apart from the presence of one or more GC-rich sequences. However, since these sequences are highly conserved across meningococcal genomes even when other parts of sRNA1880-1881 may differ, it would be interesting to investigate whether CNV of this sRNA depends on the formation of secondary structures similarly to what has been reported for *N. gonorrhoeae*, or meningococcus uses a different mechanism altogether.

The sRNA locus includes two operons: the NMB1880-1881-1882 operon comprises two genes encoding a putative iron-uptake system and a short hypothetical protein, while the diverging NMB1878/1879 gene is a member of the AraC family of transcriptional regulators (275). The homologue of the NMB1882 gene in *N. gonorrhoeae* has been shown to associate with the intracellular survival of invading gonococci (251). On the other hand, the AraC-like regulator is a homologue of MpeR in *N. gonorrhoeae*, which has been shown to activate expression of genes involved in iron uptake and antibiotic resistance (276, 277). The meningococcal MpeR has been shown to bind to the divergent promoter region of NMB1880, however transcriptional profiling could not detect any gene differentially

expressed upon deletion or overexpression of MpeR (278). This strongly suggests that the meningococcal MpeR protein is not active in regulating gene expression under in vitro conditions. On the contrary, sRNA1880-1881 is stably expressed under all the in vitro conditions tested, including iron limitation and lack of the Fur iron-responsive regulator. Furthermore, the steady-state transcript level of sRNA1880-1881 is not affected by deletion of the *hfq* gene, indicating that Hfq is likely not involved in the stability and/or turnover of this sRNA (168). Transcriptome profiling indicates that sRNA1880-1881 is not affecting global gene expression in vitro, however expression of this sRNA limits IPTG-induced transcription of the NMB1880-1881-1882 operon on the opposite strand. This suggests that the sRNA may have a role in tightly controlling the expression of the neighboring genes it is closely associated to, under conditions that do not require their activity. Since this operon is required for intracellular survival of invasive Neisseriae (251), it is tempting to speculate that the lack of activity of the MpeR regulator and the stable expression of sRNA1880-1881 observed under in vitro conditions may be reversed during invasion of cells in the host, possibly leading to activation of an intracellular iron scavenging system. Further experiments will be needed to elucidate during which steps of the infection, such as adhesion and/or invasion of epithelial cells, may expression of sRNA1880-1881 and its surrounding genes be regulated.

6 Materials and Methods

6.1 Bacterial strains and culture conditions

N. meningitidis strains (Table 3) were routinely cultured in GC-based (Difco) agar medium supplemented with Kellogg's supplement I (279). Liquid cultures were grown to mid-logarithmic or stationary phase in GC-based medium with Kellogg's supplement I or in Catlin 6 modified medium (C6) with/without the addition of 1% glucose (w/v) (280) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Strains were stocked in GC medium with 15% glycerol and stored at -80° C. When required, erythromycin (5 µg/ml), chloramphenicol (5 µg/ml), kanamycin (100 µg/ml) and/or isopropylβ-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (1 mM) were added to culture media at the indicated final concentrations. For RNA sampling under different stress conditions, *N. meningitidis* was exposed to stresses as follows: 1) iron limitation: midlogarithmic cultures in GC medium exposed for 5 min to 250 µM 2,2-dipyridyl (Sigma), 2) heat shock: mid-logarithmic GC cultures grown in C6 minimal medium, 4) glucose availability: mid-logarithmic cultures in C6 medium with the addition of 1% glucose (w/v), 5) stationary phase: RNA collected from cultures 3 hours past mid-logarithmic phase, 6) late stationary phase: RNA collected from cultures 6 hours past mid-logarithmic phase.

Escherichia coli DH5 α (281) and BL21 (DE3) strains (282) were grown in Luria-Bertani medium, and when required, ampicillin and/or IPTG were added to achieve a final concentration of 100 μ g/ml and 1 mM, respectively.

6.2 Construction of mutant and complementation strains

DNA manipulations were carried out routinely as described for standard laboratory methods (283). In order to obtain a *hexR* mutant of the MC58 and 2996 strains by replacing it with a kanamycin cassette, the pGEMT-*hexR*KO::Kan plasmid was constructed. The downstream region of the *hexR* gene containing 176 bp of NMB1388 and 119 bp of the *hexR* gene was amplified by PCR with primers HexR1/HexR2 generating a XbaI/BamHI fragment (Table 4). Then the upstream region of *hexR* containing 311 bp of NMB 1390 and 90 bp of the *hexR* gene was amplified by PCR with primers HexR3/HexR4 generating a *BamHI/Hind*III

fragment (Table 4). Both fragments were inserted into pGEMT vector (Promega), and a kanamycin cassette was inserted into the *Bam*HI site, between the flanking regions, generating pGEMT-*hexR*KO::Kan (Table 3). The plasmid was then linearized and used for transformation to make a *hexR* knockout mutant by selection on kanamycin leading to the generation of MC58 $\Delta hexR$ and 2996 $\Delta hexR$ strains, respectively (Table 3).

For the complementation of the MC58 $\Delta hexR$ null mutant, the *hexR* gene under the control of the P_{tac} promoter and the *lacI* repressor was re-inserted into the intergenic region between the converging open reading frames (ORFs) NMB1428 and NMB1429, by transforming with pComCmrP_{ind}-*hex*R (Table 3), a derivative plasmid of the pSLComCm^R (284), in which the *hexR* gene was amplified from the MC58 strain with the primers GG006/GG007 (Table 4) and cloned as a 849 bp *NdeI/Nsi*I fragment downstream of the P_{tac} promoter. This plasmid was transformed into the MC58 $\Delta hexR$ strain and transformants were selected on chloramphenicol.

To generate sRNA-KO mutant strains, the upstream and downstream flanking regions of each sRNA were amplified by PCR with specific primer pairs (Table 4). Then the respective upstream and downstream flanking regions were fused through self-priming PCR, amplified with external primer pairs and cloned as PCR products carrying a *BamHI* or *XmaI* restriction site between upstream and downstream flanking regions in the pGEMT (Promega) or pBluescript (Novagen) vector. The plasmids containing the sRNA flanking regions were digested with *BamHI* or *XmaI* and an erythromycin cassette was inserted generating pGEMT- or pBluescript-sRNAKO plasmids (Table 3). Following linearization these plasmids were used to transform MC58 and 2996 strains, generating the corresponding Δ sRNA strains (Table 4).

In order to construct MC58 isogenic mutants for inducible expression of the NMB1880-1881-1882 operon, a KO mutant of the region of interest was generated. The pGEMT-1880KO::Ery plasmid was constructed as follows: flanking regions comprising the NMB1878-1879 and NMB1881-1882 sequences were amplified from genomic DNA with oligos GG180/GG181 and GG186/GG188 respectively (Table 4), then fused through selfpriming PCR, amplified with external primer pairs and cloned as a PCR product carrying a *BamHI* restriction site between upstream and downstream flanking regions into pGEMT vector (Promega), generating pGEMT-FLA-1880 (Table 3); then an erythromycin cassette was inserted into the *BamHI* site, between the flanking regions, generating pGEMT-

1880KO::Ery (Table 3). The plasmid was linearized and used for transformation to generate a MC58 1880KO knockout mutant (Table 3) by selection on erythromycin. To construct the isogenic complementation mutants, first the pCOM1880-P_{ind}-null construct was generated. Flanking regions comprising the NMB1878-1879 and NMB1881-1882 sequences were amplified from genomic DNA with oligos GG180/GG185 and GG187/GG188 respectively (Table 4), while the NMB1880 gene was amplified with oligos GG189/GG190 (Table 4). The three PCR products were then cloned into pComCmrPind as XmaI/XbaI, NsiI/SpeI and NdeI/NsiI fragments respectively, generating pCOM1880-Pind-null (Table 3). In vitro synthesis (Life Technologies) produced plasmids pMK-sRNAwt, pMK-sRNAmutP and pMK-sRNAmutGC, each harboring one copy of sRNA1880-1881 with either the wild type sequence, or a sequence with mutations in the promoter elements or in the GC-rich stretches (Table 3). Subcloning of these sequences into pCOM1880-P_{ind}-null as XhoI/NsiI fragments generated pCOM1880-Pind-sRNAwt, pCOM1880-Pind-sRNAmutP and pCOM1880-PindsRNAmutGC respectively (Table 3). The four plasmid were linearized and used for transformation to generate the MC58 isogenic mutants of the same name (Table 3) by selection on chloramphenicol. All transformants were verified by PCR analysis for the correct insertion by a double homologous recombination event.

6.3 RNA preparation

Bacterial cultures were grown in liquid medium to an OD_{600} of 0.5-0.7 and then added to equal volume of frozen medium to bring the temperature immediately to 4°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3400 g for 20 minutes. In preparation for transcriptome experiments, total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA was extracted from three independent bacterial cultures and 15 µg of each sample were pooled together. Three independent RNA pools were prepared for each condition tested.

For Northern blot analysis of sRNA expression, total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, bacterial pellets were resuspended in 1 ml of TRIzol reagent and incubated at room temperature for 5 minutes. Then the aqueous phase was extracted by adding 0.2 ml of chloroform (Sigma), thouroughly mixing, and centrifuging at 12000 x g for 15 minutes. Nucleic acids were then precipitated from the aqueous phase by adding 1 volume of 100% ethanol and 0.1 volumes

of 3 M sodium acetate (Sigma) (pH 5.2) and incubating 30 minutes on dry ice. After incubation, samples were centrifuged again at 12000 x g for 30 minutes, washed with 1 volume of 70% ethanol in water (v/v), and dried at room temperature. Pellets containing nucleic acids were added of 80 μ l of DEPC-treated water (Ambion) and left to resuspend overnight, then treated with 10 μ l RQ1 DNase (Promega) for 1 hour at 37°C. The DNA-free RNA was then extracted with phenol:chloroform:isoamylalcohol (Sigma) and precipitated as above. Dried RNA pellets were left to resuspend overnight in DEPC-treated water, then stored at -80°C.

6.4 Northern blot

Northern blot analysis was carried out using the Northern-Max kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, 3-5 μ g of total DNA-free RNA were fractionated on 1% agarose-formaldehyde gel and transferred onto an Hybond XL nylon membrane (GE Healthcare) through capillary blotting. Then 5 pmol of radioactively labeled primers (Table 4) were used as probes. Hybridization was performed at 37°C overnight, low-stringency washes at room temperature.

6.5 Microarray procedures, hybridization and analysis

DNA microarray analysis was performed using an Agilent custom-designed oligonucleotide arrays. Briefly, cDNA probes were prepared from 5 μ g of RNA pools and hybridized as described previously (212). Three hybridizations were performed using cDNA probes from three independent pools. Differentially expressed genes were assessed by grouping all log₂ ratios of the Cy5 and Cy3 values corresponding to each gene, within experimental replicas and spot replicas, and comparing them against the zero value by Student's *t* test statistics (one tail).

6.6 RNA sequencing

Whole transcriptome pair-ended cDNA libraries were synthesized using the Ambion RNAseq Library Construction Kit (Life Technologies) from total RNAs extracted from *N. meningitidis* cultures grown to mid-logarithmic phase under standard *in vitro* conditions

or exposed to iron limitation. Libraries were sequenced with a HiSeq2000 platform (Illumina). Reads were mapped with bowtie 0.12.7 (285) on the *Neisseria meningitidis* MC58 reference genome NC_003112.2 (NCBI), then visualized on the Artemis genome browser (286).

6.7 Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) experiments

2 µg of total RNA treated with Tubo-free DNase (Ambion) was reverse transcribed using random hexamer primers and M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) following the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time quantitative RT-PCR was performed with triplicate biological samples in a 25 µl reaction mixture containing 80 ng of cDNA, 1X Brilliant II SYBR green quantitative PCR master mixture (Agilent) and 0.2 µM of gene-specific primers (Table 3). Amplification and detection of specific products were performed with an LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR system (Roche) using the following procedure: 95°C for 10min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 1 min and 72°C for 30 s then ending with a dissociation curve analysis. The 16S rRNA gene was used as the endogenous reference control and the relative transcript change was determined using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ relative quantification method (287). Student's *t*-test was used to calculate statistical significance (*p* < 0.05).

6.8 Expression and purification of recombinant HexR

The *hexR* gene was amplified from the MC58 genome using primers GG012/GG013 (Table 3) and cloned as a 843 bp fragment into pET15b(+) (Life Technologies) vector via the polymerase-incomplete primer extension (PIPE) enzyme-free cloning method (288), generating pET15b-HexR plasmid (Table 4). This plasmid was transformed into the *E. coli* BL21(DE3) strain and the expression of a recombinant HexR protein containing a N-terminal histidine tag (His-tag), was induced by the addition of 1 mM IPTG and grown at 25°C for 6 h, and the protein was purified by Ni-NTA (Qiagen) affinity chromatography under non-denaturing conditions according to the manufacturer's instructions. In brief, IPTG-induced *E. coli* cultures from above were concentrated in Lysis Buffer (20 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, chicken egg lysozyme 1 mg/ml), supplemented with Complete EDTA-Free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche) and incubated 30 min at 4°C.

Lysis was then performed by sonication, and cleared, filtered supernatants were applied to the column for nickel-affinity purification. After washing the Ni-NTA resin with 12.5 volumes of Wash Buffer (20 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl, 25 mM imidazole), the His-HexR protein was eluted with 2.5 volumes of Elution Buffer (20 mM Tris, 500 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole). Eluted fractions were collected and pooled together, and protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford colorimetric method (Bio-Rad). Pooled eluates were then dialyzed four times against 150 volumes of Storage Buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 10-50% glycerol) increasing the concentration of glycerol stepwise, up to 50% final glycerol.

6.9 DNase I Footprinting

The zwf and edd promoter regions were amplified with the primer pairs GG034/GG035 and GG036/GG037 respectively. The PCR products were purified and cloned into pGEMT vector (Promega) as 321 bp and 386 bp fragments generating pGEMT-P_{zwf} and pGEMT-P_{edd} respectively. Two pmol sample of each plasmid was end labeled by T4 polynucleotide kinase with $[\gamma^{-32}]$ ATP after digestion at either the *XhoI* or *BamHI* site introduced by PCR with the oligos above. Following a second digestion with either BamHI or XhoI, the labeled probes were purified by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) as described previously (116). DNA-protein binding reactions were carried out for 15min at room temperature in footprinting buffer (20 mM Tris HCl pH 8, 50 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 0.05% Nonidet-40) containing 60 fmol of labeled probe, 100 ng of salmon sperm DNA and a range of HexR concentrations as indicated in the figures. Samples were then treated with 0.3 U of DNase I (Roche) for 2 min at room temperature. DNase I digestion were stopped and samples purified loaded and run on a 8 M urea 6% polyacrylamide gel as described previously (289). Where indicated, reactions were supplemented with the phosphosugars 3-Deoxy-2-keto-6-phosphogluconic acid (Sigma), glucose 6-phosphate (Sigma), fructose 1,6-diphosphate (Sigma) or 6-phosphogluconic acid (Sigma) at concentrations of 400 - 4000 µM prior to addition of the labeled probe. A G+A sequence reaction (290) was performed for each probe and run in parallel to the footprinting reactions.
6.10 Bioinformatic analysis of the HexR binding site

The HexR-binding consensus sequence was derived from aligning the three 17-bp sites mapped on the *zwf* and *edd* promoters by DNase I footprinting. The sequence of each intergenic region from the MC58 strain genome was extracted and scanned *in silico* for the presence of HexR-binding motifs via the EMBOSS fuzznuc algorithm (Alan Bleasby, 2000). Structure of operons and putative transcriptional start sites were determined based on the RNA sequencing experiment.

6.11 Bioinformatic analyses of small RNAs

Open reading frames were predicted by submitting the sRNA sequence to the NCBI ORF Finder. Results were then manually curated by analyzing the sequence upstream of each putative ORF looking for matches to the consensus Shine-Dalgarno ribosomal binding sequence (AGGAGGU) around 6 bp upstream of the predicted starting codon. ORFs lacking a good RBS or predicted to be shorter than 10 aminoacids were discarded from the analysis. RNA secondary structures were predicted with the mfold web server (291). Conservation analysis of sRNA1880-1881 was performed by aligning the NMB1877-NMB1882 genomic region from MC58 strain with the homologous regions in available neisserial genomes. Results were visualized and interpreted by means of Geneious software (BioMatters).

6.12 Amplification and sequencing of NMB1880-1881 intergenic region

The intergenic region harboring sRNA1880-1881 was amplified from the MC58 strain with the primers GG103/GG106 (Table 4). Multiple products arising from *Taq* PCR amplification were separated on agarose gel, purified and TA-cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega). Clones positive for the presence of an insert in the pGEM-T multiple cloning site were sequenced with different oligos spanning across the NMB1880-1881 IGR (Table 4) and the resulting reads were assembled to reconstruct the IGR sequence of our MC58 clone.

6.13 Disc diffusion viability assays

Sensitivity of *N. meningitidis* strains to different stress agents was assayed by means of a modified Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method (292). In brief, approximately 5 x 10^8 bacteria from mid-logarithmic phase cultures were inoculated in 6 ml of GC soft agar (8 g/l) and poured onto square GC plates (25 ml). After 30 min, up to five Whatman paper discs (6-mm diameter; GE Healthcare) were applied to the plate and 10 µl of the agent to be tested was applied to each disc. The plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C, 5% CO2 and then the diameter of growth inhibition was recorded. At least three plates were prepared for each agent tested, and we measured the growth inhibition zone twice for each disc. Each experiment was performed at least two times on different days. The results were averaged, and the error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. All the agents tested were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

6.14 In vivo infant rat model

The infant rat model was used as previously described (293). Briefly, bacteria were grown to mid-log phase in GC medium, washed, and resuspended at the desired concentration in PBS. Six to eight-day-old pups from litters of outbred Wistar rats (Charles River) were challenged intraperitoneally with the 2996 wild-type and the isogenic knockout mutant strains at a 1:1 ratio to establish mixed infections. Groups of infant rats were used for each infectious dose of 4.5 x 10³ or 4.5 x 10⁴ CFUs respectively. A control group of 9 infant rats was injected with PBS. After 18h post bacterial challenge, blood samples were obtained by cheek puncture, and aliquots (100 µl of undiluted sera as well as 1/10 and 1/100 dilutions) were plated onto columbia agar supplemented with 5% horse blood with or without kanamycin for viable cell counting. The number of CFU/ml found in blood was determined after overnight incubation of the plates at 37 °C in a 5% CO2 atmosphere. Enumeration of wild-type bacteria and mutant bacteria allowed to determine the competitive index (CI) ratio using the following formula: CI=(WT output/mutant output)/(WT input/mutant input). Observed CIs from the two infectious doses follow the same statistical distribution and were pooled to increase the power of the analysis. Statistical significance was assessed with the Mann-Whitney test.

6.15 Ethics statement

All animal trials were carried out in compliance with current Italian legislation on the care and use of animals in experimentation (Legislative Decree 116/92) and with the Novartis Animal Welfare Policy and Standards. Protocols were approved by the Italian Ministry of Health (Authorization D.M. n. 166/2012 - B) and by the local Novartis Animal Welfare Body (Research Project AWB 201202). Following infection, animals were clinically monitored daily for criteria related to their ability to feed, reactivity and motility, and cutaneous redness. After 18 hours all animals were alive and normally reactive, and were euthanized by cervical dislocation, as pre-established in agreement with Novartis Animal Welfare Policies.

$\label{eq:Table 3} Table \ 3 \ \mbox{Plasmids and strains used in this study}.$

Name	Description	Antibiotic resistance	Reference
pGEMT	Cloning vector	Ampicillin	Promega
pGEMT-hexRKO::Kan	Plasmid for deletion of Nm hexR gene by homologous recombination	Ampicillin, Kanamycin	This study
pGEMT-P _{zef}	Plasmid harboring 321 bp promoter fragment upstream of zwf gene	Ampicillin	This study
pGEMT-Pedd	Plasmid harboring 386 bp promoter fragment upstream of edd gene	Ampicillin	This study
pGEMT-FLA-s17	pGEMT containing the flanking region of sRNA0899-0900 with a BamHI site in the middle	Ampicillin	This study
pGEMT-s17KO::Ery	pGEMT-FLA-s17 derivative in which a 1200bp Ery cassette was cloned as a BamHI fragment between flanking regions	Ampicillin, Erythromycin	This study
pGEMT-FLA-s27	pGEMT containing the flanking region of sRNA1400-1401 with a BamHI site in the middle	Ampicillin	This study
pGEMT-s27KO::Ery	pGEMT-FLA-s27 derivative in which a 1200bp Ery cassette was cloned as a BamHI fragment between flanking regions	Ampicillin, Erythromycin	This study
pGEMT-FLA-s38	pGEMT containing the flanking region of sRNA1923-1924 with a BamHI site in the middle	Ampicillin	This study
pGEMT-s38KO::Ery	pGEMT-FLA-s38 derivative in which a 1200bp Ery cassette was cloned as a BamHI fragment between flanking regions	Ampicillin, Erythromycin	This study
pGEMT-FLA-SRS3	pGEMT containing the flanking region of sRNA1880-1801 with a BamHI site in the middle	Ampicillin	This study
pGEMT-SRS3::Ery	pGEMT-FLA-SRS3 derivative in which a 1200bp Ery cassette was cloned as a BamHI fragment between flanking regions	Ampicillin, Erythromycin	This study
pGEMT-FLA-1880	pGEMT containing the flanking regions of NMB1880 and sRNA1880-1881 with a BamHI site in the middle	Ampicillin	This study
pGEMT-1880KO::Ery	pGEMT-FLA-1880 derivative in which a 1200bp Ery cassette was cloned as a BamHI fragment between flanking regions	Ampicillin, Erythromycin	This study
pBluescript (pBS-KS)	Cloning vector	Ampicillin	Novagen
pBS-KS-FLA-IG26	pBS-KS containing the flanking region of sRNA0898-0899 with a Xmal site in the middle	Ampicillin	This study
pBS-KS-IG26::Ery	pBS-KS-FLA-IG26 derivative in which a 1200bp Ery cassette was cloned as a Xmal fragment between flanking regions	Ampicillin, Erythromycin	This study
pBS-KS-FLA-BNS1	pBS-KS containing the flanking region of BNS1 with a XmaI site in the middle	Ampicillin	This study
pBS-KS-BNS1::Ery	pBS-KS-FLA-BNS1 derivative in which a 1200bp Ery cassette was cloned as a Xmal fragment between flanking regions	Ampicillin, Erythromycin	This study
pBS-KS-FLA-BNS2	pBS-KS containing the flanking region of BNS2 with a Xmal site in the middle	Ampicillin	This study
pBS-KS-BNS2::Ery	pBS-KS-FLA-BNS2 derivative in which a 1200bp Ery cassette was cloned as a xmail tragment between flanking regions	Ampicillin, Erythromycin	Inis study
DMK aPNAut	Cioning vector	Kanamycin	Life Technologies
pwik-skiwawi	Vector nationing one copy of skink robo-root, denived norm in vitro synthesis	Kanamychi	This study
pMK-sRNAmutP	Vector harboring one copy of sRNA1880-1881 with mutations disrupting its promoter sequence, derived from <i>in vitro</i> synthesis	Kanamycin	This study
pMK-sRNAmutGC	vector harboring one copy or SRNA 1880-1881 with mutations disrupting paining or its GC-rich stretches, derived from in vitro synthesis	Kanamycin	This study
pET15b(+)	Plasmid for inducible expression of histidine-tagged recombinant proteins in E. coli	Ampicillin	Life Technologies
pET15b(+)-hexR	Plasmid for expression and purification of histidine-tagged HexR in E. coli	Ampicillin	This study
pComCmrP _{ind}	Plasmid for allelic replacement at a chromosomal location between ORFs NMB1428 and NMB1429 and inducible expression under the control of the P _{lac} promoter and the <i>lac1</i> repressor	Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol	leva et al., J Bacteriol 2005
pComCmrP _{ind} -hexR	Plasmid for complementation of HexR null mutant, derivative of pComCmrPind containing a copy of hexR gene	Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol	This study
pCOM1880-P _{ind} -null	Plasmid for complementation of NMB1880 + sRNA1880-1881 null mutant, derivative of pComCmrP _{ind} containing a copy of NMB1880 gene and no copy of sRNA1880-1881	Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol	This study
pCOM1880-P _{ind} -sRNAwt	Plasmid for complementation of NMB1880 + sRNA1880-1881 null mutant, derivative of pComCmrP _{ind} containing a copy of NMB1880 gene and one copy of sRNA1880-1881	Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol	This study
pCOM1880-Pind-SRNAmutP	Plasmid for complementation of NMB1880 + sRNA1880-1881 null mutant, derivative of pComCmrP _{ind} containing a copy of NMB1880 gene and one copy of sRNA1880-1881 with mutations disrupting its promoter sequence	Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol	This study
pCOM1880-Pind-sRNAmutGC	Plasmid for complementation of NMB1880 + sRNA1880-1881 null mutant, derivative of pComCmrP _{ind} containing a copy of NMB1880 eene and one copy of sRNA1880-1881 with mutations disrupting pairing of its GC-rich stretches	Ampicillin, Chloramphenicol	This study
MC58	Nm laboratory-adapted reference strain	-	Tettelin et al., Science 2000
MC58 AFur	MC58 derivative, lacking fur gene	Kanamycin	Delany et al., J Bacteriol 2003
MC58 Δhfq	MC58 derivative, lacking hfq gene	Chloramphenicol	Fantappiè et al., Infect Immun 2009
MC58 ΔhexR	MC58 derivative, lacking hexR gene	Kanamycin	This study
MC58 AhexR c-hexR	MC58 derivative, lacking hexR gene, with a copy of hexR reintroduced out-of-locus under control of inducible Ptac promoter	Kanamycin, Chloramphenicol	This study
MC58 ΔsRNA1880-1881	MC58 derivative, lacking sRNA1880-1881	Erythromycin	This study
MC58 1880KO	MC58 derivative, lacking NMB1880 gene and sRNA1880-1881	Erythromycin	This study
MC58 sRNAnull	MC58 derivative, with the NMB1880 gene under control of inducible P _{tac} promoter, no sRNA in NMB1880-1881 IGR	Chloramphenicol	This study
MC58 sRNAwt	MC58 derivative, with the NMB1880 gene under control of inducible P_{tac} promoter, one wt copy of sRNA in NMB1880-1881 IGR	Chloramphenicol	This study
MC58 sRNAmutP	MC58 derivative, with the NMB1880 gene under control of inducible P tac promoter, one copy of sRNA in NMB1880-1881 IGR with mutations disrupting its promoter sequence	Chloramphenicol	This study
MC58 sRNAmutGC	MC58 derivative, with the NMB1880 gene under control of inducible P _{tac} promoter, one copy of sRNA in NMB1880-1881 IGR with mutations disruption pairing of its GC-rich stretches	Chloramphenicol	This study
2996	Clinical isolate		Comanducci et al., J Exp Med. 2002
2996 ΔsRNA0898-0899	2996 derivative, lacking sRNA0898-0899	Erythromycin	This study
2996 ΔsRNA0899-0900	2996 derivative, lacking sRNA0899-0900	Erythromycin	This study
2996 ΔsRNA1400-1401	2996 derivative, lacking sRNA1400-1401	Erythromycin	This study
2996 ΔBNS1	2996 derivative, lacking BNS1	Kanamycin	This study
2996 ΔsRNA1923-1924	2996 derivative, lacking sRNA1923-1924	Erythromycin	This study
2996 ABNS2	2996 derivative, lacking BNS2	Erythromycin	This study

 Table 4 Oligonucleotides used in this study.

Name	Sequence ^a	Restriction Sites	Application
Hex-R1	ATTCG TCTAGA GGTTTCGTCGTTGATGCGGTTTTTG	Xbal	
Hex-R2	CAAATGGTTCG GGATCC GTTGCCACACAGGAAAATG	BamHI	boyP KO
Hex-R3	CTGTGTGGCAAC GGATCC CGAACCATTTGGGTTCCGC	BamHI	next no
Hex-R4	ATTCG AAGCTT TCACGGAAAAGGCTTTGAGC	HindIII	
GG006	ATAT <u>CATATG</u> TTAAGCAAAATCAGCGAATCACTG	Ndel	hav B complementation
GG007	ATAT <u>ATGCAT</u> TCAATCTTTGTCGTAATCGATGTGC	Nsil	nexR complementation
GG012	CTGTACTTCCAGGGCTTAAGCAAAATCAGCGAATCACTG	-	DIDE cloping of hove
GG013	AATTAAGTCGCGTTAATCTTTGTCGTAATCGATGTGC	-	PIPE cloning of nexk
GG034	CTCGAGCGTCTGAAAGTGGGAAGCGG	Xhol	zwf promoter probe
GG035	<u>GGATCC</u> GTACTCATCGTATTATCTCGTCAGG	BamHI	
GG036	CTCGAGCCCCTATTCCGTTACAACAATCG	Xhol	add promotor proba
GG037	GGATCCTTCACGGTCGGTCTCCTGTC	BamHI	
0089RT-F	GAAACGATGCTGGTGGAAC	-	
0089RT-R	CCGCTGGTAATGATGTATTGG	-	
0207RT-F	TGACCAAATTCGACACCGT	-	
0207RT-R	ATCGACACCGAGTTCTTTCC	-	
0334RT-F	ATTTTGATTGACCGCCTCAC	-	
0334RT-R	CACTGATCGAAGGGGTTGAC	-	
0663RT-F	TATGCCGTTACCCCGAATGT	-	
0663RT-R	CAGTGTTGACTTTGCCGATG	-	
1389RT-F	ATGGTTTCCCGCCTCTTG	-	
1389RT-R	CGATGTGCTTGTTGTGTATGCT	-	
1392RT-F	AGCCTGTGAAAACCTTGCTG	-	
1392RT-R	TTGATTTGCTGGGAAGAAGC	-	
1393RT-F	TTGAAAAGCGAAATGGGTTC	-	
1393RT-R	GGTGTAAGGGTGGACGAAGG	-	
1476RT-F	ACGTTGCCATTTACAACGAA	-	
1476RT-R	GTTCGGCGTTGGTAATTTCT	-	
1710RT-F	GCAAATGAGTTCCGCCATC	-	YKT-FOK
1710RT-R	ATAGGCAGGGTGGTCAAGG	-	
1968RT-F	TCAAACAAGGTGCGAAATTG	-	
1968RT-R	CCATACTGTTGTCGGTGTCG	-	
2159RT-F	GTTATCTCCGCCGCTTCCT	-	
2159RT-R	GCTGTTGGGCACGATGTT	-	
1880RT-F	ATTGAAGGCGCAGATTGAC	-	
1880RT-R	CGTGTATCCAACTTGCCAAC	-	
1881RT-F	ACGGATACAGCGACAAAGTG	-	
1881RT-R	CTCGCGTATCGTCATGCT	-	
1882RT-F	CAACGACGGCTACACTGTTT	-	
1882RT-R	CATTTGTTGCGATGTGATGA	-	
RT-SRS3NEW-F	GACTGCCATCGGTCTGAATC	-	
RT-SRS3NEW-R	CTCCGTTTTAGCTTCGCAGA	-	
16SRT-F	ACGTAGGGTGCGAGCGTTAATC	-	
16SRT-R	CTGCCTTCGCCATCGGTATTCCT	-	

^a: underscored letters indicate restriction enzyme sites.

 Table 4 (continued) Oligonucleotides used in this study.

Name	Sequence ^a	Restriction Sites	Application
nrrF-NB	GTATGTCTCGTATATGCCGACTCCAAGTGTGAAAGTGATGATGGGGAAAT	-	NrrF NB
1205-3	GGCAGCCACACCCAAACAC	-	AniS NB
sRNA10-R	CCAGCTTGATATACTCGGCGGAT	-	sRNA0837-0838 NB
SRS19-R4	GAATAAATATGTCCCATTGTCATCCCCTTAAGCTGATG	-	sRNA0898-0899 NB
sRNA17-R2	ATCGTGTGGATATACGCTGTTTGTCAGGTGTTTTCAAGCACCGTGGGAAA	-	sRNA0899-0900 NB
GG075	GCTCCATAAGACATAATCAACTGTG	-	sRNA1400-1401 NB
Bns1_p	CCTCCCGAATATATCTGCCTGCTGTTTCCTCTTTATTCAG	-	BNS1 NB
GG052	cacccgagtttatgcggcaaacagcg	-	sRNA1880-1881 NB
GG054	ttaacttcgttgaagctgcgatttcagaagct	-	
GG087	AGTATGAATGGTCAATACATTGCGG	-	sRNA1923-1924 NB
SRS17-R4	CACATTACGGGGAAAACGTTTTACTCAATGAG	-	BNS2 NB
UP_IG26F	GC <u>TCTAGA</u> GAAACAGGCACAACGGCAAA	Xbal	
UP_IG26R	TCC <u>CCCGGG</u> CTTAAATCGCCCGTTAAGGC	Xmal	SRNA0898-0899 KO
DW_IG26F	TCC <u>CCCGGG</u> TTTATTTTCAACATCAGCTTAAGG	Xmal	
DW_IG26R	CCG <u>CTCGAG</u> CTTTTTCTTCCATTTTCGGGCT	Xhol	
FLA-UP17F	CGAATACTCCATGCTGTTACGTG	-	
FLA-UP17R	TTTCTGCAAGC <u>GGATCC</u> GGAAATGTGTCAAGAGAATTAGCCC	BamHI	CRNA0800-0000 KO
FLA-DO17F	GACACATTTCC <u>GGATCC</u> GCTTGCAGAAAATAGAAAGATTGG	BamHI	311140033-0300 110
FLA-DO17R	CACGATAACTATTTGATTTGCTTCCG	-	
flaUP1400F	CCAAAGATTTGGCGGCTAACAGCC	-	
flaUP1400R	GTCCCGACAGC <u>GGATCC</u> ATATACAGATATTTCAGGCTGCCTG	BamHI	CRNA 1400 1401 KO
flaDO1401F	TATCTGTATAT <u>GGATCC</u> GCTGTCGGGACGGTGTGCCGAAG	BamHI	SKNA 1400-1401 KU
flaDO1401R	TTCGGCAGTCCTGTTCTACCGC	-	
UP_bns1F	GC <u>TCTAGA</u> CGACAATCTTGTCGTGCG	Xbal	
UP_bns1R	TCC <u>CCCGGG</u> GAGAATCCCGTTATTTTAAG	Xmal	DNG4 KO
DW_bns1F	TCC <u>CCCGGG</u> CTTCAGACGGTATCAGCC	Xmal	BNS1 KO
DW_bns1R	CCG <u>CTCGAG</u> CCGTTTTGTCCATATTTCTGC	Xhol	
GG103	GCGGTTGGCAAGTTGGATACAC	-	
GG104	GGATCCttgaaaccgcactttagcttcgc	BamHI	
GG105	gcggtttcaaGGATCCaaagcagcctgcaacgaagcc	BamHI	SRNA1880-1881 KU
GG106	CGGACGGGTCGGACAACTC	-	
flaUP1923F	TTGTACTGTCTTCGGCTTCGTCG	-	
flaUP1923R	AAACCAATAGG <u>GGATCC</u> GCACGTTGAAAATGCCGTCTGAAC	BamHI	- DNA 4000 4004 KO
flaDO1924F	TTTCAACGTGC <u>GGATCC</u> CCTATTGGTTTTCCCGTATCCAC	BamHI	SRNA1923-1924 KU
flaDO1924R	GTCGATCCGATAGACGGGACGAAC	-	
UP_bns2F	GC <u>TCTAGA</u> CGCCTGAAACGCATCAACC	Xbal	
UP_bns2R	TCC <u>CCCGGG</u> GATGCCGTCTGAAACGGC	Xmal	
DW_bns2F	TCC <u>CCCGGG</u> GTTCCATCGGATAAAAGGC	Xmal	BNS2 KO
DW_bns2R	CCG <u>CTCGAG</u> GATGGTGCTGTAAATGGACG	Xhol	
GG149	TTAAAAAGGCAGAACCCGTTGCG	-	
GG150	AGGTGCTGTTTCAGGTTGATGG	-	Sequencing of NMB1880-
GG172	GGCTTACCGCCCTCTCCCTAAC	-	1881 IGR
GG173	CGAATTGAAAAGCAGCCTGTATGTTG	-	
GG180	aa <u>CCCGGG</u> GCGTTTTGCGCCAGCCGTTGCAG	Xmal	
GG181	GGATCCATGAACACCGCCGCCATCTACCG	BamHI	
GG186	CGGTGTTCATGGATCCACCCAACCCATAGGAGAACCCCATG	BamHI	
GG188	aaACTAGTTCTGAAACAGAAACATCGGCCTG	Spel	Isogenic mutants of
GG185	aaTCTAGAATGAACACCGCCGCCATCTACCG	Xbal	NMB1880-1881-1882 operon
GG187	aaATGCATACCCAACCCATAGGAGAACCCCATG	Nsil	and SKNA
GG189	aaCATATGAAACCGCGTTTTTATTGGGCAGC	Ndel	
GG190	aaATGCATaaaCTCGAGCTACTTTTTCCCCCGCCGCAACGG	Nsil, Xhol	
		,	

^a: underscored letters indicate restriction enzyme sites.

7 Bibliography

- 1. **Weichselbaum A.** 1887. Ueber die Aetiologie der akuten meningitis cerebrospinalis. Fortschr Med **5**.
- 2. Caugant DA, Nicolas P. 2007. Molecular surveillance of meningococcal meningitis in Africa. Vaccine 25 Suppl 1:A8-11.
- 3. Claus H, Maiden MC, Wilson DJ, McCarthy ND, Jolley KA, Urwin R, Hessler F, Frosch M, Vogel U. 2005. Genetic analysis of meningococci carried by children and young adults. The Journal of infectious diseases 191:1263-1271.
- 4. **Stephens DS, Greenwood B, Brandtzaeg P.** 2007. Epidemic meningitis, meningococcaemia, and *Neisseria meningitidis*. Lancet **369:**2196-2210.
- 5. **Caugant DA, Maiden MC.** 2009. Meningococcal carriage and disease--population biology and evolution. Vaccine **27 Suppl 2:**B64-70.
- 6. **Rosenstein NE, Perkins BA, Stephens DS, Popovic T, Hughes JM.** 2001. Meningococcal disease. The New England journal of medicine **344:**1378-1388.
- 7. Thompson MJ, Ninis N, Perera R, Mayon-White R, Phillips C, Bailey L, Harnden A, Mant D, Levin M. 2006. Clinical recognition of meningococcal disease in children and adolescents. Lancet **367:**397-403.
- 8. **World Health Organization.** 2010. Meningococcal meningitidis Fact Sheet N°141. *In* (WHO) WHO (ed.).
- Kaplan SL, Schutze GE, Leake JA, Barson WJ, Halasa NB, Byington CL, Woods CR, Tan TQ, Hoffman JA, Wald ER, Edwards KM, Mason EO, Jr. 2006. Multicenter surveillance of invasive meningococcal infections in children. Pediatrics 118:e979-984.
- 10. **Brandtzaeg P, van Deuren M.** 2005. Meningococcal infections at the start of the 21st century. Advances in pediatrics **52:**129-162.
- 11. Cohn AC, MacNeil JR, Harrison LH, Hatcher C, Theodore J, Schmidt M, Pondo T, Arnold KE, Baumbach J, Bennett N, Craig AS, Farley M, Gershman K, Petit S, Lynfield R, Reingold A, Schaffner W, Shutt KA, Zell ER, Mayer LW, Clark T, Stephens D, Messonnier NE. 2010. Changes in Neisseria meningitidis disease epidemiology in the United States, 1998-2007: implications for prevention of meningococcal disease. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 50:184-191.
- 12. **Brigham KS, Sandora TJ.** 2009. Neisseria meningitidis: epidemiology, treatment and prevention in adolescents. Current opinion in pediatrics **21**:437-443.
- 13. **Goldschneider I, E. C. Gotschlich, and M. S. Artenstein.** 1969. Human immunity to the meningococcus. II. Development of natural immunity. J Exp Med.
- 14. **Gotschlich EC, I. Goldschneider, and M. S. Artenstein.** 1969. Human immunity to the meningococcus. V. The effect of immunization with meningococcal group C polysaccharide on the carrier state. J Exp Med.
- 15. **Harrison LH.** 2006. Prospects for vaccine prevention of meningococcal infection. Clinical microbiology reviews **19:**142-164.
- Imrey PB, Jackson LA, Ludwinski PH, England AC, 3rd, Fella GA, Fox BC, Isdale LB, Reeves MW, Wenger JD. 1995. Meningococcal carriage, alcohol consumption, and campus bar patronage in a serogroup C meningococcal disease outbreak. Journal of clinical microbiology 33:3133-3137.

- 17. Zuschneid I, Witschi A, Quaback L, Hellenbrand W, Kleinkauf N, Koch D, Krause G. 2008. Invasive meningococcal disease with fatal outcome in a Swiss student visiting Berlin. Euro surveillance : bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles = European communicable disease bulletin 13:pii: 19031.
- 18. Johswich KO, Zhou J, Law DK, St Michael F, McCaw SE, Jamieson FB, Cox AD, Tsang RS, Gray-Owen SD. 2012. Invasive potential of nonencapsulated disease isolates of Neisseria meningitidis. Infection and immunity 80:2346-2353.
- 19. Pizza M, Scarlato V, Masignani V, Giuliani MM, Arico B, Comanducci M, Jennings GT, Baldi L, Bartolini E, Capecchi B, Galeotti CL, Luzzi E, Manetti R, Marchetti E, Mora M, Nuti S, Ratti G, Santini L, Savino S, Scarselli M, Storni E, Zuo P, Broeker M, Hundt E, Knapp B, Blair E, Mason T, Tettelin H, Hood DW, Jeffries AC, Saunders NJ, Granoff DM, Venter JC, Moxon ER, Grandi G, Rappuoli R. 2000. Identification of vaccine candidates against serogroup B meningococcus by whole-genome sequencing. Science 287:1816-1820.
- 20. Maiden MC, Bygraves JA, Feil E, Morelli G, Russell JE, Urwin R, Zhang Q, Zhou J, Zurth K, Caugant DA, Feavers IM, Achtman M, Spratt BG. 1998. Multilocus sequence typing: a portable approach to the identification of clones within populations of pathogenic microorganisms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95:3140-3145.
- 21. Urwin R, Maiden MC. 2003. Multi-locus sequence typing: a tool for global epidemiology. Trends in microbiology **11**:479-487.
- 22. **Maiden MC.** 2008. Population genomics: diversity and virulence in the Neisseria. Current opinion in microbiology **11:**467-471.
- 23. **Stephens DS.** 2009. Biology and pathogenesis of the evolutionarily successful, obligate human bacterium Neisseria meningitidis. Vaccine **27 Suppl 2:**B71-77.
- 24. **Deghmane AE, Giorgini D, Larribe M, Alonso JM, Taha MK.** 2002. Downregulation of pili and capsule of Neisseria meningitidis upon contact with epithelial cells is mediated by CrgA regulatory protein. Molecular microbiology **43**:1555-1564.
- 25. Hammerschmidt S, Muller A, Sillmann H, Muhlenhoff M, Borrow R, Fox A, van Putten J, Zollinger WD, Gerardy-Schahn R, Frosch M. 1996. Capsule phase variation in Neisseria meningitidis serogroup B by slipped-strand mispairing in the polysialyltransferase gene (siaD): correlation with bacterial invasion and the outbreak of meningococcal disease. Molecular microbiology **20**:1211-1220.
- 26. **Gray-Owen SD, Blumberg RS.** 2006. CEACAM1: contact-dependent control of immunity. Nature reviews. Immunology **6**:433-446.
- 27. Doulet N, Donnadieu E, Laran-Chich MP, Niedergang F, Nassif X, Couraud PO, Bourdoulous S. 2006. Neisseria meningitidis infection of human endothelial cells interferes with leukocyte transmigration by preventing the formation of endothelial docking structures. The Journal of cell biology **173**:627-637.
- 28. **van Ulsen P, Tommassen J.** 2006. Protein secretion and secreted proteins in pathogenic Neisseriaceae. FEMS microbiology reviews **30**:292-319.
- 29. Perkins-Balding D, Ratliff-Griffin M, Stojiljkovic I. 2004. Iron transport systems in Neisseria meningitidis. Microbiology and molecular biology reviews : MMBR 68:154-171.
- 30. Virji M. 2009. Pathogenic neisseriae: surface modulation, pathogenesis and infection control. Nature reviews. Microbiology **7:**274-286.
- 31. Achtman M. 1995. Epidemic spread and antigenic variability of Neisseria meningitidis. Trends in microbiology **3:**186-192.

- 32. Madico G, Welsch JA, Lewis LA, McNaughton A, Perlman DH, Costello CE, Ngampasutadol J, Vogel U, Granoff DM, Ram S. 2006. The meningococcal vaccine candidate GNA1870 binds the complement regulatory protein factor H and enhances serum resistance. Journal of immunology 177:501-510.
- 33. Jarva H, Ram S, Vogel U, Blom AM, Meri S. 2005. Binding of the complement inhibitor C4bp to serogroup B Neisseria meningitidis. Journal of immunology 174:6299-6307.
- 34. **Nassif X.** 2009. A revolution in the identification of pathogens in clinical laboratories. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America **49:**552-553.
- 35. **Tinsley C, Nassif X.** 2001. Meningococcal pathogenesis: at the boundary between the pre- and post-genomic eras. Current opinion in microbiology **4**:47-52.
- 36. Exley RM, Shaw J, Mowe E, Sun YH, West NP, Williamson M, Botto M, Smith H, Tang CM. 2005. Available carbon source influences the resistance of Neisseria meningitidis against complement. J Exp Med 201:1637-1645.
- 37. Nassif X, Bourdoulous S, Eugene E, Couraud PO. 2002. How do extracellular pathogens cross the blood-brain barrier? Trends in microbiology 10:227-232.
- 38. Leighton MP, Kelly DJ, Williamson MP, Shaw JG. 2001. An NMR and enzyme study of the carbon metabolism of Neisseria meningitidis. Microbiology **147:**1473-1482.
- 39. Smith H, Tang CM, Exley RM. 2007. Effect of host lactate on gonococci and meningococci: new concepts on the role of metabolites in pathogenicity. Infection and immunity **75:**4190-4198.
- 40. **Baart GJ, Zomer B, de Haan A, van der Pol LA, Beuvery EC, Tramper J, Martens DE.** 2007. Modeling Neisseria meningitidis metabolism: from genome to metabolic fluxes. Genome biology 8:R136.
- 41. Exley RM, Goodwin L, Mowe E, Shaw J, Smith H, Read RC, Tang CM. 2005. Neisseria meningitidis lactate permease is required for nasopharyngeal colonization. Infection and immunity **73:**5762-5766.
- 42. Gao L, Parsons NJ, Curry A, Cole JA, Smith H. 1998. Lactate causes changes in gonococci including increased lipopolysaccharide synthesis during short-term incubation in media containing glucose. FEMS microbiology letters **169**:309-316.
- 43. Smith H, Yates EA, Cole JA, Parsons NJ. 2001. Lactate stimulation of gonococcal metabolism in media containing glucose: mechanism, impact on pathogenicity, and wider implications for other pathogens. Infection and immunity **69**:6565-6572.
- 44. **Sun YH, Bakshi S, Chalmers R, Tang CM.** 2000. Functional genomics of Neisseria meningitidis pathogenesis. Nature medicine **6:**1269-1273.
- 45. **Romero JD, Outschoorn IM.** 1997. The immune response to the capsular polysaccharide of Neisseria meningitidis group B. Zentralblatt fur Bakteriologie : international journal of medical microbiology **285**:331-340.
- 46. **Vogel U, Frosch M.** 1999. Mechanisms of neisserial serum resistance. Molecular microbiology **32**:1133-1139.
- 47. Schneider MC, Exley RM, Ram S, Sim RB, Tang CM. 2007. Interactions between Neisseria meningitidis and the complement system. Trends in microbiology 15:233-240.
- 48. Swartley JS, Marfin AA, Edupuganti S, Liu LJ, Cieslak P, Perkins B, Wenger JD, Stephens DS. 1997. Capsule switching of Neisseria meningitidis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 94:271-276.

- 49. **Geoffroy MC, Floquet S, Metais A, Nassif X, Pelicic V.** 2003. Large-scale analysis of the meningococcus genome by gene disruption: resistance to complement-mediated lysis. Genome research **13**:391-398.
- 50. Jennings MP, Srikhanta YN, Moxon ER, Kramer M, Poolman JT, Kuipers B, van der Ley P. 1999. The genetic basis of the phase variation repertoire of lipopolysaccharide immunotypes in Neisseria meningitidis. Microbiology 145 (Pt 11):3013-3021.
- 51. **Pinner RW, Spellman PA, Stephens DS.** 1991. Evidence for functionally distinct pili expressed by Neisseria meningitidis. Infection and immunity **59:**3169-3175.
- 52. Virji M, Alexandrescu C, Ferguson DJ, Saunders JR, Moxon ER. 1992. Variations in the expression of pili: the effect on adherence of Neisseria meningitidis to human epithelial and endothelial cells. Molecular microbiology **6**:1271-1279.
- 53. Segal E, Hagblom P, Seifert HS, So M. 1986. Antigenic variation of gonococcal pilus involves assembly of separated silent gene segments. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 83:2177-2181.
- 54. **Stephens DS, McGee ZA.** 1981. Attachment of Neisseria meningitidis to human mucosal surfaces: influence of pili and type of receptor cell. The Journal of infectious diseases **143**:525-532.
- 55. Virji M, Kayhty H, Ferguson DJ, Alexandrescu C, Heckels JE, Moxon ER. 1991. The role of pili in the interactions of pathogenic Neisseria with cultured human endothelial cells. Molecular microbiology **5**:1831-1841.
- 56. Heckels JE, Blackett B, Everson JS, Ward ME. 1976. The influence of surface charge on the attachment of Neisseria gonorrhoeae to human cells. Journal of general microbiology **96:**359-364.
- 57. Helaine S, Dyer DH, Nassif X, Pelicic V, Forest KT. 2007. 3D structure/function analysis of PilX reveals how minor pilins can modulate the virulence properties of type IV pili. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 104:15888-15893.
- 58. Merz AJ, So M, Sheetz MP. 2000. Pilus retraction powers bacterial twitching motility. Nature **407:**98-102.
- 59. El Tahir Y, Skurnik M. 2001. YadA, the multifaceted Yersinia adhesin. International journal of medical microbiology : IJMM 291:209-218.
- 60. Serruto D, Spadafina T, Scarselli M, Bambini S, Comanducci M, Hohle S, Kilian M, Veiga E, Cossart P, Oggioni MR, Savino S, Ferlenghi I, Taddei AR, Rappuoli R, Pizza M, Masignani V, Arico B. 2009. HadA is an atypical new multifunctional trimeric coiled-coil adhesin of Haemophilus influenzae biogroup aegyptius, which promotes entry into host cells. Cellular microbiology **11**:1044-1063.
- 61. Capecchi B, Adu-Bobie J, Di Marcello F, Ciucchi L, Masignani V, Taddei A, Rappuoli R, Pizza M, Arico B. 2005. Neisseria meningitidis NadA is a new invasin which promotes bacterial adhesion to and penetration into human epithelial cells. Molecular microbiology 55:687-698.
- 62. Comanducci M, Bambini S, Caugant DA, Mora M, Brunelli B, Capecchi B, Ciucchi L, Rappuoli R, Pizza M. 2004. NadA diversity and carriage in Neisseria meningitidis. Infection and immunity **72**:4217-4223.
- 63. Wang X, Cohn A, Comanducci M, Andrew L, Zhao X, MacNeil JR, Schmink S, Muzzi A, Bambini S, Rappuoli R, Pizza M, Murphy E, Hoiseth SK, Jansen KU, Anderson AS, Harrison LH, Clark TA, Messonnier NE, Mayer LW. 2011.

Prevalence and genetic diversity of candidate vaccine antigens among invasive Neisseria meningitidis isolates in the United States. Vaccine **29:**4739-4744.

- 64. Scarselli M, Serruto D, Montanari P, Capecchi B, Adu-Bobie J, Veggi D, Rappuoli R, Pizza M, Arico B. 2006. Neisseria meningitidis NhhA is a multifunctional trimeric autotransporter adhesin. Molecular microbiology **61:**631-644.
- 65. Serruto D, Adu-Bobie J, Scarselli M, Veggi D, Pizza M, Rappuoli R, Arico B. 2003. Neisseria meningitidis App, a new adhesin with autocatalytic serine protease activity. Molecular microbiology **48**:323-334.
- 66. **Turner DP, Marietou AG, Johnston L, Ho KK, Rogers AJ, Wooldridge KG, Ala'Aldeen DA.** 2006. Characterization of MspA, an immunogenic autotransporter protein that mediates adhesion to epithelial and endothelial cells in Neisseria meningitidis. Infection and immunity **74:**2957-2964.
- 67. **van Putten JP, Duensing TD, Cole RL.** 1998. Entry of OpaA+ gonococci into HEp-2 cells requires concerted action of glycosaminoglycans, fibronectin and integrin receptors. Molecular microbiology **29:**369-379.
- 68. Orihuela CJ, Mahdavi J, Thornton J, Mann B, Wooldridge KG, Abouseada N, Oldfield NJ, Self T, Ala'Aldeen DA, Tuomanen EI. 2009. Laminin receptor initiates bacterial contact with the blood brain barrier in experimental meningitis models. The Journal of clinical investigation 119:1638-1646.
- 69. Holst J, Martin D, Arnold R, Huergo CC, Oster P, O'Hallahan J, Rosenqvist E. 2009. Properties and clinical performance of vaccines containing outer membrane vesicles from Neisseria meningitidis. Vaccine 27 Suppl 2:B3-12.
- 70. Michaelsen TE, Aase A, Kolberg J, Wedge E, Rosenqvist E. 2001. PorB3 outer membrane protein on Neisseria meningitidis is poorly accessible for antibody binding on live bacteria. Vaccine **19**:1526-1533.
- Tzeng YL, Ambrose KD, Zughaier S, Zhou X, Miller YK, Shafer WM, Stephens DS. 2005. Cationic antimicrobial peptide resistance in Neisseria meningitidis. Journal of bacteriology 187:5387-5396.
- 72. Archibald FS, Duong MN. 1986. Superoxide dismutase and oxygen toxicity defenses in the genus Neisseria. Infection and immunity **51**:631-641.
- 73. **Stevanin TM, Moir JW, Read RC.** 2005. Nitric oxide detoxification systems enhance survival of Neisseria meningitidis in human macrophages and in nasopharyngeal mucosa. Infection and immunity **73:**3322-3329.
- 74. Seib KL, Tseng HJ, McEwan AG, Apicella MA, Jennings MP. 2004. Defenses against oxidative stress in Neisseria gonorrhoeae and Neisseria meningitidis: distinctive systems for different lifestyles. The Journal of infectious diseases 190:136-147.
- 75. **Figueroa J, Andreoni J, Densen P.** 1993. Complement deficiency states and meningococcal disease. Immunologic research **12:**295-311.
- 76. Schneider MC, Prosser BE, Caesar JJ, Kugelberg E, Li S, Zhang Q, Quoraishi S, Lovett JE, Deane JE, Sim RB, Roversi P, Johnson S, Tang CM, Lea SM. 2009. Neisseria meningitidis recruits factor H using protein mimicry of host carbohydrates. Nature 458:890-893.
- 77. Serruto D, Spadafina T, Ciucchi L, Lewis LA, Ram S, Tontini M, Santini L, Biolchi A, Seib KL, Giuliani MM, Donnelly JJ, Berti F, Savino S, Scarselli M, Costantino P, Kroll JS, O'Dwyer C, Qiu J, Plaut AG, Moxon R, Rappuoli R, Pizza M, Arico B. 2010. Neisseria meningitidis GNA2132, a heparin-binding protein

that induces protective immunity in humans. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **107**:3770-3775.

- 78. **Gasparini R, Panatto D.** 2011. Meningococcal glycoconjugate vaccines. Human vaccines **7**:170-182.
- 79. Frasch CE, Preziosi MP, LaForce FM. 2012. Development of a group A meningococcal conjugate vaccine, MenAfriVac(TM). Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics 8:715-724.
- 80. **Finne J, Leinonen M, Makela PH.** 1983. Antigenic similarities between brain components and bacteria causing meningitis. Implications for vaccine development and pathogenesis. Lancet **2:**355-357.
- 81. Finne J, Bitter-Suermann D, Goridis C, Finne U. 1987. An IgG monoclonal antibody to group B meningococci cross-reacts with developmentally regulated polysialic acid units of glycoproteins in neural and extraneural tissues. Journal of immunology **138**:4402-4407.
- 82. Fredriksen JH, Rosenqvist E, Wedege E, Bryn K, Bjune G, Froholm LO, Lindbak AK, Mogster B, Namork E, Rye U, et al. 1991. Production, characterization and control of MenB-vaccine "Folkehelsa": an outer membrane vesicle vaccine against group B meningococcal disease. NIPH annals 14:67-79; discussion 79-80.
- 83. Sierra GV, Campa HC, Varcacel NM, Garcia IL, Izquierdo PL, Sotolongo PF, Casanueva GV, Rico CO, Rodriguez CR, Terry MH. 1991. Vaccine against group B Neisseria meningitidis: protection trial and mass vaccination results in Cuba. NIPH annals 14:195-207; discussion 208-110.
- 84. **Boslego J, Garcia J, Cruz C, Zollinger W, Brandt B, Ruiz S, Martinez M, Arthur J, Underwood P, Silva W, et al.** 1995. Efficacy, safety, and immunogenicity of a meningococcal group B (15:P1.3) outer membrane protein vaccine in Iquique, Chile. Chilean National Committee for Meningococcal Disease. Vaccine **13:**821-829.
- 85. Oster P, Lennon D, O'Hallahan J, Mulholland K, Reid S, Martin D. 2005. MeNZB: a safe and highly immunogenic tailor-made vaccine against the New Zealand Neisseria meningitidis serogroup B disease epidemic strain. Vaccine 23:2191-2196.
- 86. Tappero JW, Lagos R, Ballesteros AM, Plikaytis B, Williams D, Dykes J, Gheesling LL, Carlone GM, Hoiby EA, Holst J, Nokleby H, Rosenqvist E, Sierra G, Campa C, Sotolongo F, Vega J, Garcia J, Herrera P, Poolman JT, Perkins BA. 1999. Immunogenicity of 2 serogroup B outer-membrane protein meningococcal vaccines: a randomized controlled trial in Chile. Jama 281:1520-1527.
- 87. **Martin DR, Ruijne N, McCallum L, O'Hallahan J, Oster P.** 2006. The VR2 epitope on the PorA P1.7-2,4 protein is the major target for the immune response elicited by the strain-specific group B meningococcal vaccine MeNZB. Clinical and vaccine immunology : CVI **13**:486-491.
- 88. van der Ley P, Heckels JE, Virji M, Hoogerhout P, Poolman JT. 1991. Topology of outer membrane porins in pathogenic Neisseria spp. Infection and immunity 59:2963-2971.
- 89. Giuliani MM, Adu-Bobie J, Comanducci M, Arico B, Savino S, Santini L, Brunelli B, Bambini S, Biolchi A, Capecchi B, Cartocci E, Ciucchi L, Di Marcello F, Ferlicca F, Galli B, Luzzi E, Masignani V, Serruto D, Veggi D, Contorni M, Morandi M, Bartalesi A, Cinotti V, Mannucci D, Titta F, Ovidi E, Welsch JA, Granoff D, Rappuoli R, Pizza M. 2006. A universal vaccine for

serogroup B meningococcus. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **103**:10834-10839.

- 90. Giuliani MM, Biolchi A, Serruto D, Ferlicca F, Vienken K, Oster P, Rappuoli R, Pizza M, Donnelly J. 2010. Measuring antigen-specific bactericidal responses to a multicomponent vaccine against serogroup B meningococcus. Vaccine 28:5023-5030.
- 91. Comanducci M, Bambini S, Brunelli B, Adu-Bobie J, Arico B, Capecchi B, Giuliani MM, Masignani V, Santini L, Savino S, Granoff DM, Caugant DA, Pizza M, Rappuoli R, Mora M. 2002. NadA, a novel vaccine candidate of Neisseria meningitidis. J Exp Med 195:1445-1454.
- 92. Masignani V, Comanducci M, Giuliani MM, Bambini S, Adu-Bobie J, Arico B, Brunelli B, Pieri A, Santini L, Savino S, Serruto D, Litt D, Kroll S, Welsch JA, Granoff DM, Rappuoli R, Pizza M. 2003. Vaccination against Neisseria meningitidis using three variants of the lipoprotein GNA1870. J Exp Med 197:789-799.
- 93. **Beernink PT, Granoff DM.** 2008. Bactericidal antibody responses induced by meningococcal recombinant chimeric factor H-binding protein vaccines. Infection and immunity **76**:2568-2575.
- 94. Welsch JA, Moe GR, Rossi R, Adu-Bobie J, Rappuoli R, Granoff DM. 2003. Antibody to genome-derived neisserial antigen 2132, a Neisseria meningitidis candidate vaccine, confers protection against bacteremia in the absence of complement-mediated bactericidal activity. The Journal of infectious diseases 188:1730-1740.
- 95. Beeretz I, M. Snape, A. Finn, P. Heath, A. Collinson, G. Bona, S. Esposita, P. Dull, E. Ypma, D. Toneatto, A. Kimura, C. Oeser, M. West, T. John, A. J. Pollard, and t. E. M. B. V. S. Group. 2011. Reactogenicity and safety of multicomponent meningococcal serogroup B vaccine (4CMENB) administred with or without routine infant vaccinations in different schedules. 29th European Society for Paediatric Infectious Diseases (ESPID) Meeting.
- 96. Esposito S, T. Vesikari, A. Kimura, Ypma E., D. Toneatto, and P. Dull. 2010. Tolerability of a Three-dose Schedule of an Investigational, Multicomponent Meningococcal Serogroup B Vaccine and Routine Infant Vaccines in a Lot Consistency Trial. 17th International Pathogenic Neisseria Conference (IPNC).
- 97. Findlow J, Borrow R, Snape MD, Dawson T, Holland A, John TM, Evans A, Telford KL, Ypma E, Toneatto D, Oster P, Miller E, Pollard AJ. 2010. Multicenter, open-label, randomized phase II controlled trial of an investigational recombinant Meningococcal serogroup B vaccine with and without outer membrane vesicles, administered in infancy. Clinical infectious diseases : an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America 51:1127-1137.
- 98. Snape MD, Dawson T, Oster P, Evans A, John TM, Ohene-Kena B, Findlow J, Yu LM, Borrow R, Ypma E, Toneatto D, Pollard AJ. 2010. Immunogenicity of two investigational serogroup B meningococcal vaccines in the first year of life: a randomized comparative trial. The Pediatric infectious disease journal 29:e71-79.
- 99. Jiang HQ, Hoiseth SK, Harris SL, McNeil LK, Zhu D, Tan C, Scott AA, Alexander K, Mason K, Miller L, DaSilva I, Mack M, Zhao XJ, Pride MW, Andrew L, Murphy E, Hagen M, French R, Arora A, Jones TR, Jansen KU, Zlotnick GW, Anderson AS. 2010. Broad vaccine coverage predicted for a bivalent recombinant factor H binding protein based vaccine to prevent serogroup B meningococcal disease. Vaccine 28:6086-6093.

- 100. Richmond PC, Marshall HS, Nissen MD, Jiang Q, Jansen KU, Garces-Sanchez M, Martinon-Torres F, Beeslaar J, Szenborn L, Wysocki J, Eiden J, Harris SL, Jones TR, Perez JL, Study I. 2012. Safety, immunogenicity, and tolerability of meningococcal serogroup B bivalent recombinant lipoprotein 2086 vaccine in healthy adolescents: a randomised, single-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. The Lancet. Infectious diseases 12:597-607.
- 101. Parkhill J, Achtman M, James KD, Bentley SD, Churcher C, Klee SR, Morelli G, Basham D, Brown D, Chillingworth T, Davies RM, Davis P, Devlin K, Feltwell T, Hamlin N, Holroyd S, Jagels K, Leather S, Moule S, Mungall K, Quail MA, Rajandream MA, Rutherford KM, Simmonds M, Skelton J, Whitehead S, Spratt BG, Barrell BG. 2000. Complete DNA sequence of a serogroup A strain of Neisseria meningitidis Z2491. Nature 404:502-506.
- 102. Tettelin H, Saunders NJ, Heidelberg J, Jeffries AC, Nelson KE, Eisen JA, Ketchum KA, Hood DW, Peden JF, Dodson RJ, Nelson WC, Gwinn ML, DeBoy R, Peterson JD, Hickey EK, Haft DH, Salzberg SL, White O, Fleischmann RD, Dougherty BA, Mason T, Ciecko A, Parksey DS, Blair E, Cittone H, Clark EB, Cotton MD, Utterback TR, Khouri H, Qin H, Vamathevan J, Gill J, Scarlato V, Masignani V, Pizza M, Grandi G, Sun L, Smith HO, Fraser CM, Moxon ER, Rappuoli R, Venter JC. 2000. Complete genome sequence of Neisseria meningitidis serogroup B strain MC58. Science 287:1809-1815.
- 103. Bentley SD, Vernikos GS, Snyder LA, Churcher C, Arrowsmith C, Chillingworth T, Cronin A, Davis PH, Holroyd NE, Jagels K, Maddison M, Moule S, Rabbinowitsch E, Sharp S, Unwin L, Whitehead S, Quail MA, Achtman M, Barrell B, Saunders NJ, Parkhill J. 2007. Meningococcal genetic variation mechanisms viewed through comparative analysis of serogroup C strain FAM18. PLoS genetics 3:e23.
- 104. Schoen C, Blom J, Claus H, Schramm-Gluck A, Brandt P, Muller T, Goesmann A, Joseph B, Konietzny S, Kurzai O, Schmitt C, Friedrich T, Linke B, Vogel U, Frosch M. 2008. Whole-genome comparison of disease and carriage strains provides insights into virulence evolution in Neisseria meningitidis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105:3473-3478.
- 105. **Davidsen T, Tonjum T.** 2006. Meningococcal genome dynamics. Nature reviews. Microbiology **4**:11-22.
- 106. Dunning Hotopp JC, Grifantini R, Kumar N, Tzeng YL, Fouts D, Frigimelica E, Draghi M, Giuliani MM, Rappuoli R, Stephens DS, Grandi G, Tettelin H. 2006. Comparative genomics of Neisseria meningitidis: core genome, islands of horizontal transfer and pathogen-specific genes. Microbiology 152:3733-3749.
- 107. Schoen C, Tettelin H, Parkhill J, Frosch M. 2009. Genome flexibility in Neisseria meningitidis. Vaccine 27 Suppl 2:B103-111.
- 108. **Maiden MC.** 1993. Population genetics of a transformable bacterium: the influence of horizontal genetic exchange on the biology of Neisseria meningitidis. FEMS microbiology letters **112**:243-250.
- 109. Hill DJ, Griffiths NJ, Borodina E, Virji M. 2010. Cellular and molecular biology of Neisseria meningitidis colonization and invasive disease. Clinical science 118:547-564.
- 110. Rotman E, Steven Seifert H. 2014. The genetics of Neisseria species. Annual review of genetics 48:405-431.

- 111. **Ambur OH, Frye SA, Tonjum T.** 2007. New functional identity for the DNA uptake sequence in transformation and its presence in transcriptional terminators. Journal of bacteriology **189:**2077-2085.
- 112. **Buisine N, Tang CM, Chalmers R.** 2002. Transposon-like Correia elements: structure, distribution and genetic exchange between pathogenic Neisseria sp. FEBS letters **522:**52-58.
- 113. **Morelle S, Carbonnelle E, Nassif X.** 2003. The REP2 repeats of the genome of Neisseria meningitidis are associated with genes coordinately regulated during bacterial cell interaction. Journal of bacteriology **185**:2618-2627.
- 114. **Feil EJ, Spratt BG.** 2001. Recombination and the population structures of bacterial pathogens. Annual review of microbiology **55:**561-590.
- 115. **Moxon ER, Lenski RE, Rainey PB.** 1998. Adaptive evolution of highly mutable loci in pathogenic bacteria. Perspectives in biology and medicine **42:**154-155.
- 116. Metruccio MM, Pigozzi E, Roncarati D, Berlanda Scorza F, Norais N, Hill SA, Scarlato V, Delany I. 2009. A novel phase variation mechanism in the meningococcus driven by a ligand-responsive repressor and differential spacing of distal promoter elements. PLoS pathogens 5:e1000710.
- 117. **Richardson AR, Yu Z, Popovic T, Stojiljkovic I.** 2002. Mutator clones of Neisseria meningitidis in epidemic serogroup A disease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **99:**6103-6107.
- 118. **Delany I, Grifantini R, Bartolini E, Rappuoli R, Scarlato V.** 2006. Effect of Neisseria meningitidis fur mutations on global control of gene transcription. Journal of bacteriology **188**:2483-2492.
- 119. Grifantini R, Sebastian S, Frigimelica E, Draghi M, Bartolini E, Muzzi A, Rappuoli R, Grandi G, Genco CA. 2003. Identification of iron-activated and repressed Fur-dependent genes by transcriptome analysis of Neisseria meningitidis group B. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 100:9542-9547.
- 120. **Pawlik MC, Hubert K, Joseph B, Claus H, Schoen C, Vogel U.** 2012. The zincresponsive regulon of Neisseria meningitidis comprises 17 genes under control of a Zur element. Journal of bacteriology **194:**6594-6603.
- 121. **Heurlier K, Thomson MJ, Aziz N, Moir JW.** 2008. The nitric oxide (NO)-sensing repressor NsrR of Neisseria meningitidis has a compact regulon of genes involved in NO synthesis and detoxification. Journal of bacteriology **190:**2488-2495.
- 122. **Fagnocchi L, Pigozzi E, Scarlato V, Delany I.** 2012. In the NadR regulon, adhesins and diverse meningococcal functions are regulated in response to signals in human saliva. Journal of bacteriology **194:**460-474.
- 123. Echenique-Rivera H, Muzzi A, Del Tordello E, Seib KL, Francois P, Rappuoli R, Pizza M, Serruto D. 2011. Transcriptome analysis of Neisseria meningitidis in human whole blood and mutagenesis studies identify virulence factors involved in blood survival. PLoS pathogens 7:e1002027.
- 124. Hedman AK, Li MS, Langford PR, Kroll JS. 2012. Transcriptional profiling of serogroup B Neisseria meningitidis growing in human blood: an approach to vaccine antigen discovery. PloS one 7:e39718.
- 125. Kazmierczak MJ, Wiedmann M, Boor KJ. 2005. Alternative sigma factors and their roles in bacterial virulence. Microbiology and molecular biology reviews : MMBR 69:527-543.

- 126. Schielke S, Spatz C, Schwarz RF, Joseph B, Schoen C, Schulz SM, Hubert K, Frosch M, Schubert-Unkmeir A, Kurzai O. 2011. Characterization of FarR as a highly specialized, growth phase-dependent transcriptional regulator in Neisseria meningitidis. International journal of medical microbiology : IJMM **301:**325-333.
- 127. Andrews SC, Robinson AK, Rodriguez-Quinones F. 2003. Bacterial iron homeostasis. FEMS microbiology reviews 27:215-237.
- 128. Carson SD, Klebba PE, Newton SM, Sparling PF. 1999. Ferric enterobactin binding and utilization by Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Journal of bacteriology 181:2895-2901.
- 129. Delany I, Ieva R, Alaimo C, Rappuoli R, Scarlato V. 2003. The iron-responsive regulator fur is transcriptionally autoregulated and not essential in Neisseria meningitidis. Journal of bacteriology **185**:6032-6041.
- 130. **Delany I, Rappuoli R, Scarlato V.** 2004. Fur functions as an activator and as a repressor of putative virulence genes in Neisseria meningitidis. Molecular microbiology **52:**1081-1090.
- 131. Grifantini R, Frigimelica E, Delany I, Bartolini E, Giovinazzi S, Balloni S, Agarwal S, Galli G, Genco C, Grandi G. 2004. Characterization of a novel Neisseria meningitidis Fur and iron-regulated operon required for protection from oxidative stress: utility of DNA microarray in the assignment of the biological role of hypothetical genes. Molecular microbiology **54**:962-979.
- 132. Mellin JR, Goswami S, Grogan S, Tjaden B, Genco CA. 2007. A novel fur- and iron-regulated small RNA, NrrF, is required for indirect fur-mediated regulation of the sdhA and sdhC genes in Neisseria meningitidis. Journal of bacteriology 189:3686-3694.
- 133. Metruccio MM, Fantappie L, Serruto D, Muzzi A, Roncarati D, Donati C, Scarlato V, Delany I. 2009. The Hfq-dependent small noncoding RNA NrrF directly mediates Fur-dependent positive regulation of succinate dehydrogenase in Neisseria meningitidis. Journal of bacteriology **191:**1330-1342.
- 134. **Rock JD, Mahnane MR, Anjum MF, Shaw JG, Read RC, Moir JW.** 2005. The pathogen Neisseria meningitidis requires oxygen, but supplements growth by denitrification. Nitrite, nitric oxide and oxygen control respiratory flux at genetic and metabolic levels. Molecular microbiology **58**:800-809.
- 135. Bartolini E, Frigimelica E, Giovinazzi S, Galli G, Shaik Y, Genco C, Welsch JA, Granoff DM, Grandi G, Grifantini R. 2006. Role of FNR and FNR-regulated, sugar fermentation genes in Neisseria meningitidis infection. Molecular microbiology 60:963-972.
- 136. **Kiley PJ, Beinert H.** 2003. The role of Fe-S proteins in sensing and regulation in bacteria. Current opinion in microbiology **6**:181-185.
- 137. **Oriente F, Scarlato V, Delany I.** 2010. Expression of factor H binding protein of meningococcus responds to oxygen limitation through a dedicated FNR-regulated promoter. Journal of bacteriology **192:**691-701.
- 138. **Lundberg JO.** 1996. Airborne nitric oxide: inflammatory marker and aerocrine messenger in man. Acta physiologica Scandinavica. Supplementum **633:**1-27.
- 139. Rock JD, Thomson MJ, Read RC, Moir JW. 2007. Regulation of denitrification genes in Neisseria meningitidis by nitric oxide and the repressor NsrR. Journal of bacteriology 189:1138-1144.
- 140. Schielke S, Huebner C, Spatz C, Nagele V, Ackermann N, Frosch M, Kurzai O, Schubert-Unkmeir A. 2009. Expression of the meningococcal adhesin NadA is

controlled by a transcriptional regulator of the MarR family. Molecular microbiology **72:**1054-1067.

- 141. **Martin P, Makepeace K, Hill SA, Hood DW, Moxon ER.** 2005. Microsatellite instability regulates transcription factor binding and gene expression. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **102**:3800-3804.
- 142. **Deal CD, Krivan HC.** 1990. Lacto- and ganglio-series glycolipids are adhesion receptors for Neisseria gonorrhoeae. The Journal of biological chemistry **265**:12774-12777.
- 143. **Stromberg N, Deal C, Nyberg G, Normark S, So M, Karlsson KA.** 1988. Identification of carbohydrate structures that are possible receptors for Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **85**:4902-4906.
- 144. **Takahama U, Oniki T, Murata H.** 2002. The presence of 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid in human saliva and the possibility of its nitration by salivary nitrite in the stomach. FEBS letters **518**:116-118.
- 145. Fagnocchi L, Biolchi A, Ferlicca F, Boccadifuoco G, Brunelli B, Brier S, Norais N, Chiarot E, Bensi G, Kroll JS, Pizza M, Donnelly J, Giuliani MM, Delany I. 2013. Transcriptional regulation of the nadA gene in Neisseria meningitidis impacts the prediction of coverage of a multicomponent meningococcal serogroup B vaccine. Infection and immunity 81:560-569.
- 146. **Deghmane AE, Petit S, Topilko A, Pereira Y, Giorgini D, Larribe M, Taha MK.** 2000. Intimate adhesion of Neisseria meningitidis to human epithelial cells is under the control of the crgA gene, a novel LysR-type transcriptional regulator. The EMBO journal **19:**1068-1078.
- 147. **Deghmane AE, Giorgini D, Maigre L, Taha MK.** 2004. Analysis in vitro and in vivo of the transcriptional regulator CrgA of Neisseria meningitidis upon contact with target cells. Molecular microbiology **53**:917-927.
- 148. Ren J, Sainsbury S, Combs SE, Capper RG, Jordan PW, Berrow NS, Stammers DK, Saunders NJ, Owens RJ. 2007. The structure and transcriptional analysis of a global regulator from Neisseria meningitidis. The Journal of biological chemistry 282:14655-14664.
- 149. Stork M, Bos MP, Jongerius I, de Kok N, Schilders I, Weynants VE, Poolman JT, Tommassen J. 2010. An outer membrane receptor of Neisseria meningitidis involved in zinc acquisition with vaccine potential. PLoS pathogens 6:e1000969.
- 150. Stork M, Grijpstra J, Bos MP, Manas Torres C, Devos N, Poolman JT, Chazin WJ, Tommassen J. 2013. Zinc piracy as a mechanism of Neisseria meningitidis for evasion of nutritional immunity. PLoS pathogens 9:e1003733.
- 151. Cech TR, Steitz JA. 2014. The noncoding RNA revolution-trashing old rules to forge new ones. Cell 157:77-94.
- 152. **Gottesman S, Storz G.** 2011. Bacterial small RNA regulators: versatile roles and rapidly evolving variations. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in biology **3**.
- 153. **Storz G, Vogel J, Wassarman KM.** 2011. Regulation by small RNAs in bacteria: expanding frontiers. Molecular cell **43**:880-891.
- 154. **Wassarman KM.** 2002. Small RNAs in bacteria: diverse regulators of gene expression in response to environmental changes. Cell **109:**141-144.
- 155. **Gottesman S.** 2004. The small RNA regulators of Escherichia coli: roles and mechanisms*. Annual review of microbiology **58**:303-328.

- 156. Weiberg A, Bellinger M, Jin H. 2015. Conversations between kingdoms: small RNAs. Current opinion in biotechnology **32C**:207-215.
- Breaker RR. 2011. Prospects for riboswitch discovery and analysis. Molecular cell 43:867-879.
- 158. **Krajewski SS, Narberhaus F.** 2014. Temperature-driven differential gene expression by RNA thermosensors. Biochimica et biophysica acta **1839**:978-988.
- 159. Weber GG, Kortmann J, Narberhaus F, Klose KE. 2014. RNA thermometer controls temperature-dependent virulence factor expression in Vibrio cholerae. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111:14241-14246.
- Sampson TR, Weiss DS. 2014. CRISPR-Cas systems: new players in gene regulation and bacterial physiology. Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology 4:37.
- 161. Al-Attar S, Westra ER, van der Oost J, Brouns SJ. 2011. Clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPRs): the hallmark of an ingenious antiviral defense mechanism in prokaryotes. Biological chemistry **392:**277-289.
- 162. Zhang Y, Heidrich N, Ampattu BJ, Gunderson CW, Seifert HS, Schoen C, Vogel J, Sontheimer EJ. 2013. Processing-independent CRISPR RNAs limit natural transformation in Neisseria meningitidis. Molecular cell **50**:488-503.
- 163. **Peng W, Feng M, Feng X, Liang YX, She Q.** 2015. An archaeal CRISPR type III-B system exhibiting distinctive RNA targeting features and mediating dual RNA and DNA interference. Nucleic acids research **43**:406-417.
- 164. Waters LS, Storz G. 2009. Regulatory RNAs in bacteria. Cell 136:615-628.
- 165. **Brantl S.** 2007. Regulatory mechanisms employed by cis-encoded antisense RNAs. Current opinion in microbiology **10**:102-109.
- 166. Wagner EG. 2013. Cycling of RNAs on Hfq. RNA biology 10:619-626.
- 167. **Sauer E.** 2013. Structure and RNA-binding properties of the bacterial LSm protein Hfq. RNA biology **10**:610-618.
- 168. Vogel J, Luisi BF. 2011. Hfq and its constellation of RNA. Nature reviews. Microbiology 9:578-589.
- 169. **Pfeiffer V, Papenfort K, Lucchini S, Hinton JC, Vogel J.** 2009. Coding sequence targeting by MicC RNA reveals bacterial mRNA silencing downstream of translational initiation. Nature structural & molecular biology **16**:840-846.
- 170. **Aiba H.** 2007. Mechanism of RNA silencing by Hfq-binding small RNAs. Current opinion in microbiology **10**:134-139.
- 171. **Vecerek B, Moll I, Blasi U.** 2007. Control of Fur synthesis by the non-coding RNA RyhB and iron-responsive decoding. The EMBO journal **26**:965-975.
- 172. Sharma CM, Darfeuille F, Plantinga TH, Vogel J. 2007. A small RNA regulates multiple ABC transporter mRNAs by targeting C/A-rich elements inside and upstream of ribosome-binding sites. Genes & development 21:2804-2817.
- 173. Lavi-Itzkovitz A, Peterman N, Jost D, Levine E. 2014. Quantitative effect of target translation on small RNA efficacy reveals a novel mode of interaction. Nucleic acids research 42:12200-12211.
- 174. **Frohlich KS, Vogel J.** 2009. Activation of gene expression by small RNA. Current opinion in microbiology **12:**674-682.
- 175. **Hammer BK, Bassler BL.** 2007. Regulatory small RNAs circumvent the conventional quorum sensing pathway in pandemic Vibrio cholerae. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **104**:11145-11149.

- 176. **Urban JH, Vogel J.** 2008. Two seemingly homologous noncoding RNAs act hierarchically to activate glmS mRNA translation. PLoS biology **6**:e64.
- 177. Vanderpool CK, Balasubramanian D, Lloyd CR. 2011. Dual-function RNA regulators in bacteria. Biochimie 93:1943-1949.
- 178. **Richards GR, Vanderpool CK.** 2011. Molecular call and response: the physiology of bacterial small RNAs. Biochimica et biophysica acta **1809**:525-531.
- Johansen J, Eriksen M, Kallipolitis B, Valentin-Hansen P. 2008. Down-regulation of outer membrane proteins by noncoding RNAs: unraveling the cAMP-CRP- and sigmaE-dependent CyaR-ompX regulatory case. Journal of molecular biology 383:1-9.
- 180. Papenfort K, Pfeiffer V, Lucchini S, Sonawane A, Hinton JC, Vogel J. 2008. Systematic deletion of Salmonella small RNA genes identifies CyaR, a conserved CRP-dependent riboregulator of OmpX synthesis. Molecular microbiology 68:890-906.
- De Lay N, Gottesman S. 2009. The Crp-activated small noncoding regulatory RNA CyaR (RyeE) links nutritional status to group behavior. Journal of bacteriology 191:461-476.
- 182. **Figueroa-Bossi N, Valentini M, Malleret L, Fiorini F, Bossi L.** 2009. Caught at its own game: regulatory small RNA inactivated by an inducible transcript mimicking its target. Genes & development **23**:2004-2015.
- 183. **Overgaard M, Kallipolitis B, Valentin-Hansen P.** 2009. Modulating the bacterial surface with small RNAs: a new twist on PhoP/Q-mediated lipopolysaccharide modification. Molecular microbiology **74**:1289-1294.
- 184. **Zhou Y, Xie J.** 2011. The roles of pathogen small RNAs. Journal of cellular physiology **226**:968-973.
- 185. Vogt SL, Evans AD, Guest RL, Raivio TL. 2014. The Cpx envelope stress response regulates and is regulated by small noncoding RNAs. Journal of bacteriology **196:**4229-4238.
- 186. Gomez-Lozano M, Marvig RL, Tulstrup MV, Molin S. 2014. Expression of antisense small RNAs in response to stress in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. BMC genomics 15:783.
- 187. **Danger JL, Cao TN, Cao TH, Sarkar P, Trevino J, Pflughoeft KJ, Sumby P.** 2015. The small regulatory RNA FasX enhances group A Streptococcus virulence and inhibits pilus expression via serotype-specific targets. Molecular microbiology.
- 188. **Toledo-Arana A, Repoila F, Cossart P.** 2007. Small noncoding RNAs controlling pathogenesis. Current opinion in microbiology **10**:182-188.
- 189. **Romby P, Vandenesch F, Wagner EG.** 2006. The role of RNAs in the regulation of virulence-gene expression. Current opinion in microbiology **9**:229-236.
- 190. Porcheron G, Habib R, Houle S, Caza M, Lepine F, Daigle F, Masse E, Dozois CM. 2014. The small RNA RyhB contributes to siderophore production and virulence of uropathogenic Escherichia coli. Infection and immunity **82:**5056-5068.
- 191. Papenfort K, Forstner KU, Cong JP, Sharma CM, Bassler BL. 2015. Differential RNA-seq of Vibrio cholerae identifies the VqmR small RNA as a regulator of biofilm formation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 112:E766-775.
- 192. Feng L, Rutherford ST, Papenfort K, Bagert JD, van Kessel JC, Tirrell DA, Wingreen NS, Bassler BL. 2015. A qrr noncoding RNA deploys four different regulatory mechanisms to optimize quorum-sensing dynamics. Cell 160:228-240.

- 193. Mayoral JG, Hussain M, Joubert DA, Iturbe-Ormaetxe I, O'Neill SL, Asgari S. 2014. Wolbachia small noncoding RNAs and their role in cross-kingdom communications. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 111:18721-18726.
- 194. Valentin-Hansen P, Eriksen M, Udesen C. 2004. The bacterial Sm-like protein Hfq: a key player in RNA transactions. Molecular microbiology **51**:1525-1533.
- 195. **Sonnleitner E, Moll I, Blasi U.** 2002. Functional replacement of the Escherichia coli hfq gene by the homologue of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiology **148**:883-891.
- 196. **Hwang W, Arluison V, Hohng S.** 2011. Dynamic competition of DsrA and rpoS fragments for the proximal binding site of Hfq as a means for efficient annealing. Nucleic acids research **39:**5131-5139.
- 197. Hopkins JF, Panja S, Woodson SA. 2011. Rapid binding and release of Hfq from ternary complexes during RNA annealing. Nucleic acids research **39:**5193-5202.
- 198. Fender A, Elf J, Hampel K, Zimmermann B, Wagner EG. 2010. RNAs actively cycle on the Sm-like protein Hfq. Genes & development 24:2621-2626.
- 199. Soper T, Mandin P, Majdalani N, Gottesman S, Woodson SA. 2010. Positive regulation by small RNAs and the role of Hfq. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **107**:9602-9607.
- 200. Maki K, Morita T, Otaka H, Aiba H. 2010. A minimal base-pairing region of a bacterial small RNA SgrS required for translational repression of ptsG mRNA. Molecular microbiology **76**:782-792.
- 201. Brennan RG, Link TM. 2007. Hfq structure, function and ligand binding. Current opinion in microbiology **10**:125-133.
- 202. **Ding Y, Davis BM, Waldor MK.** 2004. Hfq is essential for Vibrio cholerae virulence and downregulates sigma expression. Molecular microbiology **53**:345-354.
- 203. Kulesus RR, Diaz-Perez K, Slechta ES, Eto DS, Mulvey MA. 2008. Impact of the RNA chaperone Hfq on the fitness and virulence potential of uropathogenic Escherichia coli. Infection and immunity **76**:3019-3026.
- 204. Pichon C, Felden B. 2005. Small RNA genes expressed from Staphylococcus aureus genomic and pathogenicity islands with specific expression among pathogenic strains. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 102:14249-14254.
- 205. Sittka A, Pfeiffer V, Tedin K, Vogel J. 2007. The RNA chaperone Hfq is essential for the virulence of Salmonella typhimurium. Molecular microbiology **63**:193-217.
- 206. Boisset S, Geissmann T, Huntzinger E, Fechter P, Bendridi N, Possedko M, Chevalier C, Helfer AC, Benito Y, Jacquier A, Gaspin C, Vandenesch F, Romby P. 2007. Staphylococcus aureus RNAIII coordinately represses the synthesis of virulence factors and the transcription regulator Rot by an antisense mechanism. Genes & development 21:1353-1366.
- 207. **Heidrich N, Moll I, Brantl S.** 2007. In vitro analysis of the interaction between the small RNA SR1 and its primary target ahrC mRNA. Nucleic acids research **35:**4331-4346.
- 208. **Del Tordello E, Bottini S, Muzzi A, Serruto D.** 2012. Analysis of the regulated transcriptome of Neisseria meningitidis in human blood using a tiling array. Journal of bacteriology **194:**6217-6232.
- 209. Huis in 't Veld RA, Willemsen AM, van Kampen AH, Bradley EJ, Baas F, Pannekoek Y, van der Ende A. 2011. Deep sequencing whole transcriptome exploration of the sigmaE regulon in Neisseria meningitidis. PloS one 6:e29002.

- 210. Remmele CW, Xian Y, Albrecht M, Faulstich M, Fraunholz M, Heinrichs E, Dittrich MT, Muller T, Reinhardt R, Rudel T. 2014. Transcriptional landscape and essential genes of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Nucleic acids research 42:10579-10595.
- 211. McClure R, Tjaden B, Genco C. 2014. Identification of sRNAs expressed by the human pathogen Neisseria gonorrhoeae under disparate growth conditions. Frontiers in microbiology **5**:456.
- 212. Fantappie L, Oriente F, Muzzi A, Serruto D, Scarlato V, Delany I. 2011. A novel Hfq-dependent sRNA that is under FNR control and is synthesized in oxygen limitation in Neisseria meningitidis. Molecular microbiology **80**:507-523.
- Loh E, Kugelberg E, Tracy A, Zhang Q, Gollan B, Ewles H, Chalmers R, Pelicic V, Tang CM. 2013. Temperature triggers immune evasion by Neisseria meningitidis. Nature 502:237-240.
- 214. **Cahoon LA, Seifert HS.** 2013. Transcription of a cis-acting, noncoding, small RNA is required for pilin antigenic variation in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. PLoS pathogens **9:**e1003074.
- 215. Jackson LA, Pan JC, Day MW, Dyer DW. 2013. Control of RNA stability by NrrF, an iron-regulated small RNA in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Journal of bacteriology 195:5166-5173.
- 216. Zhang Z, Qi S, Tang N, Zhang X, Chen S, Zhu P, Ma L, Cheng J, Xu Y, Lu M, Wang H, Ding SW, Li S, Wu Q. 2014. Discovery of replicating circular RNAs by RNA-seq and computational algorithms. PLoS pathogens 10:e1004553.
- 217. **Tsai CH, Liao R, Chou B, Palumbo M, Contreras LM.** 2015. Genome-wide analyses in bacteria show small-RNA enrichment for long and conserved intergenic regions. Journal of bacteriology **197:**40-50.
- 218. Wassarman KM, Zhang A, Storz G. 1999. Small RNAs in Escherichia coli. Trends in microbiology **7:**37-45.
- 219. Raghavan R, Kacharia FR, Millar JA, Sislak CD, Ochman H. 2015. Genome rearrangements can make and break small RNA genes. Genome biology and evolution 7:557-566.
- 220. **Righetti F, Narberhaus F.** 2014. How to find RNA thermometers. Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology **4**:132.
- 221. Creecy JP, Conway T. 2015. Quantitative bacterial transcriptomics with RNA-seq. Current opinion in microbiology **23C**:133-140.
- 222. Fonseca NA, Marioni J, Brazma A. 2014. RNA-Seq gene profiling--a systematic empirical comparison. PloS one 9:e107026.
- 223. Toledo-Arana A, Dussurget O, Nikitas G, Sesto N, Guet-Revillet H, Balestrino D, Loh E, Gripenland J, Tiensuu T, Vaitkevicius K, Barthelemy M, Vergassola M, Nahori MA, Soubigou G, Regnault B, Coppee JY, Lecuit M, Johansson J, Cossart P. 2009. The Listeria transcriptional landscape from saprophytism to virulence. Nature 459:950-956.
- 224. **Rasmussen S, Nielsen HB, Jarmer H.** 2009. The transcriptionally active regions in the genome of Bacillus subtilis. Molecular microbiology **73**:1043-1057.
- 225. Koide T, Reiss DJ, Bare JC, Pang WL, Facciotti MT, Schmid AK, Pan M, Marzolf B, Van PT, Lo FY, Pratap A, Deutsch EW, Peterson A, Martin D, Baliga NS. 2009. Prevalence of transcription promoters within archaeal operons and coding sequences. Molecular systems biology 5:285.

- 226. Guell M, van Noort V, Yus E, Chen WH, Leigh-Bell J, Michalodimitrakis K, Yamada T, Arumugam M, Doerks T, Kuhner S, Rode M, Suyama M, Schmidt S, Gavin AC, Bork P, Serrano L. 2009. Transcriptome complexity in a genomereduced bacterium. Science 326:1268-1271.
- 227. Selinger DW, Cheung KJ, Mei R, Johansson EM, Richmond CS, Blattner FR, Lockhart DJ, Church GM. 2000. RNA expression analysis using a 30 base pair resolution Escherichia coli genome array. Nature biotechnology **18**:1262-1268.
- 228. McGrath PT, Lee H, Zhang L, Iniesta AA, Hottes AK, Tan MH, Hillson NJ, Hu P, Shapiro L, McAdams HH. 2007. High-throughput identification of transcription start sites, conserved promoter motifs and predicted regulons. Nature biotechnology 25:584-592.
- 229. Sorek R, Cossart P. 2010. Prokaryotic transcriptomics: a new view on regulation, physiology and pathogenicity. Nature reviews. Genetics **11**:9-16.
- 230. Passalacqua KD, Varadarajan A, Ondov BD, Okou DT, Zwick ME, Bergman NH. 2009. Structure and complexity of a bacterial transcriptome. Journal of bacteriology **191:**3203-3211.
- 231. Sittka A, Lucchini S, Papenfort K, Sharma CM, Rolle K, Binnewies TT, Hinton JC, Vogel J. 2008. Deep sequencing analysis of small noncoding RNA and mRNA targets of the global post-transcriptional regulator, Hfq. PLoS genetics 4:e1000163.
- 232. Sharma CM, Hoffmann S, Darfeuille F, Reignier J, Findeiss S, Sittka A, Chabas S, Reiche K, Hackermuller J, Reinhardt R, Stadler PF, Vogel J. 2010. The primary transcriptome of the major human pathogen Helicobacter pylori. Nature 464:250-255.
- 233. Yoder-Himes DR, Chain PS, Zhu Y, Wurtzel O, Rubin EM, Tiedje JM, Sorek R. 2009. Mapping the Burkholderia cenocepacia niche response via high-throughput sequencing. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106:3976-3981.
- 234. Wurtzel O, Sapra R, Chen F, Zhu Y, Simmons BA, Sorek R. 2010. A single-base resolution map of an archaeal transcriptome. Genome research 20:133-141.
- 235. Szafranska AK, Oxley AP, Chaves-Moreno D, Horst SA, Rosslenbroich S, Peters G, Goldmann O, Rohde M, Sinha B, Pieper DH, Loffler B, Jauregui R, Wos-Oxley ML, Medina E. 2014. High-resolution transcriptomic analysis of the adaptive response of staphylococcus aureus during acute and chronic phases of osteomyelitis. mBio 5.
- 236. Perkins TT, Kingsley RA, Fookes MC, Gardner PP, James KD, Yu L, Assefa SA, He M, Croucher NJ, Pickard DJ, Maskell DJ, Parkhill J, Choudhary J, Thomson NR, Dougan G. 2009. A strand-specific RNA-Seq analysis of the transcriptome of the typhoid bacillus Salmonella typhi. PLoS genetics 5:e1000569.
- 237. Irnov I, Sharma CM, Vogel J, Winkler WC. 2010. Identification of regulatory RNAs in Bacillus subtilis. Nucleic acids research **38**:6637-6651.
- 238. Dugar G, Herbig A, Forstner KU, Heidrich N, Reinhardt R, Nieselt K, Sharma CM. 2013. High-resolution transcriptome maps reveal strain-specific regulatory features of multiple Campylobacter jejuni isolates. PLoS genetics **9**:e1003495.
- 239. Behrens S, Widder S, Mannala GK, Qing X, Madhugiri R, Kefer N, Abu Mraheil M, Rattei T, Hain T. 2014. Ultra deep sequencing of Listeria monocytogenes sRNA transcriptome revealed new antisense RNAs. PloS one 9:e83979.

- 240. **Zhang A, Wassarman KM, Rosenow C, Tjaden BC, Storz G, Gottesman S.** 2003. Global analysis of small RNA and mRNA targets of Hfq. Molecular microbiology **50**:1111-1124.
- 241. Chao Y, Papenfort K, Reinhardt R, Sharma CM, Vogel J. 2012. An atlas of Hfqbound transcripts reveals 3' UTRs as a genomic reservoir of regulatory small RNAs. The EMBO journal **31:**4005-4019.
- 242. Schielke S, Frosch M, Kurzai O. 2010. Virulence determinants involved in differential host niche adaptation of Neisseria meningitidis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Medical microbiology and immunology **199:**185-196.
- 243. Pagliarulo C, Salvatore P, De Vitis LR, Colicchio R, Monaco C, Tredici M, Tala A, Bardaro M, Lavitola A, Bruni CB, Alifano P. 2004. Regulation and differential expression of gdhA encoding NADP-specific glutamate dehydrogenase in Neisseria meningitidis clinical isolates. Molecular microbiology **51**:1757-1772.
- 244. **Daddaoua A, Krell T, Ramos JL.** 2009. Regulation of glucose metabolism in Pseudomonas: the phosphorylative branch and entner-doudoroff enzymes are regulated by a repressor containing a sugar isomerase domain. The Journal of biological chemistry **284**:21360-21368.
- 245. Novichkov PS, Laikova ON, Novichkova ES, Gelfand MS, Arkin AP, Dubchak I, Rodionov DA. 2010. RegPrecise: a database of curated genomic inferences of transcriptional regulatory interactions in prokaryotes. Nucleic acids research 38:D111-118.
- 246. **Steuten B, Schneider S, Wagner R.** 2014. 6S RNA: recent answers--future questions. Molecular microbiology **91:**641-648.
- 247. Ramrath DJ, Yamamoto H, Rother K, Wittek D, Pech M, Mielke T, Loerke J, Scheerer P, Ivanov P, Teraoka Y, Shpanchenko O, Nierhaus KH, Spahn CM. 2012. The complex of tmRNA-SmpB and EF-G on translocating ribosomes. Nature 485:526-529.
- 248. **Peluso P, Herschlag D, Nock S, Freymann DM, Johnson AE, Walter P.** 2000. Role of 4.5S RNA in assembly of the bacterial signal recognition particle with its receptor. Science **288**:1640-1643.
- 249. Guerrier-Takada C, Gardiner K, Marsh T, Pace N, Altman S. 1983. The RNA moiety of ribonuclease P is the catalytic subunit of the enzyme. Cell **35**:849-857.
- 250. **Snyder LA, Saunders NJ.** 2006. The majority of genes in the pathogenic Neisseria species are present in non-pathogenic Neisseria lactamica, including those designated as 'virulence genes'. BMC genomics **7**:128.
- 251. **Hagen TA, Cornelissen CN.** 2006. Neisseria gonorrhoeae requires expression of TonB and the putative transporter TdfF to replicate within cervical epithelial cells. Molecular microbiology **62:**1144-1157.
- 252. Burge SW, Daub J, Eberhardt R, Tate J, Barquist L, Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR, Gardner PP, Bateman A. 2013. Rfam 11.0: 10 years of RNA families. Nucleic acids research 41:D226-232.
- 253. Chubukov V, Gerosa L, Kochanowski K, Sauer U. 2014. Coordination of microbial metabolism. Nature reviews. Microbiology 12:327-340.
- 254. **Holten E.** 1974. Glucokinase and glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase in Neisseria. Acta pathologica et microbiologica Scandinavica. Section B: Microbiology and immunology **82:**201-206.

- 255. **Holten E, Jyssum K.** 1974. Activities of some enzymes concerning pyruvate metabolism in Neisseria. Acta pathologica et microbiologica Scandinavica. Section B: Microbiology and immunology **82**:843-848.
- 256. del Castillo T, Ramos JL, Rodriguez-Herva JJ, Fuhrer T, Sauer U, Duque E. 2007. Convergent peripheral pathways catalyze initial glucose catabolism in Pseudomonas putida: genomic and flux analysis. Journal of bacteriology 189:5142-5152.
- 257. **Tunio SA, Oldfield NJ, Ala'Aldeen DA, Wooldridge KG, Turner DP.** 2010. The role of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GapA-1) in Neisseria meningitidis adherence to human cells. BMC microbiology **10**:280.
- 258. **Hebeler BH, Morse SA.** 1976. Physiology and metabolism of pathogenic neisseria: tricarboxylic acid cycle activity in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Journal of bacteriology **128**:192-201.
- 259. Morse SA, Hebeler BH. 1978. Effect of pH on the growth and glucose metabolism of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Infection and immunity **21**:87-95.
- 260. Catenazzi MC, Jones H, Wallace I, Clifton J, Chong JP, Jackson MA, Macdonald S, Edwards J, Moir JW. 2014. A large genomic island allows Neisseria meningitidis to utilize propionic acid, with implications for colonization of the human nasopharynx. Molecular microbiology **93**:346-355.
- 261. Schoen C, Kischkies L, Elias J, Ampattu BJ. 2014. Metabolism and virulence in Neisseria meningitidis. Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology **4**:114.
- 262. Leyn SA, Li X, Zheng Q, Novichkov PS, Reed S, Romine MF, Fredrickson JK, Yang C, Osterman AL, Rodionov DA. 2011. Control of proteobacterial central carbon metabolism by the HexR transcriptional regulator: a case study in Shewanella oneidensis. The Journal of biological chemistry **286**:35782-35794.
- 263. **Sorensen KI, Hove-Jensen B.** 1996. Ribose catabolism of Escherichia coli: characterization of the rpiB gene encoding ribose phosphate isomerase B and of the rpiR gene, which is involved in regulation of rpiB expression. Journal of bacteriology **178:**1003-1011.
- 264. **Yamamoto H, Serizawa M, Thompson J, Sekiguchi J.** 2001. Regulation of the glv operon in Bacillus subtilis: YfiA (GlvR) is a positive regulator of the operon that is repressed through CcpA and cre. Journal of bacteriology **183:**5110-5121.
- 265. **Hager PW, Calfee MW, Phibbs PV.** 2000. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa devB/SOL homolog, pgl, is a member of the hex regulon and encodes 6-phosphogluconolactonase. Journal of bacteriology **182:**3934-3941.
- 266. **Kim J, Jeon CO, Park W.** 2008. Dual regulation of zwf-1 by both 2-keto-3-deoxy-6-phosphogluconate and oxidative stress in Pseudomonas putida. Microbiology **154:**3905-3916.
- 267. Chang DE, Smalley DJ, Tucker DL, Leatham MP, Norris WE, Stevenson SJ, Anderson AB, Grissom JE, Laux DC, Cohen PS, Conway T. 2004. Carbon nutrition of Escherichia coli in the mouse intestine. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 101:7427-7432.
- 268. Chavarria M, Fuhrer T, Sauer U, Pfluger-Grau K, de Lorenzo V. 2013. Cra regulates the cross-talk between the two branches of the phosphoenolpyruvate : phosphotransferase system of Pseudomonas putida. Environmental microbiology 15:121-132.
- 269. Vogel J, Sharma CM. 2005. How to find small non-coding RNAs in bacteria. Biological chemistry **386**:1219-1238.

- 270. Altuvia S. 2007. Identification of bacterial small non-coding RNAs: experimental approaches. Current opinion in microbiology **10**:257-261.
- 271. **Ramesh A, Winkler WC.** 2014. Metabolite-binding ribozymes. Biochimica et biophysica acta **1839**:989-994.
- 272. **Miyakoshi M, Chao Y, Vogel J.** 2015. Regulatory small RNAs from the 3' regions of bacterial mRNAs. Current opinion in microbiology **24C:**132-139.
- 273. **Beisel CL, Storz G.** 2011. The base-pairing RNA spot 42 participates in a multioutput feedforward loop to help enact catabolite repression in Escherichia coli. Molecular cell **41**:286-297.
- 274. **Beisel CL, Updegrove TB, Janson BJ, Storz G.** 2012. Multiple factors dictate target selection by Hfq-binding small RNAs. The EMBO journal **31**:1961-1974.
- 275. Egan SM. 2002. Growing repertoire of AraC/XylS activators. Journal of bacteriology 184:5529-5532.
- 276. **Folster JP, Shafer WM.** 2005. Regulation of mtrF expression in Neisseria gonorrhoeae and its role in high-level antimicrobial resistance. Journal of bacteriology **187:**3713-3720.
- 277. Hollander A, Mercante AD, Shafer WM, Cornelissen CN. 2011. The ironrepressed, AraC-like regulator MpeR activates expression of fetA in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Infection and immunity **79:**4764-4776.
- 278. **Fantappie L, Scarlato V, Delany I.** 2011. Identification of the in vitro target of an iron-responsive AraC-like protein from Neisseria meningitidis that is in a regulatory cascade with Fur. Microbiology **157**:2235-2247.
- 279. Kellogg DS, Jr., Peacock WL, Jr., Deacon WE, Brown L, Pirkle DI. 1963. Neisseria Gonorrhoeae. I. Virulence Genetically Linked to Clonal Variation. Journal of bacteriology 85:1274-1279.
- 280. **Koeberling O, Delany I, Granoff DM.** 2011. A critical threshold of meningococcal factor H binding protein expression is required for increased breadth of protective antibodies elicited by native outer membrane vesicle vaccines. Clinical and vaccine immunology : CVI **18**:736-742.
- 281. **Hanahan D.** 1983. Studies on transformation of Escherichia coli with plasmids. Journal of molecular biology **166:**557-580.
- 282. **Studier FW, Moffatt BA.** 1986. Use of bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase to direct selective high-level expression of cloned genes. Journal of molecular biology **189:**113-130.
- 283. Sambrook J FE, Maniatis T. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory 2nd ed.
- 284. Ieva R, Alaimo C, Delany I, Spohn G, Rappuoli R, Scarlato V. 2005. CrgA is an inducible LysR-type regulator of Neisseria meningitidis, acting both as a repressor and as an activator of gene transcription. Journal of bacteriology **187**:3421-3430.
- 285. Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. 2009. Ultrafast and memoryefficient alignment of short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome biology 10:R25.
- 286. **Carver T, Harris SR, Berriman M, Parkhill J, McQuillan JA.** 2012. Artemis: an integrated platform for visualization and analysis of high-throughput sequence-based experimental data. Bioinformatics **28:**464-469.
- 287. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. 2001. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25:402-408.

- 288. **Klock HE, Lesley SA.** 2009. The Polymerase Incomplete Primer Extension (PIPE) method applied to high-throughput cloning and site-directed mutagenesis. Methods in molecular biology **498**:91-103.
- 289. **Delany I, Spohn G, Rappuoli R, Scarlato V.** 2001. The Fur repressor controls transcription of iron-activated and -repressed genes in Helicobacter pylori. Molecular microbiology **42**:1297-1309.
- 290. Maxam AM, Gilbert W. 1977. A new method for sequencing DNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 74:560-564.
- 291. **Zuker M.** 2003. Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. Nucleic acids research **31**:3406-3415.
- 292. Ferguson GP, Roop RM, 2nd, Walker GC. 2002. Deficiency of a Sinorhizobium meliloti BacA mutant in alfalfa symbiosis correlates with alteration of the cell envelope. Journal of bacteriology 184:5625-5632.
- 293. Seib KL, Oriente F, Adu-Bobie J, Montanari P, Ferlicca F, Giuliani MM, Rappuoli R, Pizza M, Delany I. 2010. Influence of serogroup B meningococcal vaccine antigens on growth and survival of the meningococcus in vitro and in ex vivo and in vivo models of infection. Vaccine 28:2416-2427.