Alma Mater Studiorum - Università di Bologna

DOTTORATO DI RICERCA IN

SCIENZE E TECNOLOGIE AGRARIE, AMBIENTALI E ALIMENTARI

Ciclo 34

Settore Concorsuale: 07/D1 - PATOLOGIA VEGETALE E ENTOMOLOGIA

Settore Scientifico Disciplinare: AGR/12 - PATOLOGIA VEGETALE

Genome-wide analysis of G-type lectin genes in *Fragaria vesca* and functional characterization of *FaMBL1* gene in defense response of $F. \times ananassa$ to fungal pathogens

Presentata da: Lijing Ma

Coordinatore Dottorato

Massimiliano Petracci

Supervisore

Elena Baraldi

Co-supervisore

Claudio Ratti

Esame finale anno 2022

Abstract

Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) is an important soft fruit but easily to be infected by pathogens. Anthracnose and gray mold are two of the most destructive diseases of strawberry which lead to serious fruit rot. The first chapter introduced strawberry anthracnose caused by Colletotrichum acutatum. The infection strategy, disease cycle and management of C. acutatum on strawberry were reported. Likewise, the second chapter summarized the infection strategy of Botrytis cinerea and the defense responses of strawberry. As we already know white unripe strawberry fruits are more resistant to C. acutatum than red ripe fruits. During the interaction between strawberry white/red fruit and C. acutaum, a mannose binding lectin gene, FaMBL1, was found to be the most up-regulated gene and induced exclusively in white fruit. FaMBL1 belongs to the G-type lectin family which has important roles in plant development and defense process. To get insight into the role of FaMBL1, genome-wide identification was carried out on G-type lectin gene family in Fragaria vesca and the results were showed in chapter 3. G-type lectin genes make up a large family in F. vesca. Active expression upon biotic/abiotic stresses suggested a potential role of G-lectin genes in strawberry defenses. Hence, stable transgenic strawberry plants with FaMBL1 gene overexpressed were generated. Transformed strawberry plants were screened and identified. The results were showed in chapter 4, content of disease-related phytohormone, jasmonic acid, was found decreased in overexpressing lines compared with wild type (WT). Petioles inoculated by C. fioriniae of overexpressing lines had lower disease incidence than WT. Leaves of overexpressing lines challenged by B. cinerea showed remarkably smaller lesion diameters compared with WT. The chitinase 2-1 (FaChi2-1) showed higher expression in overexpressing lines than in WT during the interaction with B. *cinerea*, which could be related with the lower susceptibility of overexpressing lines.

Contents

CHAPTER 1	1
STRAWBERRY ANTHRACNOSE CAUSED BY COLLETOTRICHUM AC	<i>CUTATUM</i> 1
Abstract	1
1. IDENTIFIED SPECIES OF <i>COLLETOTRICHUM</i> ON STRAWBERRY	1
2. Morphology of <i>C. Acutatum</i>	2
3. INFECTION PROCESS OF C. ACUTATUM	3
4. SUITABLE ENVIRONMENT CONDITION FOR C. ACUTATUM	4
5. DISEASE CYCLES	4
6. MANAGEMENT OF C. ACUTATUM ON STRAWBERRY	5
6.1. Cultural methods	6
6.2. Resistance breeding	6
6.2.1. Susceptibility of commercial strawberry cultivars to C. acutatum	6
6.2.2. Screening and characterization of strawberry resistance genes	
6.3. Biological control	9
7. Conclusions	10
8. References	10
CHAPTER 2	16
STRAWBERRY GRAY MOLD CAUSED BY BOTRYTIS CINEREA	16
1. INTRODUCTION	16
2. INFECTION PROCESS OF BOTRYTIS CINEREA	17
3. DEFENSE RESPONSES OF STRAWBERRY TO B. CINEREA	19
4. Conclusions	20
5. References	20
CHAPTER 3	24
GENOME-WIDE IDENTIFICATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF C	G-TYPE LECT
ARIA VESCA	24
ABSTRACT	24
1. INTRODUCTION	24

2.1.1. Identification and domain organization	2
2.1.2. Phylogeny analysis	2
2.1.3. Chromosome location	2
2.2. Expansion and evolution of G-lectin genes	2
2.3. Expression analysis of G-type lectin genes	2
2.4. Subcellular localization prediction	2
3. Results	2
3.1. F. vesca G-lectin genes identification and characterization	2
3.1.1. G-lectin genes identification, classification, and domain organization	2
3.1.2. Phylogenetic tree and nomenclature of G-type lectin genes	3
3.1.3. Chromosome location and duplication of G-lectin genes	3
3.2. Gene expression	3
3.2.1. G-lectin gene expression during development and under stress conditions	3
3.2.2. G-lectin gene co-expression prediction	
3.3. Subcellular location	3
4. DISCUSSION	3
5. Conclusions	4
6. Reference	4
IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF <i>FAMBL1</i> GENE IN DEFENSE I 1 × <i>ANANASSA</i> TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS	4 RESPON 4
IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF <i>FAMBL1</i> GENE IN DEFENSE I 1 × <i>ANANASSA</i> TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS	4 RESPON
IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF <i>FAMBL1</i> GENE IN DEFENSE I 1 × <i>ANANASSA</i> TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS	4 RESPON: 4 4
IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF <i>FAMBL1</i> GENE IN DEFENSE I A × <i>ANANASSA</i> TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS Abstract 1. INTRODUCTION	4 RESPON 4 4 5
IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF <i>FAMBL1</i> GENE IN DEFENSE I A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS	4 RESPON 4 4 5 5
IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBLI GENE IN DEFENSE I A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS ABSTRACT 1. INTRODUCTION 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS 2.1. Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of strawberry plants	4 RESPON 4 4 5 5
IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBL1 GENE IN DEFENSE IN A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS ABSTRACT I. INTRODUCTION 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS 2.1. Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of strawberry plants 2.2. Genomic DNA extraction, PCR, and droplet digital PCR	4 RESPON: 4 5 5 5
IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBL1 GENE IN DEFENSE I A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS ABSTRACT ABSTRACT 1. INTRODUCTION 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS 2.1. Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of strawberry plants 2.2. Genomic DNA extraction, PCR, and droplet digital PCR 2.3. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR	4 RESPON 4 5 5 5 5
 IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBL1 GENE IN DEFENSE IN A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS	4 RESPON 4 4 5 5 5 5 5
IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBL1 GENE IN DEFENSE I A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS ABSTRACT 1. INTRODUCTION 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS 2.1. Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of strawberry plants 2.2. Genomic DNA extraction, PCR, and droplet digital PCR 2.3. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR 2.4. Hormone Profiling of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants 2.5. Resistance evaluation of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants	4 RESPON 4 4 5 5 5 5 5
 IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBL1 GENE IN DEFENSE IN A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS	4 RESPON 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
 IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBL1 GENE IN DEFENSE IN A ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS	4
 IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF <i>FAMBL1</i> GENE IN DEFENSE IN A CHARACTERIZATION OF <i>FAMBL1</i> GENE IN DEFENSE IN A CHARACT AND FUNGAL PATHOGENS	4 RESPON 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
 IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBL1 GENE IN DEFENSE I A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS ABSTRACT ABSTRACT INTRODUCTION 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS. 2.1. Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of strawberry plants 2.2. Genomic DNA extraction, PCR, and droplet digital PCR 2.3. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR 2.4. Hormone Profiling of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants 2.5. Resistance evaluation of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants 2.6. qPCR of B. cinerea inoculated leaves 2.7. Statistical analysis 3. RESULTS 3.1. Screening of genetically transformed plants 	4 RESPON 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
 IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF <i>FAMBL1</i> GENE IN DEFENSE I <i>ANANASSA</i> TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS ABSTRACT INTRODUCTION MATERIALS AND METHODS 2.1. Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of strawberry plants 2.2. Genomic DNA extraction, PCR, and droplet digital PCR 2.3. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR 2.4. Hormone Profiling of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants 2.5. Resistance evaluation of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants 2.6. qPCR of B. cinerea inoculated leaves 2.7. Statistical analysis 3. RESULTS 3.1. Screening of genetically transformed plants 3.2. FaMBL1 expression in overexpressing lines 	4 RESPON 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
 IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF <i>FAMBL1</i> GENE IN DEFENSE I A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS ABSTRACT INTRODUCTION 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS 2.1. Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of strawberry plants 2.2. Genomic DNA extraction, PCR, and droplet digital PCR 2.3. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR 2.4. Hormone Profiling of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants 2.5. Resistance evaluation of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants 2.6. qPCR of B. cinerea inoculated leaves 2.7. Statistical analysis 3.8 RESULTS 3.1. Screening of genetically transformed plants 3.2. FaMBL1 expression in overexpressing lines 3.3. Phytohormone contents in FaMBL1 overexpressing lines 	4 RESPON: 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBL1 GENE IN DEFENSE I I × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS ABSTRACT I. INTRODUCTION 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS 2.1. Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of strawberry plants 2.2. Genomic DNA extraction, PCR, and droplet digital PCR 2.3. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR 2.4. Hormone Profiling of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants 2.5. Resistance evaluation of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants 2.6. qPCR of B. cinerea inoculated leaves 2.7. Statistical analysis 3.1. Screening of genetically transformed plants 3.2. FaMBL1 expression in overexpressing lines 3.3. Phytohormone contents in FaMBL1 overexpressing lines 3.4. Response of FaMBL1 overexpressing lines to fungal inoculation	4 RESPON4455
 IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBL1 GENE IN DEFENSE I A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS	4 RESPON 4 4 5
 IAPTER 4 INCTIONAL CHARACTERIZATION OF FAMBLI GENE IN DEFENSE I A × ANANASSA TO FUNGAL PATHOGENS	A RESPON A 4 A 4 A 5 A 4 A 5 A 4 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5 A 5

6. References	65
SUPPLEMENTAL FILES	68
SUPPLEMENTAL FILE S1	68
SUPPLEMENTAL FILE S2	69
SUPPLEMENTAL FILE S3	70
SUPPLEMENTAL FILE S4	81

Chapter 1

Strawberry anthracnose caused by Colletotrichum acutatum

Abstract

Strawberry is an important soft fruit but easily infected by pathogens. Anthracnose caused by *Colletotrichum acutatum sensu lato* is one of the most destructive diseases of strawberry. *C. acutatum sensu lato* is actually a species complex which is composed of distinct subspecies. In this chapter the morphology, infection process and disease cycle of *C. acutatum* on strawberry were described. The management of *C. acutatum* on strawberry was addressed through three aspects: cultural methods, resistance breeding, and biocontrol. Updated information about cultural methods, resistance genes and new biocontrol agents was reported.

Keywords: Colletotrichum lifestyle, disease cycle, defense-related gene, disease management

1. Identified species of *Colletotrichum* on strawberry

Anthracnose is one of the most important diseases of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa*), which is caused by fungal pathogens *Colletotrichum acutatum* J.H. Simmonds, *C. fragariae* Brooks and *C. gloeosporioides* (Penz.) Penz. & Sacc. in Penz. (Freeman & Katan, 1997). Of which *C. acutatum* and *C. gloeosporioides* are widely spread in Europe, especially *C. acutatum* (Denoyes & Baudry, 1995; Martinez-Culebras *et al.*, 2002). *C. acutatum* is quite destructive since they can infect all parts of the plant, including the leaf, petiole, stolon, crown, root, flower and fruit during nursery and production stages (Freeman & Katan, 1997). *C. acutatum* was originally described as an independent species by Simmonds (Simmonds, 1966). *C. acutatum*

and C. gloeosporioides have been traditionally distinguished by C. acutatum's fusiform conidia with acute ends (Dowling et al., 2020). However, this morphology character became soon insufficient for distinguishing the two species. For example, strains with only one acute end are frequently encountered (Damm et al., 2012). Later, with the advent of sequencing and molecular identification, it was found that C. acutatum and C. gloeosporioides, along with other species of Colletotrichum, are actually "species complexes", composed of numerous diverse species (Damm et al., 2012; Weir et al., 2012; Dowling et al., 2020). Using multilocus molecular phylogenetic analysis, 22 and 29 species were found in C. gloeosporioides and C. acutatum species complexes, respectively, in 2012 (Damm et al., 2012; Weir et al., 2012). Among the 29 species of C. acutatum species complexes, at least 6 species were associated with strawberry anthracnose, namely C. simmondsii, C. nymphaeae, C. fioriniae, C. godetiae, C. acutatum s. str. and C. salicis (Damm et al., 2012). Studying the diversity of C. acutatum species complexes helps the understanding the dissemination and managing of this disease. The C. acutatum populations were characterized phenotypically and genetically in the UK and the United States strawberry production (Baroncelli et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2019). These studies revealed that the species C. nymphaeae, C. godetiae and C. fioriniae are associated with strawberry anthracnose in the UK, and that C. nymphaeae and C. fioriniae appeared to be more aggressive compared to C. godetiae (Baroncelli et al., 2015). While two species, C. nymphaeae and C. fioriniae, were identified as responsible for the anthracnose fruit rot of strawberry in the United States (Wang et al., 2019).

2. Morphology of C. acutatum

Colonies of *C. acutatum* usually show white mycelium at the early stage and become covered with pink to orange conidial masses later (Peres *et al.*, 2005). The primary production of conidia is in acervuli; however, *C. acutatum* is also capable of forming secondary conidia on the surface of living strawberry leaves and the symptomless leaves could be significant sources of inoculum for fruit infection (Leandro *et al.*, 2001). Conidia usually have at least one acute end, in contrast to *C. gloeosporioides* having both ends rounded (Simmonds, 1966). Germinated conidia typically develop one or two transverse septa. The germ tubes originate from either end of a conidium and occasionally from both ends. Germ tubes generally span one plant cell length or less, but occasionally grow across several cells (Curry *et al.*, 2002). The appressoria are developed from the swollen tip of germ tube, and a septum exists between the germ tube and appressorium, near the base of the appressorium. The appressoria are initially unmelanized, and become fully pigmented when mature (Curry *et al.*, 2002; Arroyo *et al.*,

2005). The sexual morphs of *C. acutatum* has been designated as *Glomerella acutata*. Perithecia of *G. acutata* were brown to black color (Guerber & Correll, 2001). Among the subspecies related with strawberry, *C. salicis* was proved having sexual morphs (Damm *et al.*, 2012).

3. Infection process of C. acutatum

The infection process of *C. acutatum* was studied on leaves, stolons and petioles of strawberry using light and electron microscopy (Curry *et al.*, 2002; Arroyo *et al.*, 2005)(Fig. 1). The invasion processes on different tissues were similar, however, each invasion event occurred more rapidly in stolons than in petioles and the production of secondary conidia (microcyclic conidiation) was detected only on leaves (Curry *et al.*, 2002; Arroyo *et al.*, 2005).

Once the conidia of C. acutatum adhere to the surface of strawberry tissues, they start to geminate by forming a germ tube from either end of the conidium, followed by the differentiation of swollen tip of germ tube into globose and subglobose appressoria. The appressoria take some time to be mature and their structures are modified during the maturation. The young appressoria have a bilayer cell wall and their plasma membrane shows a wavy appearance. With the development, a third layer occurred between the cell wall and the plasma membrane of the appressorium. Then the plasma membrane of appressorium became smooth. Afterwards, a penetration peg emerged, and it passed through the cuticle and generated a small infection vesicle when it reached the upper epidermal wall. Then the small infection vesicle enlarged to form an intramural infection vesicle. Once the infection was well established, abundant subcuticular and intramural hyphae are produced, causing severe degradation of the host cell walls. Once stroma within the cuticle of epidermal cells developed, the cuticle expanded considerably to accommodate the growing acervuli. The maturing acervuli erupt through the cuticle and eventually release conidia. This infection process proves that C. acutatum is a subcuticular intramural pathogen (Curry et al., 2002; Arroyo et al., 2005)(Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 Schematic drawings of strawberry-*C. acutatum* interactions. Infection strategy: Subcuticular, intramural necrotrophy with hyphal development within periclinal and anticlinal walls of epidermal host cells. Note that host cell walls are swollen and wider apart. Cn = conidium; Gt = germ tube; Ap = appressorium; Iv = infection vesicle; Sh = secondary hyphae; Dead host cells are indicated with diagonal lines. Illustrations by J. E. Adaskaveg. (Peres *et al.*, 2005)

4. Suitable environment condition for C. acutatum

The physiology of seven *C. acutatum* strains isolated from strawberries was studied (Es-Soufi *et al.*, 2018). Their development is maximal at 25°C and 27°C for all the isolates studied. They cannot growth at 5°C or 37°C (Es-Soufi *et al.*, 2018). Germination, secondary conidiation, and appressorial development were significantly affected by temperature and wetness treatments. Increasing wetness duration was favorable for all of these processes. Under continuous wetness, the optimum temperature range for conidial germination and appressoria development was 23.0 to 27.7 °C and 17.6 to 26.5 °C, respectively. The most suitable temperature range for secondary conidiation was 21.3 to 32.7°C (Leandro *et al.*, 2003). In addition, through monitoring of meteorological conditions, it was found a temperature of 20-25 °C is optimal for *C. acutatum* development in the field (Morkeliūnė *et al.*, 2021).

5. Disease Cycles

C. acutatum affects all parts of strawberry, including roots, while the root necrosis is highly unusual for this fungus (Peres *et al.*, 2005). Actually, roots are not easy to be infected when strawberry are planted as a perennial. While the production system nowadays (treating strawberry as an annual) affects the cycle of the pathogen. The transplants are produced in nursery and then transported to the production fields. Transplants may harbor inoculum in lesions, in symptomless leaves with quiescent infection, or in infested soil attached to roots (Leandro *et al.*, 2003). Moreover, Roots of transplants are probably contaminated during digging, trimming, and packing operations in the nursery (Peres *et al.*, 2005). The

transportation of transplants largely contributes to the long-distance dissemination of *C. acutatum*. The *C. acutatum* may undergo a period of quiescence in order to overcome resistance mechanisms in unripe fruit such as pre-formed toxic compounds and deficiency of nutrition or energy for the pathogen (Wharton & Diéguez-Uribeondo, 2004). With the maturation of fruits, the conditions become favorable to pathogen, lesions start to show on the fruit surface and numerous spores are released. The secondary conidiation process can occur on the surface of strawberry leaves, which contributes to a higher level of inoculum for flower and fruit infection (Leandro *et al.*, 2001; Peres *et al.*, 2005). Rainfall or overhead irrigation accelerate the spread of fungus, vegetative tissues could also show disease symptoms, especially the senescent materials. Handling of plants such as harvest process could also cause fungal dissemination (Leandro *et al.*, 2003). The fungi surviving in plant debris, soil and in the nearby crops and weeds are important inoculum sources (Freeman *et al.*, 2001) (Fig. 2).



Fig. 2 Disease cycle of strawberry anthracnose caused by C. acutatum (Dowling et al., 2020).

6. Management of C. acutatum on strawberry

Resistance breeding, cultural methods, chemical control and biological control are general methods for management of *C. acutatum*, usually, one or several methods are used in

strawberry production (Dinler & Benlioğlu, 2019). The details about chemical control of *C*. *acutatum* had been clearly described by Dowling et al. (Dowling *et al.*, 2020).

6.1. Cultural methods

Various cultural practices are routinely employed to manage anthracnose caused by C. acutatum according to the disease cycle. Generally, the principle is suppressing inoculum production and spread by adjusting the growth conditions (Dowling et al., 2020). Healthy transplant is a prerequisite for disease control but difficult since the symptomless infection is common in strawberry (Freeman, 2008). Quantitative PCR are capable for detection of asymptomatic infection in strawberry leaves (Debode et al., 2009). In addition, freezing or paraquat treatment of symptomless tissues are two quick methods to detect the latent infection (Mertely & Legard, 2004). The tissues are killed by freezing or paraquat treatment, then cultured in moist petri dishes, the latent infection could be reported by acervuli showed on the dead tissues. Dipping treatments of transplants with fludioxonil-cyprodinil or azoxystrobin before planting are industry standards for managing the disease in the US, which could decrease the infection of C. acutatum (Haack et al., 2018). Soil disinfestation (solarization, fumigants and steaming) is also helpful, since the pathogen could survive in the soil (Freeman et al., 2002). Overhead irrigation caused splash dispersal could be solved by drip irrigation in strawberry cultivation at both nursery and production fields (Daugovish et al., 2012). In addition, rainfall caused splash dispersal has been minimized by growing the crop under cloches or walk-in greenhouses in Israel (Freeman, 2008). Furthermore, the annual hill plasticulture system is commonly used in strawberry production and can be highly profitable (Rahman et al., 2013). For example, two major cultivars 'Chandler' and 'Camarosa', which have desirable horticultural traits but high susceptibility to anthracnose, are using the plasticulture system and beneficial from it (Rahman et al., 2013). Importantly, all infected materials (petioles, stolons, leaves and fruits) should be removed from the cultivation area and not left in rows because it serves as an additional inoculum source (Freeman, 2008).

6.2. Resistance breeding

6.2.1. Susceptibility of commercial strawberry cultivars to C. acutatum

Given the increased fungicide tolerance of *C. acutatum* strains and public concern about food security, planting resistant cultivars becomes one of the most efficient and sustainable method for controlling *C. acutatum* of strawberry. A series of studies have been carried out to evaluate the susceptibility of different commercial cultivars to *C. acutatum*. Simpson et al. (Simpson et al., 1994) studied the susceptibility of strawberry cultivars from Northern Europe to a UK isolate of *C. acutatum*. Among the tested varieties, 'Elvira' and 'Honeoye' had a high level of resistance; 'Cambridge Favourite', 'Gorella', 'Pandora' and 'Pantagruella' showed a lower level of resistance; while 'Elsanta', 'Redgauntlet' and 'Tamella' were highly susceptible (Simpson et al., 1994). The resistance of different varieties of strawberry harvested from westcentral Florida was evaluated by comparing fruit rot incidence caused by C. acutatum (Seijo et al., 2008). 'Sweet Charlie', 'Ruby Gem', 'Florida Elyana', and 'Florida Radiance' were the most resistant cultivars tested; 'Strawberry Festival' was intermediate in susceptibility; and 'Albion', 'Camarosa', 'Camino Real', 'Ventana', 'Candonga', and 'Treasure' were susceptible/highly susceptible (Seijo et al., 2008). A screening system using detached strawberry leaves from in vitro propagated plants was adopted for resistance evaluation to C. acutatum. The susceptibility increased sequentially in cultivars 'Pelican', 'Sweet Charlie', 'Honeoye', 'Chandler', 'Latestar' and 'Delmarvel' according to the necrosis lesion size (Hammerschlag et al., 2006). According to disease index, mycological analysis and obtained yield, susceptibility of seven popular varieties, 'Honeoye', 'Camarosa', 'Elsanta', 'Darselect', 'Florence', 'Senga Sengana' and 'Alfa Centauri' were reported. All tested cultivars were susceptible to C. acutatum but in different degree. Among which cv. 'Camarosa' was the most susceptible because of the highest disease index and the lowest yield of healthy fruit, while cv. 'Senga Sengana' was the most resistant (Wagner & Hetman, 2016). The resistance evaluation using C. acutatum subspecies, C. nymphaeae, under in vivo and greenhouse conditions showed that the common commercial cultivars grown in Iran including 'Camarosa', 'Paros', 'Pajaro', and 'Queen Eliza' were categorized to susceptible and highly susceptible cultivars (Bahrami Kamangar et al., 2021). In general, 'Camarosa' is proved to be a susceptible cultivar in different countries using different evaluation methods (Seijo et al., 2008; Wagner & Hetman, 2016; Bahrami Kamangar et al., 2021). While 'Sweet Charlie' and 'Honeoye' are the relative resistant cultivars (Simpson et al., 1994; Hammerschlag et al., 2006; Seijo et al., 2008; Wagner & Hetman, 2016). Unfortunately, there is no report about a completely resistant species of strawberry to anthracnose. However, these studies provide useful information for producers in choosing species with higher resistance. Moreover, the species with distinct resistance to C. acutatum are valuable materials for transcriptome analysis. For example, new defense mechanism or defense-related genes could be revealed by comparative transcriptome analysis using two strawberry species with different susceptibility (Amil-Ruiz et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2017; Min et al., 2020). Most of the varieties mentioned above have been generated by traditional breeding, which is a time-consuming process, additionally, some undesirable traits

could occur with the disease-resistance trait. In addition, the narrow germplasm bases has caused deleterious effects of inbreeding (Amil-Ruiz *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, an alternative breeding method is in need. The high-quality genome sequencing (Edger *et al.*, 2019) and annotation (Liu *et al.*, 2021) of octoploid strawberry published recently provided bases for understanding the interaction between strawberry and *C. acutatum* at molecular level, which makes it possible to improve strawberry resistance by using biotechnological strategy introducing the resistance genes (Casado-Díaz *et al.*, 2006).

6.2.2. Screening and characterization of strawberry resistance genes

Disease resistance in plants commonly requires two complementary genes: an avirulence gene in the pathogen and a matching resistance gene in the host (Van Der Biezen & Jones, 1998). Several defense-related genes of strawberry to C. acutatum have been revealed and characterized, although the resistance mechanisms of these genes need to be further revealed. The inheritance of strawberry resistances to C. acutatum was also investigated (Denoyes-Rothan et al., 2005; Jacobs et al., 2019). A single dominant gene, Rca2, was found in control of the high-level resistance against C. acutatum, pathogenicity group 2, while the intermediate level of resistance was quantitative and controlled by minor genes (Denoyes-Rothan et al., 2005). Recently, another major resistance locus, FaRCa1, was revealed by the University of Florida strawberry breeding program (Salinas et al., 2019). FaRCal located on linkage group 6B, different from Rca2, which mapped to linkage group 7B. In addition, FaRCal conferred resistance to C. acutatum, pathogenicity group 1, probably mainly isolates of C. nymphaeae (Salinas et al., 2019). These results have important implications that will lead to more efficient selection for anthracnose resistance in strawberry breeding (Salinas et al., 2019). Study on the inheritance of resistance to C. acutatum and C. gloeosporioides in strawberry revealed a high genetic correlation between resistance to C. acutatum and C. gloeosporioides, implying that resistance genes against C. gloeosporioides probably work on resistance to C. acutatum (Jacobs et al., 2019). This result provided more possibilities of finding resistance genes against both C. acutatum and C. gloeosporioides. Higuera et al. elucidated a negative regulatory role of FaWRKY1 in resistance to C. acutatum in strawberry fruit (Higuera et al., 2019). A strawberry mannose binding lectin gene, FaMBL1, was found to be related with the higher resistance of unripe fruits (Guidarelli et al., 2011, 2014). Although strawberry fruits at both unripe and ripe stages can be infected by C. acutatum, the ripe fruits are more susceptible than unripe fruit and show disease symptoms, while in white fruits the pathogen becomes quiescent (Guidarelli et al., 2011). FaMBL1 was found to be the most upregulated gene in unripe fruit challenged by *C. acutatum*. Transient transformation of strawberry fruits with *FaMBL1* silenced and overexpressed was carried out, *FaMBL1* was proved involved in the resistance of unripe fruit to *C. acutatum* (Guidarelli *et al.*, 2014). Resistance genes from other organisms could be introduced to strawberry as well. *Trichoderma* is a fungus commonly used as a biocontrol agent under field conditions thanks to its expression of hydrolytic enzymes such as chitinases and glucanases. Susceptible strawberry cultivar 'Camarosa' transformed with either a chitinase, *chit-42*, or a β -1,3-glucanase gene, both from *Trichoderma*, showed less susceptibility to *C. acutatum* (Mercado *et al.*, 2007).

6.3. Biological control

Nowadays, there is a strong need for safe alternatives of fungicides used for disease control. Synthetic fungicides impose selective pressure on pathogen populations and may result in fungicide-resistant strains (Arroyo et al., 2007). The natural products with antifungal property and low risk for developing pathogen resistance could be a good substitute. Exogenous applications of plant essential compounds Brassinosteroids (BRs) could be used to activate the innate immunity of strawberry to C. acutatum (Furio et al., 2019). Strawberry plants treated with BRs increased the production of H₂O₂, O₂⁻, NO, calcium oxalate crystals and higher callose and lignin deposition as compared to the control plants (Furio et al., 2019). Volatile compound, (E)-Hex-2-enal, from strawberry fruit was capable of reducing the susceptibility of strawberry to C. acutatum (Arroyo et al., 2007). (E)-Hex-2-enal could inhibit the mycelium growth and spore germination of C. acutatum by altering the structures of the cell wall and plasma membrane of the conidia, causing disorganization and lysis of organelles and, eventually, cell death (Arroyo et al., 2007). The essential oil (EO) of Lippia sidoides was proved having antifungal activity to C. acutatum in vitro (Oliveira et al., 2019). The major compound of this EO is thymol which was able to cause dehydration and rupture of the pathogen hyphae. A more efficient way to apply this EO is by direct contact than by volatilization (Oliveira et al., 2019).

Although antagonists living on surfaces of plants have a potential effect in biological control, there is a limited number of studies on the biological control of *Colletotrichum* species (Dinler & Benlioğlu, 2019). *Trichoderma* strains, *T. harzianum* (T-39), *T. atroviride* (T-161) and *T. longibrachiatum* (T-166), were effective in controlling strawberry anthracnose caused by *C. acutatum* (Freeman *et al.*, 2004). These strains could be used independently or combined with each other at 0.4% or 0.8% concentrations, and at 7- or 10-day intervals for anthracnose control. *Azospirillum brasilense* REC3 is a plant growth-promoting bacterium isolated from

strawberry which could reduce the disease symptoms caused by *C. acutatum*. The induction of defense related genes and accumulation of SA indicated their participation in the defense response of strawberry to *C. acutatum*. The increased phenolic compounds and callous deposition implied the enhanced resistance was related with the structural cell wall modification (Tortora *et al.*, 2012).

7. Conclusions

The basis of disease management includes three aspects, making the environment unfavorable for pathogen growth and dissemination, increase the resistance of host plant as well as decreasing the number or virulence of pathogen. The lifestyle and disease cycle of *C. acutatum* on strawberry were revealed, according to which the cultural methods are made to decrease the number of inoculum and depress the dispersal. Molecular tools have been effectively used to distinguish *Colletotrichum* spp. and identify genetically distinct subgroups within *C. acutatum*. Despite a great effort has been made, the cultivated strawberry with completely resistance to *C. acutatum* is not generated yet. Resistance breeding using biotechnology is a promising way for strawberry anthracnose or other pathogen management. Therefore, the defense mechanisms and resistance genes of strawberry need to be revealed indepth.

8. References

Amil-Ruiz F, Blanco-Portales R, Muñoz-Blanco J, Caballero JL. 2011. The strawberry plant defense mechanism: A molecular review. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **52**: 1873–1903.

Amil-Ruiz F, Encinas-Villarejo S, Muñoz-Blanco J, Caballero JL, De Los Santos B, Romero F, Muñoz-Mérida A, Trelles O, Mercado JA, Pliego-Alfaro F. 2012. Distinctive transcriptome response of two strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) cultivars to Colletotrichum acutatum infection. *Acta Horticulturae* 929: 47–50.

Arroyo FT, Moreno J, Daza P, Boianova L, Romero F. 2007. Antifungal activity of strawberry fruit volatile compounds against Colletotrichum acutatum. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 55: 5701–5707.

Arroyo FT, Moreno J, García-Herdugo G, De Los Santos B, Barrau C, Porras M, Blanco C, Romero F. 2005. Ultrastructure of the early stages of Colletotrichum acutatum infection of strawberry tissues. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **83**: 491–500.

Bahrami Kamangar S, Karimi K, Karami F, Sharifi Vash Fam K, Bahmani K. 2021.

Resistance evaluation of some commercial strawberry cultivars to anthracnose fruit rot caused by colletotrichum nymphaeae under in vivo and greenhouse conditions. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology* **23**: 727–735.

Baroncelli R, Zapparata A, Sarrocco S, Sukno SA, Lane CR, Thon MR, Vannacci G, Holub E, Sreenivasaprasad S. 2015. Molecular diversity of anthracnose pathogen populations associated with UK strawberry production suggests multiple introductions of three different Colletotrichum species. *PLoS ONE* **10**: 1–21.

Van Der Biezen EA, Jones JDG. 1998. Plant disease-resistance proteins and the gene-forgene concept. *Trends in Biochemical Sciences* 23: 454–456.

Casado-Díaz A, Encinas-Villarejo S, Santos BDL, Schilirò E, Yubero-Serrano EM, Amil-Ruíz F, Pocovi MI, Pliego-Alfaro F, Dorado G, Rey M, *et al.* 2006. Analysis of strawberry genes differentially expressed in response to Colletotrichum infection. *Physiologia Plantarum* 128: 633–650.

Curry KJ, Abril M, Avant JB, Smith BJ. 2002. Strawberry anthracnose: Histopathology of Collectotrichum acutatum and C. fragariae. *Phytopathology* **92**: 1055–1063.

Damm U, Cannon PF, Woudenberg JHC, Crous PW. **2012**. The Collectotrichum acutatum species complex. *Studies in Mycology* **73**: 37–113.

Daugovish O, Bolda M, Kaur S, Mochizuki MJ, Marcum D, Epstein L. **2012**. Drip irrigation in California strawberry nurseries to reduce the incidence of Colletotrichum acutatum in fruit production. *HortScience* **47**: 368–373.

Debode J, Van Hemelrijck W, Baeyen S, Creemers P, Heungens K, Maes M. 2009. Quantitative detection and monitoring of colletotrichum acutatum in strawberry leaves using real-time PCR. *Plant Pathology* **58**: 504–514.

Denoyes-Rothan B, Guérin G, Lerceteau-Köhler E, Risser G. 2005. Inheritance of resistance to Colletotrichum acutatum in strawberry (Fragaria x Ananassa). *Phytopathology* 95: 405–412.

Denoyes B, Baudry A. 1995. Species Identification and Pathogenicity Study of French Collectorichum Strains Isolated from Strawberry Using Morphological and Cultural Characteristics. *Phytopathology* **85**: 53–57.

Dinler H, Benlioğlu S. 2019. The possibility to control diseases caused by colletotrichum species in strawberries. *International Journal of Agriculture, Forestry and Life Sciences* **3**:

362-370.

Dowling M, Peres N, Villani S, Schnabel G. **2020**. Managing collectorichum on fruit crops: A 'complex' Challenge. *Plant Disease* **104**: 2301–2316.

Edger PP, Poorten TJ, VanBuren R, Hardigan MA, Colle M, McKain MR, Smith RD, Teresi SJ, Nelson ADL, Wai CM, *et al.* 2019. Origin and evolution of the octoploid strawberry genome. *Nature Genetics* 51.

Es-Soufi R, El Kbiach ML, Errabii T, Saidi R, Badoc A, Chaveriat L, Martin P, Lamarti A. 2018. Biology and Physiology of Collectorichum acutatum Strains Causing Strawberry's Anthracnose. *Agricultural Sciences* **09**: 974–990.

Freeman S. **2008**. Management, survival strategies, and host range of Colletotrichum acutatum on strawberry. *HortScience* **43**: 66–68.

Freeman S, Horowitz S, Sharon A. 2001. Pathogenic and nonpathogenic lifestyles in Collectotrichum acutatum from strawberry and other plants. *Phytopathology* **91**: 986–992.

Freeman S, Katan T. 1997. Identification of Colletotrichum species responsible for anthracnose and root necrosis of strawberry in Israel. *Phytopathology* 87: 516–521.

Freeman S, Minz D, Kolesnik I, Barbul O, Zveibil A, Maymon M, Nitzani Y, Kirshner B, Rav-David D, Bilu A, *et al.* 2004. Trichoderma biocontrol of Colletotrichum acutatum and Botrytis cinerea and survival in strawberry. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **110**: 361–370.

Freeman S, Shalev Z, Katan J. 2002. Survival in soil of Colletotrichum acutatum and C. gloeosporioides pathogenic on strawberry. *Plant Disease* 86: 965–970.

Furio RN, Albornoz PL, Coll Y, Martínez Zamora GM, Salazar SM, Martos GG, Díaz Ricci JC. 2019. Effect of natural and synthetic Brassinosteroids on strawberry immune response against Colletotrichum acutatum. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **153**: 227–241.

Guerber JC, Correll JC. 2001. Characterization of Glomerella acutata , the teleomorph of Colletotrichum acutatum . *Mycologia* **93**: 216–229.

Guidarelli M, Carbone F, Mourgues F, Perrotta G, Rosati C, Bertolini P. 2011. Colletotrichum acutatum interactions with unripe and ripe strawberry fruits and differential responses at histological and transcriptional levels. *Plant Pathology*: 685–697. Guidarelli M, Zoli L, Orlandini A, Bertolini P, Baraldi E. 2014. The mannose-binding lectin gene FaMBL1 is involved in the resistance of unripe strawberry fruits to Colletotrichum acutatum. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 15: 832–840.

Haack SE, Ivors KL, Holmes GJ, Förster H, Adaskaveg JE. 2018. Natamycin, a new biofungicide for managing crown rot of strawberry caused by qoi-resistant collectorichum acutatum. *Plant Disease* 102: 1687–1695.

Hammerschlag F, Garcés S, Koch-Dean M, Ray S, Lewers K, Maas J, Smith BJ. 2006. In vitro response of strawberry cultivars and regenerants to Colletotrichum acutatum. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture* 84: 255–261.

Higuera JJ, Garrido-Gala J, Lekhbou A, Arjona-Girona I, Amil-Ruiz F, Mercado JA, Pliego-Alfaro F, Muñoz-Blanco J, López-Herrera CJ, Caballero JL. **2019**. The strawberry faWRKY1 transcription factor negatively regulates resistance to Colletotrichum acutatum in fruit upon infection. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **10**: 480.

Jacobs RL, Adhikari TB, Pattison J, Yencho GC, Fernandez GE, Louws FJ. 2019. Inheritance of resistance to colletotrichum gloeosporioides and c. Acutatum in strawberry. *Phytopathology* **109**: 428–435.

Leandro LFS, Gleason ML, Nutter FW, Wegulo SN, Dixon PM. 2001. Germination and Sporulation of Collectotrichum acutatum on Symptomless Strawberry Leaves. *Phytopathology* 91: 659–664.

Leandro LFS, Gleason ML, Nutter FW, Wegulo SN, Dixon PM. 2003. Influence of temperature and wetness duration on conidia and appressoria of Colletotrichum acutatum on symptomless strawberry leaves. *Phytopathology* **93**: 513–520.

Liu T, Li M, Liu Z, Ai X, Li Y. 2021. Reannotation of the cultivated strawberry genome and establishment of a strawberry genome database. *Horticulture Research* **8**.

Martinez-Culebras P V., Barrio E, Suarez-Fernandez MB, Garcia-Lopez MD, Querol A. 2002. RAPD analysis of Colletotrichum species isolated from strawberry and the design of specific primers for the identification of C. fragariae. *Journal of Phytopathology* **150**: 680–686.

Mercado JA, Martín-Pizarro C, Pascual L, Quesada MA, Pliego-Alfaro F, De Los Santos B, Romero F, Galvez J, Rey M, De La Viña G, *et al.* 2007. Evaluation of tolerance of colletotrichum acutatum in strawberry plants transformed with trichoderma-derived genes. *Acta Horticulturae* **738**: 383–388.

Mertely JC, Legard DE. **2004**. Detection, Isolation, and Pathogenicity of Colletotrichum spp. from Strawberry Petioles. *Plant Disease*.

Min K, Yi G, Lee JG, Kim HS, Hong Y, Choi JH, Lim S, Lee EJ. 2020. Comparative transcriptome and metabolome analyses of two strawberry cultivars with different storability. *PLoS ONE* 15: 1–23.

Morkeliūnė A, Rasiukevičiūtė N, Valiuškaitė A. 2021. Meteorological conditions in a temperate climate for colletotrichum acutatum, strawberry pathogen distribution and susceptibility of different cultivars to anthracnose. *Agriculture (Switzerland)* **11**: 1–13.

Oliveira J, Gloria EM, Parisi MCM, Baggio JS, Silva PPM, Ambrosio CMS, Spoto MHF. 2019. Antifungal activity of essential oils associated with carboxymethylcellulose against Colletotrichum acutatum in strawberries. *Scientia Horticulturae* **243**: 261–267.

Peres NA, Timmer LW, Adaskaveg JE, Correll JC. 2005. Lifestyles of Colletotrichum acutatum. *Plant Disease* 89: 784–796.

Rahman M, Ballington J, Louws F. **2013**. Role of foliar hemibiotrophic and fruit resistance in anthracnose-resistant strawberry genotypes for annual hill plasticulture systems. *Annals of Applied Biology* **163**: 102–113.

Salinas N, Verma S, Peres N, Whitaker VM. 2019. FaRCa1: a major subgenome-specific locus conferring resistance to Colletotrichum acutatum in strawberry. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 132: 1109–1120.

Seijo TE, Chandler CK, Mertely JC, Moyer C, Peres NA. 2008. Resistance of strawberry cultivars and advanced selections to Anthracnose and Botrytis fruit rots. *Proceedings of the Florida State Horticultural Society* 121: 246–248.

Simmonds JH. 1966. A study of the species of Colletotrichum causing ripe fruit rots in Queensland. *Queensland Journal of Agricultural and Animal Sciences* 22: 437–459.

Simpson DW, Winterbottom CQ, Bell JA, Maltoni ML. 1994. Resistance to a single UK isolate of Colletotrichum acutatum in strawberry germplasm from Northern Europe. *Euphytica* 77: 161–164.

Tortora ML, Díaz-Ricci JC, Pedraza RO. **2012**. Protection of strawberry plants (Fragaria ananassa Duch.) against anthracnose disease induced by Azospirillum brasilense. *Plant and Soil* **356**: 279–290.

Wagner A, Hetman B. 2016. Susceptibility of strawberry cultivars to Colletotrichum acutatum J.H. Simmonds. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum, Hortorum Cultus* 15: 209–219.

Wang NY, Forcelini BB, Peres NA. **2019**. Anthracnose fruit and root necrosis of strawberry are caused by a dominant species within the collectorichum acutatum species complex in the United States. *Phytopathology* **109**: 1293–1301.

Wang F, Zhang F, Chen M, Liu Z, Zhang Z, Fu J, Ma Y. 2017. Comparative transcriptomics reveals differential gene expression related to Colletotrichum gloeosporioides resistance in the octoploid strawberry. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**: 779.

Weir BS, Johnston PR, Damm U. 2012. The Collectorichum gloeosporioides species complex. *Studies in Mycology* 73: 115–180.

Wharton P, Diéguez-Uribeondo J. 2004. The biology of Colletotrichum acutatum. *Anales del Jardín Botánico de Madrid* 61: 3–22.

Chapter 2

Strawberry gray mold caused by *Botrytis* cinerea

1. Introduction

Gray mold caused by *Botrytis cinerea* is a primary disease of strawberry all over the world resulting in enormous economic losses to the strawberry industry. *B. cinerea* causes disease symptoms not only on fruits, but also on flowers and vegetative tissues (Asch *et al.*, 2019) (Fig. 3). Under wet conditions, more than 80% of strawberry flowers and fruits can be lost if plants are not sprayed with fungicides (Asch *et al.*, 2019).



Fig. 3 Symptoms of *Botrytis cinerea* infections in strawberry. A) senesced flower with *B. cinerea* mycelium growth. B) advanced floral infection. C) and D) infections of fruit at different stages. An infected petal can be seen as the source of fruit infection in D. E) and F) browning of leaves due to *B. cinerea* infections (Asch *et al.*, 2019).

2. Infection process of Botrytis cinerea

B. cinerea is a ubiquitous pathogen with more than 1000 plant hosts. Geographically, it has an extremely wide distribution from tropical area, temperate area to frigid area (Elad *et al.*, 2016). The infection process of *B. cinerea* started with a conidium landing on the plant surface, followed by the process of adhesion to the tissues surface, germination of conidia, generation and differentiation of germ tube, penetration of appressoria, killing of host cell, establishment of the primary lesion (Kan, 2005).

Generally, moist condition is helpful for the attachment and germination of conidia of *B. cinerea* at the beginning of infection, although it was reported dry-inoculated conidia could germinate as well (Cole *et al.*, 1996). For example, the incidence of strawberry flowers infected by *B. cinerea* was reported closely related with the weather condition, infection was favored when day vapor pressure was high (Xu *et al.*, 2000). The adhesive forces keeping conidia attached are resulting from the hydrophobic interactions between host and conidial surfaces (Doss *et al.*, 1993). In several hours, conidia germinate and the germ tubes are enclosed by an

extensive sheath of fibrillar-like materials, also known as extracellular matrix (Cole *et al.*, 1996), consisting of carbohydrates and proteins, such as cutinases, cellulases, laccases and pectinolytic enzymes (Doss, 1999). Differently, dry-inoculated conidia germinate rapidly and produce short germ tube and the extracellular matrix was only detected around the penetration area of the germ tube (Cole *et al.*, 1996; Williamson *et al.*, 2007).

The penetration of B. cinerea relies on appressorium generated from swollen tip of germ tube. However, it is distinct from the classical types of appressoria found in Colletotrichum or other fungal pathogens. B. cinerea germlings do contain melanin in the extracellular matrix which is loosely associated with the fungal cell wall (Doss et al., 2003) but they do not contain a wall that seals the appressorium from the germ tube, as would be required to enable generating extremely high osmotic pressures (Williamson et al., 2007). The extracellular matrix may contribute to the swelling by retaining water, since its major polysaccharide component, cinerean, is extremely hygroscopic (Kan, 2005). Besides penetration using appressoria, B. cinerea can infect the host through wound sites or opening stoma (Mehli et al., 2005). Given the simplicity of passing through wound sites or stoma, this process is regarded as an expansion rather than penetration (Kan, 2005). The penetration process of *B. cinerea* directly through intact cuticle of host was reported mediated by enzymatic activity of cutinase (Salinas & Verhoeff, 1995). Cutinase-encoding gene cutA was cloned and expressed together with the reporter gene GUS, then high GUS activity was detected from the onset of conidial germination and during penetration into epidermal cells (Van Kan et al., 1997). While the penetration goes on, B. cinerea secretes numerous cell wall-degrading enzymes, including pectinase (Clark & Lorbeer, 1976; Collmer & Keen, 1986) and polygalacturonases (van den Heuvel & Waterreus, 1985). Enzymatic depolymerization of major cell wall components by these enzymes damages the plant cell wall and exposes the nutrients to pathogens (Nakajima & Akutsu, 2014).

After cuticle penetration, *B. cinerea* kills host cells in advance of invasion of infection hyphae (Clark & Lorbeer, 1976). A number of metabolites and proteins secreted by the fungus have been shown to cause cell death when applied to plant tissues, and some also induce symptoms of programmed cell death (Nakajima & Akutsu, 2014). Some secondary metabolites of *B. cinerea* have been found to be phytotoxins and important for the pathogenesis, including botcinolide (Cutler *et al.*, 1993), botrydial (Colmenares *et al.*, 2002), botcinic acid (Reino *et al.*, 2004) and related botcinins (Tani *et al.*, 2006). The oxalic acid (OA) and reactive oxygen species (ROS) are also related with the virulence of *B. cinerea*. OA is produced by *B. cinerea* on colonized plant tissues and the production of OA is regulated by ambient pH (Manteau *et*

al., 2003), while ROS produced by plants during their interaction with pathogens could induce defense genes expression and programmed cell death. However, the cell death benefits the invasion of necrotrophy such as *B. cinerea* (Govrin & Levine, 2000). In addition, *B. cinerea* itself also generates ROS (Nakajima & Akutsu, 2014). With the establishment of *B. cinerea*, necrotic lesion will show on the host surface.

3. Defense responses of strawberry to *B. cinerea*

Host surface penetration and the rupture of plant cell walls by enzymes of *B. cinerea* triggers a cascade of processes in the fungus as well as the host (Kan, 2005). Defense mechanisms can be divided into preformed and induced defenses. In strawberries, preformed defense compounds are especially abundant in the unripe stage (Asch *et al.*, 2019). Proanthocyanins (PAs) are able to restrict the activity of fungal enzymes like polygalacturonases (PGs) that are necessary for aggressive infection of hosts and induce *B. cinerea* quiescence in unripe fruit (Jersch *et al.*, 1989). Similarly, anthocyanins might delay *B. cinerea* infections or cause quiescence as well (Asch *et al.*, 2019). For instance, strawberries illuminated with white fluorescent light showed increased anthocyanin content and delayed development of gray mold (Saks *et al.*, 1996).

Induced defense includes accumulation of secondary metabolites and expression of defense-related genes of strawberry. Demethylated oligogalacturonides produced by degradation of pectin of host cell wall during the invasion of *B. cinerea* can trigger basal immune responses (Amil-Ruiz *et al.*, 2011). Expression of the *F.* × *ananassa* pectin methylesterase 1, *FaPE1*, in *F. vesca* partially demethylated oligogalacturonides in ripe fruit, which led to a higher resistance to *B. cinerea* (Osorio *et al.*, 2011). Expression of polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein gene, *FaPGIP*, was significantly increased in strawberry upon infection of *B. cinerea* (Mehli *et al.*, 2004; Schaart *et al.*, 2005). Overexpression of *FaPGIP1a* and *FaPGIP2a* in cisgenic plants conferred enhanced resistance to gray mold (Schaart, 2004). Other enzymes induced by *B. cinerea* infections are chitinases. Maximum expression of the chitinases *FaChi2-1* and *FaChi2-2* occurred at 16 h post inoculation of strawberries challenged by *B. cinerea* (Mehli *et al.*, 2005). Transgenic strawberry plants expressing a thaumatin II gene showed enhanced resistance to *B. cinerea* (Schestibratov & Dolgov, 2005).

Phytohormones are closely related to strawberry plant resistance to *B. cinerea*, although the mechanisms are yet to be revealed. Down-regulation of the ABA biosynthetic gene β -glucosidase 3, *FaBG3*, resulted in fruit with limited ripening and higher *B. cinerea* resistance

(Li et al., 2013). The fungal elicitor, Acremonium strictum Elicitor Subtilisin (AsES), could induce strawberry innate immunity through a functional ethylene (ET) pathway and lead to a higher resistance to B. cinerea (Perato et al., 2020). AsES induced a marked increase in local and systemic biosynthesis of ET. However, blocking of ET perception by 1-MCP prior to AsES induction prevented AsES from eliciting defense against B. cinerea and other fungi (Perato et al., 2020). In addition, JA appears to be involved in defense responses against B. cinerea. For example, strawberries treated with MeJA had a delayed and much slower progression of B. cinerea infections (Saavedra et al., 2017). The resistance of strawberry against B. cinerea was negatively regulated by transcription factor, FaWRKY25 (Jia et al., 2021). This regulation possibly dependents on JA-signaling pathways, since FaWRKY25 expression level substantially affects the expression levels of genes related to JA biosynthesis and metabolism. Moreover, increasing JA content in strawberries by external JA treatment significantly reduced FaWRKY25 expression and enhanced the fruits' resistance against B. cinerea (Jia et al., 2021). On the contrary, transcription factor, FaWRKY11, was reported to be a positive regulator of strawberry resistance to *B. cinerea*, the mechanisms of regulation is yet to be revealed (Wang *et al.*, 2021).

4. Conclusions

B. cinerea is a necrotrophic pathogen with versatile secreted enzymes which assist the invasion and the pathogenicity of *B. cinerea*. Both reproductive and vegetative tissues of strawberry could be infected by *B. cinerea*, however, natural resistance material of cultivated strawberry is not present. In the future, it necessary to further explore the defense mechanisms of strawberry against *B. cinerea* and develop resistant species which is an effective way for strawberry gray mold management.

5. References

Amil-Ruiz F, Blanco-Portales R, Muñoz-Blanco J, Caballero JL. 2011. The strawberry plant defense mechanism: A molecular review. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **52**: 1873–1903.

Asch SP, Knapp SJ, Van K An JAL, Bl BA, Ate A-U. 2019. Grey mould of strawberry, a devastating disease caused by the ubiquitous necrotrophic fungal pathogen Botrytis cinerea. *Molecular Plant Pathology*: 1–16.

Clark CA, Lorbeer JW. 1976. Comparative histopathology of Botrytis squamosa and B. cinerea on onion leaves. *Phytopathology* **66**: 1279–1289.

Cole L, Dewey FM, Hawes CR. 1996. Infection mechanisms of Botrytis species: Prepenetration and pre-infection processes of dry and wet conidia. *Mycological Research* 100: 277–286.

Collmer A, Keen NT. 1986. The Role of Pectic Enzymes in Plant Pathogenesis. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **24**: 383–409.

Colmenares AJ, Aleu J, Durán-Patrón R, Collado IG, Hernández-Galán R. 2002. The putative role of botrydial and related metabolites in the infection mechanism of Botrytis cinerea. *Journal of Chemical Ecology* **28**: 997–1005.

Cutler HG, Jacyno JM, Harwood JS, Dulik D, Goodrich PD, Roberts RG. 1993. Botcinolide: A Biologically Active Natural Product from Botrytis Cinerea. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry* 57: 1980–1982.

Doss RP. 1999. Composition and enzymatic activity of the extracellular matrix secreted by germlings of Botrytis cinerea. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **65**: 404–408.

Doss RP, Deisenhofer J, Von Nidda HAK, Soeldner AH, McGuire RP. 2003. Melanin in the extracellular matrix of germlings of Botrytis cinerea. *Phytochemistry* **63**: 687–691.

Doss RP, Potter SW, Chastagner GA, Christian JK. **1993**. Adhesion of nongerminated Botrytis cinerea conidia to several substrata. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **59**: 1786–1791.

Elad Y, Pertot I, Cotes Prado AM, Stewart A. **2016**. Plant Hosts of Botrytis spp. In: Fillinger S, Elad Y, eds. Botrytis - The Fungus, the Pathogen and its Management in Agricultural Systems. Springer, Cham, 413–486.

Govrin EM, Levine A. 2000. The hypersensitive response facilitates plant infection by the necrotrophic pathogen Botrytis cinerea. *Current Biology* **10**: 751–757.

van den Heuvel J, Waterreus LP. 1985. Pectic enzymes associated with phosphatestimulated infection of French bean leaves by Botrytis cinerea. *Netherlands Journal of Plant Pathology* 91: 253–264.

Jersch S, Scherer C, Huth G, Schlösser E. 1989. Proanthocyanidins as basis for quiescence of Botrytis cinerea in immature strawberry fruits / Proanthocyanidine als Ursache der Quieszenz von Botrytis cinerea in unreifen Erdbeerfrüchten Author (s): S. Jersch, C. Scherer, G. Huth and E. Schlösser. *Journal of Plant Disease and Protection* **96**: 365–378.

Jia S, Wang Y, Zhang G, Yan Z, Cai Q. 2021. Strawberry FaWRKY25 Transcription Factor Negatively Regulated the Resistance of Strawberry Fruits to Botrytis cinerea. *Genes* 12.

Kan JAL Van. 2005. Infection Strategies of Botrytis cinerea. Acta Horticulturae 660: 77-90.

Van Kan JAL, Van't Klooster JW, Wagemakers CAM, Dees DCT, Van Der Vlugt-Bergmans CJB. 1997. Cutinase A of Botrytis cinerea is expressed, but not essential, during penetration of gerbera and tomato. *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions* 10: 30–38.

Li Q, Ji K, Sun Y, Luo H, Wang H, Leng P. 2013. The role of FaBG3 in fruit ripening and B. cinerea fungal infection of strawberry. *Plant Journal* 76: 24–35.

Manteau S, Abouna S, Lambert B, Legendre L. **2003**. Differential regulation by ambient pH of putative virulence factor secretion by the phytopathogenic fungus Botrytis cinerea. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **43**: 359–366.

Mehli L, Kjellsen TD, Dewey FM, Hietala AM. 2005. A case study from the interaction of strawberry and Botrytis cinerea highlights the benefits of comonitoring both partners at genomic and mRNA level. *New Phytologist* 168: 465–474.

Mehli L, Schaart JG, Kjellsen TD, Tran DH, Salentijn EMJ, Schouten HJ, Iversen TH. 2004. A gene encoding a polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein (PGIP) shows developmental regulation and pathogen-induced expression in strawberry. *New Phytologist* 163: 99–110.

Nakajima M, Akutsu K. 2014. Virulence factors of Botrytis cinerea. *Journal of General Plant Pathology* 80: 15–23.

Osorio S, Bombarely A, Giavalisco P, Usadel B, Stephens C, Aragüez I, Medina-Escobar N, Botella MA, Fernie AR, Valpuesta V. 2011. Demethylation of oligogalacturonides by FaPE1 in the fruits of the wild strawberry Fragaria vesca triggers metabolic and transcriptional changes associated with defence and development of the fruit. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **62**: 2855–2873.

Perato SM, Furio RN, Tomas-Grau RH, Caro MP, Hael-Conrad V, Díaz-Ricci JC, Martinez-Zamora MG. **2020**. The fungal elicitor AsES requires a functional ethylene pathway to activate the innate immunity in strawberry. *Plant Biology* **1**: 1030–1040.

Reino JL, Hernández-Galán R, Durán-Patrón R, Collado IG. 2004. Virulence - Toxin production relationship in isolates of the plant pathogenic fungus Botrytis cinerea. *Journal of Phytopathology* 152: 563–566.

Saavedra GM, Sanfuentes E, Figueroa PM, Figueroa CR. 2017. Independent preharvest applications of methyl jasmonate and chitosan elicit differential upregulation of defense-related genes with reduced incidence of gray mold decay during postharvest storage of Fragaria chiloensis fruit. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 18: 1–17.

Saks Y, Copel A, Barkai-Golan R. 1996. Improvement of harvested strawberry quality by illumination: Colour and Botrytis infection. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 8: 19–27.

Salinas J, Verhoeff K. 1995. Microscopical studies of the infection of gerbara flowers by Botrytis cinerea. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 101: 377–386.

Schaart JG. 2004. Towards consumer-friendly cisgenic strawberries which are less susceptible to Botrytis cinerea.

Schaart JG, Mehli L, Schouten HJ. 2005. Quantification of allele-specific expression of a gene encoding strawberry polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein (PGIP) using PyrosequencingTM. *Plant Journal* **41**: 493–500.

Schestibratov KA, Dolgov S V. 2005. Transgenic strawberry plants expressing a thaumatin II gene demonstrate enhanced resistance to Botrytis cinerea. *Scientia Horticulturae* 106: 177–189.

Tani H, Koshino H, Sakuno E, Cutler HG, Nakajima H. 2006. Botcinins E and F and botcinolide from Botrytis cinerea and structural revision of botcinolides. *Journal of Natural Products* 69: 722–725.

Wang Y, Zhao F, Zhang G, Jia S, Yan Z. 2021. FaWRKY11 transcription factor positively regulates resistance to Botrytis cinerea in strawberry fruit. *Scientia Horticulturae* 279: 109893.

Williamson B, Tudzynski B, Tudzynski P, Van Kan JAL. 2007. Botrytis cinerea: The cause of grey mould disease. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 8: 561–580.

Xu X, Harris DC, Berrie AM. 2000. Modeling infection of strawberry flowers by Botrytis cinerea using field data. *Phytopathology* **90**: 1367–1374.

Chapter 3

Genome-wide identification and characterization of G-type lectin in *Fragaria vesca*

Abstract

Lectins make up a large and diverse group of proteins in plants. G-type lectins are important type of lectins involved in plant development and defense process. However, studies about strawberry G-type lectins are limited. In this study, genome-wide identification was carried out on G-type lectin gene family in *Fragaria vesca*. A total of 133 genes were found belonging to this family and they were classified into four groups: G-type lectin receptor kinases, G-type lectin kinases, G-type lectin receptor proteins and G-type lectin proteins, according to their domain organizations. Their chromosome localization, phylogenetic and evolutionary relationship were also analyzed. The results showed that tandem and dispersed duplication occurred frequently, which led to the expansion of G-type lectin gene family in *F. vesca* and may have increased the types of domain arrangement. The expression profile of G-type lectin genes at different developmental stages of *F. vesca* and under various biotic/abiotic stresses was inferred from the available databases. G-type lectin genes are actively expressed during *F. vesca* development and respond to multiple biotic/abiotic stresses.

Keywords: bulb type lectin, diploid strawberry, GNA, Ser/Thr kinase domain

1. Introduction

Lectins are proteins containing one or more lectin domains that could specifically and reversibly bind carbohydrate or glycan structures (Van Holle & Van Damme, 2018). They

consist of a large and diverse class of proteins and exist in all kingdoms of life (Van Holle & Van Damme, 2019). Plant lectins can be classified into 12 distinct subfamilies based on their conserved carbohydrate-binding domains: *Agaricus bisporus* agglutinin family, Amaranthins, homologs of class V Chitinases (CRA), Cyanovirin, *Euonymus europaeus* lectin (EUL), *Galanthus nivalis* agglutinin (GNA), Hevein, Jacalin-related lectin (JRL), legume Lectin, Lysin Motif (LysM), *Nicotiana tabacum* agglutinin (Nictaba), and Ricin B lectin family (Van Damme *et al.*, 2008). Such abundance and diverse carbohydrate-binding ability imply an important function of these proteins in plants. Many lectins have already been shown to be involved in plant biotic and abiotic stress regulations (Chen *et al.*, 2006; Singh *et al.*, 2013; Sun *et al.*, 2013; Guidarelli *et al.*, 2014; Kim *et al.*, 2015; Liu *et al.*, 2015; Van Holle *et al.*, 2016). In particular, due to the capability of carbohydrate recognition and binding, lectin receptor-like kinases (LecRKs), are candidate proteins for pathogen-/damage-associated molecular pattern (P/DAMP) recognition. LecRKs usually consist of Ser/Thr kinase domain and lectin domains from CRA, GNA, Jacalin, Nictaba, LysM, and legume lectin family (Van Holle *et al.*, 2017).

GNA-related lectins, also known as G-type lectin or G-lectin, contribute to a large part of the whole plant lectins that have an affinity for mannose or mannose complex (Hogervorst *et al.*, 2006; Hwang & Hwang, 2011; Ghahremani *et al.*, 2019). Since the first GNA-related lectin was isolated in the bulbs of *Galanthus nivalis*, this type of lectin is also named bulb-type lectin or B-lectin, and the domain is named B-lectin or GNA domain (*Galanthus nivalis* agglutinin-related lectin domain) (Van Damme *et al.*, 1987).

Besides GNA domain, G-type lectins also contain other domains, such as S-locus glycoprotein domain (SLG), PAN/Apple domain (PAN), transmembrane domain (TM), and protein kinase domain (PK) (Vaid et al., 2013). Concerning their role, G-type lectins are predicted to have important functions in plant development and resistance. A large group of Gtype lectins has shown insecticidal properties, particularly against aphids of wheat, maize, potato, and sugarcane, by affecting their development and fecundity (Down et al., 1996; Luo et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005; Miao et al., 2011). CaMBL1 and CaGLP1 are pepper G-type lectin genes involved in signaling and plant cell death that were shown to play a role in defense against Xanthomonas campestris pv vesicatoria (Hwang & Hwang, 2011; Kim et al., 2015). Similarly, G-type LecRK gene of Arabidopsis takes part in defense signaling by recognizing lipopolysaccharides of Xanthomonas and Pseudomonas (Ranf et al., 2015). Lipopolysaccharides are well-described PAMPs that trigger plant innate immunity (Zeidler et al., 2004; Silipo et al., 2005). The transfer of a G-type LecRK gene Pi-d2 to rice conferred race-specific resistance to *Magnaporthe grisea* (Chen *et al.*, 2006), and knocking down of *OslecRK* gene, also a G-type LecRK, reduced the resistance of rice plant to *X. oryzae pv. oryzae* and brown planthopper (Cheng *et al.*, 2013). In strawberry, *FaMBL1* was found involved in the resistance of unripe fruits to *Colletotrichum acutatum* (Guidarelli *et al.*, 2014).

Besides their role in resistance to biotic stress, G-type lectins play a role in plant adaption to abiotic stress. *OsSIK2* enhanced rice tolerance to salt and drought stresses, also delayed dark-induced leaf senescence (Chen *et al.*, 2013). Transgenic *Arabidopsis* plant expressing *GsSRK* exhibited enhanced salt tolerance and higher yields under salt stress (Sun *et al.*, 2013). Both *OsSIK2* and *GsSRK* could be induced by abscisic acid, salt, and drought stresses (Chen *et al.*, 2013; Sun *et al.*, 2013).

Interestingly, G-type lectins also have potential medical applications. Some G-type lectins could recognize some of the high-mannose N-glycans exposed at the surface of gp120 of HIV-1 (Houlès Astoul *et al.*, 2000; Hoorelbeke *et al.*, 2011), acting as inhibitors of the entry of HIV-1 into CD4+ T-lymphocytes. Besides, there are also G-type lectins that could specifically bind altered hypermannosylation N-glycans on the surface of cancer cells and cause programmed cell death of tumor cells (Wu & Bao, 2013).

Functional analysis and genome-wide studies of G-type lectin have been performed in different plants, such as Arabidopsis (Jiang et al., 2010; Vaid et al., 2012; Teixeira et al., 2018), soybean (Jiang et al., 2010), rice (Vaid et al., 2012), tomato (Teixeira et al., 2018), mulberry (Saeed et al., 2016), and cucumber (Dang & Van Damme, 2016). Strawberry is a good model plant for the study of Rosaceae plants and the study of G-type lectin family in this species can provide information for other Rosaceae plants as well. Recently, with the updated genome and comprehensive atlas of F_{\cdot} annotation gene expression vesca (https://www.rosaceae.org/species/fragaria_vesca/genome_v4.0.a2) (Li et al., 2019), reliable data are available for genome-wide analysis of G-type lectin genes in strawberry. Moreover, most studies on plant G-type lectins focused on the G-type LecRKs, lacking insights on potential biological functions of G-type lectins without kinase domain. In this study, using the newly released F. vesca genome annotation (v4.0.a2), we identified the woodland strawberry lectin gene family members and characterized their genomic organization and phylogenic relationship. To get insights into their functions, we further analyzed the variation in domain composition and their expression profile at different stages.

2. Methods

2.1. Identification and characterization of G-type lectin genes

2.1.1. Identification and domain organization

To identify G-type lectin genes, BLASTp search was performed first using GNA domain of FvH4 3g18380 (homolog of FaMBL1) as the query in the Genome Database for Rosaceae (GDR) (https://www.rosaceae.org/) (Jung et al., 2019) website and using the database Fragaria vesca v4.0.a2 proteins. Results with E-value < 1E-6 were considered as candidate G-type lectin proteins. With the same setting, a second BLASTp was conducted using GNA domains of G-lectin proteins with higher variety found in the first BLASTp FvH4 2g12390, FvH4 2g14250, (FvH4 1g23370, FvH4 2g26490, FvH4 2g29050, FvH4_3g03410, FvH4 2g33830, FvH4 3g03230, FvH4 3g03301, FvH4 3g03430, FvH4 3g06140, FvH4 3g15930, FvH4 3g18370, FvH4 3g21270, FvH4 3g43440, FvH4 4g02170, FvH4 5g31680, FvH4 6g00300, FvH4 6g12870, FvH4 6g44106). The domains of each candidate gene were checked manually by InterProScan (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/search/sequence/) (Quevillon et al., 2005) website. The transmembrane domain checked using TMHMM Server 2.0 was by v. (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/) (Krogh et al., 2001).

2.1.2. Phylogeny analysis

To build a phylogenetic tree, full-length protein sequences were obtained by running Blastx on GDR website, using mRNA sequences from GDR as a query to score protein database. Protein sequences were aligned using MUSCLE mode by MEGA-X (Kumar *et al.*, 2018). Aligned sequences were analyzed via maximum likelihood bootstrapping (ML-BS) using IQ-TREE 1.6.12 (http://www.iqtree.org/) (Nguyen *et al.*, 2015). Once the best-fit model (WAG+F+I+G4) of molecular evolution was determined for G-type lectin genes, based on the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) scores (Chernomor *et al.*, 2016), ML-BS analysis was conducted with IQ-TREE 1.6.12. Statistical support for the branches was evaluated by conducting a ML-BS bootstrap analysis of 5000 replicates. The tree was annotated by iTOL (https://itol.embl.de/) (Letunic & Bork, 2019).

2.1.3. Chromosome location

The visualization of chromosome location of G-lectin genes was accomplished through MapGene2Chromosome V2 (<u>http://mg2c.iask.in/mg2c_v2.0/</u>) (Chao *et al.*, 2015). The location

coordinates of G-lectin genes on the strawberry genome (*F. vesca* v4.0.a2) were obtained from GDR website.

2.2. Expansion and evolution of G-lectin genes

Gene duplication was investigated in *F. vesca* genome. Gene tandem duplication was explored using the PTGBase plant tandem duplicated gene database (<u>http://ocri-genomics.org/PTGBase/</u>) (Yu et al., 2015), and dispersed duplication was investigated on the plant duplicate gene (plantDGD) database (<u>http://pdgd.njau.edu.cn:8080/</u>) (Qiao et al., 2019). The amount of tandem and dispersed duplication was showed by Venn diagram using R package VennDiagram (Chen & Boutros, 2011). The relationships of duplicated gene pairs were visualized by chord diagram using R package circlize (Gu *et al.*, 2014).

2.3. Expression analysis of G-type lectin genes

F. vesca G-lectin gene expression profiles were extracted from the database reported by Li and his colleagues (Li *et al.*, 2019). The expression levels of the genes in different tissues: flowers, fruit of different developmental stages, seedlings, leaves, meristems, and roots were used to draw a heatmap through R package, ComplexHeatmap (Gu *et al.*, 2016).

The transcriptome profiles of *F*. x *ananassa* strawberry infected by *Botrytis cinerea* (Xiong et al., 2018; Haile et al., 2019), *F. vesca* infected by *Phytophthora cactorum* (Toljamo et al., 2016), and by *Podosphaera aphanis* (Jambagi & Dunwell, 2015) were used to retrieve G-lectin gene expression. Besides this, transcriptome profile of *F. x ananassa* after cold stress (Zhang et al., 2019) and preharvest application of benzothiadiazole (BTH) and chitosan (Landi et al., 2017) were also used to obtain G-lectin gene expression profile. G-lectin genes from *F. x ananassa* transcriptome datasets were converted to their *F. vesca* orthologs.

The co-expression genes of F. vesca G-lectin genes were retrieved from the coexpression database (www.fv.rosaceaefruits.org) (Shahan et al., 2018) upon conversion of gene names from the previous genome annotations to the version 4.0.a2 (Li et al., 2019), here used for lectin gene identification. Since different networks indicate varying correlation strengths, for G-lectin genes co-expression analysis, networks with the highest correlation were chosen: of consensus100 hd ltpm (consensus100 network hand-dissected tissues), consensus100 lcm ltpm (consensus100 network of laser captured tissues), and consensus100 fruit ltpm (consensus100 network of ripening fruit tissue-only).

2.4. Subcellular localization prediction

For subcellular localization, amino acid sequences of strawberry G-lectin genes were submitted to two online predictors, TargetP 1.1 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP-1.1/index.php) (Emanuelsson *et al.*, 2000) and "subCELlular LOcalization predictor" CELLO v.2.5 (http://cello.life.nctu.edu.tw/) (Yu *et al.*, 2014). Using the default setting, the predicted positions of G-lectin genes were obtained.

3. Results

3.1. F. vesca G-lectin genes identification and characterization

3.1.1. G-lectin genes identification, classification, and domain organization

F. vesca protein sequences containing GNA domain were fished out by BLASTp from the *F. vesca* protein database included in the Genome Database for Rosaceae (GDR) (https://www.rosaceae.org/) (Jung *et al.*, 2019) website. The first BLASTp was carried out using the amino acid sequence (from 70 to 208 aa) of the GNA domain (domain ID: IPR001480) encoded by FvH4_3g18380 gene. This gene is the homolog of *F. x ananassa FaMBL1*, that encodes for a protein containing GNA and PAN domains and was reported as overexpressed in white strawberry fruit in response to anthracnose disease (Guidarelli *et al.*, 2014). The search allowed to retrieve 77 different protein sequences. To find out more proteins and reduce redundancy, 20 of these sequences with relatively low similarity were chosen and used for a second BLASTp search, leading to a total of 133 proteins with GNA domains found in *F. vesca*. Among these, 102 proteins containing PK and TM domain were classified into G-LecRK; 23 proteins lacking both domains were classified into G-LecRP. In addition, four genes (FvH4_3g03241; FvH4_3g03300; FvH4_3g15980; FvH4_6g44240) missing the TM domain but containing both GNA and PK domain were found, and they were classified into G-LecK.

Besides GNA domain, most of G-type lectins of *F. vesca* also contain other domains like S-locus glycoprotein domain (SLG), PAN/Apple domain (PAN), and Epidermal Growth Factor domain (EGF) (Fig. 4). SLG is involved in self-incompatibility reaction during flower fertilization (Kachroo *et al.*, 2001) and the PAN domain is believed to mediate protein-protein and protein-carbohydrate interactions (Tordai *et al.*, 1999). In some cases, G-type lectins have an EGF domain which may take part in the formation of disulfide bonds (Vaid *et al.*, 2013). Multiple arrangements of these domains lead to various G-type lectins in *F. vesca* (Fig. 4).

	G-LecR	K					
66	GNA		SLG	PAN	TM	РК	
13	GNA		SLG		ŤM	PK	
12	GNA			PAN	TM	РК	
11	GNA				ŤM	РК	
	G-Leck	(
4	GNA		SLG	PAN		PK	
	G-LecR	Ρ					
2	G-LecR	P	SLG	PAN	TM		
2 1	G-LecR GNA GNA	P	SLG	PAN PAN	TM TM		
2 1 1	G-LecR GNA GNA	P	SLG	PAN PAN	TM TM TM		
2 1 1	G-LecR GNA GNA GNA G-LecF		SLG	PAN PAN	TM TM		
2 1 1 2	G-LecR GNA GNA GNA G-LecF	P	SLG	PAN PAN	TM TM TM		
2 1 1 2 8	G-LecR GNA GNA G-LecF GNA GNA		SLG SLG SLG	PAN PAN PAN	TM TM TM		
2 1 1 2 8 3	G-LecR GNA GNA G-LecF GNA GNA	P	SLG SLG SLG	PAN PAN PAN	TM TM TM		

Fig. 4 Domain arrangements and classification of G-type lectins in Fragaria vesca. Numbers on the left indicate genes belonging to the type of domain organization. GNA: GNA domain; SLG: S-locus glycoprotein domain; PAN: PAN/Apple domain; PK: protein kinase domain; TM: transmembrane domain. Epidermal Growth Factor domain which overlaps with PAN domain and it is not shown in this figure.

3.1.2. Phylogenetic tree and nomenclature of G-type lectin genes

To highlight evolutionary differences, a phylogenetic tree of all 133 proteins was generated (Fig. 5). G-lectin genes are classified into six clades (I to VI). FvH4_1g03780 does not fall in any of these clades and it is designated singleton. All proteins in clade I have the same domain arrangement, GNA/PAN/TM/PK. In clade III, IV, and V, most of proteins are G-LecRKs with domain arrangement as GNA/SLG/PAN/TM/PK; while proteins of clade VI show the biggest diversity of domain arrangements, in total ten types of domain arrangements exist in this clade.



Fig. 5 Phylogenetic tree of *Fragaria vesca* G-type lectin genes. Different background colors indicate the six different clades. Symbols (circle, star, triangle, rectangular) at the end of each branch indicate the domain arrangement of each gene. Circle in different colors represent different domain arrangements of G-LecRKs; stars represent domain arrangement of G-LecRKs; triangle in different colors represent different

To make it convenient to refer to the *F. vesca* G-lectin genes, we proposed a nomenclature based on the similarity shown in the phylogenetic tree (Table 1), where the genes included in each clade were named following a sequential numbering. In the name, letters "Fve" indicate the gene is from the organism *F. vesca* (Jung *et al.*, 2015); "GLRK", "GLRP", "GLP" and "GLK" represent G-LecRK, G-LecRP, G-LecP, and G-LecK, respectively. Since FvH4_1g03780 did not fall in any of the clades, it was named *FveGLRK7.1*, to distinguish it from genes in the six clades. These FveG-Lectins' names will also be used herein the following sections.

Table 1.	. Proposed	nomenclature	for G-type	lectin gene	s in <i>Fi</i>	ragaria	vesca
			21	0		0	

Clade	Gene ID	New name	Clade	Gene ID	New name
Clade I	FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	Clade IV	FvH4_6g00257	FveGLRK4.27
	FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2		FvH4_3g03322	FveGLP4.5
	FvH4_2g29543	FveGLRK1.3		FvH4_3g03350	FveGLRK4.28
-----------	--------------	-------------	----------	--------------	-------------
	FvH4_2g29544	FveGLRK1.4		FvH4_6g00300	FveGLP4.6
	FvH4_2g29542	FveGLRK1.5	-	FvH4_3g06140	FveGLRK4.29
Clade II	FvH4_6g31370	FveGLRK2.1	Clade V	FvH4_6g51830	FveGLRK5.1
	FvH4_4g02170	FveGLRK2.2		FvH4_6g44064	FveGLRK5.2
	FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3		FvH4_6g44101	FveGLP5.1
	FvH4_3g15150	FveGLP2.1		FvH4_6g44100	FveGLRK5.3
	FvH4_3g15130	FveGLRK2.4		FvH4_6g44062	FveGLP5.2
	FvH4_3g15120	FveGLRK2.5		FvH4_6g44063	FveGLRK5.4
	FvH4_3g15090	FveGLRP2.1		FvH4_6g44109	FveGLRK5.5
	FvH4_3g15080	FveGLRK2.6		FvH4_6g44240	FveGLK5.1
	FvH4_3g03590	FveGLRK3.1		FvH4_6g44140	FveGLRK5.6
	FvH4_2g24770	FveGLP3.1		FvH4_6g44107	FveGLRK5.7
	FvH4_2g05942	FveGLP3.2		FvH4_6g44106	FveGLRK5.8
	FvH4_3g03461	FveGLRK3.2		FvH4_6g44190	FveGLRK5.9
	FvH4_3g03435	FveGLP3.3	-	FvH4_6g44242	FveGLP5.3
	FvH4_3g03450	FveGLRK3.3		FvH4_6g44108	FveGLRK5.10
	FvH4_3g03451	FveGLRK3.4		FvH4_6g44310	FveGLRK6.1
	FvH4_3g03481	FveGLRK3.5	Clade VI	FvH4_6g26450	FveGLRK6.2
	FvH4_3g03432	FveGLRK3.6		FvH4_6g26420	FveGLRK6.3
~	FvH4_3g03431	FveGLRK3.7		FvH4_7g14760	FveGLRK6.4
Clade III	FvH4_3g03581	FveGLRP3.1		FvH4_5g31680	FveGLRK6.5
	FvH4_3g03560	FveGLRK3.8		FvH4_5g31690	FveGLP6.1
	FvH4_5g32570	FveGLRK3.9	Clade VI	FvH4_2g26490	FveGLRK6.6
	FvH4_3g03433	FveGLRK3.10		FvH4_2g29070	FveGLRK6.7
	FvH4_3g03521	FveGLRK3.11		FvH4_2g29050	FveGLRK6.8
	FvH4_3g03520	FveGLRK3.12		FvH4_6g29840	FveGLRK6.9
	FvH4_5g31930	FveGLRK3.13		FvH4_6g29821	FveGLRK6.10
	FvH4_1g16211	FveGLRK3.14		FvH4_6g17930	FveGLRP6.1
	FvH4_3g03502	FveGLRK3.15		FvH4_6g10470	FveGLRP6.2
	FvH4_3g03501	FveGLRK3.16		FvH4_3g18370	FveGLP6.2
	FvH4_3g03482	FveGLRK3.17		FvH4_3g18382	FveGLP6.3
Clade IV	FvH4_6g44243	FveGLRK4.1		FvH4_3g18380	FveGLP6.4
	FvH4_6g44260	FveGLP4.1		FvH4_3g18371	FveGLP6.5
	FvH4_6g44245	FveGLRK4.2		FvH4_3g18410	FveGLP6.6
	FvH4_6g44244	FveGLRK4.3		FvH4_3g18383	FveGLP6.7
	FvH4_3g03430	FveGLRK4.4		FvH4_7g30670	FveGLRK6.11
	FvH4_3g03420	FveGLRK4.5		FvH4_6g26380	FveGLRK6.12
	FvH4_3g21271	FveGLP4.2		FvH4_2g12390	FveGLRK6.13
	FvH4_3g21270	FveGLRK4.6		FvH4_1g23400	FveGLRK6.14
	FvH4_6g12332	FveGLP4.3		FvH4_1g23390	FveGLRK6.15
	FvH4_3g21400	FveGLRK4.7		FvH4_2g14250	FveGLRK6.16
	FvH4_3g21310	FveGLRK4.8		FvH4_1g23380	FveGLRK6.17
	FvH4_3g15690	FveGLRK4.9		FvH4_1g23370	FveGLRK6.18
	FvH4_3g21320	FveGLRK4.10		FvH4_7g00200	FveGLRK6.19
	FvH4_3g15980	FveGLK4.1		FvH4_3g43403	FveGLRK6.20
	FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11		FvH4_3g43440	FveGLRK6.21
	FvH4_3g03310	FveGLRK4.12		FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22
	FvH4_3g03243	FveGLRK4.13		FvH4_3g43710	FveGLRK6.23

FvH4_3g03301	FveGLRK4.14		FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24
FvH4_3g03300	FveGLK4.2		FvH4_4g33230	FveGLRK6.25
FvH4_3g03230	FveGLRK4.15		FvH4_6g12930	FveGLRK6.26
FvH4_3g03242	FveGLRK4.16		FvH4_6g12890	FveGLRK6.27
FvH4_6g07960	FveGLRK4.17		FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28
FvH4_3g03240	FveGLRK4.18		FvH4_5g04310	FveGLP6.8
FvH4_3g03231	FveGLRK4.19		FvH4_5g04270	FveGLP6.9
FvH4_7g19680	FveGLRK4.20		FvH4_6g12870	FveGLRK6.29
FvH4_3g03241	FveGLK4.3		FvH4_6g12920	FveGLP6.10
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21		FvH4_6g12880	FveGLRK6.30
FvH4_3g03390	FveGLRK4.22		FvH4_2g33870	FveGLRK6.31
FvH4_3g03370	FveGLRK4.23		FvH4_2g33830	FveGLRK6.32
FvH4_6g20800	FveGLRK4.24		FvH4_2g33850	FveGLRK6.33
FvH4_3g03320	FveGLRK4.25		FvH4_2g33840	FveGLRK6.34
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	singleton	FvH4_1g03780	FveGLRK7.1
FvH4_6g00270	FveGLRK4.26			

3.1.3. Chromosome location and duplication of G-lectin genes

In order to visualize the chromosome location, G-lectin genes were mapped to *F. vesca* genome (Fig. 6). G-LecRKs are found distributed on all chromosomes, where the majority of G-LecRKs being on chromosomes 3 and 6, while G-LecPs are distributed on chromosomes 2, 3, 5, and 6. G-LecRPs are found only on chromosomes 3 and 6.



Fig. 6 Chromosome localization of G-LecRKs, G-LecKs, G-LecRPs, and G-LecPs in Fragaria vesca

Tandem and dispersed duplication are two modes of single gene duplication: i) tandem duplication, which generates consecutive gene copies in the genome and is believed to originate from unequal chromosomal crossing over (Wang *et al.*, 2012); ii) dispersed duplication, which

occurs with un-predictable and random patterns by still unclear mechanisms, resulting in two gene copies that are neither neighbors nor colinear (Qiao *et al.*, 2019). Out of the 133 G-lectin genes, 86 of them appear as duplicated genes, with either tandem duplication (55 genes) or dispersed duplication (51 genes) or both (20 genes), showing that duplication events are common in G-lectin family of strawberry, thus leading to the expansion of G-lectin family in strawberry (Fig. 7). Genes from the same tandem duplicated cluster (Supplemental file S1) are usually close on the phylogenetic tree, dispersed duplicated genes are also close on the tree (Supplemental file S2). The duplication events of *F. vesca* G-lectin genes were visualized by chord diagram (Fig. 8). These duplications are not evenly distributed on seven chromosomes of *F. vesca*, chromosome 3 and chromosome 6 show more duplications compared with the other chromosomes. No tandem duplication of G-type lectin genes on chromosome 4 or chromosome 7 is found.

tandem duplication dispersed duplication



Fig. 7 Tandem and dispersed duplication of the G-lectin genes of Fragaria vesca.



Fig. 8 Tandem and dispersed duplication events on G-type lectin genes among 7 chromosomes of *Fragaria vesca*. The different chromosomes are indicated in different colors. Genes connected by pink lines are tandem duplicates, while those lines connected by blue lines are dispersed duplicates.

3.2. Gene expression

3.2.1. G-lectin gene expression during development and under stress conditions

The expression profile of G-lectin genes was analyzed in different tissues and at different developmental stages, based on available strawberry RNA-seq datasets. As shown in the heatmap (Fig. 9), G-type Lectin genes display a wide range of transcription levels among the different tissues, some of them are highly expressed in various tissues, while others completely silenced (Fig. 9). Among the highly expressed genes, many of them belong to the G-LecRK group. Few genes appear specifically expression only in one or two tissues, as, for instance, *FveGLRP2.1* which is highly expressed in pollen and anthers, but not in the other

tissues. On the contrary, *FveGLP6.4*, the homolog of a known *F*. x *ananassa* G-type lectin, *FaMBL1*, showed active expression in many tissues during development. In general, G-lectin genes are more expressed in the ovary wall, seedling, style, root, and leaf, while they are hardly expressed in cortex and embryo (Fig. 9).



Fig. 9 Expression profiles of G-type lectin genes in *Fragaria vesca*. The expression profiles of different types of G-lectin genes are indicated in different colors: G-LecRKs in black, G-LecPs in blue, G-LecRPs in red, and G-LecKs in green. The expression levels are indicated by TPM (transcript per

million reads) and the TPM value for each gene is scaled before constructing the heatmap. Yw.22D: white fruit stage of Yellow Wonder 5AF7 (receptacle tissues at 22 days post-anthesis), Rg.22D: white fruit stage of Ruegen, Yw.15D: green fruit stage of Yellow Wonder 5AF7 (receptacle tissues at 15 days post-anthesis), Rg.15D: green fruit stage of Ruegen, Wall: ovary wall, Ghost: entire seed with its embryo removed (seed coat), REM: receptacle meristem, FM: flower meristem, SAM: shoot apical meristem

The log2 fold changes of G-lectin genes' expression under stresses were visualized in a heatmap. G-lectin genes were also found differently expressed during the interaction with pathogenic fungi, *Botrytis cinerea*, *Podosphaera aphanis* as well as Oomycete, *Phytophthora cactorum* (Fig. 10). Around 50 G-lectin genes were differentially expressed during the interaction with *P. cactorum* in strawberry root and most of them were upregulated. Several Glectin genes were also found upregulated in response to *P. aphanis* infection at 8 days postinfection. Compared to these two pathogens, few G-lectin genes were transcriptionally altered upon *B. cinerea* infection (Fig. 10). Moreover, some G-lectin genes appear to be regulated by plant resistance elicitor, benzothiadiazole, and chitosan. Interestingly, genes like *FveGLP6.4* (homolog of *FaMBL1*) were upregulated upon *B. cinera*, *P. cactorum*, and *P. aphanis* infection as well as by the inducers, benzothiadiazole, and chitosan. With regard to abiotic stress, cold stress caused both upregulation and downregulation of several G-lectin genes (Fig. 10).



Fig. 10 Differently expressed strawberry G-lectin genes after challenged by pathogens and treated with inducers and cold. Data are expressed using log2 FC; negative value represents downregulation; positive value represents upregulation; and NDE represents no different expression. WF: white fruit; RF and red: red fruit; HWL: leaves of strawberry cv. Hawaii 4; YWL: leaves of Yellow Wonder 5AF7; Bc: Botrytis cinerea; Pc: Phytophthora cactorum; Pa: Podosphaera aphanis; BTH: benzothiadiazole; CHI: chitosan; Cold_leaf: cold stressed leaf of seedlings; h/dpi: hours/days post-inoculation; hpt: hours post-treatment.

3.2.2. G-lectin gene co-expression prediction

To further get insights into the function of strawberry G-type lectins, the genes coexpressed with FveG-lectin genes were retrieved from the co-expression database (www.fv.rosaceaefruits.org) (Shahan et al., 2018). Genes with functions in different plant defense pathways were predicted to co-express with G-lectin genes (Supplemental file S3). Some G-lectin genes, for instance, *FveGLRK2.3* and *FveGLRK4.18*, were predicted to coexpress with other receptor-like kinases including cysteine-rich RLK, Leucine-rich receptorlike protein kinase, receptor-like protein 1, receptor-like protein 7. G-type lectins such as *FveGLRK1.1, FveGLRK4.13*, and *FveGLRK3.4* were predicted to co-express with disease resistance proteins, including NB-ARC domain-containing disease resistance protein, CC-NBS-LRR class family, TIR-NBS-LRR class family. *FveGLRK6.24, FveGLRK6.22*, and *FveGLRK2.3*, were predicted to co-express with many genes to make up a big defense coexpression network. For example, *FveGLRK2.3* was predicted to co-express with genes coding cysteine-rich RLK, Leucine-rich RLK, glutamate receptor 2.7, beta-glucosidase 13, and many more (Supplemental file S3).

3.3. Subcellular location

The subcellular localization of strawberry G-lectin proteins was predicted by CELLO and TargetP (Supplemental file S4). CELLO uses a reliable index to compare the possibility of different subcellular locations. Based on the CELLO prediction, all G-LecRKs had a higher reliable index of being located at the plasma membrane except for *FveGLRK6.31* and *FveGLRK4.13*, which were predicted to be located on the extracellular compartment. Conversely, almost all G-LecPs were located on the extracellular compartment. TargetP localization prediction is based on the presence of a signal peptide which drives proteins into the secretory pathway. According to this prediction, most *F. vesca* G-lectin proteins are driven to the secretory pathway, which is consistent with the prediction of CELLO, for which most of *F. vesca* G-lectin genes encode for proteins that are located on the plasma membrane or extracellular compartments.

4. Discussion

In plants, G-type lectin is a big gene family that is believed to play roles in biotic and abiotic stresses (Ghequire *et al.*, 2012; Siripipatthana *et al.*, 2015). Their role in defense was also reported in strawberry. For instance, 34 G-type LecRK genes were found upregulated in *F. vesca* root after *P. cactorum* inoculation (Toljamo *et al.*, 2016), and the G-type lectin gene

FaMBL1 was found involved in *F. x ananassa* resistance against *C. acutatum* (Guidarelli *et al.*, 2014). A study about strawberry Serine/Threonine Kinase disease resistance gene family showed that many Serine/Threonine Kinase genes belong to G-type LecRK (Martínez Zamora *et al.*, 2008), but insights about the genomic organization of G-lectin proteins in strawberry was still limited. Recently, high-quality *F. vesca* genome annotation provided a good chance for the genome-wide study of G-lectin genes in *F. vesca*.

To identify G-lectin encoding genes, we used only sequences of the GNA domain as a query rather than the whole sequence. This choice was made to avoid using the kinase domain sequence as a query, which would lead to much ambiguity in G-lectin identification. Eventually, 133 proteins were found belonging to the G-lectin family in *F. vesca* and the majority (102 out of 133) of G-lectins contained kinase domain belonging to the G-LecRK class. Four genes containing both GNA and kinase domain, but lacking TM domain, were classified into G-LecK. The lack of TM domain may lead to function alteration of these G-lectins.

TM domains are required for the plasma membrane localization of G-LecRKs (Chen et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2020). In rice, a single amino acid substitution (Ile144Met) in the TM domain of *Pi-d2*, a rice G-LecRK conferring resistance to *M. grisea* strain ZB15, made the plant susceptible to the strain ZB15, suggesting that the TM domain of *Pi-d2* may participate in the ligand recognition and signal transduction (Chen et al., 2006). Indeed, the substitution did not change the plasma membrane location of *Pi-d2*, so the altered structure of the mutated TM may have lost or modified its ligand-binding function and signal transduction from the extracellular domain to the intercellular kinase catalytic domain (Chen et al., 2006). This fact implies that the TM domain of G-lectin has a role in both membrane localization and signal transduction. However, most of G-LecPs in F. vesca, despite lacking TM domains, were also predicted to anchor to the plasma membrane. In this regard, a pepper G-LecP, CaMBL1, consisting of GNA domain and PAN domain and regulating plant defense to bacterial X. campestris pv vesicatoria, was reported to be located on plasma membrane (Hwang & Hwang, 2011). Moreover, the transient expression of CaMBL1 induces the accumulation of salicylic acid and the activation of defense-related genes, which indicates a role in defense signaling, although without TM and kinase domain (Hwang & Hwang, 2011). These data show that despite most of the previous studies on G-lectins focused on G-LecRKs, studies on G-LecPs could also cover important functions in plant.

Except for GNA, TM, and kinase domains, G-Lectins also contain some of SLG, PAN, and EGF domains. The various domain arrangements of G-lectins create an enormous degree of protein diversity. Proteins consisting of arrangements with PAN and SLG domains have GO functions related to the recognition of pollen, protein phosphorylation, and cell recognition which make these proteins important in reproduction and in general in signal perception or/and transduction (Kersting *et al.*, 2012). Multiple domain proteins are more species-specific compared with single-domain proteins, which are commonly shared among many plant species (Kersting *et al.*, 2012). In *F. vesca*, more than 90% of G-type lectins were found to belong to multiple domain proteins. These species-specific domain arrangements might be a consequence of frequent duplication events followed by lineage-specific retention (Paterson *et al.*, 2010). This is consistent with our result where a big portion of *F. vesca* G-lectin genes appear to originate from duplication and various domain arrangements. The various domain arrangements of G-type lectins could be considered as a kind of flexible genetic mechanism to produce species-specific adaptation to changing environments (Kersting *et al.*, 2012).

Tandem and dispersed duplication significantly contribute to the expansion of the Glectin gene family in *F. vesca*. More than half of G-type lectin genes of *F. vesca* originate from duplication events. Chromosome 3, where the highest number of G-type lectin genes is located, showed a big number of duplication events of G-type lectin genes. Conversely, no tandem duplication event on G-type lectin genes on chromosome 4 and chromosome 7 was found and these two chromosomes also contain fewer G-type lectin genes than other chromosomes. Species-specific expansion of the G-type lectin gene family was also reported in a study about lectins in soybean, rice, and *Arabidopsis* where tandem and segmental duplications have been regarded as the major mechanisms to drive lectin expansion (Jiang *et al.*, 2010). Consistently, a study about lectin genes in cucumber also revealed that 106 out of 146 genes (76.8%) were involved in the tandem duplication events (Dang & Van Damme, 2016).

According to the transcriptome data, many G-lectin genes, no matter G-LecRKs or G-LecPs, are actively expressed on different tissues at different developmental stages of strawberries. G-lectins in *F. vesca* actively respond to pathogens, abiotic stress, and elicitors; and some G-lectin genes appear to respond to both biotic and abiotic stress. Up to now, only one G-lectin gene, *FaMBL1* (homolog of *FveGLP6.4*) was studied for its involvement in resistance against pathogens in strawberries (Guidarelli *et al.*, 2014); however, the molecular mechanism underneath is not yet elucidated. *FveGLP6.4* appears to be not only expressed in several tissues of strawberry during its development but also found upregulated after challenges by *B. cinerea*, *P. aphanis*, and *P. cactorum* pathogens (Fig. 9 and Fig. 10), implying the involvement of *FveGLP6.4* in *F. vesca* (or *FaMBL1* in *F. x ananassa*) in plant defense.

The molecular features of some G-type lectins from other plant species are better known: *Pi-d2* (Chen *et al.*, 2006), LORE (Ranf *et al.*, 2015), *OsSIK2* (Chen *et al.*, 2013), and

CaMBL1 (Hwang & Hwang, 2011), which could regulate plant defense responses, were proved to be located at the plasma membrane by using confocal microscopy. For *CaMBL1*, its ability of mannose affinity and the importance of GNA domain for its localization are known (Hwang & Hwang, 2011). According to the study, *CaMBL1* has affinity toward Man α and/or Man β and GalNAc residues, and GNA domain is essential for its binding to D-mannose. A preliminary working model of *OslecRK* was also proposed by Cheng *et al.* (Cheng *et al.*, 2013). Here sensing of biotic stress first stimulates *OslecRK* expression, followed by the interaction of its kinase domain with *OsADF* (actin-depolymerizing factor) to transduce the signals. Following these events, the expression of defense-related genes (*PR1a, LOX* and *CHS*) was induced to strengthen the plant's immune response.

To further predict the function of G-lectins in *F. vesca*, we retrieved the genes predicted to co-express. G-lectin genes could co-express with other G-lectin genes, receptor kinase, and disease resistance genes which provides clues for uncovering their function.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, G-type lectin is a big gene family in *F. vesca* with various domain arrangements and great potential in strawberry defense to biotic/abiotic stresses. G-lectin genes deserve additional studies to understand their role in-depth and their functioning mechanisms. Studying mannose-binding ability and identifying downstream interacting proteins of G-type lectins are important to uncover their role in strawberry.

6. Reference

Chao J, Kong Y, Wang Q, Sun Y, Gong D, Lv J, Liu G. **2015**. MapGene2Chrom, a tool to draw gene physical map based on Perl and SVG languages. *Yi chuan = Hereditas* **37**: 91–97.

Chen H, Boutros PC. 2011. VennDiagram: a package for the generation of highlycustomizable Venn and Euler diagrams in R. *BMC Bioinformatics* 12: 35.

Chen X, Shang J, Chen D, Lei C, Zou Y, Zhai W, Liu G, Xu J, Ling Z, Cao G, *et al.* 2006. A B-lectin receptor kinase gene conferring rice blast resistance. *Plant Journal* **46**: 794–804.

Chen LJ, Wuriyanghan H, Zhang YQ, Duan KX, Chen HW, Li QT, Lu X, He SJ, Ma B, Zhang WK, *et al.* 2013. An S-domain receptor-like kinase, OsSIK2, confers abiotic stress tolerance and delays dark-induced leaf senescence in rice. *Plant Physiology* 163: 1752–1765.

Cheng X, Wu Y, Guo J, Du B, Chen R, Zhu L, He G. 2013. A rice lectin receptor-like kinase that is involved in innate immune responses also contributes to seed germination. *Plant Journal* 76: 687–698.

Chernomor O, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2016. Terrace Aware Data Structure for Phylogenomic Inference from Supermatrices. *Systematic Biology* 65: 997–1008.

Van Damme EJM, Allen AK, Peumans WJ. 1987. Isolation and characterization of a lectin with exclusive specificity towards mannose from snowdrop (Galanthus nivalis) bulbs. *FEBS Letters* 215: 140–144.

Van Damme EJM, Lannoo N, Peumans WJ. 2008. Plant Lectins. In: Kader J-C, Delseny M, eds. Advances in Botanical Research. Elsevier Masson SAS, 107–209.

Dang L, Van Damme EJM. **2016**. Genome-wide identification and domain organization of lectin domains in cucumber. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry* **108**: 165–176.

Down RE, Gatehouse AMR, Hamilton WDO, Gatehouse JA. **1996**. Snowdrop lectin inhibits development and decreases fecundity of the glasshouse potato aphid (Aulacorthum solani) when administered in vitro and via transgenic plants both in laboratory and glasshouse trials. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **42**: 1035–1045.

Emanuelsson O, Nielsen H, Brunak S, Von Heijne G. 2000. Predicting subcellular localization of proteins based on their N-terminal amino acid sequence. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **300**: 1005–1016.

Ghahremani M, Tran H, Biglou SG, O'Gallagher B, She YM, Plaxton WC. 2019. A glycoform of the secreted purple acid phosphatase AtPAP26 co-purifies with a mannosebinding lectin (AtGAL1) upregulated by phosphate-starved Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell and Environment* **42**: 1139–1157.

Ghequire MGK, Loris R, De Mot R. 2012. MMBL proteins: From lectin to bacteriocin. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 40: 1553–1559.

Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M. 2016. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics* 32: 2847–2849.

Gu Z, Gu L, Eils R, Schlesner M, Brors B. 2014. Circlize implements and enhances circular visualization in R. *Bioinformatics* 30: 2811–2812.

Guidarelli M, Zoli L, Orlandini A, Bertolini P, Baraldi E. 2014. The mannose-binding lectin gene FaMBL1 is involved in the resistance of unripe strawberry fruits to Colletotrichum acutatum. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 15: 832–840.

Haile ZM, Nagpala-De Guzman EG, Moretto M, Sonego P, Engelen K, Zoli L, Moser C, Baraldi E. 2019. Transcriptome Profiles of Strawberry (Fragaria vesca) Fruit Interacting With Botrytis cinerea at Different Ripening Stages. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 10: 1131.

Hogervorst PAM, Ferry N, Gatehouse AMR, Wäckers FL, Romeis J. 2006. Direct effects of snowdrop lectin (GNA) on larvae of three aphid predators and fate of GNA after ingestion. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **52**: 614–624.

Van Holle S, Van Damme EJM. 2018. Signaling through plant lectins: modulation of plant immunity and beyond. *Biochemical Society Transactions* 46: 217–233.

Van Holle S, Van Damme EJM. 2019. Messages from the past: New insights in plant lectin evolution. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 10: 36.

Van Holle S, De Schutter K, Eggermont L, Tsaneva M, Dang L, Van Damme EJM. 2017. Comparative study of lectin domains in model species: New insights into evolutionary dynamics. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 18: 1136.

Van Holle S, Smagghe G, Van Damme EJM. 2016. Overexpression of Nictaba-Like Lectin Genes from Glycine max Confers Tolerance toward Pseudomonas syringae Infection, Aphid Infestation and Salt Stress in Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7: 1590.

Hoorelbeke B, Van Damme EJM, Rougé P, Schols D, Van Laethem K, Fouquaert E, Balzarini J. 2011. Differences in the mannose oligomer specificities of the closely related lectins from Galanthus nivalis and Zea mays strongly determine their eventual anti-HIV activity. *Retrovirology* **8**: 10.

Houlès Astoul C, Peumans WJ, Van Damme EJM, Rouge P. 2000. Accessibility of the high-mannose glycans of glycoprotein gp120 from human immunodeficiency virus type 1 probed by in vitro interaction with mannose-binding lectins. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 274: 455–460.

Hwang IS, Hwang BK. **2011**. The pepper mannose-binding lectin gene CaMBL1 is required to regulate cell death and defense responses to microbial pathogens. *Plant Physiology* **155**: 447–463.

Jambagi S, Dunwell JM. **2015**. Global Transcriptome Analysis and Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes after Infection of Fragaria vesca with Powdery Mildew (Podosphaera aphanis). *Transcriptomics* **3**: 1000106.

Jiang SY, Ma Z, Ramachandran S. 2010. Evolutionary history and stress regulation of the lectin superfamily in higher plants. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 10: 79.

Jung S, Bassett C, Bielenberg DG, Cheng CH, Dardick C, Main D, Meisel L, Slovin J, Troggio M, Schaffer RJ. 2015. A standard nomenclature for gene designation in the Rosaceae. *Tree Genetics and Genomes* 11: 108.

Jung S, Lee T, Cheng CH, Buble K, Zheng P, Yu J, Humann J, Ficklin SP, Gasic K, Scott K, *et al.* 2019. 15 years of GDR: New data and functionality in the Genome Database for Rosaceae. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47: D1137–D1145.

Kachroo A, Schopfer CR, Nasrallah ME, Nasrallah JB. 2001. Allele-specific receptorligand interactions in Brassica self-incompatibility. *Science* 293: 1824–1826.

Kersting AR, Bornberg-Bauer E, Moore AD, Grath S. 2012. Dynamics and adaptive benefits of protein domain emergence and arrangements during plant genome evolution. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **4**: 316–329.

Kim NH, Lee DH, Choi DS, Hwang BK. 2015. The pepper GNA-related lectin and PAN domain protein gene, CaGLP1, is required for plant cell death and defense signaling during bacterial infection. *Plant Science* 241: 307–315.

Krogh A, Larsson B, Von Heijne G, Sonnhammer ELL. **2001**. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: Application to complete genomes. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **305**: 567–580.

Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. 2018. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **35**: 1547–1549.

Landi L, De Miccolis Angelini RM, Pollastro S, Feliziani E, Faretra F, Romanazzi G. 2017. Global transcriptome analysis and identification of differentially expressed genes in strawberry after preharvest application of benzothiadiazole and chitosan. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **8**: 235.

Letunic I, Bork P. 2019. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v4: Recent updates and new developments. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47: 256–259.

Li Y, Pi M, Gao Q, Liu Z, Kang C. 2019. Updated annotation of the wild strawberry Fragaria vesca V4 genome. *Horticulture Research* 6: 61.

Liu Y, Wu H, Chen H, Liu Y, He J, Kang H, Sun Z, Pan G, Wang Q, Hu J, *et al.* 2015. A gene cluster encoding lectin receptor kinases confers broad-spectrum and durable insect resistance in rice. *Nature Biotechnology* **33**: 301–307.

Luo S, Zhangsun D, Tang K. 2005. Functional GNA expressed in Escherichia coli with high efficiency and its effect on Ceratovacuna lanigera zehntner. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **69**: 184–191.

Martínez Zamora MG, Castagnaro AP, Díaz Ricci JC. 2008. Genetic diversity of Pto-like serine/threonine kinase disease resistance genes in cultivated and wild strawberries. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 67: 211–221.

Miao J, Wu Y, Xu W, Hu L, Yu Z, Xu Q. **2011**. The Impact of Transgenic Wheat Expressing Gna (snowdrop lectin) on the Aphids Sitobion avenae, Schizaphis graminum, and Rhopalosiphum padi. *Environmental Entomology* **40**: 743–748.

Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2015. IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**: 268–274.

Paterson AH, Freeling M, Tang H, Wang X. **2010**. Insights from the comparison of plant genome sequences. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* **61**: 349–372.

Qiao X, Li Q, Yin H, Qi K, Li L, Wang R, Zhang S, Paterson AH. 2019. Gene duplication and evolution in recurring polyploidization-diploidization cycles in plants. *Genome Biology* 20: 38.

Quevillon E, Silventoinen V, Pillai S, Harte N, Mulder N, Apweiler R, Lopez R. 2005. InterProScan: Protein domains identifier. *Nucleic Acids Research* **33**: 116–120.

Ranf S, Gisch N, Schäffer M, Illig T, Westphal L, Knirel YA, Sánchez-Carballo PM, Zähringer U, Hückelhoven R, Lee J, *et al.* 2015. A lectin S-domain receptor kinase mediates lipopolysaccharide sensing in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Nature Immunology* 16: 426–433.

Saeed B, Baranwal VK, Khurana P. 2016. Identification and Expression Profiling of the Lectin Gene Superfamily in Mulberry. *The Plant Genome* 9: plantgenome2015.10.0107.

Shahan R, Zawora C, Wight H, Sittmann J, Wang W, Mount SM, Liu Z. 2018. Consensus coexpression network analysis identifies key regulators of flower and fruit development in wild strawberry. *Plant Physiology* **178**: 202–216.

Silipo A, Molinaro A, Sturiale L, Dow JM, Erbs G, Lanzetta R, Newman MA, Parrilli M. 2005. The elicitation of plant innate immunity by lipooligosaccharide of Xanthomonas campestris. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 280: 33660–33668.

Singh P, Chien CC, Mishra S, Tsai CH, Zimmerli L. 2013. The Arabidopsis LECTIN RECEPTOR KINASE- VI.2 is a functional protein kinase and is dispensable for basal resistance to Botrytis cinerea. *Plant Signaling and Behavior* 8: e22611.

Siripipatthana P, Phaonakrop N, Roytrakul S, Senawong G, Mudalige-Jayawickrama RG, Sattayasai N. 2015. The first trimeric Galanthus nivalis agglutinin-related lectin of Orchidaceae was found in Dendrobium pendulum: purification, characterization, and effects of stress factors. *Plant Cell Reports* 34: 1253–1262.

Sun Y, Qiao Z, Muchero W, Chen J-G. 2020. Lectin Receptor-Like Kinases : The Sensor and Mediator at the Plant Cell. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11: 596301.

Sun XL, Yu QY, Tang LL, Ji W, Bai X, Cai H, Liu XF, Ding XD, Zhu YM. 2013. GsSRK, a G-type lectin S-receptor-like serine/threonine protein kinase, is a positive regulator of plant tolerance to salt stress. *Journal of Plant Physiology* **170**: 505–515.

Teixeira MA, Rajewski A, He J, Castaneda OG, Litt A, Kaloshian I. 2018. Classification and phylogenetic analyses of the Arabidopsis and tomato G-type lectin receptor kinases. *BMC Genomics* **19**: 239.

Toljamo A, Blande D, Kärenlampi S, Kokko H. 2016. Reprogramming of strawberry (Fragaria vesca) root transcriptome in response to Phytophthora cactorum. *PLoS ONE* 11: e0161078.

Tordai H, Bányai L, Patthy L. **1999**. The PAN module: The N-terminal domains of plasminogen and hepatocyte growth factor are homologous with the apple domains of the prekallikrein family and with a novel domain found in numerous nematode proteins. *FEBS Letters* **461**: 63–67.

Vaid N, Macovei A, Tuteja N. 2013. Knights in action: Lectin receptor-like kinases in plant development and stress responses. *Molecular Plant* 6: 1405–1418.

Vaid N, Pandey PK, Tuteja N. 2012. Genome-wide analysis of lectin receptor-like kinase family from Arabidopsis and rice. *Plant Molecular Biology* **80**: 365–388.

Wang Y, Wang X, Paterson AH. 2012. Genome and gene duplications and gene expression divergence: A view from plants. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1256: 1–14.

Wang Z, Zhang K, Sun X, Tang K, Zhang J. 2005. Enhancement of resistance to aphids by introducing the snowdrop lectin gene gna into maize plants. *JOURNAL OF BIOSCIENCES* 30: 627–638.

Wu L, Bao JK. **2013**. Anti-tumor and anti-viral activities of Galanthus nivalis agglutinin (GNA)-related lectins. *Glycoconjugate Journal* **30**: 269–279.

Xiong JS, Zhu HY, Bai YB, Liu H, Cheng ZM. 2018. RNA sequencing-based transcriptome analysis of mature strawberry fruit infected by necrotrophic fungal pathogen Botrytis cinerea. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology* **104**: 77–85.

Yu CS, Cheng CW, Su WC, Chang KC, Huang SW, Hwang JK, Lu CH. 2014. CELLO2GO: A web server for protein subCELlular lOcalization prediction with functional gene ontology annotation. *PLoS ONE* 9: e99368.

Yu J, Ke T, Tehrim S, Sun F, Liao B, Hua W. 2015. PTGBase: An integrated database to study tandem duplicated genes in plants. *Database* 2015: bav017.

Zeidler D, Zähringer U, Gerber I, Dubery I, Hartung T, Bors W, Hutzler P, Durner J. 2004. Innate immunity in Arabidopsis thaliana: Lipopolysaccharides activate nitric oxide synthase (NOS) and induce defense genes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 101: 15811–15816.

Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Lin Y, Luo Y, Wang X, Chen Q, Sun B, Wang Y, Li M, Tang H. 2019. A Transcriptomic Analysis Reveals Diverse Regulatory Networks That Respond to Cold Stress in Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa). *International Journal of Genomics* 2019: 7106092.

Chapter 4

Functional characterization of *FaMBL1* gene in defense response of *Fragaria* × *ananassa* to fungal pathogens

Abstract

Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa) is an important soft fruit but easily get infected by pathogens. Anthracnose and gray mold are two of the most destructive diseases of strawberry which lead to serious fruit rot. As it is known, white unripe strawberry fruits are more resistant to Colletotrichum acutatum than red ripe fruits. During the interaction between unripe and ripe strawberry fruits and C. acutatum, a mannose-binding lectin gene FaMBL1 was found to be the most upregulated gene and induced exclusively in white fruit. FaMBL1 belongs to the G-type lectin family, which has important roles in plant development and defense process. Hence, stable transgenic strawberry plants overexpressing the FaMBL1 gene were generated. Transformed strawberry plants were selected and molecularly characterized through droplet digital PCR and RT-PCR analysis. In total three overexpressing lines with different copy numbers were obtained and used for the subsequent studies, including the evaluation of disease-related phytohormones content and their reaction to biotic stresses. Accordingly, jasmonic acid (JA) content was found decreased in overexpressing lines compared to wild type (WT). Petioles of overexpressing lines inoculated by C. fioriniae had lower disease incidence than WT, and leaves of overexpressing lines challenged by B. cinerea showed remarkably smaller lesion diameters compared to WT. The chitinase 2-1 (FaChi2-1) gene showed higher expression in overexpressing lines than in WT during B. cinerea infection development. Our results show that FaMBL1 gene plays a role in inducing disease resistance, probably JA-dependent.

Key words: anthracnose, gray mold, phytohormones, strawberry

1. Introduction

Strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.) is an economically important fruit worldwide and is considered as a model plant system for Rosaceae. It is susceptible to a large number of pathogens including *Colletotrichum acutatum* species complex and *Botrytis cinerea*, which cause enormous economic losses (Guidarelli *et al.*, 2011; Petrasch *et al.*, 2019).

Although both fungi can infect strawberry fruits at both unripe and ripe stages, the symptoms appear only on red ripe fruits since on white unripe fruits, the pathogens become quiescent. Transcriptome analysis of white and red fruits, inoculated with *C. acutatum*, revealed that a mannose-binding lectin gene, *FaMBL1* (GenBank accession number: KF962716), was the most up-regulated gene in resistant white fruit (Guidarelli *et al.*, 2011). With transient transformation of *FaMBL1* gene, *FaMBL1*-silenced white fruit showed an increased susceptibility to *C. acutatum* (Guidarelli *et al.*, 2014).

Proteins encoded by *FaMBL1* are composed of a N-terminal signal peptide, Galanthus nivalis agglutinin-related lectin (GNA) domain and Pan-apple domain. GNA domain is the characteristic domain of G-type lectin which is an important family of plant lectin having affinity to mannose or mannose-containing N-glycans (Barre et al., 2001). Due to their ability of mannose recognizing and binding, G-type lectins have important functions in plant growth and defenses. Resistance role of G-type lectins against insects, fungi, and bacteria have already been described. For example, introducing G-type lectin genes in potato (Down et al., 1996), maize (Wang et al., 2005) and wheat (Miao et al., 2011) plants increased their resistance to aphids by inhibiting development and decreasing their fecundity. The pepper G-type lectin genes CaMBL1 and CaGLP1 were also found involved in defense against Xanthomonas campestris pv vesicatoria and required for plant cell death and defense signaling (Hwang & Hwang, 2011; Kim et al., 2015). The transfer of a G-type lectin, Pi-d2, to rice conferred resistance to a Magnaporthe grisea (Chen et al., 2006). The contribution of lectins to plant resistance seems to be displayed in a phytohormone-dependent manner (Bonaventure, 2011; Gilardoni et al., 2011). In fact, it is well known that the balance of hormonal crosstalk strongly influences the outcome of plant-pathogen interactions (Robert-Seilaniantz et al., 2011).

The genome-wide analysis of G-type lectin genes implied great potential of these genes in strawberry defense and provided bases for functional characterization of FaMBL1 gene. To get insight into the effect of FaMBL1 on strawberry plant defense, genetically transformed strawberry plants overexpressing FaMBL1 were generated and characterized.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Agrobacterium-mediated genetic transformation of strawberry plants

The plasmid vector pK7WG2 (https://gatewayvectors.vib.be/), was chosen for overexpression of the *FaMBL1* gene in strawberry. The full length sequence of the *FaMBL1* gene was cloned into the pK7WG2 vector and the resulting construct overexpressing *FaMBL1* was checked by PCR, restriction enzyme digestion, and sequencing of the PCR product. The resulting vector, 35S:FaMBL1, was introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain EHA105 using the freeze–thaw shock method (Weigel & Glazebrook, 2006) to generate transgenic plants.

Expanded leaves from 3-week old *in vitro* elongated strawberry shoots (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* cv Sveva) were used as starting explant for the genetic transformation trial, following the protocol developed by Cappelletti et al. (Cappelletti *et al.*, 2015), with slight modifications. Briefly, single colonies of *A.tumefaciens* strain EHA105, harbouring the plasmid pK7WG2 expressing the gene construct 35S:FaMBL1, were grown overnight in liquid LB medium supplemented with kanamycin, on a shaker (150 rpm) at 28°C. The inoculum suspension was stopped as the OD₆₀₀ reached a value between 0.5-1.

Strawberry leaves were cut perpendicular to the leaf mid vein and placed in contact with the infection solution for 15 minutes, then co-coltured for 48 hours in the dark. Strawberry explants were then transferred to regeneration and selection medium (4.4 mg L⁻¹ MS salts and vitamins, 30 g L⁻¹ sucrose, 7.5 g L⁻¹ plant agar, 3 mg L⁻¹ N6-benzyladenine, 0.2 mg L⁻¹ indole-3-butyric acid, 200 mg L⁻¹ Cefotaxime, 30 mg L⁻¹ Kanamycin, Duchefa Biochemie) and maintained in dark condition for three weeks at $24 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. Eventually, the explants were exposed to light (16h photoperiod at a light intensity of 70 mol m⁻² s⁻¹) in the growth chamber at 24 °C, and sub-cultured every three weeks on fresh media. Putative transgenic lines of Sveva regenerated on selective medium were in vitro proliferated and moved to rooting medium for one month. In vitro rooted shoots were finally acclimatized in pots containing commercial peat, and grown in the greenhouse at 20°C with 16h light/ 8h dark.

2.2. Genomic DNA extraction, PCR, and droplet digital PCR

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from strawberry leaves (40 mg) of putative transgenic and WT lines using Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, USA) following the product manual. The gDNA was used for PCR and droplet digital PCR (ddPCR) analysis.

PCR was performed using gDNA to confirm the insertion of the 35S:FaMBL1 gene construct in the genome of the putative transformed lines. All primers, designed through Primer3 software (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3/), used in this study are listed in Table 2. Secondary structures of the primers designed were checked by Beacon DesignerTM (http://www.premierbiosoft.com/qOligo/Oligo.jsp?PID=1). Primers designed on expressing vector, 35S:FaMBL1, are indicated in Fig. 11, P35S2 (forward primer) and attB2 (reverse primer) were used in overexpressing plants. The transgenic lines which could generate specific amplicons were used for the following test.

Primer description	Primer names	Primer sequences from 5' to 3'		
overexpressing vector	P35S2	ATTACAATTTACTATTCTAGTCG		
overexpressing vector	attB2	ACCACTTTGTACAAGAAA		
ddPCR_overexpressing	ddPCRlec	ACCTTGACCAAAGTTGCCAACTCC		
vector	T35S	TTTTGCGGACTCTAGCATGGCCG		
ddDCD reference cone	ddPCR-FaPGIP-3	GTCCTATACAACCATCCATCGC		
dui CK_ielelence gene	ddPCR-FaPGIP-4	GAGTTGGCTGATGAAGTCAGG		
DT DCD FaMBL 1 game	FaMBL1_CUR fw	AAACCAACACGGCCAATAAG		
KI-I CK <i>I'uMDL1</i> gene	FaMBL1_CUR rev	GTCTGTCGGGTAGTCGAAGC		
RT PCR reference gene	FaEF1a fw	TGGATTTGAGGGTGACAACATGA		
KI-I CK lefelence gene	FaEF1a rev	GTATACATCCTGAAGTGGTAGTCGGAGG		
FaP411	FaPAL1 fw	TGGACTACGGCTTCAAAGGT		
Tul ALI	FaPAL1 rev	GACATCTTGGTTGTGTTGCTC		
FaPGIP	FaPGIP fw	ATCTCACAGGTCCCATCCAG		
	FaPGIP rev	GCTGAGGAAGTCAGGGACTG		
Fa4OSI	FaAOS1 fw	AGGTTAAAGAAGGCGAGGTGTT		
Гилові	FaAOS1 rev	GAGGACCGTTAGACCAAAGCA		
FaChi2_1	FaChi2-1 fw	AAGCCCTCTTGTCACGATGT		
Tuchi2-1	FaChi2-1 rev	TCGAGTCCACCGTTGATGAT		
FaChi2_2	FaChi2-2 fw	ATGTG GGCGT GGACA AGATA		
Tuchi2-2	FaChi2-2 rev	AACAG TCCAA GTTGT CCCCA		
FaACO	FaACO fw	AGGTTAAAGAAGGCGAGGTGTT		
Тилео	FaACO rev	GAGGACCGTTAGACCAAAGCA		
FaTIPIh	FaTLP1b fw	GTGCATCACTTCTTCCAAGT		
1 WILL 10	FaTLP1b rev	CAAACCTGCTAGCAGTGAAG		

Table 2 List of primer name and primer sequences with their descriptions



Fig. 11 The plasmid vector 35S:FaMBL1, generated by insertion of *FaMBL1* gene into the pK7WG2 plasmid. The positions of primers used for overexpressing vector are displayed.

ddPCR was performed to measure the copy number of the target gene in each transgenic line using Bio-Rad's QX100[™] Droplet Digital PCR system, following the application guide of copy number variation analysis. To separate tandem gene copies and make proper random partitioning into droplets, gDNA was digested by EcoRI and HindIII restriction enzymes. After digestion, gDNA was quantified using a Qubit fluorometer and the dsDNA quantification kit (Invitrogen, California, USA), before diluting to a final concentration. Primer pairs of ddPCR were designed following the criteria specified by the instrument manufacturer. ddPCRlec (forward primer) and T35S (reverse primer) were used for overexpressing lines (Table 2, Fig. 11). F. \times ananassa polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein (FaPGIP, accession number: EU117215.1) (Schaart, 2004) was used as a reference gene for ddPCR. FaPGIP was reported having a low copy number (Mehli et al., 2004) which is good for the proper random partitioning of this gene into droplets and increasing accuracy of copy number measurement. The primer sets used for FaPGIP were ddPCR-FaPGIP-3 and ddPCR-FaPGIP-4 (Table 2). To identify a suitable annealing temperature that separates the positive and negative droplets, the ddPCR was designed to run across a thermal gradient (from 54 to 63°C) for overexpressing and reference genes. Accordingly, 60°C and 62°C were found to be optimal temperatures for amplifications of overexpressing vector and reference gene. At the optimal annealing temperature, formal ddPCR was conducted, followed by droplet reading using QX-200 droplet reader. The number of positive droplets was counted and the content of positive droplets in the whole reaction solution (20 μ L) was calculated and shown as droplet μ L⁻¹. Through ddPCR, the positive droplet content of overexpressing and reference gene were obtained, then the copy

number of overexpressing vector was calculated according to the formula: $CN_T = PC_T/PC_R \times CN_R$ (where CN_T : Copy Number of Target gene; CN_R : Copy Number of Reference gene; PC_T : Positive droplet Content of Target gene; PC_R : Positive droplet Content of Reference gene). Since the copy number of the reference gene *FaPGIP* is unknown, we used 1 to represent the copy number of *FaPGIP*. The copy number of overexpressing vector obtained in this study is, therefore, a relative value. The overexpressing lines with different copy numbers were subsequently propagated through stolons in the greenhouse, to obtain 30 plants for each transformed line, then subjected to the subsequent trials.

2.3. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR

Young leaves (150 mg) of transgenic and WT plants, of similar growth stage, were used for RNA extraction following a rapid CTAB method (Gambino *et al.*, 2008). The obtained RNA was treated with TURBO DNA-free[™] Kit (Invitrogen, California, USA) for residual DNA removal. Purified RNA was quantified by NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo scientific, Waltham, USA) and RNA integrity was checked on an agarose gel. Then RNA was used for first-strand cDNA synthesis through ImProm-II[™] reverse transcription system (Promega, Madison, USA).

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was conducted to evaluate the expression level of the *FaMBL1* gene of overexpressed lines and WT strawberry plants. The housekeeping gene, *elongation factor 1a* (*FaEF1a*, accession number: BK009992.1) was used as a reference gene (Guidarelli *et al.*, 2011). The primers *FaMBL1*_CUR fw and *FaMBL1*_CUR rev were used to amplify *FaMBL1* gene. qPCR was performed using three biological replicates and two technical replicates, using SYBRTM Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, USA) and Mx3000P qPCR Systems (Agilent, California, USA). The gene expression was calculated using a standard curve and normalized by *FaEF1a*.

2.4. Hormone Profiling of FaMBL1 overexpressing plants

In order to investigate the contents of defense-related phytohormones in *FaMBL1* overexpressing lines and WT, phytohormones were extracted (Gaetan *et al.*, 2014) from strawberry leaves of transgenic and WT plants propagated from stolons. Three young and fully expanded leaves from each line were pooled together as one replicate, and three replicates were set for each line. Leaves were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and ground into fine powder under liquid nitrogen. Jasmonic acid (JA), jasmonoyl-isoleucine (JA-IIe), salicylic acid (SA), abscisic acid (ABA), and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) were measured using ultra-high-

pressure liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UHPLC-MS/MS) analysis, according to the protocol of Gaetan and his co-workers (Gaetan *et al.*, 2014) with the following specific steps: one hundred mg tissue powder of each sample was used for hormone extraction and the extract was used for SA, ABA, JA and JA-IIe measurement. Fifty mg tissue was used for IAA extraction. Hormone concentrations of tested samples were calculated based on a calibration curve. Five calibration points were set at 0.1, 0.5, 2, 20 and 100 ng mL⁻¹, separately. Solution of calibration points and leaf samples contained each of the labeled internal standards at concentrations of 10 ng mL⁻¹ for d5-JA, d6-ABA, d6-SA, ¹³C6-JA-IIe, and 1 μ g mL⁻¹ for d5-IAA. Hormone contents were indicated as ng g⁻¹ fresh weight (ng g⁻¹ FW).

2.5. Resistance evaluation of *FaMBL1* overexpressing plants

The resistance of *FaMBL1* overexpressing plants to anthracnose was evaluated. *C. fioriniae* was used for the inoculation trials which belongs to *C. acutatum* species complexes (Damm *et al.*, 2012) and was the most aggressive species to strawberry stocked in our lab. *C. fioriniae* was cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA, in Petri dishes). After 10 days, conidia were harvested in distilled water and filtered through 3 layers of gauze. The concentration was determined using a hemocytometer and adjusted to 2×10^4 conidia mL⁻¹. Plants propagated from stolons were used for *C. fioriniae* inoculation. 5 petioles of similar growth stage were used for each overexpressing line (18F6G1, 19F2G1 and 27F8G1) and WT plants. Leaves were removed from petioles and both ends of petioles were embedded in moist tissue paper, to reduce desiccation. A tiny wound was made through a sterilized needle on each petiole and a droplet of inoculum (10µL of 2×10^4 conidia mL⁻¹ spore suspension) was put on top of the wound. All inoculated petioles were put in plastic tray with moist tissue paper on the bottom. The tray was kept on the laboratory bench at room temperature. This inoculation trial was repeated three times. Disease progress was followed for six days.

For checking the resistance level of *FaMBL1* overexpressing lines against *B. cinerea* (isolate B05.10), conidia were harvested and the concentration was determined as mentioned above from a one-week-old *B. cinerea* cultured on PDA. To stimulate spore germination, a buffer containing 0.5% KH₂PO₄ and 1% PDA were prepared. Then the conidia suspension was mixed with buffer at the ratio of 1:1 (V:V) to get 1×10^4 conidia mL⁻¹. Plants used for *B. cinerea* inoculation were the same as those used for *C. fioriniae* inoculation. Young, healthy, and fully expanded leaves of similar growth stage were used. Five leaves (15 leaflets), from 5 different pots for each line, were surface sterilized, using 1% sodium hypochlorite for 1 min and rinsed in sterilized distilled water for 2 min. Then leaves were separated into leaflets and

put in Petri dishes (150mm × 15mm) with moist tissue paper on the bottom. Two droplets (7.5 μ L droplet⁻¹) of inoculum were put on the adaxial side of the leaflet, on each side of the midrib. Distilled water was sprayed in each Petri dishes to keep high humidity. The Petri dishes with infected leaflets were kept on the laboratory bench at room temperature. Disease progress was followed for five days. This inoculation trial was repeated three times.

2.6. qPCR of B. cinerea inoculated leaves

To investigate *FaMBL1* overexpressing lines' responses at molecular level upon *B. cinerea* inoculation, expression levels of pathogenesis-related genes and hormone synthesis genes were measured. Six leaves (18 leaflets) of each transgenic line (18F6G1, 19F2G1 and 27F8G1) and WT were subjected to *B. cinerea* and mock inoculation. Conidia suspension and concentration was as mentioned above, while mock inoculation was done using the same volume of the buffer (0.25% KH₂PO₄ and 0.5% PDA). At 1 day post-inoculation, leaves were sampled and frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C until use. *FaMBL1*, *FaPGIP* (EU117215.1), thaumatin-like protein 1b (*FaTLP1b*, XM_004287756.2), phenylalanine ammonia-lyase 1 (*FaPAL1*, KX450226.1), class II chitinases (*FaChi2-1*, MK301536.1; *FaChi2-2*, MF804503.1), allene oxide synthase 1 (*FaAOS1*, XM_004291875.2) and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase (*FaACO*, AY706156.1) expression were measured by qPCR using the protocol mentioned above. The primers used for qPCR are listed in Table 2.

2.7. Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA and Fisher test were used for the statistical analysis, using OriginPro 2018 statistical software, OriginLab Corporation, USA.

3. Results

3.1. Screening of genetically transformed plants

The above results suggest the importance of G-type lectin gene family in strawberry defense, especially *FveGLP6.4* gene (homolog of *FaMBL1*) showed great potential. Therefore, transformation experiments overexpressing *FaMBL1* gene were carried out. From the transformation experiments three lines (18F6G1, 19F2G1, and 27F8G1) were selected that were able to proliferate and root on MS medium supplemented with high concentrations of kanamycin. To confirm the correct insertion of the *FaMBL1* transgene the three lines were

assessed by PCR on gDNA. Specific amplicons were obtained from all three lines confirming that the target gene was successfully inserted into their genome (gel was shown in Fig. 12).



Fig. 12 Gel showing specific amplicons (1430bp) of *FaMBL1* overexpressing lines by PCR. Lane M, 1kb DNA ladder; lane 1, wild type; lane 2, 18F6G1; lane 3, 19F2G1; lane 4, 27F8G1.

The copy number of the target gene of each overexpressing line was detected by ddPCR and used to verify the clonal diversity between the different transgenic lines. The copy number of 18F6G1, 19F2G1, and 27F8G1 resulted 6, 2.5 and 1, respectively.

3.2. FaMBL1 expression in overexpressing lines

The *FaMBL1* expression level of overexpressing lines (18F6G1, 19F2G1, and 27F8G1) was tested. All tested lines showed significantly higher *FaMBL1* expression compared to WT, both in leaves and stolons (Fig. 13). 19F2G1 and 27F8G1 had similar expression levels of *FaMBL1*, while 18F6G1 had a lower expression level among overexpressing lines.

These data indicated that there was no correlation between *FaMBL1* expression level and copy numbers. For instance, 27F8G1 had a higher *FaMBL1* expression level than 18F6G1, whereas it contained a lower copy number than 18F6G1 (1 versus 6). 19F2G1 and 27F8G1 harbored different copy numbers of the target gene (2.5 and 1, respectively), while they showed a similar level of *FaMBL1* expression (Fig. 13). This suggests that suppression of gene expression may occur in those lines that contain more *FaMBL1* copy numbers (Butaye *et al.*, 2005).



Fig. 13 Relative expression of *mannose-binding lectin* (*FaMBL1*) gene in (a) leaves and (b) stolons of strawberry plants. All values were normalized to the expression level of the *elongation factor* 1α housekeeping gene. Each value is an average of three biological replicates with its standard error. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at p < 0.05. Numbers on the column indicate the copy number of *FaMBL1* in each transgenic line.

3.3. Phytohormone contents in *FaMBL1* overexpressing lines

The amount of phytohormones were measured in overexpressing lines to get insight into the influence of *FaMBL1* on their production. The content of JA was lower in overexpressing lines compared to WT, though the effect was a bit less in conjugated JA (Fig. **14a, b**). On the other hand, the contents of IAA, ABA, and SA in transgenic lines were more or less similar to that of the WT (Fig. **14c, d, e**), suggesting that *FaMBL1* could probably participate more in the modulation of JA than the other classical hormones in strawberry.



Fig. 14 Phytohormones content in strawberry leaves of *mannose-binding lectin (FaMBL1)* gene overexpressing lines and the corresponding wild type. (**a**) jasmonic acid (JA) content, (**b**) jasmonoyl-isoleucine (JA-Ile) content, (**c**) salicylic acid (SA) content, (**d**) indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) content, (**e**) abscisic acid (ABA) content. The content was expressed as ng g⁻¹ fresh weight (FW).

3.4. Response of *FaMBL1* overexpressing lines to fungal inoculation

The response of the overexpressing lines to *C. fioriniae* inoculation was evaluated. The disease incidence was significantly lower in overexpressing lines as compared with the wild type (Fig. **15a**). As depicted in Fig. **15b**, infected petioles showed anthracnose symptoms at 4 dpi and followed by rapid disease progress at 5 and 6 dpi, when the symptom was more apparent. The inoculation trials were repeated three times and, as general rule, some petioles from overexpressing lines showed smaller lesion size compared to WT. These results thus suggest that the overexpression of *FaMBL1* gene decrease the incidence of strawberry anthracnose infection.



Fig. 15 Anthracnose progress on strawberry petioles. (a) Disease incidence of *Colletotrichum fioriniae* on strawberry petioles, at 6dpi. (b) *Colletotrichum fioriniae* inoculated strawberry petioles of *FaMBL1* overexpressing lines and wild type. For disease incidence, petioles with anthracnose lesions longer than 3mm were regarded as infected by *C. fioriniae* successfully. Disease incidence = number of petioles with anthracnose symptom/ number of petioles treated with *C. fioriniae* × 100%

Usually for strawberry, concerns are given on the resistance of fruits more than other parts of the plant due to their direct economic value, even though *B. cinerea* can infect both vegetative and reproductive tissues of strawberry. However, the infected vegetative tissues are important source of inoculum, thus improving the resistance of vegetative tissue is indispensable for the management of *B. cinerea*. Moreover, infection trials using leaves show uniform disease symptom and repeatable data which is ideal for resistance evaluation of transgenic lines. For this reason, the response to *B. cinerea* necrotrophic fungus of *FaMBL1* overexpressing lines was tested on detached leaves. From this experiment, the necrotic lesions started to show at 3 dpi in both transgenic lines and WT, however, the disease progress was higher in the WT than in the overexpressing lines (Fig. **16a**). The rate of lesion size increament was significantly higher in the WT than in the overexpressing lines (Fig. **16b**), indicating *FaMBL1* overexpressing lines were less susceptible to *B. cinerea* compared with the WT.



16 Botrytis cinerea progress in detached strawberry leaves. (a) Appearance of B. cinerea lesions on detached strawberry leaflets. (b) Lesion size of detached strawberry (Fragaria \times ananassa) leaves inoculated by B. cinerea. (**: P<0.01)

3.5. Defense-related genes expression after B. cinerea inoculation

To reveal the contribution of *FaMBL1* gene in strawberry defense against *B. cinerea*, relative expression of *FaMBL1* gene together with genes previously reported expressing upon B. cinerea infection and contributing to resistance of strawberry, including class II chitinases (FaCHI2-1 and FaCHI2-2), polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein (FaPGIP), phenylalanine ammonia-lyase 1 (FaPAL1), thaumatin-like protein 1b (FaTLP1b), 1-aminocyclopropane-1carboxylate oxidase (FaACO) and allene oxide synthase 1 (FaAOS1) (Mehli et al., 2005; Nagpala et al., 2016; Jia et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2021), were investigated in leaves of wild type strawberry and overexpressing lines at 1d after B. cinerea inoculation. The relative expression of FaMBL1 gene in leaves with mock and B. cinerea inoculation is shown in Fig. 17a. Despite that FaMBL1 expression in both WT and overexpressing lines was depressed upon B. cinerea infection, the overexpressing lines still kept significantly higher level of *FaMBL1* than WT. The gene chitinase2-1 (FaChi2-1) expression of overexpressing lines and WT were not statistically different (Fig. 17b). On the other hand, after B. cinerea infection, FaChi2-1 expression in overexpressing lines was significantly higher than in WT. The expression of the other tested genes didn't show any difference between overexpressing lines and WT. To reveal genes responses upon B. cinerea inoculation, their expression upon mock inoculation was used as control. Surprisingly, up-regulation of defense-related genes was not observed upon B.

cinerea inoculation. In contrast, expressions of *FaPAL1*, *FaPGIP*, *FaAOS1* (involved in JA synthesis), and *FaACO* (involved in ET synthesis) genes were decreased in both WT and overexpressing plants after inoculation (Fig. 17).



Fig. 17 Relative expression of defense-response genes in leaves of transgenic lines and wild type challenged with *Botrytis cinerea* at 1 day post inoculation. (**a**) relative expression of *mannose-binding lectin* (*FaMBL1*) gene, (**b**) relative expression of *class II chitinase 1* (*FaChi2-1*) gene, (**c**) relative expression of *class II chitinase 2* (*FaChi2-2*) gene, (**d**) relative expression of *thaumatin-like protein 1b* (*FaTLP1b*) gene, (**e**) relative expression of *polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein* (*FaPGIP*) gene, (**f**) relative expression of *phenylalanine ammonia-lyase 1* (*FaPAL1*) gene, (**g**) relative expression of *allene oxide synthase 1* (*FaAOS1*) gene, (**h**) relative expression of *1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase* (*FaACO*) gene. All values were normalized to the expression level of the *elongation factor 1a* housekeeping gene. Each value is an average of three biological replicates with its standard error. *: P<0.05, **: P<0.01

4. Discussion

Anthracnose and gray mold are two of the most destructive strawberry fungal diseases. Increasing plant resistance is one of the most sustainable and effective strategies of strawberry disease management. However, the high level of heterozygosity and polyploidy of strawberries make it difficult to get improved through the traditional breeding methods (Nehra *et al.*, 1990; Limera *et al.*, 2017), and genetic transformation becomes an especially suitable way for studying strawberry resistance genes.

Given the potential of *FaMBL1* gene in strawberry defenses, we produced genetically transformed strawberry plants overexpressing *FaMBL1* to get insight into the role of *FaMBL1* on strawberry defenses. We obtained three overexpressing lines with different copy number and higher FaMBL1 expression compared to WT plant. Their content of the major phytohormones, SA, JA, JAIle, IAA and ABA, were measured together with WT. Plant hormones play important roles in modulating plant resistance and susceptibility to pathogens (Robert-Seilaniantz et al., 2011). In this study, JA content was found decreased in FaMBL1 overexpressing lines compared to WT. This result implied that FaMBL1 could possibly participate in the modulation of JA, which may contribute to the lower susceptibility of *FaMBL1* overexpressing lines to *B. cinerea* and *C. fioriniae*. SA signaling was believed serving as the core defense signaling mechanism against *Colletotrichum* spp.. SA content was increased and genes in SA pathway were activated after C. fructicola infection to induce defense responses in strawberry. Simultaneously, JA pathway was suppressed to assist the defense response of host plants due to the antagonism between SA and JA (He et al., 2019). Consistently, transcriptome analysis revealed that strawberry genes related to SA were widely up-regulated, while genes related to JA and ET were largely inhibited after C. fructicola infection (Zhang et al., 2018). Nevertheless, plant innate immunity relies also on pre-formed and induced defensive compounds (Amil-Ruiz et al., 2011). Therefore, the strawberry defense responses were not only related to pre-formed phytohormones, but also the induced phytohormone responses depending on the species of pathogens. The phytohormone profile after pathogens infection should be measured in future study to decode the response of JA and other plant hormones upon pathogens. To date, limited studies reported G-type lectins being involved in phytohormone-related defense pathways. For example, Nicotiana attenuata G-type lectin receptor kinase1 has been identified to be used by the plant to suppress the Manduca sexta-triggered accumulation of SA, which allows for the induction of JA-mediated defense responses against *M. sexta* herbivory (Bonaventure, 2011; Gilardoni et al., 2011). Additionally,

hydrophobicity plots showed that the rice G-type lectin, *Pi-d2*, contains a hydrophobic pocket that has the potential to bind hydrophobic ligands, such as plant hormones (Chen *et al.*, 2006), suggesting that hormones could be involved in the functioning of G-type lectins.

The less susceptibility of FaMBL1 overexpressing lines to B. cinerea implies the possible role of *FaMBL1* in strawberry defense responses against pathogens. Interpreting the working mechanism of FaMBL1 will help to improve the understanding of strawberry defense mechanism againts B. cinerea and the role of G-type lectins in strawberry. To date, genes like class II chitinases (FaChi2-1 and FaChi2-2), polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein (FaPGIP), phenylalanine ammonia-lyase 1 (FaPAL1), thaumatin-like protein 1b (FaTLP1b), 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate oxidase (FaACO) and allene oxide synthase 1 (FaAOS1) (Mehli et al., 2005; Nagpala et al., 2016; Jia et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2021), have been reported as induced in expression upon B. cinerea infection and contribute to resistance of strawberry. Their expression together with *FaMBL1* were investigated in leaves of wild type strawberry and overexpressing lines at 1d after B. cinerea challenge. Despite the expression of FaMBL1 being suppressed by *B. cinerea* inoculation, transgenic lines showed a higher level of *FaMBL1* than WT, which was probably related to the lower susceptibility of overexpressing lines. During interaction with B. cinerea, overexpressing lines also showed higher expression of FaChi2-1 than WT. FaChi is one of the most abundant classes of strawberry PR genes with hydrolytic activity (Amil-Ruiz et al., 2011). FaChi2-1 was involved in the defense responses against both anthracnose (Tortora et al., 2012) and gray mold (Mehli et al., 2005). Overall, the higher expression of *FaMBL1* in overexpressing lines at the early infection of *B. cinerea* can be associated with the higher expression of FaChi2-1. Consistently, rice G-type lectin gene, OslecRK, which showed resistance to M. grisea, X. oryzae and brown planthoppers affected the expression of defense related genes such as *PR1a*, lipoxygenase and chalcone synthase (Cheng et al., 2013). With the exception of FaChi2-1, up-regulation of defense related genes was not found in FaMBL1 overexpressing lines at 24h post B. cinerea infection, to decipher if these genes were induced by B. cinerea inoculation before 24h, genes expression at later post infection timepoints should be measured in the future.

5. Conclusions

Transgenic strawberries overexpressing *FaMBL1* gene were less susceptible to fungal diseases, anthracnose and gray mold. *FaMBL1* could probably contribute to strawberry resistance by participating in a JA-dependent defense signaling pathway and inducing defense-related genes like *FaChi2-1* expression. Hence, this gene could be used in future trials for

improving resistance in economically important strawberry cultivars by overexpressing it, even by using a full cisgenic approach. In this way, the development of new high-quality cultivars will be speed up with a more sustainable approach, reduced needs of risk evaluation and higher acceptance by growers and consumers.

6. References

Amil-Ruiz F, Blanco-Portales R, Muñoz-Blanco J, Caballero JL. 2011. The strawberry plant defense mechanism: A molecular review. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **52**: 1873–1903.

Barre A, Bourne Y, Van Damme EJM, Peumans WJ, Rougé P. 2001. Mannose-binding plant lectins: Different structural scaffolds for a common sugar-recognition process. *Biochimie* 83: 645–651.

Bonaventure G. 2011. The Nicotiana attenuata LECTIN RECEPTOR KINASE 1 is involved in the perception of insect feeding. *Plant Signaling and Behavior* **6**: 2060–2063.

Butaye KMJ, Cammue BPA, Delauré SL, De Bolle MFC. **2005**. Approaches to minimize variation of transgene expression in plants. *Molecular Breeding* **16**: 79–91.

Cappelletti R, Sabbadini S, Mezzetti B. **2015**. Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa). In: Wang K, ed. Agrobacterium Protocols. New York: Springer, 217–227.

Chen X, Shang J, Chen D, Lei C, Zou Y, Zhai W, Liu G, Xu J, Ling Z, Cao G, *et al.* 2006. A B-lectin receptor kinase gene conferring rice blast resistance. *Plant Journal* **46**: 794–804.

Cheng X, Wu Y, Guo J, Du B, Chen R, Zhu L, He G. 2013. A rice lectin receptor-like kinase that is involved in innate immune responses also contributes to seed germination. *Plant Journal* 76: 687–698.

Damm U, Cannon PF, Woudenberg JHC, Crous PW. **2012**. The Collectotrichum acutatum species complex. *Studies in Mycology* **73**: 37–113.

Down RE, Gatehouse AMR, Hamilton WDO, Gatehouse JA. **1996**. Snowdrop lectin inhibits development and decreases fecundity of the glasshouse potato aphid (Aulacorthum solani) when administered in vitro and via transgenic plants both in laboratory and glasshouse trials. *Journal of Insect Physiology* **42**: 1035–1045.

Gaetan G, Armelle V, Dirk B. 2014. Hormone Profiling. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 1062: 225–239.

Gambino G, Perrone I, Gribaudo I. **2008**. A Rapid and Effective Method for RNA Extraction from Different Tissues of Grapevine and Other Woody Plants. *Phytochemical Analysis* **525**: 520–525.

Gilardoni PA, Hettenhausen C, Baldwin IT, Bonaventure G. 2011. Nicotiana attenuata

lectin receptor kinase1 suppresses the insect-mediated inhibition of induced defense responses during manduca sexta herbivory. *Plant Cell* **23**: 3512–3532.

Guidarelli M, Carbone F, Mourgues F, Perrotta G, Rosati C, Bertolini P. 2011. Colletotrichum acutatum interactions with unripe and ripe strawberry fruits and differential responses at histological and transcriptional levels. *Plant Pathology*: 685–697.

Guidarelli M, Zoli L, Orlandini A, Bertolini P, Baraldi E. 2014. The mannose-binding lectin gene FaMBL1 is involved in the resistance of unripe strawberry fruits to Colletotrichum acutatum. *Molecular Plant Pathology* 15: 832–840.

He C, Duan K, Zhang L, Zhang L, Song L, Yang J, Zou X, Wang Y, Gao Q. 2019. Fast quenching the burst of host salicylic acid is common in early strawberry/colletotrichum fructicola interaction. *Phytopathology* **109**: 531–541.

Hwang IS, Hwang BK. **2011**. The pepper mannose-binding lectin gene CaMBL1 is required to regulate cell death and defense responses to microbial pathogens. *Plant Physiology* **155**: 447–463.

Jia S, Wang Y, Zhang G, Yan Z, Cai Q. 2021. Strawberry FaWRKY25 Transcription Factor Negatively Regulated the Resistance of Strawberry Fruits to Botrytis cinerea. *Genes* 12.

Kim NH, Lee DH, Choi DS, Hwang BK. 2015. The pepper GNA-related lectin and PAN domain protein gene, CaGLP1, is required for plant cell death and defense signaling during bacterial infection. *Plant Science* 241: 307–315.

Lee K, Lee JG, Min K, Choi JH, Lim S, Lee EJ. 2021. Transcriptome analysis of the fruit of two strawberry cultivars "sunnyberry" and "kingsberry" that show different susceptibility to botrytis cinerea after harvest. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 22: 1–17.

Limera C, Sabbadini S, Sweet JB, Mezzetti B. 2017. New biotechnological tools for the genetic improvement of major woody fruit species. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8: 1–16.

Mehli L, Kjellsen TD, Dewey FM, Hietala AM. 2005. A case study from the interaction of strawberry and Botrytis cinerea highlights the benefits of comonitoring both partners at genomic and mRNA level. *New Phytologist* 168: 465–474.

Mehli L, Schaart JG, Kjellsen TD, Tran DH, Salentijn EMJ, Schouten HJ, Iversen TH. 2004. A gene encoding a polygalacturonase-inhibiting protein (PGIP) shows developmental regulation and pathogen-induced expression in strawberry. *New Phytologist* 163: 99–110.

Miao J, Wu Y, Xu W, Hu L, Yu Z, Xu Q. 2011. The Impact of Transgenic Wheat Expressing Gna (snowdrop lectin) on the Aphids Sitobion avenae, Schizaphis graminum, and Rhopalosiphum padi. *Environmental Entomology* **40**: 743–748.

Nagpala EG, Guidarelli M, Gasperotti M, Masuero D, Bertolini P, Vrhovsek U, Baraldi

E. 2016. Polyphenols Variation in Fruits of the Susceptible Strawberry Cultivar Alba during Ripening and upon Fungal Pathogen Interaction and Possible Involvement in Unripe Fruit Tolerance. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **64**: 1869–1878.

Nehra NS, Chibbar RN, Kartha KK, Datla RSS, Crosby WL, Stushnoff C. 1990. Genetic transformation of strawberry by Agrobacterium tumefaciens using a leaf disk regeneration system. *Plant Cell Reports* **9**: 293–298.

Petrasch S, Knapp SJ, Van Kan JAL, Blanco-Ulate B. **2019**. Grey mould of strawberry, a devastating disease caused by the ubiquitous necrotrophic fungal pathogen Botrytis cinerea. *Molecular Plant Pathology* **20**: 877–892.

Robert-Seilaniantz A, Grant M, Jones JDG. **2011**. Hormone crosstalk in plant disease and defense: More than just JASMONATE-SALICYLATE antagonism. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **49**: 317–343.

Schaart JG. 2004. Towards consumer-friendly cisgenic strawberries which are less susceptible to Botrytis cinerea.

Tortora ML, Díaz-Ricci JC, Pedraza RO. 2012. Protection of strawberry plants (Fragaria ananassa Duch.) against anthracnose disease induced by Azospirillum brasilense. *Plant and Soil* 356: 279–290.

Wang Z, Zhang K, Sun X, Tang K, Zhang J. 2005. Enhancement of resistance to aphids by introducing the snowdrop lectin gene gna into maize plants. *JOURNAL OF BIOSCIENCES* 30: 627–638.

Weigel D, Glazebrook J. 2006. Transformation of agrobacterium using the freeze-thaw method. *CSH protocols* 2006.

Zhang L, Huang X, He C, Zhang QY, Zou X, Duan K, Gao Q. 2018. Novel fungal pathogenicity and leaf defense strategies are revealed by simultaneous transcriptome analysis of Colletotrichum fructicola and strawberry infected by this fungus. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **9**: 434.
Supplemental files

Supplemental file S1.

Tandem duplication of G-lectin gene in Fragaria vesca

Cluster	Gene ID	New gene name
Cluster 1	FvH4_1g23370	FveGLRK6.18
Cluster_1	FvH4_1g23380	FveGLRK6.17
Chuston 2	FvH4_1g23390	FveGLRK6.15
Cluster_2	FvH4_1g23400	FveGLRK6.14
Chuston 2	FvH4_2g29050	FveGLRK6.8
Cluster_3	FvH4_2g29070	FveGLRK6.7
	FvH4_2g29544	FveGLRK1.4
Cluster_4	FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2
	FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1
Chuston 5	FvH4_2g33830	FveGLRK6.32
Cluster_5	FvH4_2g33840	FveGLRK6.34
	FvH4_3g03230	FveGLRK4.15
	FvH4_3g03231	FveGLRK4.19
Chuston 6	FvH4_3g03240	FveGLRK4.18
Cluster_0	FvH4_3g03241	FveGLK4.3
	FvH4_3g03242	FveGLRK4.16
	FvH4_3g03243	FveGLRK4.13
	FvH4_3g03300	FveGLK4.2
Chuster 7	FvH4_3g03301	FveGLRK4.14
Cluster_/	FvH4_3g03310	FveGLRK4.12
	FvH4_3g03320	FveGLRK4.25
	FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4
Chuston 9	FvH4_3g03350	FveGLRK4.28
Cluster_8	FvH4_3g03370	FveGLRK4.23
	FvH4_3g03390	FveGLRK4.22
	FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21
	FvH4_3g03420	FveGLRK4.5
	FvH4_3g03430	FveGLRK4.4
Chuston 0	FvH4_3g03431	FveGLRK3.7
Cluster_9	FvH4_3g03432	FveGLRK3.6
	FvH4_3g03433	FveGLRK3.10
	FvH4_3g03451	FveGLRK3.4
	FvH4_3g03482	FveGLRK3.17
Cluster 10	FvH4_3g03520	FveGLRK3.12
Cluster_10	FvH4_3g03521	FveGLRK3.11
Objector 11	FvH4_3g03581	FveGLRP3.1
Cluster_11	FvH4_3g03590	FveGLRK3.1

Cluster 12	FvH4_3g21310	FveGLRK4.8
Cluster_12	FvH4_3g21320	FveGLRK4.10
	FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24
Cluster_13	FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22
	FvH4_3g43403	FveGLRK6.20
Cluster 14	FvH4_5g31680	FveGLRK6.5
Cluster_14	FvH4_5g31690	FveGLP6.1
Cluster 15	FvH4_6g29821	FveGLRK6.10
Cluster_15	FvH4_6g29840	FveGLRK6.9
	FvH4_6g44063	FveGLRK5.4
Cluster_16	FvH4_6g44100	FveGLRK5.3
	FvH4_6g44101	FveGLP5.1
	FvH4_3g18370	FveGLP6.2
Cluster_17	FvH4_3g18371	FveGLP6.5
	FvH4_3g18380	FveGLP6.4
	FvH4_3g18382	FveGLP6.3
Cluster_18	FvH4_3g18383	FveGLP6.7
	FvH4_3g18410	FveGLP6.6

Supplemental file S2.

Dispersed duplicated gene pairs in G-type lectin family of *Fragaria vesca* (dispersed duplicate 1 and dispersed duplicate 2 are a pair of duplicated gene)

Dispersed duplicate 1		Dispersed duplicate 2	
Gene ID	New gene name	Gene ID	New gene name
FvH4_1g03780	FveGLRK7.1	FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_3g03590	FveGLRK3.1
FvH4_1g16211	FveGLRK3.14	FvH4_3g03560	FveGLRK3.8
FvH4_1g23370	FveGLRK6.18	FvH4_2g14250	FveGLRK6.16
FvH4_2g12390	FveGLRK6.13	FvH4_6g26380	FveGLRK6.12
FvH4_2g14250	FveGLRK6.16	FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22
FvH4_2g29050	FveGLRK6.8	FvH4_7g30670	FveGLRK6.11
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_6g51830	FveGLRK5.1
FvH4_2g33830	FveGLRK6.32	FvH4_2g33850	FveGLRK6.33
FvH4_2g33840	FveGLRK6.34	FvH4_2g33850	FveGLRK6.33
FvH4_2g33850	FveGLRK6.33	FvH4_2g33870	FveGLRK6.31
FvH4_2g33870	FveGLRK6.31	FvH4_6g12870	FveGLRK6.29
FvH4_3g03242	FveGLRK4.16	FvH4_7g19680	FveGLRK4.20
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_6g00300	FveGLP4.6
FvH4_3g03350	FveGLRK4.28	FvH4_3g06140	FveGLRK4.29
FvH4_3g03420	FveGLRK4.5	FvH4_3g15980	FveGLK4.1
FvH4_3g03430	FveGLRK4.4	FvH4_3g15980	FveGLK4.1
FvH4_3g03432	FveGLRK3.6	FvH4_3g03560	FveGLRK3.8
FvH4_3g03451	FveGLRK3.4	FvH4_3g03560	FveGLRK3.8
FvH4_3g03560	FveGLRK3.8	FvH4_1g16211/FvH4_ 3g03432/FvH4_3g0345 1	FveGLRK3.4/FveGLR K3.6/FveGLRK3.14
FvH4_3g03590	FveGLRK3.1	FvH4_6g51830	FveGLRK5.1

FvH4_3g06140	FveGLRK4.29	FvH4_3g03350	FveGLRK4.28
FvH4_3g15690	FveGLRK4.9	FvH4_3g15980	FveGLK4.1
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_3g15690	FveGLRK4.9
FvH4_3g15980	FveGLK4.1	FvH4_6g12332	FveGLP4.3
FvH4_3g18383	FveGLP6.7	FvH4_6g10470	FveGLRP6.2
FvH4_3g21400	FveGLRK4.7	FvH4_3g15980	FveGLK4.1
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g43710	FveGLRK6.23
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g43710	FveGLRK6.23
FvH4_3g43403	FveGLRK6.20	FvH4_3g43710	FveGLRK6.23
FvH4_3g43440	FveGLRK6.21	FvH4_3g43710	FveGLRK6.23
FvH4_3g43710	FveGLRK6.23	FvH4_3g43401/FvH4_ 3g43402/FvH4_3g4340 3/FvH4_3g43440	FveGLRK6.24/FveGL RK6.22/FveGLRK6.20/ FveGLRK6.21
FvH4_4g02170	FveGLRK2.2	FvH4_3g03590	FveGLRK3.1
FvH4_5g04270	FveGLP6.9	FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28
FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28	FvH4_6g12870	FveGLRK6.29
FvH4_5g31930	FveGLRK3.13	FvH4_1g16211	FveGLRK3.14
FvH4_6g00300	FveGLP4.6	FvH4_6g07960	FveGLRK4.17
FvH4_6g07960	FveGLRK4.17	FvH4_3g03242	FveGLRK4.16
FvH4_6g10470	FveGLRP6.2	FvH4_3g18383	FveGLP6.7
FvH4_6g12332	FveGLP4.3	FvH4_3g15980	FveGLK4.1
FvH4_6g12870	FveGLRK6.29	FvH4_2g33870/FvH4_ 5g04350	FveGLRK6.31/FveGL RK6.28
FvH4_6g26380	FveGLRK6.12	FvH4_7g30670/FvH4_ 2g12390	FveGLRK6.11/FveGL RK6.13
FvH4_6g26450	FveGLRK6.2	FvH4_6g51830	FveGLRK5.1
FvH4_6g44063	FveGLRK5.4	FvH4_6g51830	FveGLRK5.1
FvH4_6g44100	FveGLRK5.3	FvH4_6g51830	FveGLRK5.1
FvH4_6g44101	FveGLP5.1	FvH4_6g44310	FveGLRK6.1
FvH4_6g44260	FveGLP4.1	FvH4_6g51830	FveGLRK5.1
FvH4_6g44310	FveGLRK6.1	FvH4_6g26450	FveGLRK6.2
FvH4_6g51830	FveGLRK5.1	FvH4_3g03590	FveGLRK3.1
FvH4_7g19680	FveGLRK4.20	FvH4_3g03242	FveGLRK4.16
FvH4_7g30670	FveGLRK6.11	FvH4_6g26380	FveGLRK6.12

Supplemental file S3.

Co-expression prediction of strawberry G-lectin genes

Consunses_100_HD				
G-lectin gene ID	G-lectins (new name)	Co-expressed gene	Description of the co-expressed gene	
FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28	FvH4_1g02272		
FvH4_6g44310	FveGLRK6.1	FvH4_1g15390	Disease resistance protein (CC-NBS-LRR class) family	
FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28	FvH4_2g00033		
FvH4_3g03310	FveGLRK4.12	FvH4_2g26151	MLP-like protein 423	
FvH4_3g43440	FveGLRK6.21	FvH4_3g07830	Heavy metal transport/detoxification superfamily protein	
FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28	FvH4_3g17090	F-box/RNI-like superfamily protein	

FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28	FvH4_3g20611	
FvH4_3g15150	FveGLP2.1	FvH4_3g35900	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)
FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4_3g42840	varicose-related
FvH4_2g29544	FveGLRK1.4	FvH4_5g00150	nitrate transporter 1.1
FvH4_5g31690	FveGLP6.1	FvH4_5g12970	tetraspanin11
FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28	FvH4_5g27412	coatomer gamma-2 subunit, putative / gamma-2 coat protein, putative / gamma-2 COP, putative
FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28	FvH4_5g27721	
FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28	FvH4_6g06760	F-box family protein
FvH4_3g43440	FveGLRK6.21	FvH4_6g27024	RING/U-box superfamily protein
FvH4_2g24770	FveGLP3.1	FvH4_6g43590	SMAD/FHA domain-containing protein

	Consunses	100	LCM
~	-		

	G-lectins (new	Co-expressed	
G-lectin gene	name)	gene	Description of co-expressed gene
FvH4_6g12930	FveGLRK6.26	FvH4_1g02410	Pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) superfamily protein
FvH4_3g03310	FveGLRK4.12	FvH4_1g03430	Pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) superfamily protein
FvH4_1g23400	FveGLRK6.14	FvH4_1g05900	Protein of unknown function (DUF295)
FvH4_1g23390	FveGLRK6.15	FvH4_1g16860	Protein kinase superfamily protein
FvH4_3g03420	FveGLRK4.5	FvH4_1g26280	ATP binding cassette subfamily B4
FvH4_3g03320	FveGLRK4.25	FvH4_2g13902	Ribonuclease H-like superfamily protein
FvH4_3g03432	FveGLRK3.6	FvH4_2g19520	C2H2 and C2HC zinc fingers superfamily protein
			cysteine-rich RLK (RECEPTOR-like protein kinase)
FvH4_3g03520	FveGLRK3.12	FvH4_2g29861	29
FvH4_3g21310	FveGLRK4.8	FvH4_3g03390	S-locus lectin protein kinase family protein
FvH4_3g03390	FveGLRK4.22	FvH4_3g21310	S-locus lectin protein kinase family protein
FvH4_3g18382	FveGLP6.3	FvH4_4g09470	F-box/RNI-like superfamily protein
FvH4_5g04270	FveGLP6.9	FvH4_4g16282	
FvH4_3g18371	FveGLP6.5	FvH4_4g35560	glutathione S-transferase TAU 18
	-		Core-2/I-branching beta-1,6-N-
FvH4_5g04270	FveGLP6.9	FvH4_5g11820	acetylglucosaminyltransferase family protein
FvH4_2g29050	FveGLRK6.8	FvH4_5g19390	Exostosin family protein
FvH4_3g43440	FveGLRK6.21	FvH4_5g24690	
E-114 2 10200		E-114 (10 2 01	2-oxoglutarate (2OG) and Fe(II)-dependent
FVH4_3g18380	FVeGLP6.4	FVH4_6g19281	oxygenase superfamily protein
FvH4_5g31690	FVeGLP6.1	FVH4_6g21351	eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3C
E 114 2 02420		E 114 (400 2 0	NAC (No Apical Meristem) domain transcriptional
FvH4_3g03420	FveGLRK4.5	FvH4_6g40020	regulator superfamily protein
EvH4 3c03500	EveCI RK3 1	EvH1 6a16120	family protein
17114_3g03390	I VCOLIKKS.I	1 v114_0g40420	NB-ARC domain-containing disease resistance
FvH4_3g03482	FveGLRK3.17	FvH4_7g33440	protein
		Consunses	_100_fruit
	G-lectins (new	Co-expressed	
G-lectin gene	name)	gene	Description of co-expressed gene
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_1g09190	beta-galactosidase 7
FvH4 1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4 1g12210	ferulic acid 5-hydroxylase 1
FvH4 1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4 1g19240	elicitor-activated gene 3-1
_ 0		_ 0	U-box domain-containing protein kinase family
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_1g19540	protein
			GroES-like zinc-binding alcohol dehydrogenase
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_2g05133	family protein
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_2g20930	polygalacturonase abscission zone A. thaliana
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_2g23301	
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_3g02440	Protein kinase superfamily protein
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_3g03340	S-locus lectin protein kinase family protein

FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_3g05300	
			cysteine-rich RLK (RECEPTOR-like protein kinase)
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_3g19870	29
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_3g24572	
E-114 1-04940	ECLDK2.2	E-114 2-20020	GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase superfamily
FVH4_1g04840	FVeGLKK2.3	FVH4_3g30030	protein
FVH4_1g04840	FVeGLKK2.3	FVH4_3g32961	glutamate receptor 2.7
FvH4_1g04840	FVeGLRK2.3	FVH4_3g44/31	Louging with records like protein himse family
EvH4 1c04840	EveCIPK23	FvHA 3aAA880	notein
1 v114_1g04040	I WOLKK2.5	1 114_3g44000	Leucine-rich receptor-like protein kinase family
FvH4 1904840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4 3944920	protein
FvH4 1g04840	EveGLRK23	FvH4_3944961	receptor like protein 2
FvH4 1g04840	EveGLRK23	FvH4 3944962	
FvH4 1g04840	FveGLRK23	FvH4 3g45880	
$FvH4 1 \sigma 04840$	FveGLRK23	$FvH4 4\sigma 18830$	MLP-like protein 423
FvH4 1 g04840	FveGLRK23	$FvH4$ $4\sigma19310$	myh domain protein 111
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	$F_{VH4} 4_{\sigma}^{21600}$	ATP hinding cassette subfamily B4
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	$F_{vH4} 4_{\sigma}^{221000}$	ATT offening cusselle subtaining D+
1 111-120-0-0	I VOOLIGE2.5	1 111-1922101	Calcium-dependent lipid-binding (CaLB domain)
FvH4 1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4 4g32811	family protein
_ 0		_ 0	cytochrome P450, family 71, subfamily A,
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_5g07570	polypeptide 25
			F-box and associated interaction domains-containing
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_5g08370	protein
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_5g19760	alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_6g10730	TRICHOME BIREFRINGENCE-LIKE 34
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_6g14650	exocyst subunit exo70 family protein H4
E 114 1 04040		E 114 (10 0 00	2-oxoglutarate (2OG) and Fe(II)-dependent
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_6g19290	oxygenase superfamily protein
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4_6g38/50	NAC domain containing protein 42
EvH4 1c04840	EveCIPK23	FvHA 6aAA750	cytochrome P450, family /1, subfamily B,
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	$FvH4_6g47230$	glycyl tPNA synthetase / glycine_tPNA ligase
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	$F_{vH4} = 6g^{-7230}$	NAD(P) binding Rossmann fold superfamily protein
$F_{vH4} = 1 \times 10^{-1} \times $	FveGLRK2.3	$F_{vH4} = 6g50850$	hete glucosidese 12
$FVH4_1g04640$ $EvH4_1c04840$	FVeGLRK2.3	$FVH4_0g55000$	UDD glucosul transformed 80P1
$F_{VII4}_{1c04840}$	FveGLRK2.3	$F_{\rm VII4}/g10001$	ODI -giucosyi italisielase 89D1
FVH4_1g04640	FVEOLKK2.5	FVH4_/g21085	2-oxoglutarate (20G) and Fe(II)-dependent
FvH4 1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4 7g24610	oxygenase superfamily protein
FvH4 1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4 7g27130	expansin B2
FvH4 1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	FvH4 7g34050	EF hand calcium-binding protein family
FvH4 1g23370	FveGLRK6.18	FvH4_4g26500	Protein kinase superfamily protein
FvH4 2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4 1g16060	
FvH4 2g29545	FveGLRK12	FvH4 2g02354	dynamin-like protein 6
FvH4 2g29545	FveGLRK12	FvH4_2g02432	dynamin-like protein 6
FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK12	FvH4 2g11390	aldehyde dehydrogenase, 6B?
FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK12	$FvH4_{3003410}$	S-locus lectin protein kinase family protein
1,111_2827545	1 (COLIMI1.2	1,111_3503410	K-box region and MADS-box transcription factor
FvH4 2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4 3g25020	family protein
FvH4 2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4 3g33584	BED zinc finger ;hAT family dimerisation domain
FvH4 2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4_3g42840	varicose-related
FvH4 2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4 4g06200	cryptochrome 2
FvH4 2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4 4g08670	histone acetyltransferase of the GNAT family 1
FvH4 2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4 4g16180	,,
FvH4 2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4 4g16191	

FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4_5g29320	
FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4_6g02430	Peptidase C13 family
FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4_6g06441	RECQ helicase SIM
FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4_6g23770	
FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4_6g37800	Integrin-linked protein kinase family
FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4_7g04780	
FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	FvH4_7g31430	D111/G-patch domain-containing protein
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_1g00270	Pectin lyase-like superfamily protein
	-		Glycosyl hydrolase family protein with chitinase
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_1g01364	insertion domain
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_1g04280	F-box/RNI-like superfamily protein
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_1g08454	oxophytodienoate-reductase 3
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_1g19001	
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_2g04010	
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_2g10322	
E-114 2~20560	EveCI DV1 1	Ev114 2~10760	Disease resistance protein (CC-NBS-LRR class)
FVH4_2g29360	FVeGLKKI.I	$FVH4_2g19/60$	
FVH4_2g29360	FVeGLKKI.I	FVH4_2g29970	Peroxidase superiamity protein
FVH4_2g29360	FVeGLKK1.1	FVH4_3g0/960	Billing In 111 Contract Contra
FVH4_2g29560	FVeGLKK1.1	FVH4_3g14411	Ribonuclease H-like supertamily protein
FvH4_2g29560	FVeGLRK1.1	FvH4_3g1/000	HXXXD-type acyl-transferase family protein
FVH4_2g29560	FVeGLRK1.1	FVH4_4g13620	no vein-like
FvH4_2g29560	FVeGLRK1.1	FvH4_4g210/0	ADP-ribosylation factor AIF
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_5g06850	RING/U-box superfamily protein
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_5g19552	
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_5g22901	hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein family protein
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_5g25480	Protein of unknown function (DUF1423)
FvH4 2g29560	EveGLRK11	FvH4 6a09550	rotein
$F_vH4_2g29560$	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_6g38111	protein
$FvH4_2g29500$	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_6g42530	nutative mitochondrial RNA belicase 2
$F_{vH4} 2g^{29560}$	FveGLRK11	FvH4_6g45851	F-box/RNI-like superfamily protein
$F_{vH4} 2g29560$	FveGLRK1.1	$F_{vH4} = 6g47051$	Galactose ovidase/kelch repeat superfamily protein
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	FvH4_6g53651	F-box family protein
$F_{vH4} 2g_{2} 300$	FveGLRK1.1	$F_{vH4} = 7\sigma^{2} 1720$	1-box family protein
$F_{vH4} 2g_{2}3840$	EveGLRK1.1	$F_{vH4} = 6g16380$	SAUR like auxin responsive protein family
1/114_2g55640	I VEOLIKKO.34	1 v114_0g10380	Late embryogenesis abundant (LEA)
FvH4 2g33850	FveGLRK6.33	FvH4 1g02250	hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein family
_ 6		_ 8'	Protein kinase family protein with leucine-rich
FvH4_3g03240	FveGLRK4.18	FvH4_2g35260	repeat domain
			Transmembrane amino acid transporter family
FvH4_3g03240	FveGLRK4.18	FvH4_2g37650	protein
FvH4_3g03240	FveGLRK4.18	FvH4_3g00340	nudix hydrolase homolog 2
E 114 2 02240	E. CLDVA 10	E 114 2 02070	cysteme-rich RLK (RECEPTOR-like protein kinase)
FVH4_3g03240	FVeGLRK4.18	FVH4_3g02870	29 NA CLI : 411 (12)
FvH4_3g03240	FveGLRK4.18	FvH4_3g11860	NAC domain containing protein 42
FVH4_3g03240	FVeGLKK4.18	FVH4_3g23780	NAD(P)-binding Kossmann-told supertamily protein
FvH4 3a03740	EveGI RKA 18	FvH4 4a16140	protein
1°V11+_3g03240	TYCOLINK4.10	1 v11+_+g10140	DNAJ heat shock N-terminal domain-containing
FvH4 3g03240	FveGLRK4.18	FvH4 4g18840	protein
FvH4 3g03240	FveGLRK4.18	FvH4 5g04350	lectin protein kinase family protein
FvH4 3g03240	FveGLRK4.18	FvH4 6g16610	Protein of unknown function (DUF1624)
FvH4 3g03240	FveGLRK4 18	FvH4 6g20470	syntaxin of plants 121
FvH4 3g03240	FveGLRK4 18	FvH4 6g52190	Pectin lyase-like superfamily protein
	1 10000007.10	1 111 UgJ2170	

			Transmembrane amino acid transporter family
FvH4_3g03240	FveGLRK4.18	FvH4_7g06350	protein
E 114 2 02242	E CLDVA 10	E 114 (40000	Disease resistance protein (CC-NBS-LRR class)
FvH4_3g03243	FveGLRK4.13	FvH4_6g48220	family
			copper-exporting ATPase / responsive-to-antagonist
FvH4_3g03430	FveGLRK4.4	FvH4_3g12710	1 / copper-transporting ATPase (RAN1)
FvH4_3g03430	FveGLRK4.4	FvH4_4g34900	Protein kinase superfamily protein
FvH4_3g03430	FveGLRK4.4	FvH4_5g14270	C2H2-like zinc finger protein
FvH4_3g03430	FveGLRK4.4	FvH4_6g35250	Plant protein of unknown function (DUF936)
			disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)
FvH4_3g03451	FveGLRK3.4	FvH4_2g14321	family
FvH4_3g03521	FveGLRK3.11	FvH4_3g04260	Protein of unknown function (DUF1191)
FvH4_3g03521	FveGLRK3.11	FvH4_3g04330	RING/U-box superfamily protein
FvH4_3g03521	FveGLRK3.11	FvH4_6g15920	Wall-associated kinase family protein
FvH4_3g03521	FveGLRK3.11	FvH4_6g27710	
FvH4_3g03521	FveGLRK3.11	FvH4_7g31950	cyclic nucleotide gated channel 1
			disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class),
FvH4_3g03521	FveGLRK3.11	FvH4_7g32760	putative
E 114 2 15020	E CLDVA11	E 114 1 20540	FAD/NAD(P)-binding oxidoreductase family
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_1g20540	protein
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_1g25140	Glycosyl hydrolase family 35 protein
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_2g03870	
E 114 2 16020	E CLDVA11	E 114 0 05000	Predicted pyridoxal phosphate-dependent enzyme,
FvH4_3g15930	FVeGLRK4.11	FvH4_2g25380	YBL036C type
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_3g25190	Protein of unknown function (DUF579)
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_3g26315	
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_3g26822	
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_3g28523	Protein of unknown function (DUF604)
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_3g34330	
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_3g36820	pectinesterase 11
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_3g39270	Esterase/lipase/thioesterase family protein
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_4g15171	
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_4g18133	Nucleotidylyl transferase superfamily protein
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_5g08881	DEAD box RNA helicase family protein
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_5g16010	Protein of unknown function, DUF593
FvH4 3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4 5g23751	
FvH4 3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4 6g04230	
FvH4 3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4 6g32281	
FvH4 3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4 6g34881	
0		0-	Core-2/I-branching beta-1,6-N-
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_6g42672	acetylglucosaminyltransferase family protein
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	FvH4_7g22362	heat shock protein 70
FvH4 3g15980	FveGLK4.1	FvH4 3g14040	Protein of unknown function (DUF620)
FvH4 3g18371	FveGLP6.5	FvH4 3g21420	S-locus lectin protein kinase family protein
FvH4 3g18371	FveGLP6.5	FvH4 3g30040	L-O-methylthreonine resistant 1
FvH4_3g18383	FveGLP6.7	FvH4_5g15962	5
FvH4 3g18383	FveGLP6.7	FvH4 6g28890	H(+)-ATPase 9
FvH4 3ø43401	FveGLRK6 24	FvH4 1007570	
FvH4 3g43401	FveGLRK6 24	FvH4 1o11001	
FvH4 3a43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4 1a11007	
$F_{\rm W}HA = 2 \pi A 2 A 01$	FveGLDK6.24	$F_{VH}/1_{\alpha}^{11002}$	
EvIIA 2~42401	EveCI DV4 24	$F_{VII} = 1211001$	Partin lyana lika superfamily protein
rvn4_3g43401	I'VEULKK0.24	1°VH4_1912300	DNAI heat shock N-terminal domain-containing
FvH4 3043401	FveGLRK6 24	FvH4 1017321	protein
FvH4 3g43401	FveGLRK6 24	FvH4 1010780	Matrixin family protein
	1 VOLINIX0.24	1 1117_1g17200	

FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g00980		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g02230	AGAMOUS-like 62	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g02270	AGAMOUS-like 62	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g02401		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g07500	mRNA capping enzyme family protein	
			Plant invertase/pectin methylesterase inhibitor	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g13220	superfamily protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g13390	Protein of unknown function (DUF1278)	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g14700	cell wall / vacuolar inhibitor of fructosidase 2	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g15120	Flavin-binding monooxygenase family protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g15281	BED zinc finger ;hAT family dimerisation domain GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase superfamily	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g15970	protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g16230	Arabidopsis protein of unknown function (DUF241) GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase superfamily	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g19880	protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g20680	S-protein homologue 1	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g21010	ubiquitin 7	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g24200	cell wall / vacuolar inhibitor of fructosidase 1	
			Beta-1,3-N-Acetylglucosaminyltransferase family	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g28680	protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g32980	nucleosome assembly protein1;1	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_2g37971		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g01180	RPM1 interacting protein 4	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g04620	serine carboxypeptidase-like 31	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g05941		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g11042	FAD-binding Berberine family protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g11043	FAD-binding Berberine family protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g11044	FAD-binding Berberine family protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g11060	Ribosomal protein L35Ae family protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g14642		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g14643		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g15260	Peroxidase superfamily protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g15490		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g17670		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g20800	Late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) protein-related	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g21860	Plant self-incompatibility protein SI family	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g21910	gibberellin 2-oxidase 8	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g26240		
FvH4_3g43401	FVeGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g314/0	ICP family transcription factor	
FvH4_3g43401	FVeGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g40500	senescence-associated gene 12	
FVH4_3g43401	FVeGLKK6.24	FVH4_3g43402	hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA shikimate/quinate	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_3g44420	hydroxycinnamoyl transferase	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_4g03020	C2H2 and C2HC zinc fingers superfamily protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_4g03531		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_4g04231	eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3G2	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_4g04232	BED zinc finger ;hAT family dimerisation domain	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_4g06751		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_4g07190	Pectin lyase-like superfamily protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_4g07480	Eukaryotic aspartyl protease family protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_4g13850	RNI-like superfamily protein	
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_4g20391		
FvH4_3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	FvH4_4g20430	cell wall / vacuolar inhibitor of fructosidase 1	

Plant invertase/pectin methylesterase inhibitor FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4_4g20480 superfamily protein FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 4g22310 Integrase-type DNA-binding superfamily protein FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 4g22390 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 4g23640 acyl-CoA synthetase 5 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 4g28850 basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) DNA-binding FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 4g34320 superfamily protein FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 5g02460 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 5g09381 FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 5g13790 exocyst subunit exo70 family protein E2 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 5g21500 AGAMOUS-like 36 FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 5g22960 UDP-glucosyl transferase 78D2 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4_3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4_5g24840 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 5g30180 plantacyanin FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 5g33570 xylulose kinase-2 FveGLRK6.24 cytochrome P450, family 93, subfamily D, FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 5g35160 polypeptide 1 Cystatin/monellin superfamily protein FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 6g03900 FveGLRK6.24 XB3 ortholog 5 in Arabidopsis thaliana FvH4_3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4_6g04820 Regulator of Vps4 activity in the MVB pathway FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 6g05851 protein Plant invertase/pectin methylesterase inhibitor FvH4 6g08020 FvH4 3g43401 superfamily protein FveGLRK6.24 AGAMOUS-like 80 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 6g08460 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 6g13140 Protein of unknown function (DUF604) FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 6g17310 pectin methylesterase 44 cytochrome P450, family 76, subfamily G, FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 6g17570 polypeptide 1 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 6g17601 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 6g21972 FvH4_3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4_6g23002 BED zinc finger ;hAT family dimerisation domain sodium/calcium exchanger family protein / calcium-FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 6g26990 binding EF hand family protein FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 6g29154 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 6g30501 FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 6g32790 Protein of Unknown Function (DUF239) FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 6g33790 cell wall / vacuolar inhibitor of fructosidase 1 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 6g35584 GDSL lipase 1 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 6g39940 uclacyanin 1 FveGLRK6.24 Late embryogenesis abundant protein (LEA) family FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 6g45802 protein FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 6g46771 Gag-Pol-related retrotransposon family protein FveGLRK6.24 tRNA/rRNA methyltransferase (SpoU) family FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 6g47571 protein FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 7g04251 zinc-finger protein 1 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 7g10114 receptor like protein 7 Pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR-like) superfamily FvH4_7g14820 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 protein FvH4 3g43401 FvH4_7g22363 heat shock protein 70 FveGLRK6.24 Glutaredoxin family protein FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 7g24960 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4_7g26521 FvH4 3g43401 FvH4 7g27774 FveGLRK6.24 glycosyl hydrolase 9B1 FvH4 3g43401 FveGLRK6.24 FvH4 7g31350 RWP-RK domain-containing protein FvH4 4g02170 FveGLRK2.2 FvH4 3g20590 G protein coupled receptor

FvH4_4g02170	FveGLRK2.2	FvH4_4g16600	Transducin/WD40 repeat-like superfamily protein
FvH4_4g33230	FveGLRK6.25	FvH4_2g37640	OPC-8:0 CoA ligase1
FvH4_5g31690	FveGLP6.1	FvH4_1g26670	PLATZ transcription factor family protein
FvH4_5g31690	FveGLP6.1	FvH4_3g08210	glutamate receptor 2.7
FvH4_5g31690	FveGLP6.1	FvH4_3g16530	Homeodomain-like superfamily protein
FvH4 5g31690	FveGLP6.1	FvH4 4g18641	
_ 0		_ 0	phytochrome and flowering time regulatory protein
FvH4_5g31690	FveGLP6.1	FvH4_5g12601	(PFT1)
FvH4_5g31690	FveGLP6.1	FvH4_6g39781	
FvH4_5g31690	FveGLP6.1	FvH4_6g44030	pinoid-binding protein 1
FvH4_6g26380	FveGLRK6.12	FvH4_1g21650	Eukaryotic aspartyl protease family protein
FvH4_6g44310	FveGLRK6.1	FvH4_3g03010	RING/U-box superfamily protein
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_1g16060	
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_2g02354	dynamin-like protein 6
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_2g02432	dynamin-like protein 6
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_2g11390	aldehyde dehydrogenase 6B2
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_2g29545	receptor kinase 3
			K-box region and MADS-box transcription factor
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_3g25020	family protein
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_3g33584	BED zinc finger ;hAT family dimerisation domain
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_3g42840	varicose-related
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_4g06200	cryptochrome 2
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_4g08670	histone acetyltransferase of the GNAT family 1
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_4g16180	
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_4g16191	
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_5g29320	
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_6g02430	Peptidase C13 family
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_6g06441	RECQ helicase SIM
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_6g23770	
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_6g37800	Integrin-linked protein kinase family
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_7g04780	
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	FvH4_7g31430	D111/G-patch domain-containing protein
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_1g04840	S-locus lectin protein kinase family protein
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_1g09190	beta-galactosidase /
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_1g12210	ferulic acid 5-hydroxylase 1
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_1g19240	elicitor-activated gene 3-1
EvH4 3c03340	EveCI PA A	EvH4 1g10540	U-box domain-containing protein kinase family
1 1114_3g03340	1 10011 4.4	1 v114_1g19940	GroES-like zinc-binding alcohol dehydrogenase
FvH4 3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4 2g05133	family protein
FvH4 3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4 2g20930	polygalacturonase abscission zone A. thaliana
FvH4 3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4 2g23301	
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_3g02440	Protein kinase superfamily protein
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_3g05300	
			cysteine-rich RLK (RECEPTOR-like protein kinase)
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_3g19870	29
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_3g24572	
E 114 2 02240		E 114 2 20020	GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase superfamily
FVH4_3g03340	FVeGLP4.4	FVH4_3g30030	protein
FVH4_3gU3340	FVEGLP4.4	FVH4_3g32961	giulamate receptor 2./
rvn4_3g03340	FVeGLP4.4	rvn4_3g44/31	Leucine-rich recentor like protein kingse family
FvH4 3003340	FveGLP4 4	FvH4 3ø44880	protein
			Leucine-rich receptor-like protein kinase family
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_3g44920	protein

FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_3g44961	receptor like protein 2
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_3g44962	
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_3g45880	
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_4g18830	MLP-like protein 423
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_4g19310	myb domain protein 111
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_4g21600	ATP binding cassette subfamily B4
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_4g22101	
			Calcium-dependent lipid-binding (CaLB domain)
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_4g32811	family protein
EvU4 2c02240	EvoCI D4 4	EvU4 5~07570	cytochrome P450, family /1, subfamily A,
FVH4_3g03340	FVEOLF4.4	FVH4_3g07370	F-box and associated interaction domains-containing
FvH4 3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4 5g08370	protein
FvH4 3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4 5g19760	alpha/beta-Hydrolases superfamily protein
FvH4 3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4 6g10730	TRICHOME BIREFRINGENCE-LIKE 34
FvH4 3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4 6g14650	exocyst subunit exo70 family protein H4
_ 0		_ 0	2-oxoglutarate (2OG) and Fe(II)-dependent
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_6g19290	oxygenase superfamily protein
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_6g38750	NAC domain containing protein 42
			cytochrome P450, family 71, subfamily B,
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_6g44750	polypeptide 14
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_6g47230	glycyl-tRNA synthetase / glycinetRNA ligase
FVH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4_6g50850	NAD(P)-binding Rossmann-fold superfamily protein
FVH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FVH4_6g53000	beta glucosidase 13
FVH4_3g03340	FVeGLP4.4	FVH4_/g18081	UDP-glucosyl transferase 89B1
FVH4_3g03340	FVeGLP4.4	FVH4_/g21683	2 oxoglutarate (20G) and Fe(II) dependent
FvH4 3903340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4 7924610	oxygenase superfamily protein
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4 7g27130	expansin B2
FvH4 3g03340	FveGLP4.4	FvH4 7g34050	EF hand calcium-binding protein family
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 1g07570	81 7
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 1g11001	
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 1g11002	
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 1g11081	
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 1g12500	Pectin lyase-like superfamily protein
_ •		_ •	DNAJ heat shock N-terminal domain-containing
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_1g17321	protein
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_1g19280	Matrixin family protein
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g00980	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g02230	AGAMOUS-like 62
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g02270	AGAMOUS-like 62
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g02401	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g07500	mRNA capping enzyme family protein
EvUA 2~12102	EvaCI DV6 22	Ev14 2~12220	Plant invertase/pectin methylesterase inhibitor
$FVH4_3g43402$	FVeGLRK0.22	$FVH4_2g13220$ $FvH4_2g13220$	Brotein of unknown function (DUE1278)
$FvH4_3g43402$	FveGLRK0.22	$FvH4_2g13390$ $FvH4_2g14700$	cell wall / vacualar inhibitor of fructosidase 2
$FvH4_3\sigma43402$	FveGLRK6.22	$F_{vH4} 2g_{14700}$	Elavin binding monoovygenase family protein
$FvH4_3g43402$	FveGLRK0.22	$FvH4_2g15120$ $FvH4_2g15281$	BED zing finger th AT family dimerisation domain
1°V117_3g43402	TVUULINKU.22	1 v117_2g13201	GDSL-like Lipase/Acvlhvdrolase superfamily
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 2g15970	protein
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 2g16230	Arabidopsis protein of unknown function (DUF241)
_ U		_ U	GDSL-like Lipase/Acylhydrolase superfamily
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g19880	protein
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g20680	S-protein homologue 1

FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g21010	ubiquitin 7	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g24200	cell wall / vacuolar inhibitor of fructosidase 1	
F 114 2 42402			Beta-1,3-N-Acetylglucosaminyltransferase family	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g28680	protein	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g32980	nucleosome assembly protein1;1	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_2g37971		
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g01180	RPM1 interacting protein 4	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g04620	serine carboxypeptidase-like 31	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g05941		
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g11042	FAD-binding Berberine family protein	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g11043	FAD-binding Berberine family protein	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g11044	FAD-binding Berberine family protein	
FVH4_3g43402	FVeGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g11060	Ribosomal protein L35Ae family protein	
FVH4_3g43402	FVeGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g14642		
FVH4_3g43402	FVeGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g14643		
FVH4_3g43402	FVeGLRK6.22	FvH4_3g15260	Peroxidase superfamily protein	
FVH4_3g43402	FVeGLRK6.22	FVH4_3g15490		
FVH4_3g43402	FVeGLRK0.22	FVH4_3g1/6/0		
FVH4_3g43402	FVEGLKK0.22	FVH4_3g20800	Late embryogenesis abundant (LEA) protein-related	
FVH4_3g43402	FVEGLKK0.22	FVH4_3g21800	rith curllin 2 curiders 8	
FVH4_3g43402	FVeGLKK0.22	FVH4_3g21910	gibberellin 2-oxidase 8	
$FV\Pi4_3g43402$	FVeGLKK0.22	$FVH4_3g20240$	TCD family transprintion factor	
$FV\Pi4_3g43402$	FVeGLKK0.22	$FVH4_3g31470$	amagazina associated area 12	
$FVH4_3g43402$ $FvH4_3g43402$	FVeGLKK0.22 EveCLPK6.22	$FVH4_3g40300$ $FvH4_3g43401$	senescence-associated gene 12	
1,0114_3843402	I VEOLKK0.22	1 V114_3g43401	hydroxycinnamoyl-CoA shikimate/quinate	
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 3g44420	hydroxycinnamoyl transferase	
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 4g03020	C2H2 and C2HC zinc fingers superfamily protein	
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 4g03531		
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 4g04231	eukaryotic translation initiation factor 3G2	
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 4g04232	BED zinc finger ;hAT family dimerisation domain	
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4 4g06751		
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_4g07190	Pectin lyase-like superfamily protein	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_4g07480	Eukaryotic aspartyl protease family protein	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_4g13850	RNI-like superfamily protein	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_4g20391		
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_4g20430	cell wall / vacuolar inhibitor of fructosidase 1	
			Plant invertase/pectin methylesterase inhibitor	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_4g20480	superfamily protein	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_4g22310	Integrase-type DNA-binding superfamily protein	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_4g22390		
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_4g23640	acyl-CoA synthetase 5	
FvH4_3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	FvH4_4g28850		
EvH4 2a42402	EveCI DV6 22	EvH1 1021220	basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) DNA-binding	
$\Gamma V\Pi 4_{3}g_{4}_{3}402$	FVeOLKK0.22	$FVH4_4g54520$ $FvH4_5a02460$	superranning protein	
FvH4 2a/2/02	FVEGLAND.22	гунч_зводной Бунд 5а00281		
FvH4 2a/2/02	FVeGI RK6 22	FvH4 5a12700	evocyst subunit evo70 family protain E2	
FvHA 2~A2A02	EveCIPK6 22	$F_{VH} = \frac{3g_{13}}{30}$	AGAMOUS like 36	
FvH4 3643402	FveGLRK6 22	FvH4 5a77060	LIDP-glucosyl transferase 78D?	
FvH4 3643402	FveGI RK6 22	FvH4 5a74840	ODI grucosyi tunsiciase / 0D2	
FvH4 3o43402	FveGLRK6 22	FvH4 5o30180	nlantacyanin	
FvH4 3043402	FveGLRK6 22	FvH4 5o33570	xylulose kinase-2	
	- · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

cytochrome P450, family 93, subfamily D, FvH4 3g43402 FvH4 5g35160 polypeptide 1 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g03900 Cystatin/monellin superfamily protein XB3 ortholog 5 in Arabidopsis thaliana FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g04820 Regulator of Vps4 activity in the MVB pathway FvH4 3g43402 FvH4 6g05851 protein FveGLRK6.22 Plant invertase/pectin methylesterase inhibitor FvH4 3g43402 FvH4 6g08020 superfamily protein FveGLRK6.22 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 3g43402 FvH4 6g08460 AGAMOUS-like 80 FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g13140 Protein of unknown function (DUF604) FvH4_3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4_6g17310 pectin methylesterase 44 cytochrome P450, family 76, subfamily G, FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g17570 polypeptide 1 FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g17601 FvH4 3g43402 FvH4 6g21972 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 3g43402 FvH4 6g23002 FveGLRK6.22 BED zinc finger ;hAT family dimerisation domain sodium/calcium exchanger family protein / calcium-FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g26990 binding EF hand family protein FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g29154 FvH4 6g30501 FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g32790 FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 Protein of Unknown Function (DUF239) FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g33790 cell wall / vacuolar inhibitor of fructosidase 1 FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g35584 GDSL lipase 1 FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g39940 uclacyanin 1 Late embryogenesis abundant protein (LEA) family FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g45802 protein FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g46771 Gag-Pol-related retrotransposon family protein tRNA/rRNA methyltransferase (SpoU) family FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 6g47571 protein FvH4 3g43402 FvH4 7g04251 zinc-finger protein 1 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 7g10114 receptor like protein 7 Pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR-like) superfamily FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 7g14820 protein FvH4 3g43402 FvH4 7g22363 FveGLRK6.22 heat shock protein 70 FvH4 3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4_7g24960 Glutaredoxin family protein FvH4 3g43402 FvH4 7g26521 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4 3g43402 FvH4 7g27774 FveGLRK6.22 glycosyl hydrolase 9B1 RWP-RK domain-containing protein FvH4_3g43402 FveGLRK6.22 FvH4_7g31350 Protein kinase family protein with leucine-rich FvH4 5g04350 repeat domain FveGLRK6.28 FvH4 2g35260 Transmembrane amino acid transporter family FvH4 5g04350 FvH4 2g37650 FveGLRK6.28 protein FvH4 5g04350 FveGLRK6.28 FvH4 3g00340 nudix hydrolase homolog 2 cysteine-rich RLK (RECEPTOR-like protein kinase) FvH4_3g02870 FvH4 5g04350 FveGLRK6.28 29 FvH4 5g04350 FveGLRK6.28 FvH4 3g03240 S-locus lectin protein kinase family protein FvH4 5g04350 FveGLRK6.28 FvH4 3g11860 NAC domain containing protein 42 FvH4 5g04350 FveGLRK6.28 FvH4_3g23780 NAD(P)-binding Rossmann-fold superfamily protein Acyl-CoA N-acyltransferases (NAT) superfamily FvH4 5g04350 FveGLRK6.28 FvH4 4g16140 protein DNAJ heat shock N-terminal domain-containing FvH4 5g04350 FveGLRK6.28 FvH4 4g18840 protein FvH4 5g04350 FveGLRK6.28 FvH4 6g16610 Protein of unknown function (DUF1624) syntaxin of plants 121 FvH4 5g04350 FveGLRK6.28 FvH4 6g20470 FvH4 5g04350 Pectin lyase-like superfamily protein FveGLRK6.28 FvH4 6g52190

			Transmembrane amino acid transporter family
FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28	FvH4_7g06350	protein

Supplemental file S4.

Subcellular localization of strawberry G-lectin genes

Gene ID	New gene name	Subcellular location predicted by CELLO	Subcellular location predicted by TargetP- 2.0
FvH4_1g03780	FveGLRK7.1	PM	Other
FvH4_1g04840	FveGLRK2.3	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_1g16211	FveGLRK3.14	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_1g23370	FveGLRK6.18	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_1g23380	FveGLRK6.17	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_1g23390	FveGLRK6.15	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_1g23400	FveGLRK6.14	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_2g12390	FveGLRK6.13	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_2g14250	FveGLRK6.16	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_2g26490	FveGLRK6.6	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_2g29050	FveGLRK6.8	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_2g29070	FveGLRK6.7	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_2g29542	FveGLRK1.5	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_2g29543	FveGLRK1.3	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_2g29544	FveGLRK1.4	PM	Other
FvH4_2g29545	FveGLRK1.2	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_2g29560	FveGLRK1.1	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_2g33830	FveGLRK6.32	PM	Other
FvH4_2g33840	FveGLRK6.34	PM	Other
FvH4_2g33850	FveGLRK6.33	PM	Other
FvH4_2g33870	FveGLRK6.31	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03230	FveGLRK4.15	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03231	FveGLRK4.19	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03240	FveGLRK4.18	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03242	FveGLRK4.16	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03243	FveGLRK4.13	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03301	FveGLRK4.14	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03310	FveGLRK4.12	PM/EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03320	FveGLRK4.25	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03350	FveGLRK4.28	PM	Other
FvH4_3g03370	FveGLRK4.23	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03390	FveGLRK4.22	PM	Other
FvH4_3g03410	FveGLRK4.21	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03420	FveGLRK4.5	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03430	FveGLRK4.4	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03431	FveGLRK3.7	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03432	FveGLRK3.6	PM	Other
FvH4_3g03433	FveGLRK3.10	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03450	FveGLRK3.3	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03451	FveGLRK3.4	EX/PM	Other
FvH4 3g03461	FveGLRK3.2	PM	Signal peptide

FvH4_3g03481	FveGLRK3.5	PM	Other
FvH4_3g03482	FveGLRK3.17	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03501	FveGLRK3.16	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 3g03502	FveGLRK3.15	N/PM	Other
FvH4 3g03520	FveGLRK3.12	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 3g03521	FveGLRK3.11	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03560	FveGLRK3.8	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03590	FveGLRK3.1	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g06140	FveGLRK4.29	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g15080	FveGLRK2.6	РМ	Other
FvH4_3g15120	FveGLRK2.5	PM	Other
FvH4_3g15130	FveGLRK2.4	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g15690	FveGLRK4.9	PM	Other
			Thylakoid luminal
FvH4_3g15930	FveGLRK4.11	PM	transfer peptide
FvH4_3g21270	FveGLRK4.6	C/PM/N	Other
FvH4_3g21310	FveGLRK4.8	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g21320	FveGLRK4.10	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g21400	FveGLRK4.7	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 3g43401	FveGLRK6.24	C/PM	Other
FvH4 3g43402	FveGLRK6.22	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g43403	FveGLRK6.20	PM	Other
FvH4_3g43440	FveGLRK6.21	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g43710	FveGLRK6.23	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_4g02170	FveGLRK2.2	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_4g33230	FveGLRK6.25	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_5g04350	FveGLRK6.28	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_5g31680	FveGLRK6.5	PM	Other
FvH4_5g31930	FveGLRK3.13	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 5g32570	FveGLRK3.9	PM	Other
FvH4_6g00257	FveGLRK4.27	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g00270	FveGLRK4.26	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g07960	FveGLRK4.17	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g12870	FveGLRK6.29	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 6g12880	FveGLRK6.30	PM	Other
FvH4 6g12890	FveGLRK6.27	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 6g12930	FveGLRK6.26	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 6g20800	FveGLRK4.24	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 6g26380	FveGLRK6.12	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 6g26420	FveGLRK6.3	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 6g26450	FveGLRK6.2	PM	Other
FvH4 6g29821	FveGLRK6.10	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4 6g29840	FveGLRK6.9	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g31370	FveGLRK2.1	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g44063	FveGLRK5.4	PM/C	Other
FvH4_6g44064	FveGLRK5.2	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g44100	FveGLRK5.3	PM	Other
FvH4_6g44106	FveGLRK5.8	EX/PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g44107	FveGLRK5.7	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g44108	FveGLRK5.10	EX/PM	Other
FvH4_6g44109	FveGLRK5.5	PM/EX	Other
FvH4_6g44140	FveGLRK5.6	PM	Other
FvH4_6g44190	FveGLRK5.9	EX/PM	Signal peptide

FvH4_6g44243	FveGLRK4.1	PM	Other
FvH4_6g44244	FveGLRK4.3	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g44245	FveGLRK4.2	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g44310	FveGLRK6.1	PM/EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g51830	FveGLRK5.1	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_7g00200	FveGLRK6.19	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_7g14760	FveGLRK6.4	EX/PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_7g19680	FveGLRK4.20	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_7g30670	FveGLRK6.11	PM	Other
FvH4_3g03581	FveGLRP3.1	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g15090	FveGLRP2.1	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g10470	FveGLRP6.2	PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g17930	FveGLRP6.1	EX/PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03241	FveGLK4.3	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03300	FveGLK4.2	PM/N	Other
FvH4_3g15980	FveGLK4.1	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g44240	FveGLK5.1	EX	Other
FvH4_2g05942	FveGLP3.2	EX	Other
FvH4_2g24770	FveGLP3.1	C/EX	Other
FvH4_3g03322	FveGLP4.5	EX/PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03340	FveGLP4.4	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g03435	FveGLP3.3	EX	Other
FvH4_3g15150	FveGLP2.1	EX	Other
FvH4_3g18370	FveGLP6.2	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g18371	FveGLP6.5	EX/Vacuole	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g18380	FveGLP6.4	EX	Other
FvH4_3g18382	FveGLP6.3	EX	Other
FvH4_3g18383	FveGLP6.7	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_3g18410	FveGLP6.6	EX	Other
FvH4_3g21271	FveGLP4.2	EX	Other
FvH4_5g04270	FveGLP6.9	EX	Other
FvH4_5g04310	FveGLP6.8	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_5g31690	FveGLP6.1	PM/EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g00300	FveGLP4.6	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g12332	FveGLP4.3	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g12920	FveGLP6.10	EX	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g44062	FveGLP5.2	EX	Other
FvH4_6g44101	FveGLP5.1	EX/N	Other
FvH4_6g44242	FveGLP5.3	EX/PM	Signal peptide
FvH4_6g44260	FveGLP4.1	EX	Signal peptide

EX: Extracellular; PM: PlasmaMembrane; C: Cytoplasmic; N: Nuclear